- Management Assessment Program at 24 CFR part 901. In evaluating administrative capability under this factor, HUD will also consider, and the application must include in the form of a narrative discussion, the following information:
- (A) Whether there are any unresolved findings from prior HUD reports (e.g. performance or finance), reviews or audits undertaken by HUD, the Office of the Inspector General, the General Accounting Office, or independent public accountants;
- (B) Whether the applicant is operating under court order; and,
- (C) If applicable, the progress made by a troubled housing authority in achieving goals established under a Memorandum of Agreement (MOA) executed with HUD. (Maximum Points Under Paragraph (3)(i)(A)(B) and (C) of This Section: 2)
- (ii) The application must discuss the extent to which the applicant has implemented effective screening procedures to determine an individual's suitability for public housing (consistent with the requirements of 42 U.S.C. 3604(f), 24 CFR 100.202, 29 U.S.C. 794 and 24 CFR 8.4 which deal with individuals with disabilities); implemented a plan to reduce vacancies; implemented eviction procedures in accordance with 24 CFR part 966, subpart B, 25 CFR 905.340 and Section 503 of NAHA; or undertaken other management actions to eliminate drug-related crime and/or its associated problems in its developments. (Maximum Points: 2)
- (iii) The application must identify the applicant's participation in HUD grant programs (such as CGP, CIAP, youth sports, child care, resident management, Drug Elimination Program grants, etc.) within the preceding three years, and discuss the degree of the applicant's success in implementing and managing these grant programs. (Maximum Points: 4)
- (iv) The local HUD Field Office shall evaluate the extent of the applicant's success, effort, or failure in implementing and managing an effective program under previous Drug Elimination grants (preceding three years). Successful and effective management of previous Drug Elimination grant program(s) will result in up to 7 (seven) extra points. Evidence of an unjustified failure to make adjustments to an ineffective program will result in a deduction of up to 7 (seven) points. This evaluation will be based upon HUD's Line of Credit Control System (LOCCS) reports, PHDEP performance and financial

reports, and HUD reviews. (Maximum Points: Plus (+) 7 or Minus (-) 7 Points)

(4) Fourth Criterion: The Extent to Which Tenants, the Local Government and the Local Community Support and Participate in the Design and Implementation of the Activities Proposed To Be Funded Under the Application. (Maximum Points: 15) In assessing this criterion, HUD will consider the following factors:

(i) The application must include a discussion of the extent to which community representatives and tribal, local, state and Federal government officials are actively involved in the design and implementation of the applicant's plan, as evidenced by descriptions of planning meetings held with community representatives and local government officials, letters of commitment to provide funding, staff, or in-kind resources, or written comments on the applicant's planned activities. (Maximum Points: 5)

(ii) The application must discuss the extent to which the relevant governmental jurisdiction has met its law enforcement obligations under the Cooperation Agreement with the applicant (as required by the grantee's Annual Contributions Contract with HUD). The application must also include a certification by the Chief Executive Officer (CEO) of a state or a unit of general local government in which the developments proposed for assistance are located that the locality is meeting its obligations under the Cooperation Agreement with the housing authority, Particularly with regard to current baseline law enforcement services. If the jurisdiction is not meeting its obligations under the Cooperation Agreement, the CEO should identify any special circumstances relating to its failure to do so. Whether or not a locality is meeting its obligations under the Cooperation Agreement with the applicant, the application must describe the current level of law enforcement services being provided to the developments proposed for assistance. (Maximum Points: 4)

(iii) The extent to which public and Indian housing development residents (tenants), and an RMC, RC or RO, where they exist, are involved in the planning and development of the grant application and plan strategy, and support and participate in the design and implementation of the activities proposed to be funded under the application. The application must include a summary of each written resident and resident organization comment, as required by 24 CFR 961.18, and the applicant's response to and action on these comments. If there are

no resident or resident organization comments, the applicant *must provide an explanation* of the steps taken to encourage participation, even though they were not successful. (Maximum Points: 2)

(iv) The extent to which the applicant is already undertaking, or has undertaken, participation in local, state, tribal or Federal anti-drug related crime efforts (such as Operation Weed and Seed, Operation Safe Home, or Operation PACT) or is successfully coordinating its law enforcement activities with local, state, tribal or federal law enforcement agencies. (Maximum Points: 4)

(e) Ranking Factors

- (1) Each application for a grant award that is submitted in a timely manner to the local HUD Field Office with delegated public housing responsibilities or, in the case of IHAs, to the appropriate Field Office of Native American Programs (FONAPs), that otherwise meets the requirements of this NOFA, will be evaluated in accordance with the selection criteria specified above.
- (2) An application must receive a score of at least 70 points out of the maximum of 100 points that may be awarded under this competition to be eligible for funding.

(3) After applications have been scored, Headquarters will rank the applications on a *national basis*.

- (4) In the event that two eligible applications receive the same score, and both cannot be funded because of insufficient funds, the application with the highest score in Selection Criterion 3 "The Capability of the Applicant To Carry Out the Plan" will be selected. If Selection Criterion 3 is scored identically for both applications, the scores in Selection Criteria 1, 2, and 4 will be compared in this order, one at a time, until one application scores higher in one of the factors and is selected. If the applications score identically in all factors, the application that requests less funding will be selected.
- (5) All awards will be made to fund fully an application, except as provided in paragraph I.(b)(4) (Reduction of Requested Grant Amounts and Special Conditions)
- (f) General Grant Requirements. The following requirements apply to this program:
- (I) Grantees are required to use grant funds under this program in accordance with this NOFA, 24 CFR part 961, 24 CFR part 85, 24 CFR part 84, applicable statutes, HUD regulations, Notices, Handbooks, OMB circular, grant