amendment request involves no significant hazards consideration. Under the Commission's regulations in 10 CFR 50.92, this means that operation of the facility in accordance with the proposed amendment would not: (1) Involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated; or (2) create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any accident previously evaluated; or (3) involve a significant reduction in a margin of safety. As required by 10 CFR 50.9(a), the licensee has provided its analysis of the issue of no significant hazards consideration, which is presented below:

- 1. The proposed changes to the steam generator low-low water level reactor trip and ESF actuation setpoint and to the steam generator high-high water level turbine trip and feedwater isolation setpoint do not significantly increase the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated in the FSAR. Several analyses previously performed in the FSAR required re-analysis. All acceptance criteria for the reanalyzed accidents continue to be met. Therefore, there is no increase in the consequences of any previously evaluated accident. The change to the steam generator low-low water level setpoint affords additional margin to spurious trips. No fission product barriers are affected. The relocation of the steam generator lower level tap does not result in increased failure probability of the SG level tap, sensing line, or instrument. Therefore, the proposed changes to the Technical Specifications do not significantly increase the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated in the FSAR.
- 2. The proposed changes to the Technical Specifications do not create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident than any accident already evaluated in the FSAR. No new limiting single failures or accident scenarios have been created or identified due to the proposed changes. All safety-related systems will continue to perform as designed. No new challenges to any installed safety systems have been created by the proposed setpoint modifications. Therefore, the possibility of a new or different accident is not created.
- 3. The proposed steam generator water level setpoint changes do not involve a significant reduction in the margin of safety. Some re-analysis was necessary because of the proposed setpoint changes; however, all margin associated with the current acceptance criteria continues to be unaffected. The proposed design and installation of the new level taps using the criteria of the ASME Code with inherent safety factors assure that the margin of safety in the structural integrity of the steam generator shell is not reduced. Setpoint uncertainty calculations have confirmed adequate margin exists between the assumed analysis setpoints and the revised setpoints. Therefore, there is no significant reduction in the margin of safety due to the setpoint changes or the physical modification.

The NRC staff has reviewed the licensee's analysis and, based on this review, it appears that the three standards of 10 CFR 50.92(c) are satisfied. Therefore, the NRC staff proposes to determine that the amendment request involves no significant hazards consideration.

The Commission is seeking public comments on this proposed determination. Any comments received within 30 days after the date of publication of this notice will be considered in making any final determination.

Normally, the Commission will not issue the amendment until the expiration of the 30-day notice period. However, should circumstances change during the notice period such that failure to act in a timely way would result, for example, in derating or shutdown of the facility, the Commission may issue the license amendment before the expiration of the 30-day notice period, provided that its final determination is that the amendment involves no significant hazards consideration. The final determination will consider all public and State comments received. Should the Commission take this action, it will publish in the **Federal Register** a notice of issuance and provide for opportunity for a hearing after issuance. The Commission expects that the need to take this action will occur very infrequently.

Written comments may be submitted by mail to the Rules Review and Directives Branch, Division of Freedom of Information and Publications Services, Office of Administration, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555, and should cite the publication date and page number of this Federal Register notice. Written comments may also be delivered to Room 6D22, Two White Flint North, 11545 Rockville Pike, Rockville, Maryland, from 7:30 a.m. to 4:15 p.m. Federal workdays. Copies of written comments received may be examined at the NRC Public Document Room, the Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW., Washington, DC.

The filing of requests for hearing and petitions for leave to intervene is discussed below.

By April 5, 1995, the licensee may file a request for a hearing with respect to issuance of the amendment to the subject facility operating license and any person whose interest may be affected by this proceeding and who wishes to participate as a party in the proceeding must file a written request for a hearing and a petition for leave to intervene. Requests for a hearing and a

petition for leave to intervene shall be filed in accordance with the Commission's "Rules of Practice for Domestic Licensing Proceedings" in 10 CFR Part 2. Interested persons should consult a current copy of 10 CFR 2.714 which is available at the Commission's Public Document Room, the Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW., Washington, DC, and at the local public document room located at the Houston-Love Memorial Library, 212 W. Burdenshaw Street, Post Office Box 1369, Dothan, Alabama 36302. If a request for a hearing or petition for leave to intervene is filed by the above date, the Commission or an Atomic Safety and Licensing Board, designated by the Commission or by the Chairman of the Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel, will rule on the request and/or petition; and the Secretary or the designated Atomic Safety and Licensing Board will issue a notice of hearing or an appropriate order.

As required by 10 CFR 2.714, a petition for leave to intervene shall set forth with particularity the interest of the petitioner in the proceeding, and how that interest may be affected by the results of the proceeding. The petition should specifically explain the reasons why intervention should be permitted with particular reference to the following factors: (1) The nature of the petitioner's right under the Act to be made party to the proceeding; (2) the nature and extent of the petitioner's property, financial, or other interest in the proceeding; and (3) the possible effect of any order which may be entered in the proceeding on the petitioner's interest. The petition should also identify the specific aspect(s) of the subject matter of the proceeding as to which petitioner wishes to intervene. Any person who has filed a petition for leave to intervene or who has been admitted as a party may amend the petition without requesting leave of the Board up to 15 days prior to the first prehearing conference scheduled in the proceeding, but such an amended petition must satisfy the specificity requirements described above.

Not later than 15 days prior to the first prehearing conference scheduled in the proceeding, a petitioner shall file a supplement to the petition to intervene which must include a list of the contentions which are sought to be litigated in the matter. Each contention must consist of a specific statement of the issue of law or fact to be raised or controverted. In addition, the petitioner shall provide a brief explanation of the bases of the contention and a concise statement of the alleged facts or expert opinion which support the contention