protection as would the mandatory standard.

14. Eighty-Four Mining Company

[Docket No. M-95-20-C]

Eighty-Four Mining Company, P.O. Box 729, Indiana, Pennsylvania 15701 has filed a petition to modify the application of 30 CFR 75.1002 (location of trolley wires, trolley feeder wires, high-voltage cables and transformers) to its Mine 84 (I.D. No. 36-00958) located in Washington County, Pennsylvania. The petitioner proposes to use highvoltage (4,160 volts) cables inby the last open crosscut to supply power to longwall face equipment. The petitioner asserts that the proposed alternative method would provide at least the same measure of protection as would the mandatory standard.

15. Minnesota Ore Operations, USX Corporation

[Docket No. M-95-03-M]

Minnesota Ore Operations, USX Corporation, 600 Grant Street, room 1580, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15219 has filed a petition to modify the application of 30 CFR 56.15014 (eye protection when operating grinding wheels) to its Minntac Mine (I.D. No. 21-00282); its Minntac Plant (I.D. No. 21-00820); and its Maintenance Department (I.D. No. 21-00819) all located in St. Louis County, Minnesota. The petitioner proposes to continue using pedestal grinders with safety shields; to continue providing safety glasses, including prescription glasses to all employees for them to wear while working, except in office areas; and to discontinue using face shields when employees are wearing safety glasses while operating pedestal grinders equipped with safety shields. The petitioner asserts that the proposed alternative method would provide at least the same measure of protection as would the mandatory standard.

Request for Comments

Persons interested in these petitions may furnish written comments. These comments must be filed with the Office of Standards, Regulations and Variances, Mine Safety and Health Administration, room 627, 4015 Wilson Boulevard, Arlington, Virginia 22203. All comments must be postmarked or received in that office on or before April 3, 1995. Copies of these petitions are available for inspection at that address.

Dated: February 24, 1995.

Patricia W. Silvey,

Director, Office of Standards, Regulations and Variances.

[FR Doc. 95–5141 Filed 3–1–95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4510–43–M

NATIONAL CAPITAL PLANNING COMMISSION

Master Plan Submission Requirement

AGENCY: National Capital Planning Commission.

ACTION: Final master plan submission requirements.

SUMMARY: On November 3, 1994, the Commission adopted several amendments to its Master Plan Submission Requirements, originally approved on September 6, 1984 and subsequently amended on November 7, 1985. The Commission's Master Plan Submission Requirements are the basic set of guidelines used by staff to direct Federal and District of Columbia agencies in preparing their master plan submissions to the Commission. The changes to the requirements are primarily designed to incorporate Administration policy directives and current and emerging planning and design concerns which the Commission is now emphasizing in working with agencies preparing master plan submissions. Briefly, Sec. 3.A.1.f. has been changed to emphasize the need for Federal agencies, as they prepare their master plans, to take into greater consideration the Comprehensive Plan for the National Capital's employee parking policies which are designed to encourage reduced reliance on singleoccupant vehicles. Consequently, the new requirements include a provision calling for the preparation of a Transportation Management Program for sites of 100 or more employees.

Sections 3.B.2.c and 3.B.3.a are new sections which are intended to promote a more consistent treatment and recognition of design issues in Federal Master Plans throughout the National Capital Region. Amendments to Sec. 4.A provide for the use of metric standards in master plan maps and drawings in accordance with Executive Order 12770, Metric Usage in Federal Government Programs. A new section, sec. 4.E, is meant to encourage Federal agencies to consider providing their master plan submissions using some of the current computer-based planning and design technologies widely available in the market today, such as Geographic Information Systems (GIS) and Computer Aided Design (CAD)

packages. Other technical and clarifying changes to the requirements are included as well.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ronald E. Wilson, Director for Planning, Review & Implementation Division, National Capital Planning Commission, 801 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., Suite 301, Washington, D.C. 20576 or (202)724–0191.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Section 1—Introduction

Section 5(a) of the National Capital Planning Act of 1952, as amended, (hereinafter "Planning Act"), provides that each Federal and District of Columbia agency prior to the preparation of construction plans originated by such agency for proposed developments and projects or to commitments for the acquisition of land, to be paid for in whole or in part from Federal or District funds, shall advise and consult with the National **Capital Planning Commission** (hereinafter "Commission") in the preparation by the agency of plans and programs in preliminary and successive stages which affect the Comprehensive Plan for the National Capital.

A master plan is an integrated series of documents which present in graphic, narrative, and tabular form the present composition of an installation and the plan for its orderly and comprehensive long-range development, generally over a period of 20 years. The Commission has determined that an approved master plan is a required preliminary stage of planning prior to agency preparation and submission to the Commission of site and building plans for individual projects. Master plans are necessary for installations on which more than one principal building, structure, or activity is located or is proposed to be located.

Ordinarily, the Commission will not approve, or recommend favorably on, project plans for an installation for which there is no approved master plan unless the agency provides an explanation satisfactory to the Commission as to the agency's reasons for not submitting a current master plan, or modification thereto, for the installation.

In accordance with Section 5(b) of the Planning Act, these requirements shall not apply to the Capitol Grounds or to the planning for structures within existing military, naval, or Air Force reservations erected by the Department of Defense during wartime or national emergency, except that the appropriate defense agency shall consult with the Commission as to any developments which materially affect traffic or require