4—The agent should be recovered from the fire protection system in conjunction with testing or servicing, and recycled for later use or destroyed.

FIRE SUPPRESSION AND EXPLOSION PROTECTION—ACCEPTABLE SUBJECT TO NARROWED USE LIMITS: TOTAL FLOODING AGENTS

| Application | Substitute | Decision | Conditions | Comments |
|------------------------------------|--|---|--|--|
| Halon 1301, Total Flooding Agents. | C ₃ F ₈ | Acceptable where other alternatives are not technically feasible due to performance or safety requirements:. a. due to their physical or chemical properties, or. b. where human exposure to the extinguishing agents may approach cardiosensitization levels or result in other unacceptable health effects under normal operating conditions. | Until OSHA establishes applicable workplace requirements:. For occupied areas from which personnel cannot be evacuated in one minute, use is permitted only up to concentrations not exceeding the cardiotoxicity NOAEL of 30%. Although no LOAEL has been established for this product, standard OSHA requirements apply, i.e. for occupied areas from which personnel can be evacuated or egress can occur between 30 and 60 seconds, use is permitted up to a concentration not exceeding the LOAEL. All personnel must be evacuated before concentration of C ₃ F ₈ exceeds 30%. Design concentration must result in oxygen levels of at least 16%. | The comparative design concentration based on cup burner values is approximately 8.8%. Users must observe the limitations on PFC acceptability by making reasonable efforts to undertake the following measures: (i) conduct an evaluation of foreseeable conditions of end use; (ii) determine that human exposure to the other alternative extinguishing agents may approach or result in cardiosensitization or other unacceptable toxicity effects under normal operating conditions; and (iii) determine that the physical or chemical properties or other technical constraints of the other available agents preclude their use; Documentation of such measures must be available for review upon request. The principal environmental characteristic of concern for PFCs is that they have high GWPs and long atmospheric lifetimes. Actual contributions to global warming depend upon the quantities of PFCs emitted. For additional guidance regarding applications in which PFCs may be appropriate, users should consult the description of potential uses which is included in the March 18, 1994 Final Rulemaking (58 FR 13043). |
| | Sulfurhexa-fluo- ride (SF ₆). | Acceptable as a discharge test agent in military uses and in civilian aircraft uses only. | | This agent has an atmospheric lifetime greater than 1,000 years, with an estimated 100-year, 500-year, and 1,000-year GWP of 16,100, 26,110 and 32,803 respectively. Users should limit testing only to that which is essential to meet safety or performance requirements. This agent is only used to test new Halon 1301 systems. |

FIRE SUPPRESSION AND EXPLOSION PROTECTION—UNACCEPTABLE SUBSTITUTES

| Application | Substitute | Decision | Comments |
|--|------------|--------------|---|
| Halon 1301 Total Flood- ing Agents. | HFC-32 | Unacceptable | Data indicate that HFC-32 is flammable and therefore is not suitable as a halon substitute. |

[FR Doc. 95-14337 Filed 6-12-95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

40 CFR Part 261

[SW-FRL-5219-5]

Hazardous Waste Management System; Identification and Listing of Hazardous Waste: Final Exclusion

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA or Agency) today is granting a petition submitted by Conversion Systems, Inc. ("CSI") to exclude from hazardous waste control (or "delist") certain solid wastes. The wastes being delisted consist of electric arc furnace dust ("EAFD") that has been treated by a specific chemical stabilization process. This action responds to CSI's petition to delist these treated wastes on a "generator-specific" basis from the hazardous waste lists. After careful analysis, the Agency has concluded that the petitioned waste is not hazardous waste when disposed of

in Subtitle D landfills. This exclusion applies to chemically stabilized EAFD generated at CSI's Sterling, Illinois facility as well as to similar wastes that CSI may generate at future facilities. Accordingly, this final rule excludes the petitioned waste from the requirements of hazardous waste regulations under the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA) when disposed of in Subtitle D landfills, but imposes testing conditions to ensure that the future-generated waste remains qualified for delisting.

EFFECTIVE DATE: June 13, 1995.