where the amount of the judgment does not exceed \$300,000; and

(C) Terminate collection activity by his or her office as to judgments in favor of the United States which do not exceed \$300,000 if the United States Attorney concludes that the judgment is uncollectible;

provided that such action has the concurrence in writing of the agency or agencies involved, and provided further that this authorization extends only to judgments which have been formally referred to the United States Attorney for collection.

Section 8. The authority redelegated herein shall be subject to the following conditions and limitations:

(A) When, for any reason, the compromise, administrative settlement, or concession of a particular claim, as a practical matter, will control or adversely influence the disposition of other claims totalling more than the respective amounts designated in Sections 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, and 7 hereof, the case shall be forwarded for review at the appropriate level for the cumulative amount of the affected claims;

(B) When, because of the importance of a question of law or policy presented, the position taken by the agency or agencies or by the United States Attorney involved, or any other considerations, the person otherwise authorized herein to take final action is of the opinion that the proposed disposition should be reviewed at a higher level, the case shall be forwarded for such review;

(C) If the Department has previously submitted a case to the Joint Committee on Taxation leaving one or more issues unresolved, any subsequent compromise, administrative settlement, or concession in that case must be submitted to the Joint Committee, whether or not the overpayment exceeds the amount specified in Section 6405 of the Internal Revenue Code;

(D) Nothing in this Directive shall be construed as altering any provision of Subpart Y of Part O of Title 28 of the Code of Federal Regulations requiring the submission of certain cases to the Attorney General, the Associate Attorney General, or the Solicitor General.

(E) Authority to approve recommendations that the Government confess error or make administrative settlements in cases on appeal is excepted from the foregoing redelegations; and

(F) The Assistant Attorney General, at any time, may withdraw any authority delegated by this Directive as it relates to any particular case or category of cases, or to any part thereof.

Section 9. This Directive supersedes Tax Division Directive No. 95, effective February 21, 1992.

Section 10. This Directive is effective on June 14, 1995.

Dated: November 22, 1994.

### Loretta C. Argrett,

Assistant Attorney General.

Approved:

Dated: June 8, 1995.

## Jamie Gorelick,

Deputy Attorney General.

[FR Doc. 95–14442 Filed 6–13–95; 8:45 am]

# **DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**

#### **Coast Guard**

33 CFR Part 117

[CGD05-95-023]

RIN 2115-AE47

# Drawbridge Operation Regulations; York River, Yorktown, VA

**AGENCY:** Coast Guard, DOT.

**ACTION:** Interim rule with request for

comments.

SUMMARY: At the request of the Virginia Department of Transportation, the Coast Guard is changing the regulations that govern the operation of the drawbridge across York River, mile 7.0, at Yorktown, Virginia, by extending the periods of restricted bridge openings during the morning and evening rush hours. This is intended to provide relief to highway traffic during the extended rush hours on the roads and highways linked by this drawbridge, while still providing for the reasonable needs of navigation.

**EFFECTIVE DATES:** This rule is effective on June 14, 1995. Comments must be received on or before September 12, 1995.

ADDRESSES: Comments may be mailed to Commander (ob), Fifth Coast Guard District, 431 Crawford Street, Portsmouth, Virginia 23704–5004, or may be delivered to Room 109 at the same address between 8 a.m. and 4 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays. The telephone number is (804) 398–6222. Comments will become part of this docket and will be available for inspection at Room 109, Fifth Coast Guard District.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ann B. Deaton, Bridge Administrator, Fifth Coast Guard District, at (804) 398– 6222.

### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

# **Request for Comments**

The Coast Guard encourages interested persons to participate in this rulemaking by submitting written data, views, or arguments. Persons submitting comments should include their names and addresses, identify this rulemaking (CGD5-95-023) and the specific section of this rule to which each comment applies, and give the reason for each comment. The Coast Guard requests that all comments and attachments be submitted in an unbound format suitable for copying and electronic filing. If not practical, a second copy of any bound material is requested. Persons wanting acknowledgment of receipt of comments should enclose a stamped, self-addressed postcard or envelope.

The Coast Guard will consider all comments received during the comment period. It may change this rule in view of the comments.

The Coast Guard plans no public hearing. Persons may request a public hearing by writing to the Commander (ob) at the address under ADDRESSES. The request should include reasons why a hearing would be beneficial. If it determines that the opportunity for oral presentations will aid this rulemaking, the Coast Guard will hold a public hearing at a time and place announced by a later notice in the **Federal Register**.

Drafting Information. The principal persons involved in drafting this document are Linda L. Gilliam, Project Manager, Bridge Section, and LCDR C. A. Abel, Project Counsel, Fifth Coast Guard District Legal Office.

# **Regulatory Information**

This rule is being published as an interim rule and is being made effective on the date of publication. Under 5 U.S.C. 553(b), this rule is being promulgated without an NPRM because the need to relieve highway traffic congestion due to current circumstances is immediate, and vessel traffic will not be unduly burdened. Further, this rule should be made effective in less than 30 days after publication under 5 U.S.C. 553(d) because to delay the effective date would further exacerbate already serious highway traffic problems, and the Coast Guard believes it is in the overall public interest to do so. For these reasons, the Coast Guard for good cause finds, under 5 U.S.C. 553 (b)(B) and (d)(3), that notice, and public procedure on the notice, before the effective date of this rule are unnecessary and that this rule should be made effective in less than 30 days after publication.