are present. The exemption request satisfies the criteria of 10 CFR 50.12(a)(2)(ii) as follows: The underlying purpose of the rule is to ensure that safe shutdown can occur notwithstanding the possibility of a fire. Application of the rule is not necessary to achieve the underlying purpose because with respect to the possibility of a fire affecting safe shutdown, (1) the fixed combustible loading in containment is insignificant and the location of the sensing lines are remote from the fixed combustibles that do exist; (2) automatic smoke detectors are installed above each of the reactor coolant pumps; (3) personnel access to the containment is restricted during power operations, thus, the potential for transient combustible material to accumulate is low; (4) the inherent fire retardant properties of the power cables used in containment would minimize fire propagation; and, (5) the effects of a fire inside containment are bounded by the worst case loss-of-coolant accident analysis, thus safe shutdown would be achievable.

IV

Accordingly, the Commission has determined, pursuant to 10 CFR 50.12, that (1) the Exemption as described in Section III is authorized by law, will not endanger life or property, and is otherwise in the public interest and (2) special circumstances exist pursuant to 10 CFR 50.12(a)(2)(ii). Therefore, the Commission hereby grants the following Exemption:

(1) The Power Authority of the State of New York is exempt from the requirement of 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix J, Section III.G.2.f, to the extent that the redundant wide-range steam generator water level sensing lines and the redundant pressurizer level sensing lines, located inside containment, need not be separated by noncombustible radiant energy shields.

Pursuant to 10 CFR 51.32, the Commission has determined that the granting of this Exemption will have no significant impact on the quality of the human environment (59 FR 11810).

This Exemption is effective upon issuance.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 5th day of January 1995.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission. **Herbert N. Berkow**,

Acting Director, Division of Reactor Projects– I/II, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation. [FR Doc. 95–923 Filed 1–12–95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7590–01–M

OFFICE OF THE UNITED STATES TRADE REPRESENTATIVE

[Docket No. 301-96]

Initiation of Section 302 Investigation Regarding Policies and Practices of the Government of Colombia Concerning the Exportation of Bananas to the European Union; Request for Public Comment

AGENCY: Office of the United States Trade Representative.

ACTION: Notice of determination regarding initiation of investigation under section 302(b)(1)(A) of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended (19 U.S.C. 2412 (b)(1)(A)); request for written comments.

SUMMARY: The United States Trade Representative (USTR) has initiated an investigation under section 302(b)(1)(A)of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended (the Trade Act), with respect to certain acts, policies and practices of the Government of Colombia affecting U.S. companies that export bananas from Colombia to the European Union. USTR invites written comments from the public on the matters being investigated. **DATES:** This investigation was initiated on January 9, 1995. Written comments from the public are due on or before 12 noon, on Friday, February 10, 1995. **ADDRESSES:** Office of the United States Trade Representative, 600 17th Street, NW, Washington, DC 20506. FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Edward Kaska, Director for European Services and Agriculture, (202) 395-3320; or Irving Williamson, Deputy General Counsel, (202) 395-3432. SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Section 302(b)(1)(A) of the Trade Act authorizes the USTR to initiate an investigation under chapter 1 of Title III of the Trade Act (commonly referred to as "Section 301"), with respect to any matter in

order to determine whether the matter is actionable under section 301. Matters actionable under section 301 include, inter alia, acts, policies, and practices of a foreign country that are unreasonable or discriminatory and burden or restrict U.S. commerce.

On September 2, 1994, Chiquita Brands International, Inc. and the Hawaii Banana Industry Association filed a petition pursuant to section 302(a) of the Trade Act alleging that various policies and practices of the European Union (EU), Colombia, Costa Rica, Nicaragua and Venezuela concerning trade in bananas are discriminatory, unreasonable and burden or restrict United States commerce. In particular, the petition alleged that the March 29, 1994 Framework Agreement on Bananas between the EU and Colombia, Costa Rica, Nicaragua and Venezuela (Framework Agreement) aggravated the harm caused by the EU banana import regime and provided for the implementation of discriminatory measures against the U.S. banana companies.

On October 17, 1994, pursuant to section 302(a) of the Trade Act, the USTR initiated an investigation of the EU practices referred to in the petition, but decided not to initiate an investigation of the practices of Colombia, Costa Rica, Nicaragua and Venezuela because they had not yet implemented the Framework Agreement. The USTR called upon these governments to withdraw from the Framework Agreement before its implementation, and to seek reform of the EU's banana policy in a manner consistent with the EU's obligations under the GATT and the Agreement Establishing the World Trade Organization.

Ön December 1, 1994, the Government of Colombia issued Decree 2655, which governs banana exports from Colombia to the EU from January 1, 1995 through March 31, 1995 and implements the Framework Agreement.

Accordingly, on January 9, 1995, the USTR determined that an investigation should be initiated under section 302(b)(1)(A) of the Trade Act to determine whether, as a result of Colombia's implementation of the Framework Agreement, the policies and practices of Colombia regarding the exportation of bananas to the EU are unreasonable and discriminatory and burden or restrict U.S. commerce. On January 9, 1995, the USTR also initiated such an investigation regarding these policies and practices.

Investigation and Consultations

Pursuant to section 303(a) of the Trade Act, the USTR has requested consultations with the Government of Colombia concerning the issues under investigation. UUTR will seek information and advice from the appropriate committees established pursuant to section 135 of the Trade Act in preparing the U.S. presentations for such consultations.

Within 12 months after the date on which this investigation was initiated (i.e., on or before January 9, 1996), pursuant to section 304 of the Trade Act the USTR must determine, on the basis of the investigation and the consultations, whether any act, policy, or practice described in section 301 of the Trade Act exists and, if that determination is affirmative, determine