

titles and replacement with functional areas, and the elimination of the Plant Manager as the POC Chairman, will not impact the POC function because membership qualifications will continue to be consistent with the unit staff qualifications in TS 6.3.1 for those POC members and alternates considered part of the unit staff. Those designated POC members and alternates not considered part of the unit staff will possess skills and knowledge commensurate with their organizational positions. The proposed change ensures that POC will continue to be comprised of personnel who are experienced, have varied expertise, and are involved in daily plant activities. In maintaining the qualification requirements for members of POC, the POC will continue to fulfill its review and advisory responsibilities specified in TS 6.5.1.6 and TS 6.5.1.7. The proposed changes do not involve any physical changes to plant systems, structures, or components (SSC) or the manner in which the SSC are operated, maintained, modified, tested, or inspected. The changes therefore do not involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated.

2. The proposed change does not create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any accident previously evaluated.

Because the proposed changes are of an organizational nature and their implementation does not involve physical changes to the plant SSC or the manner in which the SSC are operated and maintained, the proposed changes do not create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident. The proposed changes do not introduce any new modes of operation or alter system setpoints which could create a new or different kind of accident. Therefore, the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any accident previously evaluated is not created.

3. The proposed change does not involve a significant reduction in a margin of safety.

The senior management title changes do not impact the management responsibilities or functions associated with ensuring plant safety. Changes proposed in the POC composition will allow the scope of available expertise to be expanded without changing the POC function or responsibilities. Maintaining the current level of personnel qualifications and experience ensures the POC will continue to meet its TS review and advisory requirements. The proposed changes will not impact the basis for any Technical Specification related to the establishment of, or maintenance of, nuclear safety margins. Therefore, operation of the facility in accordance with the proposed amendment does not involve a significant reduction in a margin of safety.

The NRC staff has reviewed the licensee's analysis and, based on this review, it appears that the three standards of 50.92(c) are satisfied. Therefore, the NRC staff proposes to determine that the amendment request involves no significant hazards consideration.

*Local Public Document Room*  
location: Richland Public Library, 955

Northgate Street, Richland, Washington 99352

*Attorney for licensee:* M. H. Philips, Jr., Esq., Winston & Strawn, 1400 L Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20005-3502

*NRC Project Director:* William H. Bateman

### Notice Of Issuance Of Amendments To Facility Operating Licenses

During the period since publication of the last biweekly notice, the Commission has issued the following amendments. The Commission has determined for each of these amendments that the application complies with the standards and requirements of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act), and the Commission's rules and regulations. The Commission has made appropriate findings as required by the Act and the Commission's rules and regulations in 10 CFR Chapter I, which are set forth in the license amendment.

Notice of Consideration of Issuance of Amendment to Facility Operating License, Proposed No Significant Hazards Consideration Determination, and Opportunity for A Hearing in connection with these actions was published in the **Federal Register** as indicated.

Unless otherwise indicated, the Commission has determined that these amendments satisfy the criteria for categorical exclusion in accordance with 10 CFR 51.22. Therefore, pursuant to 10 CFR 51.22(b), no environmental impact statement or environmental assessment need be prepared for these amendments. If the Commission has prepared an environmental assessment under the special circumstances provision in 10 CFR 51.12(b) and has made a determination based on that assessment, it is so indicated.

For further details with respect to the action see (1) the applications for amendment, (2) the amendment, and (3) the Commission's related letter, Safety Evaluation and/or Environmental Assessment as indicated. All of these items are available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, the Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW., Washington, DC, and at the local public document rooms for the particular facilities involved.

**Arizona Public Service Company, et al., Docket Nos. STN 50-528, STN 50-529, and STN 50-530, Palo Verde Nuclear Generating Station, Units 1, 2, and 3, Maricopa County, Arizona**

*Date of application for amendments:* March 28, 1994

*Brief description of amendments:* The amendments change the minimum condensate storage tank indicated level from 25 feet to 29.5 feet to ensure that the condensate storage tank contains a sufficient volume of water. In addition, an editorial change was made to Technical Specification 3.7.1.3 for Unit 3 to be consistent with Units' 1 and 2 technical specifications.

*Date of issuance:* July 6, 1995

*Effective date:* July 6, 1995

*Amendment Nos.:* Unit 1 - Amendment No. 94; Unit 2 - Amendment No. 82; Unit 3 - Amendment No. 65

*Facility Operating License Nos.* NPF-41, NPF-51, and NPF-74: The amendments revised the Technical Specifications.

*Date of initial notice in Federal*

**Register:** June 8, 1994 (59 FR 29625)

The Commission's related evaluation of the amendments is contained in a Safety Evaluation dated July 6, 1995. No significant hazards consideration comments received: No.

*Local Public Document Room*

location: Phoenix Public Library, 12 East McDowell Road, Phoenix, Arizona 85004.

**Arizona Public Service Company, et al., Docket Nos. STN 50-528, STN 50-529, and STN 50-530, Palo Verde Nuclear Generating Station, Units 1, 2, and 3, Maricopa County, Arizona**

*Date of application for amendments:* April 6, 1995, as supplemented by letter dated June 7, 1995.

*Brief description of amendments:*

These amendments involve improvements delineated in Generic Letter 93-07, "Modification of the Technical Specification Administrative Control Requirements for Emergency and Security Plans," changes in plant review board, and miscellaneous minor changes.

*Date of issuance:* July 7, 1995

*Effective date:* July 7, 1995

*Amendment Nos.:* Unit 1 - Amendment No. 95; Unit 2 - Amendment No. 83; Unit 3 - Amendment No. 66

*Facility Operating License Nos.* NPF-41, NPF-51, and NPF-74: The amendments revised the Technical Specifications.

*Date of initial notice in Federal*

**Register:** May 23, 1995 (60 FR 27335)

The June 7, 1995, letter provided clarifying information and did not change the initial no significant hazards consideration determination. The Commission's related evaluation of the amendments is contained in a Safety Evaluation dated July 7, 1995. No