

provide wildlife a refuge interior sanctuary.

Opening the refuge to big game hunting has been found to be compatible in a separate compatibility determination. The hunting program will be reviewed annually to ensure that a harvestable surplus of animals exist, and that sensitive habitats are protected from disturbance. A Section 7 evaluation pursuant to the Endangered Species Act was conducted. It was determined that the proposed action is not likely to adversely affect any Federally listed or proposed for listing threatened or endangered species or their critical habitats. Pursuant to the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA), an environmental assessment was made and a Finding of No Significant Impact (FONSI) was made regarding the hunt. During the preparation of the environmental assessment, biologists and management personnel within the Ohio Division of Wildlife were consulted. Comments were solicited from the public during the draft environmental assessment phase. Articles on this assessment were carried in the local newspapers and sent to Federal, State and local legislators and conservation groups.

The Service has determined that there would be sufficient funds to administer the proposed hunt. Sufficient funds would be available within the refuge unit budget to operate such a hunt as proposed.

Paperwork Reduction Act

The information collection requirements for part 32 are found in 50 CFR part 25 and have been approved by the Office of Management and Budget under 44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.* and assigned clearance number 1018-0014. The information is being collected to assist the Service in administering these programs in accordance with statutory authorities which require that recreational uses be compatible with the primary purposes for which the areas were established. The information requested in the application form is required to obtain a benefit.

The public reporting burden for the application form is estimated to average six (6) minutes per response, including time for reviewing instructions, gathering and maintaining data, and completing the form. Direct comments on the burden estimate or any other aspect of this form to the Service Information Collection Officer, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, 1849 C Street, NW, MS 224 ARLSQ, Washington, DC 20240; and the Office of Management and Budget, Paperwork Reduction Project (1018-0014), Washington, DC 20503.

Economic Effect

This rulemaking was not subject to Office of Management and Budget review under Executive Order 12866. In addition, a review under the Regulatory Flexibility Act of 1980 (5 U.S.C. 601 *et seq.*) has revealed that the rulemaking would not have a significant effect on a substantial number of small entities, which include businesses, organizations or governmental jurisdictions. While there would be an increase in the sale of firearms, ammunition, hunting gear, etc., this proposed rule would have minimal effect on such entities as this is not a big hunting program and hunting is otherwise allowed in surrounding areas.

Federalism

This proposed rule will not have substantial direct effects on the States, on the relationship between the national government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government. Therefore, in accordance with Executive Order 12612, it is determined that this rule does not have sufficient Federalism implications to warrant the preparation of a Federalism Assessment.

Environmental Considerations

Pursuant to the requirements of section 102(2)(C) of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (42 U.S.C. 4332(2)(C)), an environmental assessment has been prepared for this

opening. Based upon the Environmental Assessments, the Service issued a Finding of No Significant Impact with respect to the opening. A Section 7 evaluation was prepared pursuant to the Endangered Species Act with a finding that no adverse impact would occur to any identified threatened or endangered species.

Primary Author. Duncan L. Brown, Esq., Division of Refuges, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Washington, DC, is the primary author of this rulemaking document.

List of Subjects in 50 CFR Part 32

Hunting, Fishing, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Wildlife, Wildlife refuges.

Accordingly, part 32 of chapter I of Title 50 of the Code of Federal Regulations is proposed to be amended as set forth below:

PART 32—[AMENDED]

1. The authority citation for part 32 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 5 U.S.C. 301; 16 U.S.C. 460k, 664, 668dd, and 715i.

2. Section 32.54 *Ohio* is amended by revising paragraph C. of Ottawa National Wildlife Refuge to read as follows:

§ 32.54 *Ohio*.

* * * * *

Ottawa National Wildlife Refuge

* * * * *

C. Big Game Hunting. Hunting of white-tailed deer is permitted on designated areas of the refuge subject to the following conditions:

1. Permits are required.
2. Hunters are required to check in and out of the refuge each day that they hunt.
3. No shooting from refuge roads or dikes is permitted.

* * * * *

Dated: June 15, 1995.

George T. Frampton, Jr.,
Assistant Secretary for Fish and Wildlife and Parks.

[FR Doc. 95-17193 Filed 7-12-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-55-P