

following message addressed to (*Project Director*): petitioner's name and telephone number, date petition was mailed, plant name, and publication date and page number of this **Federal Register** notice. A copy of the petition should also be sent to the Office of the General Counsel, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555, and to the attorney for the licensee.

Nontimely filings of petitions for leave to intervene, amended petitions, supplemental petitions and/or requests for a hearing will not be entertained absent a determination by the Commission, the presiding officer or the Atomic Safety and Licensing Board that the petition and/or request should be granted based upon a balancing of factors specified in 10 CFR 2.714(a)(1)(i)-(v) and 2.714(d).

For further details with respect to this action, see the application for amendment which is available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, the Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW., Washington, DC, and at the local public document room for the particular facility involved.

Arizona Public Service Company, et al., Docket Nos. STN 50-528, STN 50-529, and STN 50-530, Palo Verde Nuclear Generating Station, Unit Nos. 1, 2, and 3, Maricopa County, Arizona

Date of amendment requests: May 2, 1995.

Description of amendment requests: The proposed amendment would remove from the technical specifications (TS) plant elevations for the minimum water volume required in the spent fuel pool (SFP) and relocate them to site procedures. This proposed TS amendment also includes two changes to correct administrative errors in the TS.

Basis for proposed no significant hazards consideration determination: As required by 10 CFR 50.91(a), the licensee has provided its analysis about the issue of no significant hazards consideration, which is presented below:

1. The proposed change does not involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated.

The proposed change eliminates the plant elevations from TS Figure 3.1-1, "Minimum Borated Water Volumes" for the SFP. The change is administrative in nature and does not involve any modifications to plant equipment or affected plant operation. The required volume of water in the SFP is identified on the figure and will remain unchanged by this amendment. This request

relocates the plant elevations to site procedures where they will be controlled in accordance with the provisions of 10 CFR 50.59.

The removal of the reference to Table 3.8-2 in the Unit 3 TS 3.8.4.1 and adding the word "containment" to the Unit [2] TS 4.6.3.1 are administrative change[s] and do not involve any modifications to plant equipment or affect plant operation. These administrative changes do not affect the scope or intent of any test within the TS.

Therefore, the proposed changes do not involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated.

2. The proposed change does not create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any accident previously evaluated.

The proposed change eliminates the plant elevations from TS Figure 3.1-1, "Minimum Borated Water Volumes" for the SFP. The change is administrative in nature and does not involve any modifications to plant equipment or affect plant operation. The removal of plant elevations from the figure does not cause any change in the method by which any safety-related system performs its function. The required volume of water in the SFP is identified on the figure and will remain unchanged by this amendment.

The removal of the reference to Table 3.8-2 in the Unit 3 TS 3.8.4.1 and adding the word "containment" to the Unit 2 TS 4.6.3.1 are administrative changes and do not involve any modifications to plant equipment or affect plant operation. These administrative changes do not affect the scope or intent of any test within the TS.

Therefore, the proposed changes do not create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any accident previously evaluated.

3. The proposed change does not involve a significant reduction in a margin of safety.

The proposed change eliminates the plant elevations from TS Figure 3.1-1, "Minimum Borated Water Volumes" for the SFP. The change is administrative in nature and does not involve any modifications to plant equipment or affect plant operation. The required volume of water in the SFP is identified on the figure and will remain unchanged by this amendment.

The removal of the reference to Table 3.8-2 in the Unit 3 TS 3.8.4.1 and adding the word "containment" to the Unit 2 TS 4.6.3.1 are administrative changes and do not involve any modifications to plant equipment or affect plant operation. These administrative changes do not affect the scope or intent of any test within the TS.

Therefore, based upon the above, the proposed change does not involve a significant reduction in a margin of safety.

The NRC staff has reviewed the licensee's analysis and, based on that review, it appears that the three standards of 50.92(c) are satisfied. Therefore, the NRC staff proposes to determine that the amendment requests involve no significant hazards consideration.

Local Public Document Room location: Phoenix Public Library, 12 East McDowell Road, Phoenix, Arizona 85004.

Attorney for licensees: Nancy C. Loftin, Esq., Corporate Secretary and Counsel, Arizona Public Service Company, P.O. Box 53999, Mail Station 9068, Phoenix, Arizona 85072-3999.

NRC Project Director: William H. Bateman.

Baltimore Gas and Electric Company, Docket Nos. 50-317 and 50-318, Calvert Cliffs Nuclear Power Plant, Unit Nos. 1 and 2, Calvert County, Maryland

Date of amendments request: June 2, 1995.

Description of amendments request: The proposed amendments would revise the pressurizer safety valve setpoint tolerance "as-found" acceptance criterion to $+2\%/-1\%$ for the valve with the lower setpoint (RC-200) and plus or minus 2% for the valve with the upper setpoint (RC-201). The "as-left" setpoint tolerance will remain plus or minus 1% for both valves.

Basis for proposed no significant hazards consideration determination: As required by 10 CFR 50.91(a), the licensee has provided its analysis of the issue of no significant hazards consideration, which is presented below:

1. Would not involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated.

The pressurizer safety valves are used to prevent exceeding the Reactor Coolant System (RCS) pressure safety limit. The proposed change to increase the pressurizer safety valve setpoint tolerance for the "as-found" acceptance criteria from [plus or minus] 1% to $+2\%/-1\%$ for the valve with the lower pressure setpoint, and [plus or minus] 2% for the valve with the upper pressure setpoint, does not affect any initiating event. The proposed change does not affect the consequences of the previously evaluated design basis accidents as the new safety valve setpoint tolerances are bounded by the assumptions in the safety analysis. Therefore, the proposed change does not involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated.

2. Would not create the possibility of a new or different type of accident from any accident previously evaluated.

The proposed change to increase the "as-found" setpoint tolerances does not involve any changes in equipment or the function of these safety valves. The proposed change does not represent a change in the configuration or operation of the plant. The test method for the pressurizer safety valves will remain the same. The increase in the setpoint tolerances does not create any new accident initiator. Therefore, the proposed change does not create the possibility of a new or different type of accident from any accident previously evaluated.