Department should increase the submitted COP and CV for the two products sold in the U.S. during the POI, but produced prior to the POI, because Dalmine was less profitable in 1993.

The respondent maintains that it calculated the average COP and CV for CONNUM's 45 and 108 by using a simple average of the cost of the products that comprise each CONNUM rather than a weighted average with a weighting factor for the cost of products not produced during the POI. Thus, the respondent contends that it properly reported actual contemporaneous cost information.

DOC Position

We agree with the respondent. Dalmine used a simple average of the cost of the products that comprised CONNUM's 45 and 108 and our statement in the verification report that the respondent used a weighting factor for some of the products in its cost calculation for CONNUM's 45 and 108 is inaccurate. We calculated COP/CV by weight averaging the average costs of products classified within those CONNUM's by the production quantities which we obtained at verification.

We disagree with the petitioner's claim that the Department should increase the submitted cost data for the products produced prior to the POI because the company was less profitable in the prior year. The Department tested Dalmine's standard costs as adjusted to actual costs at verification and determined that these costs actually reflect the costs incurred during the POI.

Comment 19

The petitioner contends that Dalmine understated its reported general and administrative (G&A) expenses as it failed to include an allocation of G&A expenses incurred by ILVA and IRI. Because Dalmine failed to disclose that it was consolidated with ILVA and IRI, the petitioner believes that, as BIA, the Department should add the G&A expenses calculated from ILVA's 1992 financial statements and IRI's 1993 financial statements to the amounts reported by Dalmine.

The respondent maintains that the Department verified that an appropriate share of parent company management costs was included in the submitted COP/CV data.

DOC Position

We agree with the respondent. It is the Department's practice to include a portion of the G&A expenses incurred by affiliated companies on the reporting entity's behalf in total G&A expenses for COP/CV purposes. *Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value: Welded Stainless Steel Pipe from Malaysia,* 59 Fed. Reg. 4023, 4027 (Jan. 28, 1994); *Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value: Ferrosilicon from Venezuela,* 58 Fed. Reg. 27524 (May 10, 1993); *Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value: Sweaters from Hong Kong,* 55 Fed. Reg. 30733 (July 27, 1990); *Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value: Certain Small Business Telephones and Subassemblies Thereof from Korea,* 54 Fed. Reg. 53141 (Dec. 27, 1989). In the present case, the respondent included a portion of Dalmine's G&A expenses and the G&A expenses of its producing subsidiary in the submitted G&A expenses. We identified no parent company costs allocable to Dalmine.

Comment 20

The petitioner questions whether all steel mill variances have been captured because steel bar costs have been reported exclusively on the basis of standard costs. The petitioner claims that price and efficiency variances for the steel mill were excluded from the ratio used to allocate variances to each product.

The respondent claims that the Department verified that the steel mill variance was properly allocated to the subject merchandise.

DOC Position

We agree with the respondent. The steel mill net profit reported on the respondent's management report was zero after all steel mill costs were allocated to producing mills, based on steel usage by the mills. Therefore, all steel mill activity, including variances, was properly allocated to the producing mills.

Suspension of Liquidation

Pursuant to the results of this final determination, we will instruct the Customs Service to require a cash deposit or posting of a bond equal to the estimated final dumping margin, as shown below, for entries of seamless standard, line and pressure pipe from Italy that are entered or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption from the date of publication of this notice in the **Federal Register**. The suspension of liquidation will remain in effect until further notice. The weighted-average dumping margins are as follows:

Producer/manufacturer exporter	Weighted-average margin (percent)
Dalmine	1.84
All Others	1.84

ITC Notification

In accordance with section 735(d) of the Act, we have notified the ITC of our determination. The ITC will make its determination whether these imports materially injure or threaten injury to a U.S. industry within 45 days of the publication of this notice. If the ITC determines that material injury or threat of material injury does not exist, the proceeding will be terminated and all securities posted will be refunded or cancelled. However, if the ITC determines that material injury or threat of material injury does exist, the Department will issue an antidumping duty order.

Notification to Interested Parties

This notice serves as the only reminder to parties subject to administrative protection order (''APO'') in these investigations of their responsibility covering the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 353.4(d). Failure to comply is a violation of the APO.

This determination is published pursuant to section 735(d) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1673(d))and 19 CFR 353.20.

Dated: June 12, 1995.

Susan G. Esserman,

Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 95–14939 Filed 6–16–95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

[C–475–815]

Final Affirmative Countervailing Duty Determination: Small Diameter Circular Seamless Carbon and Alloy Steel Standard, Line and Pressure Pipe (''Seamless Pipe'') From Italy

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

EFFECTIVE DATE: June 19, 1995.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Peter Wilkniss, Office of Countervailing Investigations, Import Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, Room 3099, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20230; telephone (202) 482–0588.

FINAL DETERMINATION: The Department determines that benefits which constitute subsidies within the meaning