any category of invitees other than those as to whom the finding is required by paragraph (g)(3)(i) of this section. Where a finding under paragraph (g)(3)(i) of this section is required, a written determination of agency interest, including the necessary finding, may be issued to cover two or more employees whose duties similarly affect the interests of the person who has extended the invitation or, where that person is an association or organization, of its members.

(4) Free attendance. For purposes of paragraphs (g)(1) and (2) of this section, free attendance may include waiver of all or part of a conference or other fee or the provision of food, refreshments, entertainment, instruction and materials furnished to all attendees as an integral part of the event. It does not include travel expenses, lodgings, entertainment collateral to the event, or meals taken other than in a group setting with all other attendees. Where the invitation has been extended to an accompanying spouse or other guest (see paragraph (g)(6) of this section), the market value of the gift of free attendance includes the market value of free attendance by the spouse or other guest as well as the market value of the employee's own attendance.

Note: There are statutory authorities implemented other than by part 2635 under which an agency or an employee may be able to accept free attendance or other items not included in the definition of free attendance, such as travel expenses.

- (5) Cost provided by sponsor of event. The cost of the employee's attendance will not be considered to be provided by the sponsor, and the invitation is not considered to be from the sponsor of the event, where a person other than the sponsor designates the employee to be invited and bears the cost of the employee's attendance through a contribution or other payment intended to facilitate that employee's attendance. Payment of dues or a similar assessment to a sponsoring organization does not constitute a payment intended to facilitate a particular employee's attendance.
- (6) Accompanying spouse or other guest. When others in attendance will generally be accompanied by a spouse or other guest, and where the invitation is from the same person who has invited the employee, the agency designee may authorize an employee to accept an unsolicited invitation to an accompanying spouse or to another accompanying guest to participate in all or a portion of the event at which the employee's free attendance is permitted under paragraph (g) (1) or (2) of this

section. The authorization required by this paragraph may be provided orally or in writing.

Example 1. An aerospace industry association that is a prohibited source sponsors an industry-wide, two-day seminar for which it charges a fee of \$400 and anticipates attendance of approximately 400. An Air Force contractor pays \$2,000 to the association so that the association can extend free invitations to five Air Force officials designated by the contractor. The Air Force officials may not accept the gifts of free attendance. Because the contractor specified the invitees and bore the cost of their attendance, the gift of free attendance is considered to be provided by the company and not by the sponsoring association. Had the contractor paid \$2,000 to the association in order that the association might invite any five Federal employees, an Air Force official to whom the sponsoring association extended one of the five invitations could attend if his participation were determined to be in the interest of the agency. The Air Force official could not in any event accept an invitation directly from the contractor because the market value of the gift exceeds \$250.

Example 2. An employee of the Department of Transportation is invited by a news organization to an annual press dinner sponsored by an association of press organizations. Tickets for the event cost \$250 per person and attendance is limited to 400 representatives of press organizations and their guests. If the employee's attendance is determined to be in the interest of the agency, she may accept the invitation from the news organization because more than 100 persons will attend and the cost of the ticket does not exceed \$250. However, if the invitation were extended to the employee and an accompanying guest, her guest could not be authorized to attend since the market value of the gift of free attendance would be \$500 and the invitation is from a person other than the sponsor of the event.

Example 3. An employee of the Department of Energy and his wife have been invited by a major utility to a dinner party for 20 people. Others invited include eight officials of the utility and their spouses and a representative of a consumer group concerned with utility rates and her husband. The DOE official believes the dinner party will provide him an opportunity to socialize with and get to know those in attendance. The employee may not accept, even if his attendance could be determined to be in the interest of the agency. The dinner

party is not a widely attended gathering; twenty is not a large number of persons and, notwithstanding the presence of another person who is not an official of the utility, those in attendance do not represent a range of persons interested in any identifiable matter.

[FR Doc. 95–14611 Filed 6–14–95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6345–01–U

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Agricultural Marketing Service

*

7 CFR Part 1046

[DA-95-18]

Milk in the Louisville-Lexington-Evansville Marketing Area; Proposed Suspension/Termination of Certain Provisions of the Order

AGENCY: Agricultural Marketing Service, USDA.

ACTION: Proposed suspension/termination of rule.

SUMMARY: This document invites written comments on a proposal to suspend or terminate the base-excess plan of the Louisville-Lexington-Evansville Federal milk marketing order, effective September 1, 1995. The proposed suspension/termination was submitted by Holland Dairies, Inc., which contends the action is necessary to allow handlers in the area to compete equally for a supply of milk and to ensure that producers will continue to have their milk priced and pooled under the Order.

DATES: Comments are due on or before July 17, 1995.

ADDRESSES: Comments (two copies) should be filed with the USDA/AMS/Dairy Division, Order Formulation Branch, Room 2971, South Building, PO Box 96456, Washington, DC 20090–6456.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Nicholas Memoli, Marketing Specialist, USDA/AMS/Dairy Division, Order Formulation Branch, Room 2971, South Building, PO Box 96456, Washington, DC 20090–6456 (202) 690–1932.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601–612) requires the Agency to examine the impact of a proposed rule on small entities. Pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 605(b), the Administrator of the Agricultural Marketing Service has certified that this proposed rule would not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. This rule would lessen the