year 1995 under the Parental Assistance Program (60 FR 27836–54). The Parental Assistance Program is authorized by Title IV of the Goals 2000: Educate America Act (Pub. L. 103–227) (20 U.S.C. 5801 *et seq.*). In that notice, the Secretary noted that under the statutory provisions, nonprofit organizations, and nonprofit organizations in consortia with local educational agencies (LEAs), are eligible to apply for grants.

A number of potential applicants have contacted the U.S. Department of Education for clarification concerning the meaning of a nonprofit organization. The Education Department General Administrative Regulations at 34 CFR 77.1 define a nonprofit organization as one that is "* * * owned and operated by one or more corporations or associations whose net earnings do not benefit, and cannot lawfully benefit, any private shareholder or entity."

Specific questions have been raised concerning whether an institution of higher education (IHE) could qualify as a grantee. An IHE itself is not eligible to apply for a grant. However, a nonprofit foundation, or other entity established by an IHE and that meets the definition of "nonprofit" in 34 CFR 77.1 is eligible to apply as long as it meets the other application requirements in section 402(a) of the Goals 2000: Educate America Act.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Patricia Gore, U.S. Department of Education, 600 Independence Avenue, S.W., Portals Building, Room 4000, Washington, D.C. 20202–6135.
Telephone: (202) 401–0039. Individuals who use a telecommunications device for the deaf (TDD) may call the Federal Information Relay Service (FIRS) at 1–800–877–8339 between 8 a.m. and 8 p.m., Eastern time.

Dated: June 20, 1995.

Thomas W. Payzant,

Assistant Secretary, Elementary and Secondary Education.

[FR Doc. 95–15560 Filed 6–23–95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4000–01–M

National Educational Research Policy and Priorities Board; Meeting

AGENCY: National Educational Research Policy and Priorities Board, Education. **ACTION:** Notice of committee meeting.

SUMMARY: This notice sets forth the schedule and proposed agenda of the National Educational Research Policy and Priorities Board's Committee on Research and Development Centers. This notice also describes the functions of the Board. Notice of this meeting is required under Section 10(a)(2) of the

Federal Advisory Committee Act and is intended to notify the public of their opportunity to attend.

DATE AND TIME: July 18, 1995, 8:30 a.m. to 4:00 p.m.

ADDRESSES: The Capitol Room, Washington Court Hotel, 525 New Jersey Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20001.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: John Christensen, Designated Federal Official, National Educational Research Policy and Priorities Board, 555 New Jersey Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20208–7564. Telephone: (202) 219–2065; FAX: (202) 219–1466.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The National Educational Research Policy and Priorities Board is authorized by Section 921 of the Educational Research Development, Dissemination, and Improvement Act of 1994. The Board works collaboratively with the Assistant Secretary for the Office of Educational Research and Improvement to forge a national consensus with respect to a long-term agenda for educational research, development, and dissemination, and to provide advice and assistance to the Assistant Secretary in administering the duties of the Office.

The meeting of the Committee on the Research and Development Centers is open to the public. The agenda for the July 18, 1995 meeting provides for the review and comment by the Committee on the final notice of priorities for the National Research and Development Centers competition.

Records are kept of all Board proceedings, and are available for public inspection at the Office of the National Educational Research Policy and Priorities Board, 555 New Jersey Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20208–7564.

Dated: June 21, 1995.

Sharon P. Robinson,

Assistant Secretary, Office of Educational Research and Improvement.

[FR Doc. 95–15589 Filed 6–23–95; 8:45 am]

Arbitration Panel Decision Under the Randolph-Sheppard Act

AGENCY: Department of Education. **ACTION:** Notice of Arbitration Panel Decision Under the Randolph-Sheppard Act.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that on November 8, 1993, an arbitration panel rendered a decision in the matter of Bessie Reece, Petitioner v. Missouri Bureau for the Blind, Division of Family Services, Respondent, Case No. R-S/92–

5. This panel was convened by the Secretary of the U. S. Department of Education pursuant to 20 U.S.C. 107d-2, upon receipt of a complaint filed by petitioner Bessie Reece.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: A copy of the full text of the arbitration panel decision may be obtained from George F. Arsnow, U. S. Department of Education, 600 Independence Avenue, S.W., Room 3230, Switzer Building, Washington, D.C. 20202–2738. Telephone: (202) 205–9317. Individuals who use a telecommunications device for the deaf (TDD) may call the TDD number at (202) 205–8298.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Pursuant to the Randolph-Sheppard Act (20 U.S.C. 107d-2(c)), the Secretary publishes a synopsis of arbitration panel decisions affecting the administration of vending facilities on Federal property.

Background

Bessie Reece, complainant, is a blind vendor licensed by the State of Missouri, Division of Family Services, which is the State licensing agency (SLA) under the Randolph-Sheppard Act. Ms. Reece began operating vending facility no. 84 at the Federal Court and Customs House in St. Louis, Missouri, in 1981.

The Division of Family Services terminated Ms. Reece's Level II license because she was unable to keep the cost of goods to be sold under 72% of net sales and generate a 19% profit on net sales in any of the years she operated the facility. Under State regulatory provisions, 13 CSR 40-91.010(11), each facility manager is required to maintain a minimum level of net profits from sales of 19% for a Level II facility. The State regulations require that the maximum percentage of the cost of goods to be sold shall not exceed 72% of net sales for a Level II facility. For the entire year of 1991, complainant's cost of goods to be sold averaged 92.6% of net sales and her profit on net sales was 5.7%.

Ms. Reece had problems filing her monthly statements with the SLA and received delinquency notices in January, February, March, April, May, and June of 1991. She received her termination notice in July of 1991, although she was not removed until January 4, 1992. The SLA pointed out to Ms. Reece that her failure to meet the minimum level of net profits resulted in a loss of revenue for the blind employee program, requiring the blind vendors in other locations to pay her share for management and to carry the cost of her benefits.

Ms. Reece complained of poor inventory when she took over the