Standard Instrument Approach Procedures, effective at 0901 UTC on the dates specified, as follows:

PART 97—STANDARD INSTRUMENT APPROACH PROCEDURES

1. The authority citation for part 97 is revised to read as follows:

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 40103, 40113, 40120, 44701; 49 U.S.C. 106(g); and 14 CFR 11.49(b)(2).

2. Part 97 is amended to read as follows:

§§ 97.23, 97.25, 97.27, 97.29, 97.31, 97.33, 97.35 [Amended]

By amending: § 97.23 VOR, VOR/ DME, VOR or TACAN, and VOR/DME or TACAN; §97.25 LOC, LOC/DME, LDA, LDA/DME, SDF, SDF/DME; §97.27 NDB, NDB/DME; §97.29 ILS, ILS/DME, ISMLS, MLS, MLS/DME, MLS/RNAV; §97.31 RADAR SIAPs; §97.33 RNAV SIAPs; and §97.35 COPTER SIAPs, identified as follows:

* * * Effective Upon Publication

FDC date	State	City	Airport	FDC No.	SIAP
07/05/95 07/06/95 07/07/95 07/07/95	MO MO MN WA	Kansas City Sedalia Bemidji Spokane	Sedalia Memorial	5/3182 5/3200	ILS RWY 1L AMDT 12 NDB RWY 36 AMDT 8 ILS RWY 31 AMDT 3A VOR OR GPS RWY 3L, AMDT 2
07/07/95 07/12/95 07/12/95	WA AR AR	Spokane El Dorado El Dorado			 NDB RWY 3L, AMDT 1 VOR/DME OR GPS RWY 4 AMDT 9 VOR OR GPS RWY 22 AMDT 13

[FR Doc. 95–17909 Filed 7–19–95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–13–M

FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION

16 CFR Part 236

Guide for Avoiding Deceptive Use of Word "Mill" in the Textile Industry

AGENCY: Federal Trade Commission. **ACTION:** Rescission of the guide for avoiding deceptive use of word "Mill" in the textile industry.

SUMMARY: The Federal Trade Commission (the "Commission"), as part of its periodic review of all its guides and rules, announces that it has concluded a review of its Guide for Avoiding Deceptive Use of Word "Mill" in the Textile Industry ("Guide" or "Use of Word 'Mill' Guide"). The Commission has decided to rescind the Guide.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ann M. Guler, Investigator, Federal Trade Commission, Los Angeles Regional Office, 11000 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 13209, Los Angeles, CA 90024, (310) 235–7890.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Background

The Use of Word 'Mill' Guide was issued by the Commission in 1967.¹ The Guide states that the word ''mill'' should not be used in the corporate, business, or trade name of any person or concern handling textiles, unless the person or concern actually owns and operates or controls the manufacturing facility in which all textile materials sold under that name are produced. The Guide includes examples where use of the word "mill" has been found to be deceptive.

On April 15, 1994, the Commission published a Notice in the **Federal Register** soliciting comment on the Guide.² Specifically, the Commission solicited comments on the costs and benefits of the Guide and its regulatory and economic effect. The comment period closed June 14, 1994. The Commission received three comments in response to the Notice. They are discussed in Part II below.

II. Comments Received

The Commission received comments from three organizations: The American Textile Manufacturers Institute (ATMI), National Association of Hosiery Manufacturers (NAHM), and the Better Business Bureau of Nashville/Middle Tennessee, Inc. All of the commenters supported the continuation of the Guide in its present form. The ATMI and NAHM both stated that the Guide is beneficial to the textile manufacturing industry and to consumers because it prevents possible false claims by companies that may distribute but do not actually manufacture textile products. They further stated that the guide does not impose costs or burdens on industry or on consumers. The Better Business Bureau of Nashville/Middle Tennessee, Inc.'s comment asserted that the Guide is necessary "to prevent misleading the public and unfair competition in the marketplace."

The Nashville/Middle Tennessee BBB comment also raised the issue of other words used in trade names. The BBB recommended that the Commission restrict the use of words such as "factory" and "manufacturer" in corporate, business, or trade names "unless the entity so named actually owns, operates or controls the manufacturing facility which produces all merchandise being advertised and/or sold under the name."

III. Conclusion

The Commission has concluded its regulatory review of the Guide for Avoiding Deceptive Use of the Word "Mill" by rescinding the Guide. The Commission has no evidence of circumstances associated with the use of the word "mill" that would require special protection for consumers or guidance for industry, such as evidence that consumers currently believe that textile industry entities with the word "mill" in their names are engaged in the manufacture of textiles. Today, the word "mill" is commonly used in business names both within and outside the textile industry. For example, many shopping malls use the word "mill" or "mills" in their names. The word "mill" is also frequently used in the names of businesses, including retail stores or shopping malls, that occupy the building or site of a former textile mill. Additionally, the word "mill" is used in various enterprises outside of the textile

¹Industry guides are administrative interpretations of laws administered by the Commission for the guidance of the public in conducting its affairs in conformity with legal requirements. 16 CFR 1.5.

^{2 59} FR 18005.