located near Mecca, California. The Commission previously certified the facility as a qualifying small power production facility, *Colmac Energy, Inc.*, 37 FERC ¶ 62,034 (1986). The instant application for recertification is due to a change in the fuel to be used by the facility.

*Comment date:* Thirty days after the date of publication in the **Federal Register**, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

#### **Standard Paragraphs**

E. Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a motion to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426, in accordance with Rules 211 and 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211 and 18 CFR 385.214). All such motions or protests should be filed on or before the comment date. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary. [FR Doc. 95–859 Filed 1–12–95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717–01–P

#### [Docket No. CP95-137-000, et al.]

## Williston Basin Interstate Pipeline Company, et al.; Natural Gas Certificate Filings

January 5, 1995.

Take notice that the following filings have been made with the Commission:

# 1. Williston Basin Interstate Pipeline Company

## [Docket No. CP95-137-000]

Take notice that on December 28, 1994, Williston Basin Interstate Pipeline Company (Williston Basin), 200 North Third Street, Suite 300, Bismarck, North Dakota 58501, filed request with the Commission in Docket No. CP95–370– 000 pursuant to §§ 157.205 and 157.216(b) of the Commission's Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (NGA) for authorization to abandon a town border station under the blanket certificate issued in Docket No. CP82– 487–000, all as more fully set forth in the request on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Williston Basin proposes to abandon the Ward Road Town Border Station (Ward Station). located in Burleigh County, North Dakota, which has provided service to Montana-Dakota Utilities Company (Montana-Dakota). Williston Basin states that Montana-Dakota because of an existing station, Missouri River Border Station and the North Bismarck Border Station possess sufficient capacity to provide reliable service to Montana-Dakota and therefore propose to abandon Ward Station, which would consist of a  $14' \times 16'$ building, regulators, valves and station piping. The fence enclosing the facilities and a mainline valve setting would remain at the site for emergency use. Williston Basin further states that the abandonment of this border station will not affect Williston Basin's peak day or annual transportation to Montana-Dakota.

*Comment date:* February 21, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph G at the end of this notice.

## 2. Mississippi River Transmission Corporation

[Docket No. CP95-140-000]

Take notice that on December 30, 1994, Mississippi River Transmission Corporation (MRT), 9900 Clayton Road, St. Louis, Missouri 63124, filed in Docket No. CP95-140-000 a request pursuant to §§ 157.205, 157.212, and 157.216(b) of the Commission's Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205, 157.212, and 157.216(b)) for authorization to relocate a delivery point that serves one of its existing firm transportation customers, Arkla, a division of NorAm Energy Corp. under MRT's blanket certificate issued in Docket No. CP82-489-000 pursuant to Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act, all as more fully set forth in the request that is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

MRT proposes to relocate the delivery point to Arkla that serves customers in the town of Alica, Arkansas from MRT's Main Line No. 1 to MRT's Main Line No. 2. MRT states that the relocation of the delivery point to MRT's Main Line No. 2 will not result in any change in the total daily or annual quantities of natural gas MRT is authorized to transport for Arkla pursuant to its existing Transportation Service Agreement.

*Comment date:* February 21, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph G at the end of this notice.

#### 3. Columbia Gas Transmission Corporation

[Docket No. CP95-141-000]

Take notice that on December 30, 1994, Columbia Gas Transmission Corporation (Columbia), 1700 MacCorkle Avenue, S.E., Charleston, West Virginia 25314–1599, filed in Docket No. CP95-141-000, an application pursuant to Section 7(b) of the Natural Gas Act for an order granting permission and approval to abandon transportation service Columbia rendered in accordance with its Rate Schedule X-112, a best efforts transportation service of 500 Dth/day, for West Virginia Wesleyan College (Wesleyan) in Upshur County, West Virginia until August, 1993, all as more fully set forth in the application which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

In its application, Columbia states that the gas originated from wells located in Barbour County, West Virginia which were purchased by Weslyan in an attempt to reduce energy costs for the school. Columbia received the gas at an existing point of receipt on its Line 8000 in Belington, West Virginia and transported it to existing points of interconnection between Columbia and Columbia Gas of West Virginia, Inc. in Upshur County, West Virginia for subsequent delivery to Wesleyan. Columbia states that the transportation authority is no longer required as the transportation agreement has been terminated and Columbia is currently providing Wesleyan Part 284 Interruptible Transportation Service.

*Comment date:* January 26, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph F at the end of this notice.

#### **Standard Paragraphs**

F. Any person desiring to be heard or to make any protest with reference to said application should on or before the comment date, file with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20426, a motion to intervene or a protest in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.214 or 385.211) and the Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.10). All protests filed with the Commission will be considered by it in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make the protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party to a proceeding or to participate as a party in any hearing therein must file a motion to intervene in accordance with the Commission's Rules.