service under Rate Schedule FS. Transco states that eight out of ten customers declined to convert their service and the remaining two customers verbally confirmed their election not to convert.

Transco states that even though it believes it to be clear that the Commission contemplated that the oneyear cost-based sales service to small customers, as embodied in Transco's Rate Schedule FS-G, would terminate automatically, Section 6 of Rate Schedule FS-G, as approved by the Commission, states that "* * * [s]ervice under this Rate Schedule is subject to the abandonment requirements of Section 7(b) of the Natural Gas Act." Accordingly, Transco requests authorization to abandon all service under its Rate Schedule FS-G and requests that such abandonment be made effective November 1, 1994.

Transco states that the subject application is the result of and consistent with Article II of Transco's Rate Schedule FS-G service agreements and with the provisions of Order No. 636, both of which clearly viewed service under Rate Schedule FS-G to be interim service available only for a period of one year.

Comment date: March 22, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph F at the end of this notice.

4. Mississippi River Transmission Corp.

[Docket No. CP95-228-000]

Take notice that on February 27, 1995, Mississippi River Transmission Corporation (MRT), 9900 Clayton Road, St. Louis, Missouri 63124, filed in Docket No. CP95–228–000 an application pursuant to Sections 7(c) and 7(b) of the Natural Gas Act to construct and abandon facilities necessary to modernize and improve the reliability of its Main Line System, all as more fully set forth in the application which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

MRT states because of safety, system reliability and increasing operating cost concerns, MRT is proposing to implement the first portion of a 16-year system modernization program. MRT proposes in the first phase of the program to add compression at its Biggers and Tuckerman Compressor Stations, retire certain compressor engines at these compressor stations, and retire approximately 93 miles of its Main Line No. 1. It is indicated that, as a consequence of these additions and abandonments, MRT would also need to reconfigure the station piping at its Biggers, Tuckerman and Diaz Compressor Stations, and relocate

interconnections serving 13 delivery points to other main line facilities.

MRT estimates construction costs during 1995 of \$6.7 million and during 1996 of \$7.2 million, to be financed with internally generated funds. MRT states that the proposed construction and abandonment would not affect the capacity of its Main Line System and would not affect service to any existing customer.

Comment date: March 22, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph F at the end of this notice.

Standard Paragraphs:

F. Any person desiring to be heard or to make any protest with reference to said application should on or before the comment date, file with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20426, a motion to intervene or a protest in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.214 or 385.211) and the Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.10). All protests filed with the Commission will be considered by it in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make the protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party to a proceeding or to participate as a party in any hearing therein must file a motion to intervene in accordance with the Commission's Rules.

Take further notice that, pursuant to the authority contained in and subject to the jurisdiction conferred upon the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission by Sections 7 and 15 of the Natural Gas Act and the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, a hearing will be held without further notice before the Commission or its designee on this application if no motion to intervene is filed within the time required herein, if the Commission on its own review of the matter finds that a grant of the certificate and/or permission and approval for the proposed abandonment are required by the public convenience and necessity. If a motion for leave to intervene is timely filed, or if the Commission on its own motion believes that a formal hearing is required, further notice of such hearing will be duly

Under the procedure herein provided for, unless otherwise advised, it will be unnecessary for applicant to appear or be represented at the hearing.

G. Any person or the Commission's staff may, within 45 days after issuance of the instant notice by the Commission, file pursuant to Rule 214 of the Commission's Procedural Rules (18 CFR

385.214) a motion to intervene or notice of intervention and pursuant to Section 157.205 of the Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205) a protest to the request. If no protest is filed within the time allowed therefor, the proposed activity shall be deemed to be authorized effective the day after the time allowed for filing a protest. If a protest is filed and not withdrawn within 30 days after the time allowed for filing a protest, the instant request shall be treated as an application for authorization pursuant to Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95-5622 Filed 3-7-95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

[Docket No. RP95-115-002]

CNG Transmission Corp.; Notice of Compliance Filing

March 2, 1995.

Take notice that on February 27, 1995, CNG Transmission Corporation (CNG) pursuant to Section 4 of the Natural Gas Act, Section 154.63 of the Commission's Regulations, and the Commission's January 27, 1995, order in the referenced proceeding (Suspension Order), filed the following proposed changes to its FERC Gas Tariff, Second Revised Volume No. 1, to become effective on February 1, 1995:

Substitute Sixth Revised Sheet No. 32 Substitute Sixth Revised Sheet No. 33

The Suspension Order accepted and suspended CNG's filing, allowing CNG's tariff sheets to become effective, subject to refund, and subject to three conditions: (1) CNG was required to provide an explanation of its agreement with Transcontinental Gas Pipe Line Corporation (Transco); (2) CNG was required to provide an explanation of its proposed exclusion of FTY-GSS and FTNN-GSS customers from operation of the Account No. 858 component of the stranded cost surcharge; and (3) CNG was required to provide work papers that detail the derivation of its billing determinants.

CNG states that its filing complies with each of the three conditions. In partial response to the Commission's second condition, CNG's filing would revise its tariff sheets to require its FT–GSS and FTNN–GSS customers to pay the Account No. 858 component of the stranded cost surcharge.

CNG states that it has posted and served its filing in accordance with the Commission's regulations.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a protest