detailed requirements.3 While we recognize that carbon and alloy pipe do have some important physical differences (primarily the enhanced heat and pressure tolerances associated with alloy grade steels), it is difficult to say where carbon steel ends and alloy steel begins. As we have discussed in our Class or Kind Decision Memorandum of June 12, 1995, carbon steel products themselves contain alloys, and there is a range of percentages of alloy content present in merchandise made of carbon steel. We find that alloy grade steels, and pipes made therefrom, represent the upper end of a single continuum of steel grades and associated attributes.4

In those prior determinations where the Department divided a single class or kind, the Department emphasized that differences in physical characteristics also affected the capabilities of the merchandise (either the mechanical capabilities, as in AFBs from Germany, 54 Fed. Reg. at 18999, 19002–03, or the chemical capabilities, as in Pure and Alloy Magnesium from Canada, 57 Fed. Reg. at 30939), which in turn established the boundaries of the ultimate use and customer expectations of the products involved.

As the Department said in *AFBs from* Germany,

[t]he real question is whether the physical differences are so material as to alter the essential nature of the product, and, therefore, rise to the level of class or kind distinctions. We believe that the physical differences between the five classes or kinds of the subject merchandise are fundamental and are more than simply minor variations on a theme.

54 Fed. Reg. at 19002. In the present cases, there is insufficient evidence to conclude that the differences between pipe over 2 inches in outside diameter and 2 inches or less in outside diameter, rise to the level of a class or kind distinction.

Furthermore, with regard to Siderca's allegation that a two-inch breakpoint is

widely recognized in the U.S. market for seamless pipe, the Department has found only one technical source of U.S. market data for seamless pipe, the Preston Pipe Report. The Preston Pipe Report, which routinely collects and publishes U.S. market data for this merchandise, publishes shipment data for the size ranges $\frac{1}{2}$ to $4\frac{1}{2}$ inches: it does not recognize a break point at 2 inches. Accordingly, the Department does not agree with Siderca that "the U.S. market" recognizes 2 inches as a physical boundary line for the subject merchandise.

In these present cases, therefore, the Department finds that there is insufficient evidence that any physical differences between pipe over 2 inches in outside diameter and 2 inches or less in outside diameter, or between carbon and alloy steel, rise to the level of class or kind distinctions.

2. Ultimate Use and Purchaser Expectations

We find no evidence that pipe above and below two inches is used exclusively in any specific applications. Rather, the record indicates that there are overlapping applications. For example, pipe above and below two inches may both be used as line and pressure pipe. The technical definitions for line and pressure pipe provided by ASTM, AISI, and a variety of other sources do not recognize a distinction between pipe over and under two inches.

Likewise, despite the fact that alloy grade steels are associated with enhanced heat and pressure tolerances, there is no evidence that the carbon or alloy content of the subject merchandise can be differentiated in the ultimate use or expectations of the ultimate purchaser of seamless pipe.

3. Channels of Trade

Based on information supplied by the parties, we determine that the vast majority of the subject merchandise is sold through the same channel of distribution in the United States and is triple-stenciled in order to meet the greatest number of applications.

Accordingly, the channels of trade offer no basis for dividing the subject merchandise into multiple classes or kinds based on either the size of the outside diameter or on pipe having a

carbon or alloy content.

Cost

Based on the evidence on the record, we find that cost differences between the various products do exist. However, the parties varied considerably in the factors which they characterized as most significant in terms of affecting cost. There is no evidence that the size ranges above and below two inches, and the difference between carbon and alloy grade steels, form a break point in cost which would support a finding of separate classes or kinds.

In conclusion, while we recognize that certain differences do exist between the products in the proposed class or kind of merchandise, we find that the similarities significantly outweigh any differences. Therefore, for purposes of the final determination, we will continue to consider the scope as constituting one class or kind of merchandise.

C. Miscellaneous Scope Clarification Issues and Exclusion Requests

The miscellaneous scope issues include: (1) Whether OCTG and unfinished OCTG are excluded from the scope of these investigations; (2) whether pipes produced to nonstandard wall thicknesses (commonly referred to as "tubes") are covered by the scope; (3) whether certain merchandise (e.g., boiler tubing, mechanical tubing) produced to a specification listed in the scope but used in an application excluded from the scope is covered by the scope; and (4) whether redraw hollows used for cold drawing are excluded from the scope. For a complete discussion of interested party comments and the Department's analysis on these topics, see June 12, 1995, Additional Scope Clarifications Decision Memorandum from DAS to AS.

Regarding OCTG, petitioner requested that OCTG and unfinished OCTG be included within the scope of these investigations if used in a standard, line or pressure pipe application. However, OCTG and unfinished OCTG, even when used in a standard, line or pressure pipe application, may come within the scope of certain separate, concurrent investigations. We intend that merchandise from a particular country not be classified simultaneously as subject to both an OCTG order and a seamless pipe order. Thus, to eliminate any confusion, we have revised the scope language above to exclude finished and unfinished OCTG, if covered by the scope of another antidumping duty order from the same country. If not covered by such an OCTG order, finished and unfinished OCTG are included in this scope when used in a standard, line or pressure pipe application, and, as with other nonlisted specifications, may be subject to end-use certification if there is evidence of substitution.

³ The relevant ASTM specifications, as well as product definitions from other independent sources (e.g., American Iron and Steel Institute (AISI)) describe the sizes for standard, line, and pressure pipe, as ranging from 1/2 inch to 60 inches (depending on application). None of these descriptions suggest a break point at two inches.

⁴ The Department has had numerous cases where steel products including carbon and alloy grades were considered to be within the same class or kind. See, e.g., Preliminary Determination of Sales at Less than Fair Value: Oil Country Tubular Goods from Austria, et al., 60 Fed. Reg. 6512 (February 2, 1995); Final Determination of Sales at Less than Fair Value: Certain Alloy and Carbon Hot-Rolled Bars, Rods, and Semi-Finished Products of Special Bar Quality Engineered Steel from Brazil, 58 Fed. Reg. 31496 (June 3, 1993); Final Determination of Sales at Less than Fair Value: Forged Steel Crankshafts from the United Kingdom, 60 Fed. Reg. 22045 (May 9, 1995).