

The NRC staff has reviewed the licensee's analysis and, based on this review, it appears that the three standards of 10 CFR 50.92(c) are satisfied. Therefore, the NRC staff proposes to determine that the amendment request involves no significant hazards consideration.

Local Public Document Room

location: Learning Resources Center, Three Rivers Community-Technical College, 574 New London Turnpike, Norwich, CT 06360

Attorney for licensee: Ms. L. M. Cuoco, Senior Nuclear Counsel, Northeast Utilities Service Company, Post Office Box 270, Hartford, CT 06141-0270

NRC Project Director: Phillip F. McKee

North Atlantic Energy Service Corporation, Docket No. 50-443, Seabrook Station, Unit No. 1, Rockingham County, New Hampshire

Date of amendment request: June 7, 1995

Description of amendment request: The proposed amendment would increase the temperature limit below which reactor coolant sampling and analysis for dissolved oxygen is not required. Specifically, the temperature limit stated in the footnotes to Technical Specification Surveillance Requirement 4.4.7 and to Table 3.4-2 would be increased to 250°F from 180°F.

Basis for proposed no significant hazards consideration determination: As required by 10 CFR 50.91(a) the licensee has provided its analysis of the issue of no significant hazards consideration. The NRC staff has reviewed the licensee's analysis against the standards of 10 CFR 50.92(c). The NRC staff's review is presented below.

A. The changes do not involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated (10 CFR 50.92(c)(1)) because the proposed changes merely increase the temperature limit below which sampling of reactor coolant for dissolved oxygen and maintaining the dissolved oxygen below the specified limit would not be required. The proposed limit is consistent with data which shows that there is no significant oxygen-induced corrosion to reactor coolant system (RCS) components at or below the limit. The changes do not affect the manner by which the facility is operated and do not change any structures, systems, or components. Since there is no change to the facility or to the way it is operated, there is no effect upon the probability or consequences of any accident previously analyzed.

B. The changes do not create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any accident previously evaluated (10 CFR 50.92(c)(2)) because they do not affect the manner by which the facility is operated or

change any structure, system, or component. The proposed changes merely raise the temperature limit above which dissolved oxygen must be maintained within the specified limit. The changes are consistent with data for oxygen-induced corrosion of RCS components.

C. The changes do not involve a significant reduction in a margin of safety (10 CFR 50.92(c)(3)) because the proposed changes are consistent with data for oxygen-induced corrosion of RCS components.

Based on this review, it appears that the three standards of 10 CFR 50.92(c) are satisfied. Therefore, the NRC staff proposes to determine that the amendment request involves no significant hazards consideration. **Local Public Document Room location:** Exeter Public Library, Founders Park, Exeter, NH 03833

Attorney for licensee: Thomas Dignan, Esquire, Ropes & Gray, One International Place, Boston MA 02110-2624

NRC Project Director: Phillip F. McKee

Pacific Gas and Electric Company, Docket Nos. 50-275 and 50-323, Diablo Canyon Nuclear Power Plant, Unit Nos. 1 and 2, San Luis Obispo County, California

Date of amendment requests: June 29, 1995 (Reference LAR 95-04)

Description of amendment requests: The proposed amendments would revise the combined Technical Specifications (TS) for the Diablo Canyon Nuclear Power Plant, Unit Nos. 1 and 2, to add Mode 1 applicability to TS 3/4.4.2.2, "Safety Valves - Operating," and to change the low-temperature overpressure protection (LTOP) system enable temperature for Mode 4 applicability from 323 degrees F to 270 degrees F in TS 3/4.4.2.1, "Safety Valves - Shutdown."

Basis for proposed no significant hazards consideration determination: As required by 10 CFR 50.91(a) the licensee has provided its analysis of the issue of no significant hazards consideration, which is presented below:

1. The proposed change does not involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated.

The proposed changes have no effect on plant operation. The proposed changes correct the applicability of TS 3/4.4.2.2, consistent with the NRC safety evaluation for License Amendments (LAs) 98 for Unit 1 and 97 for Unit 2, and LAs 100 for Unit 1 and 99 for Unit 2 dated March 9, 1995, and April 13, 1995, respectively.

Therefore, the proposed changes do not involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated.

2. The proposed change does not create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any accident previously evaluated.

The proposed changes are administrative in nature. Further, the proposed changes would not result in any physical alteration to any plant system, and would not be a change in the method by which any safety-related system performs its function.

Therefore, the proposed changes do not create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any accident previously evaluated.

3. The proposed change does not involve a significant reduction in a margin of safety.

The proposed administrative changes correct TS 3/4.4.2.2 applicability, consistent with previous NRC review and approval of LAs 98 and 97 and LAs 100 and 99, as described in the associated safety evaluations. Further, these proposed changes have no effect on current operating methodologies or actions that govern plant performance.

Therefore, the proposed changes do not involve a significant reduction in a margin of safety.

The NRC staff has reviewed the licensee's analysis and, based on this review, it appears that the three standards of 50.92(c) are satisfied. Therefore, the NRC staff proposes to determine that the amendment requests involve no significant hazards consideration.

Local Public Document Room location: California Polytechnic State University, Robert E. Kennedy Library, Government Documents and Maps Department, San Luis Obispo, California 93407

Attorney for licensee: Christopher J. Warner, Esq., Pacific Gas and Electric Company, P.O. Box 7442, San Francisco, California 94120

NRC Project Director: William H. Bateman

Philadelphia Electric Company, Docket Nos. 50-352 and 50-353, Limerick Generating Station, Units 1 and 2, Montgomery County, Pennsylvania

Date of amendment request: June 5, 1995

Description of amendment request: The proposed changes will revise Technical Specification (TS) Section 3/4.1.5, "Standby Liquid Control System," (SLCS), to remove the minimum flow rate requirement for the SLCS pumps from TS Section 3/4.1.5.

Basis for proposed no significant hazards consideration determination: As required by 10 CFR 50.91(a) the licensee has provided its analysis of the issue of no significant hazards consideration, which is presented below:

1. The proposed Technical Specifications (TS) change does not involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated.