

Manufacturer/producer/exporter	Weighted-average margin percentage
Tubos Acero de Mexico, S.A. ...	23.79
All Others	23.79

International Trade Commission (ITC) Notification

In accordance with section 735(d) of the Act, we have notified the ITC of our determination. The ITC will make its determination whether these imports materially injure, or threaten injury to, a U.S. industry within 75 days of the publication of this notice, in accordance with section 735(b)(3) of the Act. If the ITC determines that material injury or threat of material injury does not exist, the proceeding will be terminated and all securities posted as a result of the suspension of liquidation will be refunded or cancelled. However, if the ITC determines that material injury or threat of material injury does exist, the Department will issue an antidumping duty order.

Notification to Interested Parties

This notice serves as the only reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) in this investigation of their responsibility covering the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 353.34(d). Failure to comply is a violation of the APO.

This determination is published pursuant to section 735(d) of the Act and 19 CFR 353.20(a)(4).

Dated: June 19, 1995.

Susan G. Esserman,
Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 95-15621 Filed 6-27-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

[A-469-806]

Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value: Oil Country Tubular Goods from Spain

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

EFFECTIVE DATE: June 28, 1995.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Magd Zalok or William Crow, Office of Antidumping Investigations, Import Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone (202) 482-4162 or 482-0116, respectively.

Final Determination

We determine that oil country tubular goods (OCTG) from Spain are being sold in the United States at less than fair value, as provided in section 735 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("the Act"). The estimated margins are shown in the "Suspension of Liquidation" section of this notice.

Case History

Since the preliminary determination of sales at less than fair value in this investigation on January 26, 1995 (60 FR 6516, February 2, 1995), the following events have occurred. On February 8, 1995, (60 FR 8632, February 15, 1995) the Department postponed the final determination in accordance with section 735(a)(2) of the Act and 19 CFR 353.20(b)(1).

In March 1995, the Department conducted its sales and cost verifications of the respondent, Tubos Reunidos ("TR") in Spain. Verification reports were issued in April and May 1995.

On May 9, 1995, the petitioners and TR submitted case briefs. Rebuttal briefs were submitted by both parties on May 16, 1995. On May 17, 1995, the Department held a public hearing.

Scope of the Investigation

For purposes of this investigation, OCTG are hollow steel products of circular cross-section, including oil well casing, tubing, and drill pipe, of iron (other than cast iron) or steel (both carbon and alloy), whether seamless or welded, whether or not conforming to American Petroleum Institute (API) or non-API specifications, whether finished or unfinished (including green tubes and limited service OCTG products). This scope does not cover casing, tubing, or drill pipe containing 10.5 percent or more of chromium. The OCTG subject to this investigation are currently classified in the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS) under item numbers:

7304.20.10.10, 7304.20.10.20, 7304.20.10.30, 7304.20.10.40, 7304.20.10.50, 7304.20.10.60, 7304.20.10.80, 7304.20.20.10, 7304.20.20.20, 7304.20.20.30, 7304.20.20.40, 7304.20.20.50, 7304.20.20.60, 7304.20.20.80, 7304.20.30.10, 7304.20.30.20, 7304.20.30.30, 7304.20.30.40, 7304.20.30.50, 7304.20.30.60, 7304.20.30.80, 7304.20.40.10, 7304.20.40.20, 7304.20.40.30, 7304.20.40.40, 7304.20.40.50, 7304.20.40.60, 7304.20.40.80, 7304.20.50.15, 7304.20.50.30, 7304.20.50.45, 7304.20.50.60,

7304.20.50.75, 7304.20.60.15, 7304.20.60.30, 7304.20.60.45, 7304.20.60.60, 7304.20.60.75, 7304.20.70.00, 7304.20.80.30, 7304.20.80.45, 7304.20.80.60, 7305.20.20.00, 7305.20.40.00, 7305.20.60.00, 7305.20.80.00, 7306.20.10.30, 7306.20.10.90, 7306.20.20.00, 7306.20.30.00, 7306.20.40.00, 7306.20.60.10, 7306.20.60.50, 7306.20.80.10, and 7306.20.80.50.

After the publication of the preliminary determination, we found that HTSUS item numbers 7304.20.10.00, 7304.20.20.00, 7304.20.30.00, 7304.20.40.00, 7304.20.50.10, 7304.20.50.50, 7304.20.60.10, 7304.20.60.50, and 7304.20.80.00 were no longer valid HTSUS item numbers. Accordingly, these numbers have been deleted from the scope definition.

Although the HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes, our written description of the scope of this investigation is dispositive.

Period of Investigation

The period of investigation (POI) is January 1, 1994, through June 30, 1994.

Applicable Statute and Regulations

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Statute and to the Department's regulations are in reference to the provisions as they existed on December 31, 1994.

Best Information Available (BIA)

We have determined that TR's questionnaire responses provide an inadequate basis for estimating dumping margins. At verification, we discovered significant omissions, discrepancies, and a large number of errors in TR's responses, as well as an overall lack of support for certain of TR's sales data. Instead of reporting the actual prices charged to the first unrelated U.S. customers, as requested by the Department, TR incorrectly reported the U.S. prices invoiced to its related subsidiary, and failed to provide adequate support documentation at verification for the actual prices invoiced to the U.S. customers. TR omitted reporting all charges in the U.S. market for freight, guarantee and return credits and did not provide adequate support documentation at verification for these charges. TR also omitted reporting the sale of certain OCTG products, and provided no evidence at verification that the sales of these products were not covered by the scope of this investigation. In its responses, TR stated that its home market was not viable with respect to the sale of the