—Schedule V: Demand-Side Management Information,—added a DSM contact person and telephone number; and

—Schedule VI: Nonutility Power Producer Information,—revised the title to "Independent Power Producer Information," and changed the reporting requirements to include all independent power producers.

c. Prior Form EIA–867: All remaining Schedules have been renumbered, are intact and became part of the Form EIA– 899.

—The Standard Industrial Classification (SIC) Code designations are included in the instructions, the list of SIC codes has been enhanced to include sub-codes for SIC code 49 and include codes for SIC code 91 and 97.

—The Form EIA–899 filing date is April 1st; and

-The Form EIA-899 collects information on electric generation including purchases and sales, electric capacity, and fuel consumption and will not be considered confidential. Data filed on the former Form EIA-867 have historically been treated as confidential. The EIA proposes that the data filed by all respondents in the electric power industry be considered public information consistent with similar data filed by other segments of the electric power industry on other EIA electric power forms. In addition, the industry is under a changing regulatory environment. Core data, as collected and published by the EIA, are needed by policy makers to develop regulations, and assess the impact of policies and regulations.

d. There is an option to file the Form EIA–899 electronically. The electronic filing option should reduce overall costs of the respondent and the government.

#### **III. Request for Comments**

Prospective respondents and other interested parties should comment on the actions discussed in item II. The following guidelines are provided to assist in the preparation of responses. Please indicate to which form or forms your comment or comments apply.

General Issues: EIA is interested in receiving comments from persons regarding:

A. Whether the proposed collection(s) of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information shall have practical utility and

B. What enhancements EIA can make to the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected.

As a potential respondent:

A. Are the instructions and definitions clear and sufficient? If not, which instruction(s) require clarification?

B. Can the data be submitted using the definitions included in the instructions?

C. Can data be submitted in accordance with the response time specified in the instructions?

D. For each of the following forms, public reporting burden (hours per response) is estimated to average: EIA-412 = 33.2 hrs.; EIA-759 = 1.4 hrs.; EIA-826 = 1.8 hrs.; EIA-860 = 16.0 hrs.; EIA-899 total 9.35 hrs: (Utility Power Producers = 7.08 hrs.; and Nonutility Power Producers 2.27 hrs.) Burden is based on how much time, including time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Please comment on (1) the accuracy of our estimate and (2) efforts to minimize the burden of the collection of information through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

E. What is the estimated cost to complete the form, including direct and indirect costs associated with the data collection? Direct costs should include all costs, such as administrative costs, directly attributable to providing this information.

F. Please provide comments on the proposed change in confidentiality, and include specific reasons on how the release of specified data would likely cause substantial competitive harm to your company. If you have provided this information in response to the request for comments to the **Federal Register** notice published April 28, 1995 (60 FR 20985), there is no need to resubmit the information. Kindly advise us of the date of your submission, and we will include it in our comments.

G. Do you know of any other Federal, State, or local agency that collects similar data? If you do, specify the agency, the data element(s), and the means of collection.

As a potential user:

A. Can you use data at the levels of detail indicated on the form?

B. For what purpose would you use the data? Be specific.

C. Are there alternate sources of data and do you use them? What are their deficiencies and/or strengths?

D. For the most part, information is published by EIA in U.S. customary units, e.g., cubic feet of natural gas, short tons of coal, and barrels of oil. Would you prefer to see EIA publish more information in metric units, e.g., cubic meters, metric tons, and kilograms? If yes, please specify what information, the metric unit(s) of measurement preferred, and in which EIA publication(s) you would like to see such information.

Comments submitted in response to this notice will be summarized and/or included in the request for OMB approval of the forms. They also will become a matter of public record.

Authority: Section 3506 (c)(2)(A) of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (Pub. L. No. 104–13).

Issued in Washington, D.C., June 21, 1995. **Yvonne M. Bishop**,

# Director, Office of Statistical Standards,

Energy Information Administration. [FR Doc. 95–16136 Filed 6–29–95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6450–01–P

## Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. CP95-531-000]

### Columbia Gas Transmission Corporation; Notice of Intent To Prepare an Environmental Assessment for the Proposed 1995 Line L Replacement Project and Request for Comments on Environmental Issues

June 26, 1995.

The staff of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC or the Commission) will prepare an environmental assessment (EA) and operation of the facilities proposed in the 1995 Line L Replacement Project.<sup>1</sup> This EA will be used by the Commission in its decision-making process to determine whether an environmental impact statement is required and whether or not to approve the project.

## **Summary of the Proposed Project**

Columbia Gas Transmission Corporation (Columbia) wants to construct and operate 5.8 miles of pipeline replacement to provide continued service to its existing customers. Columbia's proposed facilities would consist of 5.8 miles of 16-inch-diameter pipeline and appurtenances in 9 sections that replaces approximately 0.5 mile of 12inch and 5.2 miles of 16-inch-diameter pipeline on Line L in Ashland, Medina, and Wayne Counties, Ohio.

The locations of these facilities are shown in appendix 1.<sup>2</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Columbia Gas Transmission Corporation's application was filed with the Commission under Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act and Part 157 of the Commission's regulations.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The appendices referenced in this notice are not being printed in the **Federal Register**. Copies are Continued