Americans of all ages and backgrounds in community-based service. This service addresses the nation's education, public safety, human, and environmental needs to achieve direct and demonstrable results. In doing so, the Corporation fosters civic responsibility, strengthens the ties that bind us together as a people, and provides educational opportunity for those who make a substantial commitment to service.

Pursuant to the National and Community Service Act of 1990, as amended, the Corporation "shall provide . . . for the continuing evaluation" of its programs. 42 U.S.C. Sec. 12639. Through a cooperative agreement, the Corporation will make one award to provide evaluation training and technical assistance to AmeriCorps*National and & *State and Learn & Serve America: Higher Education programs. The Corporation anticipates that in program year 1995-96, there will be up to 450 AmeriCorps grant programs serving through over 1100 operating sites. The Corporation also anticipates that in program year 1995–96 there will be up to 116 Learn & Serve America: Higher Education grantees. Some of the grantees will make subgrants, so there may be approximately 250 local programs (local programs are generally located at the college/university level).

Period of Support

The cooperative agreement period will be approximately 18 months, with implementation beginning approximately in late September 1995, with the possibility of renewal.

Eligible Applicants

Applicants must be a non-profit organization, an educational institution, or a for-profit organization.

Program Elements

The required work will include, but will not be limited to:

1. Helping programs create outcomeoriented community service and participant development objectives;

- 2. Helping programs create and use systems for keeping track of numbers of service recipients (e.g., number of people tutored) and numbers or volumes of services (e.g., number of hours of tutoring, miles of trail cleared, etc.);
- 3. Helping programs develop and employ strategies for assessing the impact of their services (e.g., improved reading skills, increased civic responsibility);
- 4. Helping programs collect and use stakeholder feedback;

- Helping programs analyze and make use of their evaluation efforts;
- 6. Helping State Commissions and national non-profit "parent-organization" develop evaluations and evaluation infrastructure.

Corporation Involvement

Substantial involvement is expected between the Corporation and the successful applicant when carrying out the program. The applicant must keep relevant Corporation staff informed of its activities; work with Corporation staff during development, delivery and assessment of training; and attend meetings and conferences at the Corporation's request.

Overview of Application Requirements

Application requirements will be set forth in detail in the application materials. Each applicant must submit one original and three copies of its application package. The requirements will include a completed application form, a narrative section, an implementation timeline, a staffing plan, a description of how instrumentation and methodology will be catalogued, a self-assessment plan, budget information, certifications and assurances pertaining to recipients of federal funding.

Application Review

Initially all applications will be reviewed to confirm that the applicant is an eligible recipient and to ensure that the application complies with the application instructions and contains all the information required. The Corporation will assess applications based on the criteria listed below (in descending order of importance):

- (1) Quality
- (2) Organizational Capacity
- (3) Coordination Plans
- (4) Evaluation Design and Documentation Plans
- (5) Knowledge and Understanding of AmeriCorps Local Evaluation Requirements
- (6) Proposed Costs

Dated: July 3, 1995.

Terry Russell,

General Counsel, Corporation for National Service.

[FR Doc. 95–16770 Filed 7–6–95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6050–28–P

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Office of the Secretary

Defense Science Board Task Force on Logistics Modernization

ACTION: Notice of Advisory Committee Meeting.

SUMMARY: The Defense Science Board Task Force on Logistics Modernization will meet in open session on July 26, 1995 at the Pentagon, Arlington, Virginia.

The mission of the Defense Science Board is to advise the Secretary of Defense and the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition & Technology on scientific and technical matters as they affect the perceived needs of the Department of Defense.

Persons interested in further information should call LTC Kerry M. Brown at (703) 697–7980.

Dated: June 30, 1995.

L. M. Bynum,

Alternate OSD Federal Register Liaison Officer, Department of Defense. [FR Doc. 95–16652 Filed 7–6–95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 5000–04–M

Performance Review Board; Office of the Inspector General, Department of Defense

ACTION: Notice.

Derek J. Vander Schaaf—Deputy Inspector General, OIG, DOD Russell A. Rau—Director, Financial Management Directorate, Office of the Assistant Inspector General for Auditing, OIG, DOD

David A. Brinkman——Assistant Inspector General for Analysis and Followup, OIG, DOD

Donald E. Davis—Assistant Inspector General for Audit Policy and Oversight, OIG, DOD

David K. Steensma—Deputy Assistant Inspector General for Auditing, OIG, DOD

Robert J. Lieberman—Assistant Inspector General for Auditing, OIG, DOD

Nicholas T. Lutsch—Assistant Inspector General of Administration and Information Management, OIG, DOD

Donald Mancuso—Assistant Inspector General for Investigations, OIG, DOD Donald E. Reed—Director, Acquisition

Management Director, Acquisition
Management Directorate, Office of the
Assistant Inspector General for
Auditing, OIG, DOD

William G. Dupree—Deputy Assistant Inspector General for Investigations, OIG, DOD

Stephen A. Whitlock—Director, Inspections Directorate, Office of the