1993 total sales. On this basis, we determine the estimated net subsidy from this program to be 0.20 percent ad valorem for all manufacturers, producers, and exporters in Italy of the subject merchandise.

II. Programs Determined To Be Not Countervailable

A. 1988/89 Equity Infusion

In November 1989, Dalmine completed an equity rights offering which allowed existing shareholders to purchase 7 new shares for every 10 shares they already owned. The new shares were offered at a price of LIT 300 per share. At that time, ILVA owned 81.7 percent of Dalmine's equity, with the remaining 18.3 percent owned by private investors. Pursuant to the rights offering, ILVA subscribed to its full allotment of the new shares issued. The remainder of the new shares were purchased by private shareholders. All shares were purchased at LIT 300 per share.

Petitioner argues that, although Dalmine's shares were nominally publicly traded, the vast majority of Dalmine shares were indirectly owned by the GOI and, therefore, shares were not purchased in adequate volume by private investors to establish a valid benchmark. Specifically, petitioner contends that, in 1991, ILVA owned 99.9 percent of Dalmine and, therefore, Dalmine's shares were in fact not publicly traded. Consequently, because essentially no private purchases were being made, the market price at the time of the equity infusion cannot serve as a valid benchmark. Furthermore, petitioner asserts that it is highly likely that the remaining shares not purchased by ILVA were purchased indirectly by the GOI through other holding companies.

In response to our questionnaire, Dalmine provided a list of all purchasers of shares in the 1989 offering. There was no evidence to indicate that the shares not purchased by ILVA were purchased by other government controlled or owned entities, as petitioner suggests.

Moreover, the extent of ILVA's ownership in 1991 is not relevant to the choice of a benchmark for the equity investment in 1989.

Therefore, in our preliminarily determination, we determined that, because 18.3 percent of the equity infusion was purchased by private shareholders, the sale of these shares provides the market-determined price for Dalmine's equity. Furthermore, in accordance with section 355.44 (e)(1) of the Department's *Proposed Regulations,* we preliminarily determined that the equity infusion is not countervailable because the market-determined price for equity purchased from Dalmine is not less than the price paid by ILVA for the same form of equity. We did not learn anything at verification that would lead us to reconsider our preliminary determination. Therefore, we continue to find that the equity infusion is not countervailable.

B. European Social Fund (''ESF'') Grants

The ESF was established by the 1957 European Economic Community Treaty to increase employment and help raise worker living standards.

As described in *Grain-Oriented Electrical Steel,* the ESF receives its funds from the EC's general budget of which the main revenue sources are customs duties, agricultural levies, value-added taxes collected by the member states, and other member state contributions.

The member states are responsible for selecting the projects to be funded by the EC. The EC then disburses the grants to the member states which manage the funds and implement the projects. According to the EC, ESF grants are available to (1) people over 25 who have been unemployed for more than 12 months; (2) people under 25 who have reached the minimum school-leaving age and who are seeking a job; and (3) certain workers in rural areas and regions characterized by industrial decline or lagging development.

The GOI has stated that the ESF grants received by Italy have been used for vocational training. Certain regions in the South are also eligible for private sector re-entry and retraining schemes. Since 1990, the vocational training grants have been available to unemployed youths and long-term unemployed adults all over Italy, according to the GOI. Before 1990, however, the GOI gave preference to certain regions in Italy.

In *Grain-Oriented Electrical Steel,* we determined that this program was not regionally specific and not otherwise limited to a specific enterprise or industry, or group of enterprises or industries. Furthermore, we noted that to the extent there is a regional preference (*i.e.*, southern Italy) in the distribution of ESF benefits, it has not resulted in a countervailable benefit to the production of the subject merchandise, which is produced in northern Italy.

Information provided by the GOI in this investigation is consistent with the information provided in *Grain-Oriented Electrical Steel.* Therefore, we determine that this program is not limited to a specific enterprise or industry, or group of enterprises or industries, and therefore, is not countervailable.

C. ECSC Article 54 Loans

Under Article 54 of the 1951 ECSC Treaty, the European Commission provides loans directly to iron and steel companies for modernization and the purchase of new equipment. The loans finance up to 50 percent of an investment project. The remaining financing needs must be met from other sources. The Article 54 loan program is financed by loans taken by the Commission, which are then re-lent to iron and steel companies in the member states at a slightly higher interest rate than that at which the Commission obtained them.

Consistent with the Department's finding in *Grain-Oriented Electrical Steel,* we determine that this program is limited to the iron and steel industry. As a result, loans under this program are specific.

Of the Article 54 loans Dalmine had outstanding during the POI, some were denominated in U.S. dollars and others were in Dutch guilders (''NLG''). To determine whether the loans were provided on terms inconsistent with commercial considerations, we used the benchmark interest rates for the currencies in which the loans were denominated. That is, for the U.S. dollar loans we used the average interest rate on long-term fixed-rate U.S. dollar loans obtained in the United States, as reported by the Federal Reserve. For the NLG denominated loan, we used the average long-term bond rate for private borrowers in the Netherlands, as reported by the Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development (''OECD'').

Because the interest rates paid on Dalmine's Article 54 loans are higher than the benchmark interest rates, the Department determines that loans provided under this program are not inconsistent with commercial considerations and, therefore, not countervailable.

D. 1989 Provisional Payment in Connection With 1989 Equity Infusion

In March 1989, ILVA made a payment to Dalmine in anticipation of purchasing new shares in Dalmine. The payment was provisional in nature because EC authorization of the capital increase was necessary and, if authorization was not granted, the money would have been repaid to ILVA. The capital increase was not finalized until November 1989, due to delays in EC approval. At that time, the payment became equity capital.