NPS proposes to amend Chapter 18 to make clear that allowing an interim rate schedule is discretionary and to eliminate the interim appeal right of concessioners regarding selection of comparables.

For these reasons, NPS proposes to amend Chapter 18 by—

(1) Amending the first sentence of the last paragraph of Paragraph D.1.c. to read as follows:

When this situation occurs, the concessioner may, if NPS has reason to consider that a rate increase is warranted under the policies and procedures set forth herein, be allowed a rate based on the previous year's rates, with consideration being given for known cost increases or decreases, i.e., labor costs, or by other expected increases or decreases.

(2) Amending the first paragraph of Paragraph D.2. to read:

In situations where a concessioner is not satisfied with the rates approved by the Superintendent or the adjustment for recouping utility costs, the concessioner may appeal the Superintendent's decision. If not settled at the park level, the concessioner may appeal to the Regional Director.

Dated: January 6, 1995.

### Roger G. Kennedy,

Director, National Park Service. [FR Doc. 95–1042 Filed 1–12–95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4310–70–M

Willow Beach; Development Concept Plan Amendment; Final Supplement to the Final Environmental Impact Statement for the General Management Plan; Lake Mead National Recreation Area; Record of Decision

SUMMARY: Pursuant to Section 102 (2) (C) of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (P.L. 91–190 as amended), and specifically to regulations promulgated by the Council on Environmental Quality at 40 CFR 1505.2, the National Park Service, Department of the Interior, has approved a Record of Decision (ROD) for the Willow Beach Development Concept Plan Amendment, Final Supplement to the Final Environmental Impact Statement for the General Management Plan, Lake Mead National Recreation Area, Arizona and Nevada.

The National Park Service will implement the proposed plan as identified in the Final Supplement, issued in October, 1994. Copies of the Record of Decision may be obtained from the Superintendent, Lake Mead National Recreation Area, 601 Nevada Highway, Boulder City, NV 89005, or by calling the park at (702) 293–8986.

Dated: January 5, 1995.

#### Patricia L. Neubacher,

Acting Regional Director, Western Region. [FR Doc. 95–1045 Filed 1–13–95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4310–70–P

### **DEPARTMENT OF LABOR**

# Mine Safety and Health Administration

#### **Petitions for Modification**

The following parties have filed petitions to modify the application of mandatory safety standards under section 101(c) of the Federal Mine Safety and Health Act of 1977.

### 1. Eastern Associated Coal Corporation

[Docket No. M-94-178-C]

Eastern Associated Coal Company, 800 Laidley Tower, P.O. Box 1233, Charleston, West Virginia 25324 has filed a petition to modify the application of 30 CFR 75.340(b)(1) (underground electrical installations) to its Lightfoot No. 1 Mine (I.D. No. 46-04332) located in Boone County, West Virginia. The petitioner proposes to use a current of air coursed directly into the return aircourse to ventilate the onboard charging of the scoop batteries, but not to ventilate the working places; to have the velocity of intake air used to ventilate the scoop batteries sufficient to prevent smoke rollback or airflow reversal during a fire on the scoop; to install carbon monoxide sensors that are not affected by hydrogen gas, and which are part of the AMS System that meets the requirements of 75.351, over the battery charging unit; to install a mandoor in the permanent stopping behind the battery charger unit, and to provide a way through a heat link to automatically open the mandoor in order to supply the area with a sufficient amount of fresh air over the batteries in the event of a fire and to course air directly to the main return. The petitioner asserts that the proposed alternative method would provide at least the same measure of protection as would the mandatory standard.

## 2. Eastern Associated Coal Corporation

[Docket No. M-94-179-C]

Eastern Associated Coal Corporation, 800 Laidley Tower, P.O. Box 1233, Charleston, West Virginia 25324 has filed a petition to modify the application of 30 CFR 75.900 (low- and medium-voltage circuits serving three-phase alternating current equipment; circuit breakers) to its Lightfoot No. 1 Mine (I.D. No. 46–04332) located in Boone County, West Virginia. The petitioner proposes to use contactors to

provide undervoltage grounded phase protection instead of using circuit breakers, and to use the breakers for short circuit and overcurrent protection. The petitioner asserts that the proposed alternative method would provide at least the same measure of protection as would the mandatory standard.

## 3. Eastern Associated Coal Corporation

[Docket No. M-94-180-C]

Eastern Associated Coal Corporation, 800 Laidley Tower, P.O. Box 1233, Charleston, West Virginia 25324 has filed a petition to modify the application of 30 CFR 75.900 (low- and medium-voltage circuits serving threephase alternating current equipment; circuit breakers) to its Lightfoot No. 2 Mine (I.D. No. 46-04955) located in Boone County, West Virginia. The petitioner proposes to use contactors to provide undervoltage grounded phase protection instead of using circuit breakers, and to use the breaker for short circuit and overcurrent protection. The petitioner asserts that the proposed alternative method would provide at least the same measure of protection as would the mandatory standard.

#### 4. M & H Coal Company

[Docket No. M-94-181-C]

M & H Coal Company, P.O. Box 559, Hegins, Pennsylvania 17938 has filed a petition to modify the application of 30 CFR 75.1002-1 (location of other electric equipment; requirements for permissibility) to its Mercury Slope (I.D. No. 36–01920) located in Schuylkill County, Pennsylvania. The petitioner proposes to use nonpermissible electric equipment within 150 feet of the pillar line and to suspend equipment operation anytime methane concentration at the equipment reaches 0.5 percent, either during operation or during a pre-shift examination. The petitioner asserts that the proposed alternative method would provide at least the same measure of protection as would the mandatory standard.

#### 5. D.G.W. Coal Company

[Docket No. M-94-182-C]

D.G.W. Coal Company, Box 425–B2, Pine Grove, Pennsylvania 17963 has filed a petition to modify the application of 30 CFR 75.1400 (hoisting equipment; general) to its No. 7 Vein Slope (I.D. No. 36–07093) located in Schuylkill County, Pennsylvania. Because of steep, frequently changing pitch and numerous curves and knuckles in the main haulage slope, the petitioner proposes to use the gunboat without safety catches in transporting persons. As an alternative, when using