

county allocations differently from those set forth in this notice, the FY 1995 allocations proposed by the State must be included in the State's application.

Applications submitted in response to this notice are not subject to review by State and areawide clearinghouses under Executive Order 12372, "Intergovernmental Review of Federal Programs."

## VI. Qualification and Allocation Formulas

### A. Qualifying New Counties

ORR is not considering new counties for participation in TAP in FY 1995. The reason is that in FY 1996 we intend to modify the qualifying criteria and allocations formula for targeted assistance. At that time, the eligibility of all counties for participation in TAP will be reviewed against the new qualifying criteria. We do not believe it makes sense to invite new counties to submit evidence of eligibility in FY 1995 when these counties may become ineligible in FY 1996 under the new qualifying criteria.

### B. Allocation Formula

The FY 1995 TAP formula allocations are based on the same formula as in FY 1994, updated to reflect arrivals through September 30, 1994.

Under this formula, one portion of the allocation is based on refugee and Cuban/Haitian entrant arrivals during FY 1980–1982; funds for this portion of the formula are allocated on the same proportionate basis among participating counties as in FY 1994. The second portion of the allocation is based on refugee and entrant placements in these counties during calendar year (CY) 1983–September 30, 1994.

For the participating counties, the \$25,457,300 which is allocated by formula is apportioned as follows:

a. \$7,891,763 or 31%, is allocated on the basis of the formula which has been used for all previous targeted assistance allocations ("old formula") and which is based on initial placements during FY 1980–1982 and other factors as described under "Formula Used to Date" in the FY 1989 TAP notice published in the **Federal Register** on July 3, 1989 (54 F.R. 27944).

b. \$17,565,537 or 69%, is allocated on the basis of arrivals during CY 1983–September 30, 1994 ("new formula").

The above percentages are based on the proportion of initial placements in these counties during the two periods: 338,247 refugee arrivals, or 31% of the total number of placements, during the old-formula period; and 768,750 or 69%, during the new-formula period.

The old-formula allocation of \$7,891,763 follows the same distribution among counties as in the past.

The new-formula allocation of \$17,565,537 is based on the number of initial placements in each county during CY 1983–September 30, 1994. Welfare dependency rates were not used as a factor in this portion of the formula.

### C. Allocation Formula for Communities Affected by Recent Cuban/Haitian Arrivals

Allocations for recent Cuban and Haitian refugee and entrant arrivals are based on arrival numbers during the 3-year period beginning October 1, 1991 through September 30, 1994.

Allocations are limited to targeted assistance counties with 3 percent or more of the total 3-year Cuban and Haitian arrival population (35,863 arrivals) in the 42 targeted assistance counties. We have established a 3 percent threshold for allocations in

order to target the most impacted communities.

## VII. Allocations

Table 1 lists the participating counties, the number of placements in each county during CY 1983–September 30, 1994, the amount of each county's allocation which is based on the old formula, the amount of each county's allocation which is based on the new formula, and the county's total allocation.

Although Table 1 shows an amount for each county, the Director has decided, in the case of a State which contains more than one qualified county, to continue to permit the State to determine (in accordance with the requirements set forth in this notice) the appropriate allocation of the State's targeted assistance award among the qualified counties in the State. If a State chooses to make allocations which are different from the notice, the State, as in the FY 1994 TAP, would be responsible for determining an appropriate and equitable basis for allocating the funds among the qualified counties in the State and for including in its application a description of this allocation basis, the data to be used, and the allocation proposed for each county.

Table 2 lists the participating counties, the number of Cuban and Haitian refugee and entrant arrivals in each county during FY 1992–FY 1994, each county's percentage of the aggregate total Cuban/Haitian arrivals in the 42 targeted assistance counties, and the allocation amount for each county that has an arrival threshold of 3 percent or above.

Table 3 provides State totals for targeted assistance allocations.

Table 4 indicates the areas that each participating county represents.

BILLING CODE 4184-01-P

TABLE 1.—TARGETED ASSISTANCE ALLOCATIONS BY COUNTY: FY 1995

County	State	Arrivals Jan. 1983–Sept. 1994	Portion of FY 1995 alloca- tion under old formula	Portion of FY 1995 alloca- tion under new formula	Total FY 1995 allocation <sup>1</sup>
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
Alameda .....	CA	15,342	\$196,075	\$350,380	\$546,455
Contra Costa .....	CA	4,291	56,063	97,998	154,061
Fresno .....	CA	14,168	108,273	323,569	431,842
Los Angeles .....	CA	96,344	990,155	2,200,303	3,190,458
Merced .....	CA	4,419	132,156	100,921	233,077
Orange .....	CA	45,039	440,587	1,028,600	1,469,187
Sacramento .....	CA	17,687	167,821	403,935	571,756
San Diego .....	CA	25,368	328,383	579,354	907,737
San Francisco .....	CA	25,198	254,838	575,471	830,309
San Joaquin .....	CA	9,352	169,342	213,581	382,923
Santa Clara .....	CA	34,488	327,990	787,636	1,115,626
Stanislaus .....	CA	3,433	30,639	78,403	109,042
Tulare .....	CA	5,345	0	122,069	122,069