

redistribution of VAAG's assets. Therefore, consistent with Certain Steel, we have found that the assets provided by VAAG to Kindberg are not a subsidy. However, as discussed above, the losses retained by VAAG did give rise to a subsidy to Kindberg.

Comment Six: Bayou Steel Corporation ("BSC")

Respondents assert that the Department should not countervail the equity infusions and grants received by VAAG in 1983 and 1984 because these funds were used to cover losses incurred by BSC in the United States. Moreover, because BSC was sold in 1986, Kindberg cannot be receiving any benefits from those funds.

Petitioners argue that in Certain Steel, the Department found that the funds in question were provided to cover VAAG's worldwide losses, including those associated with Bayou Steel. Therefore, the subsidies are attributable to all of VAAG, including Kindberg.

DOC Position

We agree with petitioner. In Certain Steel, we determined that these funds were provided to cover VAAG's worldwide losses. Respondents have not provided information that these funds were intended solely to benefit BSC (see GIA, at 37236). With respect to the sale of BSC, we have applied the spin off methodology applied in the Certain Steel cases. A portion of the subsidies received by VAAG would have been allocated to BSC at the time of its sale, but the payment VAAG received for BSC was sufficiently large that all of the subsidies reverted to VAAG. Hence, these subsidies continue to be, in part, attributable to Kindberg.

Verification

In accordance with section 776(b) of the Act, we verified the information used in making our final determination. We followed standard verification procedures, including meeting with government and company officials, and examination of relevant accounting records and original source documents. Our verification results are outlined in detail in the public versions of the verification reports, which are on file in the Central Records Unit (Room B-099 of the Main Commerce Building).

Suspension of Liquidation

In accordance with our affirmative preliminary determination, we instructed the U.S. Customs Service to suspend liquidation of all entries of OCTG from Austria, which were entered or withdrawn from warehouse for consumption, on or after January 24,

1995, the date our preliminary determination was published in the **Federal Register**.

Under Article 5, paragraph 3 of the GATT Subsidies Code, provisional measures cannot be imposed for more than 120 days without final affirmative determinations of subsidization and injury. Therefore, we instructed the U.S. Customs Service to discontinue suspension of liquidation on the subject merchandise beginning May 24, 1995, but to continue suspension of liquidation of all entries, or withdrawals from warehouse, for consumption of the subject merchandise entered from January 24 through May 23, 1995. We will reinstate suspension of liquidation under section 703(d) of the Act, if the ITC issues a final affirmative injury determination, and will require a cash deposit of estimated countervailing duties for such entries of merchandise in the amount indicated below.

OCTG

Country-Wide *Ad Valorem* Rate: 11.44 percent

ITC Notification

In accordance with section 705(c) of the Act, we will notify the ITC of our determination. In addition, we are making available to the ITC all nonprivileged and nonproprietary information relating to this investigation. We will allow the ITC access to all privileged and business proprietary information in our files, provided the ITC confirms that it will not disclose such information, either publicly or under administrative protective order, without the written consent of the Deputy Assistant Secretary for Investigations, Import Administration.

If the ITC determines that material injury, or threat of material injury, does not exist, these proceedings will be terminated and all estimated duties deposited or securities posted as a result of the suspension of liquidation will be refunded or cancelled. If, however, the ITC determines that such injury does exist, we will issue a countervailing duty order directing Customs officers to assess countervailing duties on OCTG from Austria.

Return or Destruction of Proprietary Information

This notice serves as the only reminder to parties subject to Administrative Protective Order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 355.34(d).

Failure to comply is a violation of the APO.

This determination is published pursuant to section 705(d) of the Act and 19 CFR 355.20(a)(4).

Dated: June 19, 1995.

Susan G. Esserman,
Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 95-15762 Filed 6-27-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

[A-357-810]

Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value: Oil Country Tubular Goods From Argentina

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

EFFECTIVE DATE: June 28, 1995.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: John Beck or Jennifer Stagner, Office of Antidumping Investigations, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone (202) 482-3646 or (202) 482-1673, respectively.

Final Determination

The Department of Commerce (the Department) determines that oil country tubular goods (OCTG) from Argentina are being, or are likely to be, sold in the United States at less than fair value, as provided in section 735 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act). The estimated margins are shown in the Suspension of Liquidation section of this notice.

Case History

Since the amended preliminary determination on March 6, 1995 (60 FR 13119, March 10, 1995), the following events have occurred.

In March and April 1995, the Department verified the cost and sales questionnaire responses of Siderca S.A.I.C. and Siderca Corp. (collectively Siderca). Verification reports were issued in May 1995. On May 10 and 17, 1995, the interested parties submitted case and rebuttal briefs, respectively. On May 18, 1995, a public hearing was held. On May 23, 1995, Siderca submitted a revised sales tape pursuant to the Department's request correcting for minor errors discovered at verification.

Scope of the Investigation

For purposes of this investigation, OCTG are hollow steel products of circular cross-section, including oil well