When less than 10 percent of the home market sales of a model were at prices below the COP, we did not disregard any sales of that model. When 10 percent or more, but not more than 90 percent, of the home market sales of a particular model were determined to be below cost, we excluded the belowcost home market sales from our calculation of FMV, provided that these below-cost home market sales were made over an extended period of time. When more than 90 percent of the home market sales of a particular model were made below cost over an extended period of time, we disregarded all home market sales of that model in our calculation of FMV.

To determine whether sales below cost had been made over an extended period of time, we compared the number of months in which sales below cost occurred for a particular model to the number of months in which that model was sold. If the model was sold in fewer than three months, we did not disregard below-cost sales unless there were below-cost sales of that model in each month sold. If a model was sold in three or more months, we did not disregard below-cost sales unless there were sales below cost in at least three of the months in which the model was sold. See Antifriction Bearings (Other Than Tapered Roller Bearings) and Parts Thereof From the Federal Republic of Germany: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review (56 FR 31693, July 11, 1991).

Wolverine has not submitted information indicating that any of its sales below cost were made at prices which would have permitted "recovery of all costs within a reasonable period of time in the normal course of trade," as required by section 773(b)(2) of the Tariff Act. Therefore, we have no basis for concluding that the costs of production of such sales have been recovered within a reasonable period of time. As a result of our investigation, we disregarded Wolverine's below-cost sales made over an extended period of time.

In accordance with section 773(a)(2), we used constructed value (CV) as FMV for those U.S. sales for which there were insufficient sales of the comparison home market model at or above the COP. We calculated CV in accordance with section 773(e) of the Tariff Act. CV includes the cost of materials and fabrication for the exported merchandise, plus SG&A expenses, profit, and packing. Because the respondent did not provide alloyspecific information on, nor any allocation of, fabrication and packing costs, we used the data supplied by

petitioners for these cost factors in their submission of August 29, 1993. In our calculation of the SG&A expenses, we computed the actual percentage of costs using figures supplied by the respondent in its COP response. We multiplied that actual figure by the cost of manufacturing (COM). The COM is the sum of the cost of materials, which was supplied by the respondent, and the fabrication costs, which were supplied by the petitioners. We used the computed SG&A expenses since they were greater than the statutory minimum of 10 percent. Because the respondent's reported profit was less than eight percent of the COM plus general expenses, for profit we used the statutory minimum of eight percent.

For those models that had sufficient above-cost sales, we calculated FMV using home market prices to unrelated purchasers as described above.

Preliminary Results of Review

As a result of our comparison of USP to FMV, we preliminarily determine that the following margin exists for the period January 1, 1992 through December 31, 1992:

Manufacturer/exporter	Margin (percent)
Wolverine	24.52

Interested parties may request disclosure within 5 days of the date of publication of this notice and may request a hearing within 10 days of publication. Any hearing, if requested, will be held 44 days after the date of publication or the first business day thereafter. Case briefs and/or written comments from interested parties may be submitted no later than 30 days after the date of publication. Rebuttal briefs and rebuttals to written comments, limited to issues raised in those comments, may be filed no later than 37 days after the date of publication of this notice. The Department will publish the final results of this administrative review, including the results of its analysis of issues raised in any such written comments or at a hearing.

The Department will determine, and the Customs Service shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries. Individual differences between USP and FMV may vary from the percentage stated above. The Department will issue appraisement instructions directly to the Customs Service.

Furthermore, the following deposit requirements will be effective for all shipments of the subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the

publication date of the final results of this administrative review, as provided by section 751(a)(1) of the Tarriff Act: (1) The cash deposit rate for the reviewed company will be that rate established in the final results of this review; (2) for previously reviewed or investigated companies not listed above, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the company-specific rate published for the most recent period; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review, a prior review, or the original less-than-fair-value (LTFV) investigation, but the manufacturer is, the cash deposit rate will be the rate established in the most recent period for the manufacturer of the merchandise; and (4) if neither the exporter nor the manufacturer is a firm covered in this or any previous review, the cash deposit rate will be 8.10 percent, the all others rate established in the LTFV investigation (51 FR 44319).

This notice also serves as a preliminary reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 353.26 to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

This administrative review and notice are in accordance with section 751(a)(1) of the Tariff Act (19 U.S.C. 1675(a)(1)) and 19 CFR 353.22.

Dated: April 19, 1995.

Susan G. Esserman,

Assistant Secretary for Import Administration. [FR Doc. 95–10413 Filed 4–26–95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–DS–M

[C-559-802]

Antifriction Bearings (Other Than Tapered Roller Bearings) and Parts Thereof From Singapore; Initiation and Preliminary Results of Changed Circumstances Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews, Consideration of Revocation and Intent to Revoke Countervailing Duty Orders

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of Initiation and Preliminary Results of Changed Circumstances Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews, Consideration