

Hernando Monroy
Hill Crest Gardens
Horticultura de la Sasan
Horticultura Montecarlo
Illusion Flowers
Indigo S.A.
Industria Santa Clara
Industrial Terwengel, Ltda.
Innovacion Andina, S.A.
Inversiones Bucarelia
Inversiones Maya, Ltda.
Inversiones Playa
Inversiones & Producciones Tecnicas
Inversiones Silma
Inversiones Sima
Jardin de Carolina
Jardines Choconta
Jardines Darpu
Jardines de Los Andes
Jardines de Timana
Jardines Natalia Ltda.
Jardines Tocarema
J.M. Torres
Karla Flowers
Kingdom S.A.
La Colina
La Embairada
La Flores Ltda.
La Floresta
Laura Flowers
L.H.
Loma Linda
Loreana Flowers
M. Alejandra
Mauricio Uribe
Merastec
Morcoto
Nasino
Olga Rincon
Otono
Pinar Guameru
Piracania
Prismaflor
Reme Salamanca
Rosa Bella
Rosales de Suba Ltda.
Rosas y Jardines
Rose
San Ernesto
San Valentine
Sarena
Select Pro
Shila
Solor Flores Ltda.
Starlight
Sunbelt Florals
Susca
The Rose
Tomino
Tropical Garden
Villa Diana
Zipa Flowers

Best Information Available

In accordance with section 776(c) of the Tariff Act, we have preliminarily determined that the use of best information otherwise available (BIA) is appropriate for certain firms. In

determining what is BIA, our regulations provide that we may take into account whether a party refuses to provide information (19 CFR 353.37(b)). For purposes of these reviews, we have used the most adverse BIA—generally, the highest rate for any company for this same class or kind of merchandise from this or any prior segment of the proceeding—whenever a company refused to cooperate with the Department or otherwise significantly impeded the proceeding. When a company substantially cooperated with our requests for information, but failed to provide all the information requested in a timely manner or in the form requested, we used as BIA the higher of (1) the highest rate (including the “all others” rate) ever applicable to the firm for the same class or kind of merchandise from the same country from either the LTFV investigation or a prior administrative review; or (2) the highest calculated rate in this review for any firm for the same class or kind of merchandise from the same country. See *Antifriiction Bearings (Other Than Tapered Roller Bearings) and Parts Thereof From the Federal Republic of Germany, et al.; Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review*, 56 FR 31692, 31704 (July 11, 1991); see also *Allied-Signal Aerospace Co. v. United States*, 996 F.2d 1185 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

Because a number of firms failed to respond to our requests for information, we have used the highest rate ever found in this proceeding to establish their margins. This rate is 75.92 percent for the 5th administrative review and 83.61 percent for the 6th and 7th administrative reviews. The firms that have received adverse BIA rates and the review periods for which these firms are receiving a BIA rate (as indicated in parentheses) are:

Agricola Jicabal (5,6,7)
Agricola Malqui (5,6,7)
Agricola Monteflor Ltda. (7)
Agrobloom Ltda. (7)
Agrokoralia (5,6,7)
Bali Flowers (7)
Bloomshares (7)
Bogota Flowers (5,6,7)
Ciba Geigy (5,6,7)
Claveley Tropicales (7)
Colony International Farm (5,6,7)
Conflores Ltda. (5,6,7)
Cultivos el Lago (5,6,7)
Fernando de Mier (7)
Flora Bellisima (5,6,7)
Flores Alfaya (5,6,7)
Flores Arco Iris (5,6,7)
Flores Balu (7)
Flores Catalina (7)
Flores de Fragua (7)

Flores de la Pradera Ltda. (5,6,7)
Flores del Pradro (7)
Flores el Majui (7)
Flores Guaicata Ltda. (5,6,7)
Flores Magara
Flores Naturales (7)
Flores Petaluma Ltda. (5,6,7)
Flores Rio Grande (7)
Flores Santa Lucia (5,6,7)
Flores Suesca (5,6)
Flores Tejas Verdes (5,6,7)
Fribir Ltda. (7)
Groex S.A. (5,6)
Hacienda Susata (7)
Inpar (5,6,7)
Inter Flores (7)
Interflora Ltda. (5,6,7)
Internacional Flowers (7)
Invernavas (5,6,7)
Inversiones del Alto (7)
Inversiones Nativa Ltda. (5,6,7)
Jardin (5,6,7)
Jardines del Muna (5,6,7)
La Florida (5,6,7)
My Flowers Ltda. (7)
Naranjo Exportaciones e Importaciones (7)
Plantas Ornamentales de Colombia S.A. (7)
Rosas y Flores (5,6,7)
Rosicler Ltda. (5,6,7)
Sabana Flowers (5,6,7)
Sunset Farms (5,6,7)
Tempest Flowers (5,6,7)

As previously discussed under the *Scope of Review* section of this notice, we have preliminarily determined that eight flower companies are significantly related to each other to warrant collapsing their sales and production information into the Queen's Flowers Group. Although these companies provided responses to our questionnaire and supplemental questionnaires, we did not receive complete information regarding the interrelationships between these companies. In addition, one firm had purchased major inputs from other members of the group but failed to provide requested information establishing the arm's-length nature of these transactions. Other members of the group failed to identify their suppliers of inputs when requested to do so. Another firm claimed it had no transactions with two particular customers, both members of the group; however, there is information on the record from these customers indicating that they had purchased subject merchandise from this firm during the POR. Moreover, several companies failed to notify the Department that they had included shared administrative expenses in their constructed value response. Finally, one company improperly amortized certain production expenses in an optional crop adjustment methodology and failed to