[Docket No. CP95-502-000, et al.]

Columbia Gas Transmission Corporation, et al.; Natural Gas Certificate Filings

June 1, 1995.

Take notice that the following filings have been made with the Commission:

1. Columbia Gas Transmission Corporation

[Docket No. CP95-502-000]

Take notice that on May 17, 1995, Columbia Gas Transmission Corporation (Columbia), 1700 MacCorkle Avenue, S.E., Charleston, West Virginia 25314, filed in Docket No. CP95-502-000 a request pursuant to Sections 157.205 and 157.211 of the Commission's Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205, 157.211) for authorization to operate as jurisdictional facilities, two existing delivery point facilities, constructed under Section 311 (a) of the Natural Gas Policy Act of 1978, under Columbia's blanket certificate issued in Docket No. CP83-76-000 pursuant to Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act, all as more fully set forth in the request that is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Columbia proposes to operate as jurisdictional facilities an existing delivery point located in Stark County, Ohio to serve Power Resources Operating Company and an existing delivery point located in Clay County, West Virginia to serve Wagner Gas Company. Columbia asserts that these facilities would be used for Part 284 Subpart G transportation service under Columbia's Blanket Certificate in Docket No. CP86-240-000. Columbia states that deliveries to the Stark County point, which cost \$35,400, would be 4,000 Dth of gas per day and deliveries to the Clay County point, which cost \$13,562, would be 10 Dth of gas per day.

Columbia states that the quantities of gas to be provided through the new delivery points would be within its authorized level of services and there would be no adverse impact on its existing customers.

Comment date: July 17, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph G at the end of this notice.

2. ANR Pipeline Company

[Docket No. CP95-507-000]

Take notice that on May 22, 1995, ANR Pipeline Company (ANR), 500 Renaissance Center, Detroit, Michigan 48243, filed a request with the Commission in Docket No. CP95–507–000 pursuant to Sections 157.205 and 157.211 of the Commission's

Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (NGA) to construct and operate an interconnection to the City of Gallatin (Gallatin), authorized in blanket certificate issued in Docket No. CP82–480–000, all as more fully set forth in the request on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

ANR proposes to construct an interconnection which would consist of a tie-in that would provide delivery of natural gas to the City of Gallatin, located in Harrison County, Missouri. The interconnection would consist of a tie-in to ANR's existing 4-inch lateral, one 2-inch turbine meter, one 2-inch displacement meter, electronic measurement and a yard building in addition to approximately 100 feet of 3inch yard pipe. ANR states that the costs of the proposed facilities would be approximately \$162,000 and further states that facilities would provide Gallatin with a maximum capacity of 10 Mmcf/d.

Comment date: July 17, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph (G) at the end of this notice.

3. Northern Natural Gas Company

[Docket No. CP95-515-000]

Take notice that on May 24, 1995, Northern Natural Gas Company (Northern), 1111 South 103rd Street, Omaha, Nebraska 68124-1000, filed a prior notice request with the Commission in Docket No. CP95-515-000 pursuant to Section 157.205 of the Commission's Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (NGA) for authorization to upgrade an existing delivery point in Cumings County, Nebraska, under Northern's blanket certificate issued in Docket No. CP82-401-000 pursuant to Section 7 of the NGA, all as more fully set forth in the request which is open to the public for inspection.

Northern proposes to upgrade the existing West Point Town Border Station (TBS) #1, Cumings County, Nebraska, in order to accommodate natural gas deliveries to UtiliCorp United Inc. (UCU) under currently effective throughput service agreements. Northern states that the incremental peak day and annual gas deliveries at the West Point TBS #1 would increase by 451 MMBtu and 17,740 MMBtu, respectively. Northern further states that the total volumes to be delivered to UCU would not exceed the currently certificated volumes and that Northern's tariff does not prohibit the proposed upgrade.

Northern also states that the upgrade would enable Northern to meet peak day requirements, maintain the operational integrity and efficiency of the meter, and assure UCU's continuous service to their residential, industrial, and commercial customers. Northern estimates that the proposed West Point TBS #1 upgrade would cost approximately \$15,000.

Comment date: July 17, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph (G) at the end of this notice.

4. Enron Gulf Coast Gathering, Limited Partnership

[Docket No. CP95-516-000]

Take notice that on May 25 1995, Enron Gulf Coast Gathering, Limited Partnership (EGCG), P. O. Box 1188, Houston, Texas 77251-1188, filed a petition in Docket No. CP95-516-000, requesting that when EGCG acquires Northern Natural Gas Company's (Northern) Matagorda Offshore Pipeline System (MOPS), located in offshore and onshore Texas, that the Commission declare that the MOPS facilities are gathering facilities exempt from the provisions of the Natural Gas Act (NGA), all as more fully set forth in the petition which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

It is stated that on May 24, 1995, EGCG and Northern entered into a contract where EGCG will acquire MOPS from Northern, subject to certain conditions, including a determination by the Commission that the MOPS' facilities are nonjurisdictional gathering facilities not subject to Commission jurisdiction under Section 1(b) of the NGA. EGCG states that after abandonment of MOPS by Northern and its transfer to EGCG, it will still be subject to the Outer Continental Shelf Lands Act (OCSLA). EGCG asserts that it will provide open and nondiscriminatory access to all shippers in accordance with Section 5(f) of the OCSLA by offering gathering, treating, dehydrating and compression services to producers and shippers seeking such services.

EGCG submits that MOPS meets the criteria of "gathering facilities" under Section 1(b) of the NGA as interpreted by the Commission under the "modified primary function" test, as set forth in Amerada Hess Corp., et al., as amended. 52 FERC ¶ 61,268 (1990). EGCG notes that the pipeline diameters in MOPS vary from 4" for the smallest tie lines up to 24" for the final segment of the line which gathers the gas before being dehydrated and delivered to any of seven onshore delivery points on interstate and intrastate downstream pipelines. EGCG states that the diameter of the larger lines is simply a function of the number of tie lines and wells, the