Southern states that copies of the filing will be served upon its shippers and interested state commissions.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a motion to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426, in accordance with Rules 211 and 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR Sections 385.211 and 385.214). All such motions and protests should be filed on or before May 3, 1995. Protests will not be considered by the Commission in determining the parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection. Lois D. Cashell,

# Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95–10682 Filed 5–1–95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717–01–M

### [Project No. 2964, Michigan]

## City of Sturgis, Michigan; Notice of Intent To File an Application for a New License

April 26, 1995.

Take notice that the City of Sturgis, Michigan, the existing licensee for the Sturgis Hydroelectric Project No. 2964, filed a timely notice of intent to file an application for a new license, pursuant to 18 CFR 16.6 of the Commission's Regulations. The original license for Project No. 2964 was issued effective April 14, 1961, and expires March 31, 2000.

The project is located on the St. Joseph River in St. Joseph County, Michigan. The principal works of the Sturgis Project include a dam with a concrete section 300 feet long and 25 feet high and an earth section 500 feet long with an average height of 12.5 feet; a reservoir with a surface area of 480 acres at elevation 825.5 feet m.s.l.; two powerhouses with a total installed capacity of 2,600 kW; a 24–kV transmission substation and a 24–kV transmission line, about 18 miles long, extending to a substation in Sturgis; and appurtenant facilities.

Pursuant to 18 CFR 16.7, the licensee is required henceforth to make available certain information to the public. This information is now available from the licensee at 130 North Nottawa, Sturgis, MI 49091.

Pursuant to 18 CFR 16.8, 16.9 and 16.10, each application for a new license and any competing license applications must be filed with the Commission at least 24 months prior to the expiration of the existing license. All applications for license for this project must be filed by March 31, 1998. Lois D. Cashell,

### Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95–10681 Filed 5–1–95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717–01–M

## [Docket No. RP95-244-000]

### Williams Natural Gas Co.; Notice of Proposed Changes in FERC Gas Tariff

April 26, 1995.

Take notice that on April 21, 1995, Williams Natural Gas Company (WNG) tendered for filing to become part of its FERC Gas Tariff, Second Revised Volume No. 1, Second Revised Sheet No. 240. The proposed effective date of these tariff sheets is May 4, 1995.

WNG states that the purpose for the instant filing is to comply with the Commission's order in Docket No. RM95–5 issued March 29, 1995. Second Revised Sheet No. 240 includes revisions to Article 11 of WNG's FERC Gas Tariff to provide that releases for a period of one month or less will be considered short term releases, and releases for more than one month are long term releases. It also provides that long term pre-arranged releases at the maximum rate will be exempted from the advance posting and bidding requirements.

WNG states that a copy of its filing was served on all jurisdictional customers and interested state commissions.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a motion to intervene or a protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with Sections 385.214 and 385.211 of the Commission's Rules and Regulations. All such motions or protests should be filed on or before May 3, 1995. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection in the Public Reference Room.

#### Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95–10683 Filed 5–1–95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717–01–M

### Office of Hearings and Appeals

## Notice of Issuance of Decisions and Orders During the Week of April 3 Through April 7, 1995

During the week of April 3 through April 7, 1995 the decisions and orders summarized below were issued with respect to applications for relief filed with the Office of Hearings and Appeals of the Department of Energy. The following summary also contains a list of submissions that were dismissed by the Office of Hearings and Appeals.

### **Personnel Security Hearing**

### Albuquerque Operations Office, 4/4/95, VSO-0012

An OHA Hearing Officer issued an opinion restoring the access authorization and PSAP authorization of a Respondent. The Respondent's authorizations had been suspended when it was discovered that he had once smoked marijuana. The Hearing Officer found that the Respondent's youth was a significant factor in his decision to engage in an experimental use of marijuana. In addition, the Hearing Officer found that the Respondent had shown adequate rehabilitation and growth in his sense of responsibility since the incident.

## **Refund Applications**

Dalco Petroleum/Farmland Industries Inc., et al., 4/3/95, RF248–8 et al.

The DOE issued a Supplemental Decision and Order concerning four Applications for Refund filed by purchasers of propane from Dalco Petroleum Inc. In an earlier Decision, the applicants were granted refunds from monies collected from Dalco pursuant to the terms of a consent order with DOE. Recently, Dalco made a supplemental payment to the DOE. Dalco Petroleum Inc./Farmland Industries Inc., 16 DOE ¶ 85,057 (1987). Prior to granting supplemental refunds, DOE modified the terms by which the refund proceeding is conducted by increasing the small claims injury presumption refund ceiling from \$5,000 to \$10,000. In addition, the DOE applied a medium-range injury presumption under which applicants could receive a refund of 40% of their maximum potential refund without presenting detailed evidence of injury, subject to \$50,000 ceiling. The supplemental refunds granted in this proceeding total \$672,930 (\$639,224 principal plus \$33,706 interest). The DOE also stated its intention to make all residual funds in the Dalco settlement fund available for indirect restitution pursuant to the Petroleum Overcharge Distribution and