

degree to which the services appear to meet the documented specialized needs of the target population. The demonstrated relationship between the services to be provided and the jobs into which participants are to be placed. The scope of the project in terms of the number of participants to be served. (Relates to information requested in Part III, sections A, B, and F.)

**Management Structure.** (15 points) The extent to which the management structure ensures accountability for performance, monitors customer satisfaction, and includes procedures for continuous quality improvement. The ability of the management structure to determine the extent to which the planned project outcomes and demonstration program goals have been met by the project. (Relates to information requested in Part III, section C.)

**Coordination and Linkages; Utilization of Resources.** (10 points) The extent to which the project will be integrated with other existing public and private resources and is supported by appropriate State and local organizations. (Related to information requested in Part III, sections D and E.)

**Demonstrated Experience.** (10 points) Experience in the oversight and operation of projects requiring management capabilities and experience similar to the proposed project. (Relates to information requested in Part III, section C.)

**Replacability.** (10 points) The completeness of the information to be provided on project activities that will allow others to replicate the project. The likelihood that the approach may be applicable to a broad range of dislocated worker programs across the country. (Relates to information requested in Part III, section G.)

**B. Cost Evaluation (20 Points)**

The cost effectiveness of the project as indicated by the relationship of proposed costs to number of participants to be served, the range of services to be provided and the planned outcomes, as compared to other service strategies available for Title III grantees. The extent to which the budget is justified and supports the planned outcomes.

Applicants are advised that discussions may be necessary in order to clarify any inconsistencies in their applications. Applications may be rejected where the information requires

is not provided in sufficient detail to permit adequate assessment of the proposal. The final decision on the award will be based on what is most advantageous to the Federal Government as determined by the ETA Grant Officer.

**Part V. Reporting Requirements**

Applicants selected as grantees will be required to provide the following reports:

A. Dislocated Worker Special Project Reports as required by the grant award documents.

B. Standard Form 269, Financial Status Report Form, on a quarterly basis.

C. Quarterly Progress Reports.

D. Final Project Report including an assessment of project performance.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 15th day of June 1995.

**Janice E. Perry,**

*Grant Officer, Division of Acquisition and Assistance.*

**Appendices**

No. 1—Application for Federal Assistance (Standard Form 424)

No. 2—Budget Form—Non Construction Programs (Standard Form 424-A)

BILLING CODE 4510-30-M