# XI. Agency Determination to Revise the REI

Registrants should note that FIFRA sec. 6(a)(2) requires that they submit to the Agency any information or data concerning any adverse effect, illness or injury associated with a product or its use, including those resulting from postapplication exposures.

If, on the basis of information received from a registrant or other sources, the Agency determines that the 4-hour REI should be increased, the Agency will inform the registrant of that determination and of the new REI that must replace the 4-hour REI. The Agency will also inform the registrant at that time of actions, if any, that must be taken with respect to existing stocks of product labeled with a 4-hour REI.

The Agency intends to bring misbranding actions and issue stop sale, use, and removal orders if the appropriate changes and actions are not taken immediately upon notification to the registrant.

### XII. Compliance

Registrants are responsible for the content and accuracy of labeling and for compliance with labeling requirements. Registrants that submit notifications which do not comply with the PRN or EPA's requirements may be subject to enforcement action under FIFRA sections 12 and 14.

Registrants electing to sell or distribute products bearing registrantverified revised labeling run the risk that the proposed label is incorrect and must be revised. In most cases, incorrectly reducing the REI from 12 hours to 4 hours would be considered a serious error possibly requiring stopsale orders, recalls, or civil penalties. A serious error is one which may create a potential for harm to workers, handlers, or other persons, or the environment, or when the errors prevent achievement of basic goals of the WPS or FIFRA.

#### XIII. Consultations

EPA consulted with USDA and their comments were considered in the preparation of this document. In addition, although this action is not a "significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866 (58 FR 51735, October 4, 1993), it was submitted to the Office of Management and Budget for a 10–day informal review. Any changes made have been documented in the public record.

Pursuant to Executive Order 12866 (58 FR 51735, October 4, 1993), it has been determined that this is not a "significant regulatory action." This action does not raise potential novel legal or policy issues arising out of legal mandates, the President's priorities, or the principles set forth in the Executive Order. Nevertheless, this action was submitted to OMB for review, and any comments or changes made have been documented in the public record.

## XIV. Attachment A

Attachment A—Candidate List of Active Ingredients Eligible for Reduced Entry Intervals (REIs).

Acetylchitin Agrobacterium radiobacter Ampelomyces quisqualis isolate M-10 Azadirachtin B. t. subsp. aizawai B. t. subsp. aizawai strain GC-91 B. t. subsp. israelensis B. t. subsp. kurstaki B. t. subsp. kurstaki HD-263 B. t. subsp. kurstaki strain EG2348 B. t. subsp. kurstaki strain EG2371 B. t. subsp. kurstaki strain EG2424 B. t. subsp. san diego B. t. subsp. tenebrionis Bacillus popilliae and B. lentimorbus Bacillus sphaericus Bacillus subtilis GB03 Bacillus subtilis MBI 600 Boron sodium oxide, tetrahydrate Calcium oxytetracycline Chlorsulfuron Colletotrichum gleosporioides spores Cytokinin **D**-Phenothrin Disparlure: cis-7,8-epoxy-2methyloctadecane Ethoxyquin Fenridazon Gibberellic acid Gibberellin A4 mixt. with Gibberellin A7 Gliocladium virens G-21 Gossyplure: Hexadecadien-1-ol, acetate Indole-3-butyric acid Kinoprene Lagendidium giganteum, mycelium or oospores Metsulfuron-methyl Mineral oil Muscalure, component of (E)-9-Tricosene Muscalure, component of (Z)-9-Tricosene Nicosulfuron Nosema locustae Oxytetracycline hydrochloride Periplanone B Phytophthora palmivora, chlamydospores Polyhedral inclusion bodies of Douglas fir tussock moth NPV Polyhedral inclusion bodies of Heliothis NPV Polyhedral inclusion bodies of Neodiprion sertifer NPV Polyhedral inclusion bodies of Gypsy moth NPV Polyhedral occlusion bodies of Autographa californica NPV Polyhedral occlusion bodies of beet armyworm NPV

Pseudomonas cepacia type Wisconsin Pseudomonas fluorescens 1629RS Pseudomonas fluorescens A506 Pseudomonas fluorescens EG-1053

Pseudomonas fluorescens Strain NCIB 12089

Pseudomonas syringae 742RS Puccinia canaliculate (Schweinitz) Langerheim (ATCC ???) Sesame plant, ground Siduron Silica gel Silicon dioxide Sodium carboxymethyl cellulose Sodium metaborate (NaBO2) Soybean oil Streptomyces griseoviridis Streptomycin Streptomycin sesquisulfate Sulfometuron methyl Thifensulfuron methyl Tomato pinworm pheromone: (E)-4tridecen-1-yl acetate Tomato pinworm pheromone: (Z)-4tridecen-1-yl acetate Triacontanol Triasulfuron Trichoderma harzianum (ATCC 20476) Trichoderma harzianum Rifai strain KRL-AG2 Trichoderma polysporum (ATCC 20475)

# XV. Public Docket and Electronic Comments

A record has been established for this rulemaking under docket number "OPP-00399" (including comments and data submitted electronically as described below). A public version of this record, including printed, paper versions of electronic comments, which does not include any information claimed as confidential business information (CBI), is available for inspection from 8 a.m. to 4 p.m., Monday through Friday, excluding legal holidays. The public record is located in Room 1132 of the Public Response and Program Resources Branch, Field Operations Division (7506C), Office of Pesticide Programs, Environmental Protection Agency, CM #2, 1921 Jefferson Davis Highway, Arlington, VA. Written comments should be mailed to: Public Response and Program Resources Branch, Field Operations Division (7506C) Office of Pesticide Programs, Environmental Protection Agency, 401 M St., SW., Washington, DC 20460.

As part of an interagency "streamlining" initiative, EPA is experimenting with submission of public comments on selected Federal **Register** actions electronically through the Internet in addition to accepting comments in traditional written form. This proposed exception is one of the actions selected by EPA for this experiment. From the experiment, EPA will learn how electronic commenting works, and any problems that arise can be addressed before EPA adopts electronic commenting more broadly in its rulemaking activities. Electronic commenting through posting to the EPA Bulletin Board or through the Internet using the ListServe function raise some