judicial review; nor does it extend the time within which a petition for judicial review may be filed, or postpone the effectiveness of this rule. This action may not be challenged later in proceedings to enforce its requirements (see section 307(b)(2)).

Nothing in this action shall be construed as permitting, allowing, or establishing a precedent for any future request for a revision to any SIP. Each request for revision to the SIP shall be considered separately in light of specific technical, economic, and environmental factors and in relation to relevant statutory and regulatory requirements.

SIP approvals under section 110 and subchapter I, part D of the CAA do not create any new requirements, but simply approve requirements that the State is already imposing. Therefore, because the Federal SIP-approval does not impose any new requirements. I certify that it does not have a significant impact on small entities. Moreover, due to the nature of the Federal-State relationship under the CAA, preparation of a regulatory flexibility analysis would constitute Federal inquiry into the economic reasonableness of State action. The CAA forbids EPA from basing its actions concerning SIPs on such grounds. Union Electric Co. v. U.S. E.P.A., 427 U.S. 246, 256-66 (S.Ct. 1976); 42 U.S.C. section 7410(a)(2). The Office of Management and Budget has exempted this action from review under Executive Order 12866.

List of Subjects in 40 CFR Parts 52 and 81

Environmental protection, Air pollution control, Area designations, Hydrocarbons, Incorporation by reference, Intergovernmental regulations, National parks, Reporting and recordkeeping, Ozone, Volatile organic compounds, and Wilderness areas.

Dated: February 22, 1995. Jane N. Saginaw,

Regional Administrator (6A).

40 CFR parts 52 and 81 are amended as follows:

PART 52—[AMENDED]

1. The authority citation for part 52 continues to read as follows: Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7401–7671q.

Subpart SS—Texas

2. Section 52.2275 is amended by adding paragraph (e) to read as follows:

§ 52.2275 Control strategy and regulations: Ozone.

* * * * *

(e) Approval—The Texas Natural **Resource Conservation Commission** (TNRCC) submitted an ozone redesignation request and maintenance plan on July 27, 1994, requesting that the Victoria County ozone nonattainment area be redesignated to attainment for ozone. Both the redesignation request and maintenance plan were adopted by TNRCC in Commission Order No. 94–29 on July 27, 1994. The redesignation request and maintenance plan meet the redesignation requirements in section 107(d)(3)(E) of the Act as amended in 1990. The redesignation meets the Federal requirements of section 182(a)(1) of the Clean Air Act as a revision to the Texas Ozone State Implementation Plan for Victoria County. The EPA approved the request for redesignation to attainment with respect to ozone for Victoria County on May 8, 1995.

PART 81—[AMENDED]

1. The authority citation for part 81 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7401-7871q.

2. In Section 81.344, the attainment status designation table for ozone is amended by revising the entry for Victoria County under "Designated Area" to read as follows:

§81.344 Texas.

* * *

TEXAS-OZONE

Des- ignated area	Designa- tion date	Classification	
		Туре	Date type
Victoria Area, Vic- toria Cou- nty.	May 8, 1995.	Attainment.	
*	*	* *	*
* *	* *	*	

[FR Doc. 95–5347 Filed 3–6–95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

40 CFR Parts 52 and 81

[MI21-04-6753, MI18-03-6754; FRL-5160-6]

Approval and Promulgation of Implementation Plans and Designation of Areas for Air Quality Planning Purposes; State of Michigan

AGENCY: United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA).

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: On July 21, 1994 the USEPA published a proposal to approve the 1990 base year emission inventory, basic vehicle inspection and maintenance (I/M) and the redesignation to attainment and associated section 175A maintenance plan for the ozone National Ambient Air Quality Standard (NAAQS) for the seven-county Detroit-Ann Arbor, Michigan area as a State Implementation Plan (SIP) revisions. The 30-day comment period concluded on August 22, 1994. A total of 72 comment letters were received in response to the July 21, 1994 proposal, 62 favorable, 9 adverse and 1 request to extend the comment period. On September 8, 1994, however, the USEPA published a correction document and 15-day extension of the comment period as a result of the inadvertent omission of a number of lines from the July 21, 1994 proposal. The reopened comment period concluded on September 23, 1994. An additional 25 comment letters were received in response to the September 8, 1994, extension of public comment period regarding the July 21, 1994 proposal approval, 2 favorable, 22 adverse and 1 informational. This final rule summarizes all comments and USEPA's responses, and finalizes the approval of the 1990 base year emission inventory, and basic I/M, and the redesignation to attainment for ozone and associated section 175A maintenance plan for the Detroit-Ann Arbor area.

EFFECTIVE DATE: This action will be effective April 6, 1995. **ADDRESSES:** Copies of the SIP revisions, public comments and USEPA's responses are available for inspection at the following address: (It is recommended that you telephone Jacqueline Nwia at (312) 886–6081 before visiting the Region 5 Office.) United States Environmental Protection Agency, Region 5, Air and Radiation Division, 77 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois 60604.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Jacqueline Nwia, Regulation Development Section (AT–18J), Air Toxics and Radiation Branch, Air and Radiation Division, United States Environmental Protection Agency, Region 5, 77 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois 60604, Telephone Number (312) 886–6081.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Background Information

The 1990 base year emission inventory, basic I/M, and redesignation