State	City/town/ county	Source of flooding	Location	#Depth in feet above ground. *Elevation in feet. (NGVD)	
				Existing	Modified
		Tributary 1	At corporate limits located approximately 1,560 feet downstream of confluence of Tributary 2.	None	*3,0
			Approximately 60 feet downstream of FM 1551.	None	*3,1
			At upstream corporate limits located approximately 2,540 feet upstream of FM 1551.	None	*3,1
		Tributary 2	Approximately 200 feet upstream of con- fluence with Tributary 1.	None	*3,0
			Approximately 40 feet upstream of Philview Avenue.	None	*3,1
			Approximately 850 feet upstream of Philview Avenue.	None	*3,1
		Tributary 3	Approximately 70 feet upstream of con- fluence with Tributary 1.	None	*3,1
			Approximately 50 feet downstream of FM 1551.	None	*3,1
		Tributary 4	Approximately 100 feet downstream of FM 1551.	None	*3,1
			Approximately 80 feet upstream of FM 1551.	None	*3,7
			Approximately 1,770 feet upstream of FM 1551.	None	*3,1

Maps are available for inspection at the City of Borger, Planning Department, City Hall, 600 North Main Street, Borger, Texas. Send comments to The Honorable Judy Flanders, City of Borger, 600 North Main Street, Borger, Texas 79007.

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance No. 83.100, "Flood Insurance.")

Dated: May 31, 1995.

Frank H. Thomas,

Deputy, Associate Director for Mitigation. [FR Doc. 95–13906 Filed 6–6–95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6718–03–P

COMMISSION ON CIVIL RIGHTS

45 CFR Chapter VII

Semiannual Agenda of Regulations

June 2, 1995.

AGENCY: Commission on Civil Rights. ACTION: Withdrawal of proposed agenda item.

SUMMARY: On May 8, 1995, on page 23922, item 3542, the CCR proposed to issue regulations for the officers and employees of the Commission that supplement the Standards of Ethical Conduct for Employees of the Executive Branch. Pending further review, the CCR is now withdrawing the proposal.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Miguel A. Sapp, Acting Solicitor, U.S. Commission on Civil Rights, 624 Ninth Street, NW., Suite 632, Washington, DC 20425, (202) 376–8351.

Miguel A. Sapp,

Acting Solicitor.

[FR Doc. 95–13943 Filed 6–6–95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6335–01–M

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

47 CFR Part 32

[CC Docket No. 95-60; FCC 95-182]

Uniform System of Accounts to Raise the Expense Limit for Certain Items of Equipment

AGENCY: Federal Communications Commission.

ACTION: Notice of proposed rulemaking.

SUMMARY: The Commission has adopted a Notice of Proposed Rulemaking ("NPRM") which proposes to amend its rules regarding Uniform System of Accounts for Class A and Class B Telephone Companies to Raise the Expense Limit for Certain Items of Equipment from \$500 to \$750. This action is taken to recognize the effects of inflation, the increased competitive environment, and the rapid technological changes that have occurred since the Commission last changed the expense limit in 1988. DATES: Comments are to be filed on or before July 24, 1995; reply comments are to be filed on or before August 8, 1995.

ADDRESSES: Federal Communications Commission, 1919 M Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20554.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Tom Petras, Common Carrier Bureau,

Accounting and Audits Division, (202) 418–0809.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This is a synopsis of the Commission's Notice of Proposed Rulemaking in CC Docket No. 95-60, adopted May 2, 1995 and released May 31, 1995. The complete text of this NPRM is available for inspection and copying during normal business hours in the FCC Dockets Branch (Room 230), 1919 M Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20554, and may also be purchased from the Commission's copy contractor, International Transcription Service, Inc. at 2100 M Street, N.W., Suite 140, Washington, D.C. 20037, or call (202) 847-3800.

Synopsis of Notice of Proposed Rulemaking

1. This NPRM proposes to amend Section 32.2000(a)(4), of Part 32, Uniform System of Accounts for Class A and Class B Telephone Companies by raising the expense limit for certain items of equipment from \$500 to \$750. The Commission seeks comments on this proposal.

2. The Commission also seeks comments on whether carriers should be permitted to amortize the undepreciated, embedded assets covered by such an amendment to our rules, and if so, over what period of time.