Village of Ludlow Electric Light Department

Village of Johnson Water and Light Department

Village of Hyde Park Water and Light Department

Rochester Electric Light and Power Company

Woodsville Fire District Water and Light Department

Comment date: June 22, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

25. Central Vermont Public Service Corporation

[Docket No. ER95-1115-000]

Take notice that on May 30, 1995, Central Vermont Public Service Corporation (CVPS), tendered for filing the Actual 1994 Cost Report required under Paragraph Q-1 on Original Sheet No. 18 of the Rate Schedule FERC No. 135 (RS-2 rate schedule) under which Central Vermont Public Service Corporation (Company) sells electric power to Connecticut Valley Electric Company Inc. (Customer). The Company states that the Cost Report reflects changes to the RS-2 rate schedule which were approved by the Commission's June 6, 1989 order in Docket No. ER88-456-000.

Comment date: June 22, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

26. PECO Energy Company

[Docket No. ER95-1116-000]

Take notice that on May 30, 1995, PECO Energy Company (PECO) tendered for filing an Agreement between PECO and TVA Services, Incorporated (TVA) dated April 26, 1995.

PECO states that the Agreement sets forth the terms and conditions for the sale of system energy which it expects to have available for sale from time to time and the purchase of which will be economically advantageous to TVA. In order to optimize the economic advantage to both PECO and TVA, PECO requests that the Commission waive its customary notice period and permit the agreement to become effective on June 1, 1995.

PECO states that a copy of this filing has been sent to TVA and will be furnished to the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission.

Comment date: June 22, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

27. Birchwood Power Partners, L.P.

[Docket No. QF93-126-001]

On May 26, 1995, Birchwood Power Partners, L.P. (Applicant) submitted for

filing an amendment to its filing in this docket.

The amendment provides additional information pertaining to the ownership and location of its cogeneration facility. No determination has been made that the submittal constitutes a complete filing.

Comment date: June 27, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

Standard Paragraph

E. Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a motion to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with Rules 211 and 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211 and 18 CFR 385.214). All such motions or protests should be filed on or before the comment date. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95–14533 Filed 6–13–95; 8:45 am]

[Docket No. RP95-334-000]

Georgia-Pacific Corp. v. NorAm Gas Transmission Co.; Complaint

June 8, 1995.

Take notice that on June 5, 1995, Georgia-Pacific Corporation (Georgia-Pacific) submitted for filing with the Commission a Complaint and Emergency Motion concerning NorAm Gas Transmission Company's (NorAm) charging Georgia-Pacific market lateral fees.

Georgia-Pacific argues that: (1) NorAm has no authority under its FERC Gas Tariff to charge Georgia-Pacific a market lateral fee for firm transportation service performed since February 1, 1995; (2) NorAm has no authority under its FERC Gas Tariff to charge Georgia-Pacific a market lateral fee prospectively; and (3) NorAm must make a rate filing pursuant to Section 4 of the Natural Gas Act before it may seek to charge Georgia-Pacific (and any transportation customer) a market lateral fee.

Georgia-Pacific also contends that its nonpayment of NorAm's charged market

lateral fees does not authorize NorAm to suspend service to Georgia-Pacific under its FERC Gas Tariff. Georgia-Pacific, therefore moves the Commission to expeditiously issue an order forbidding NorAm to suspend or terminate service to Georgia-Pacific during the pendency of this complaint.

Georgia-Pacific states that it has served a copy of the complaint to NorAm.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said complaint should file a motion to intervene or a protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426, in accordance with Rules 214 and 211 of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure 18 CFR 385.214, 385.211. All such motions or protests should be filed on or before June 29, 1995. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection. Answers to this complaint shall be due on or before June 29, 1995. Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95–14479 Filed 6–13–95; 8:45 am]

[Docket No. TM95-4-49-000]

Williston Basin Interstate Pipeline Co.; Annual Supply Realignment Reconciliation Filing

June 8, 1995.

Take notice that on May 31, 1995, Williston Basin Interstate Pipeline Company (Williston Basin) tendered for filing its Annual Gas Supply Realignment Reconciliation Filing pursuant to § 39.3.3 of the General Terms and Conditions of its FERC Gas Tariff, Second Revised Volume No. 1. More specifically, Williston Basin filed the following tariff sheets:

Twelfth Revised Sheet No. 15 Fifteenth Revised Sheet No. 16 Twelfth Revised Sheet No. 18

Williston Basin has requested that the Commission accept the filing to become effective July 1, 1995.

Williston Basin states that the revised tariff sheets reflect the annual reconciliation of the latest GSR cost recovery period and establishment of new reservation charge surcharge applicable to service under Rate Schedules FT–1 and ST–1 and a new