This section of the FEDERAL REGISTER contains documents other than rules or proposed rules that are applicable to the public. Notices of hearings and investigations, committee meetings, agency decisions and rulings, delegations of authority, filing of petitions and applications and agency statements of organization and functions are examples of documents appearing in this section.

ADMINISTRATIVE CONFERENCE OF THE UNITED STATES

Committee on Judicial Review

ACTION: Notice of public meeting.

SUMMARY: Pursuant to the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Pub. L. No. 92–463), notice is hereby given of a meeting of the Committee on Judicial Review of the Administrative Conference of the United States. **DATES:** Tuesday, May 9, 1995, at 10:30 a.m.

LOCATION: Office of the Chairman, Administrative Conference, 2120 L Street NW., Suite 500, Washington, DC (Library, 5th Floor).

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mary Candace Fowler, Office of the Chairman, Administrative Conference of the United States, 2120 L Street NW., Suite 500, Washington, DC 20037. Telephone: (202) 254–7020.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The meeting of the Committee on Judicial Review is to continue discussion of draft recommendations based on a report by Professor William Kovacic, visiting at American University, on choice of forum in government contract bid protest disputes.

Attendance at the meetings is open to the interested public, but limited to the space available. Persons wishing to attend should notify the Office of the Chairman at least one day in advance. The chairman of the committee, if he deems it appropriate, may permit members of the public to present oral statements at the meeting. Any member of the public may file a written statement with the committee before, during, or after the meeting. Minutes of the meeting will be available on request.

Dated: April 26, 1995.

Jeffrey S. Lubbers,

Research Director.

[FR Doc. 95–10656 Filed 4–28–95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6110–01–W

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Forest Service

Control of Diseases, Insects, Other Animals, and Unwanted Vegetation at the Humboldt Nursery

AGENCY: Forest Service, USDA. **ACTION:** Notice of intent to prepare an environmental impact statement.

SUMMARY: The Department of Agriculture, Forest Service will prepare an environmental impact statement (EIS) for pest management at the Humboldt Nursery, McKinleyville, California. Initial public scoping is underway; a public meeting will occur during the DEIS comment period. The draft EIS is expected to be filed with the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and be available for public review by June, 1995. The final EIS is expected to be filed with EPA by August, 1995. FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Susan Frankel, Pathologist, Pacific Southwest Region, 630 Sansome St. San Francisco, CA 94111. Telephone (415) 705–2651.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: In preparing the EIS, the Forest Service has identified and is considering a range of alternatives for this project. One of those is no action. Other alternatives consider a range of methods for the prevention and control of diseases, insects, other animals, and unwanted vegetation at the Homboldt Nursery. The methods under consideration include biological, chemical, manual, and mechanical techniques. The activities that require prevention and controls include cover cropping, seed pre-treatment, nursery seedbed and greenhouse preparation, sowing, seedling growth from germination to lifting, and seedling storage.

Martha Ketelle, Forest Supervisor, Six Rivers National Forest is the responsible official.

The draft EIS is expected to be filed with the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and be available for public review by June, 1995. The final EIS is expected to be filed with the EPA by August, 1995.

The comment period on the draft EIS will be 45 days from the date in the EPA's notice of availability appears in the **Federal Register**.

The Forest Service believes, at this early stage, it is important to give

reviewers notice of several court rulings related to public participation in the environmental review process. First, reviewers of draft environmental impact statements must structure their participation in the environmental review of the proposal so that it is meaningful and alerts an agency to the reviewer's position and contentions. Vermont Yankee Nuclear Power Corp. v. NRDC, 435 U.S. 519,553 (1978). Also, environmental objections that could be raised at the draft environmental impact statement stage but that are not raised until after completion of the final environmental impact statement may be waived or dismissed by the courts. City of Angoon v. Hodel, 803 F.2d 1016, 1022 (9th Cir. 1986) and Wisconsin Heritages, Inc. v. Harris, 490 F. Supp. 1334, 1338 (E.D. Wis. 1980). Because of these court rulings, it is very important that those interested in this proposed action participate by the close of the 45day comment period so that substantive comments and objections are made available to the Forest Service at a time when it can meaningfully consider them and respond to them in the final environmental impact statement.

To assist the Forest Service in identifying and considering issues and concerns on the proposed action, comments on the draft environmental impact statement should be as specific as possible. It is also helpful if comments refer to specific pages or chapters of the draft statement. Comments may also address the adequacy of the draft environmental impact statement or the merits of the alternatives formulated and discussed in the statement. Reviewers may wish to refer to the Council on Environmental Quality Regulations for implementing the procedural provisions of the National Environmental Policy Act at 40 CFR 1503.3 in addressing these points.

Dated: April 24, 1995.

Gilbert J. Espinosa,

Acting Regional Forester. [FR Doc. 95–10655 Filed 4–28–95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3410–11–M

Control of Unwanted Vegetation, Diseases, Insects, and Animals in the Pacific Southwest Region Nurseries and Tree Improvement Center

AGENCY: Forest Service, USDA.

Notices

Federal Register Vol. 60, No. 83 Monday, May 1, 1995