Notices

This section of the FEDERAL REGISTER contains documents other than rules or proposed rules that are applicable to the public. Notices of hearings and investigations, committee meetings, agency decisions and rulings, delegations of authority, filing of petitions and applications and agency statements of organization and functions are examples of documents appearing in this section.

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Forest Service

Intergovernmental Advisory Committee Meeting

AGENCY: Forest Service, USDA.

ACTION: Notice of meeting.

SUMMARY: The Intergovernmental Advisory Committee (IAC) will meet on August 3, 1995, at the Sheraton Portland Airport Hotel, 8235 N.E. Airport Way Portland, Oregon 97230. The purpose of the meeting is to continue discussions on the implementation of the Northwest Forest Plan. The meeting will begin at 9:00 a.m. on August 3 and continue until 4:30 p.m. The main agenda item will be discussions on policy agreements regarding federal watershed analysis. As time permits, other items on the agenda may include a discussion on habitat conservation plans (HCPs), and other topics relative to the Northwest Forest Plan. The IAC meeting will be open to the public. Written comments may be submitted for the record at the meeting. Time will also be scheduled for oral public comments. Interested persons are encouraged to attend.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Questions regarding this meeting may be directed to Don Knowles, Executive Director, Regional Ecosystem Office, 333 SW 1st Avenue, P.O. Box 3623, Portland, OR 97208 (Phone: 503–326– 6265).

Dated: July 12, 1995.

Donald R. Knowles,

Designated Federal Official.

[FR Doc. 95-17546 Filed 7-17-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-11-M

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Bureau of Export Administration

Hubert Maassen, Individually and Doing Business as HM–EDV With an address at: Hirmerweg 4, D800 Munich, Federal Republic of Germany Respondents; Decision and Order

[Docket Nos. 3105-01; 3105-02]

On June 27, 1995, the Administrative Law Judge (ALJ) entered his **Recommended Decision and Default** Order in the above-referenced matter. The Recommended Decision and Default Order, a copy of which is attached hereto and made part hereof, has been referred to me for final action. After describing the facts of the case and his findings based on those facts, the ALJ found that the Respondents on three separate occasions violated §787.6 of the Export Administration Regulations (EAR) by reexporting from the Federal Republic of Germany through Austria to Hungary U.S.-origin computer equipment without obtaining the required reexport authorization from the Department of Commerce. The ALJ further found that the Respondents violated §787.5(a) of the EAR by indirectly making a false or misleading representation concerning the ultimate destination of U.S.-computer equipment in connection with the preparation, submission, or use of an export license application.

The ALJ found that the appropriate penalty for the violations should be that the Respondents and all successors, assignees, officers, representatives, agents and employees be denied for a period of twenty years from this date all privileges of participating, directly or indirectly, in any manner or capacity, in any transaction in the United States or abroad involving commodities or technical data exported or to be exported from the United States and subject to the Export Administration Regulations.

Based on my review of the entire record, I affirm the Recommended Decision and Default Order of the Administrative Law Judge.

This constitutes final agency action in this matter.

Federal Register

Vol. 60, No. 137

Tuesday, July 18, 1995

Dated: July 12, 1995. **William A. Reinsch,** *Under Secretary for Export Administration.*

Recommended Decision and Default Order

On May 4, 1993, the Office of Export Enforcement, Bureau of Export Administration, United States Department of Commerce (Department), issued a charging letter initiating administrative proceedings against Hubert Maassen, individually and doing business as HM-EDV (collectively referred to hereinafter as Maassen). The charging letter alleged that Maassen committed four violations of the Export Administration Regulations (currently codified at 15 CFR parts 768-799 (1995)) (the Regulations),¹ issued pursuant to the Export Administration Act of 1979, as amended (currently codified at 50 U.S.C.A. app. §§2401-2420 (1991, Supp. 1993, and Pub. L. No. 103-277, July 5, 1994) (the Act).²

Specifically, the charging letter alleged that Maassen, on three separate occasions, reexported from the then-Federal Republic of Germany through Austria to Hungary U.S.-origin computer equipment, without obtaining from the Department the reexport authorization required by §774.1 of the Regulations. The charging letter further alleged that Maassen indirectly made a false or misleading representation concerning the ultimate destination of U.S.-origin computer equipment, a material fact, in connection with the preparation, submission, or use of an export license application, an export control document. Accordingly, the Department alleged that Maassen committed three violations of § 787.6 and one violation of §787.5(a) of the Regulations.

On May 26, 1995, in light of the fact that Maassen had not answered the

¹The alleged violations occurred during 1988 and 1989. The Regulations governing the violations at issue are found in the 1988 version of the Code of Federal Regulations, codified at 15 CFR Parts 368– 399 (1988), and the 1989 version of the Code of Federal Regulations, codified at 15 CFR Parts 768– 799 (1989). Effective October 1, 1988, the Regulations were redesignated as 15 CFR Parts 768– 799 (53 FR 37751, September 28, 1988). The redesignation merely changed the first number of each part from "3" to "7."

² The Act expired on August 20, 1994. Executive Order 12924 (59 *Fed. Reg.* 43437, August 23, 1994) continued the Regulations in effect under the International Emergency Economic Powers Act (50 U.S.C.A. §§ 1701–1706 (1991)).