### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

## History

Federal Register Document 94–31309, Airspace Docket No. 94–ASO–24, published on December 21, 1994 (59 FR 65705), corrected the geographic position coordinates of the Sabre Army Heliport and the designations of the Class D and Class E airspace areas at Fort Campbell, KY. An error was discovered in the geographic position coordinates of the Sabre Army Heliport. This action corrects that error.

### **Correction to Final Rule**

Accordingly, pursuant to the authority delegated to me, the geographic position coordinates for the Class D and Class E airspace areas at Fort Campbell, KY, as published in the **Federal Register** on December 21, 1994 (59 FR 65705), (**Federal Register** Document 94–31309; page 65706, column 3), are corrected as follows:

### §71.71 [Corrected]

ASO KY D Fort Campbell, KY [Corrected]

By removing "(Lat. 36°34′24″ N, long. 87°28′50″ W)" and substituting "(Lat. 36°34′14″ N, long. 87°28′50″ W)".

ASO KY E5 Fort Campbell, KY [Corrected]

By removing ''(Lat. 36°34′24″ N, long. 87°28′50″ W)'' and substituting ''(Lat. 36°34′14″ N, long. 87°28′50″ W)''.

Issued in College Park, Georgia, on February 10, 1995.

## Walter E. Denley,

Acting Manager, Air Traffic Division, Southern Region.

[FR Doc. 95–4775 Filed 3–1–95; 8:45 am]

# DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT

Office of the Assistant Secretary for Public and Indian Housing

24 CFR Parts 813, 905, 908, and 913 [Docket No. R-95-1747; FR-3730-F-03] RIN 2577-AB47

Electronic Transmission of Required Family Data for Public Housing, Indian Housing, and the Section 8 Rental Certificate, Rental Voucher, and Moderate Rehabilitation Programs

**AGENCY:** Office of the Assistant Secretary for Public and Indian

Housing, HUD.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: This rule requires all housing agencies (HAs) to submit certain data electronically to HUD in a HUD prescribed format. For HAs that are not already automated or who determine that automation is not cost-effective, transmission of the data through the use of a service bureau is permitted. Electronic transmission is necessary because the manual submission of HUD forms has become a burden to HAs and HUD.

This rule applies to projects administered under the public housing, Indian housing, and Section 8 Rental Certificate, Rental Voucher, and Moderate Rehabilitation programs. A similar rule was issued with respect to multifamily subsidized projects administered under programs subject to the oversight of the Assistant Secretary for Housing-Federal Housing Commissioner (58 FR 61017), which was codified at 24 CFR part 208.

EFFECTIVE DATE: April 3, 1995.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: For Technical Information—Katherine M. Dillon, Director, Information Services Division, Office of Public and Indian Housing, Room 4248, telephone (202) 708–5285. For Public Housing program information—Edward C. Whipple, Director, Occupancy Division, Office of Public and Indian Housing, Room 4206, telephone (202) 708-0744. For Native American program information—Ed Fagan, Office of Native American Programs, Room B-133, telephone (202) 755–0088. These people may be reached at the Department of Housing and Urban Development, 451 Seventh Street, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20410. Hearing or speech-impaired individuals may call HUD's TDD number (202) 708-4594. (These telephone numbers are not toll-

### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

### I. Paperwork Burden

The information collection requirements contained in this rule have been reviewed by the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1980 (44 U.S.C. 3501–3520) and assigned approval number 2577–0083, which expires on August 31, 1997.

## II. Background

On Thursday, October 6, 1994, the Department published a proposed rule that would require all housing agencies (HAs) to submit certain data electronically to HUD in a HUD prescribed format.

Housing agencies have been submitting data forms to HUD for each family assisted under the public

housing, Indian housing, and Section 8 Rental Certificate, Rental Voucher and Moderate Rehabilitation Programs. Approximately 85 percent of reporting agencies (3,655 HAs) have been submitting paper forms. This extensive processing of paper forms has become a burden to the HAs as well as to HUD.

To reduce the cost to the Department of processing this information and to improve its accuracy, HUD issued the proposed rule to require that this information be submitted electronically. The change is expected to contribute significant savings to the Department, in a time when budget constraints demand such savings. The time spent by HAs in initiating electronic collection and transmission and making corrections to the electronic data submissions will be offset by future savings in the reexamination and reporting process, as well as increased accuracy and speed associated with the admission, reexamination and reporting processes, and the reduced number of HUD adjustments and paperwork required by these adjustments.

The proposed rule requires HAs to submit data electronically via telephone modem, rather than through tape, diskette, or paper. However, the rule also provides that the Department may approve transmission of the data by tape or diskette where the Department determines that the cost of telephonic transmission would be excessive. For HAs that are not already automated or who determine that automation is not cost effective, the rule would permit transmission of the data through the use of a service bureau.

In recognition of the difficulty some HAs may have in conversion to electronic submission of data, the proposed rule permits HUD Field Offices to grant extensions of time beyond the stated implementation date for commencement of electronic submission under certain circumstances.

This final rule adopts the proposed rule, as published, in its entirety, with the addition of a reference to Indian housing programs in § 908.108(a).

# III. Response to Public Comments

The Department received 16 comments on the proposed rule. The commentors consisted of HAs and two professional housing associations. Most respondents expressed general support for HUD's implementation of the rule. The following are major concerns expressed by the commentors:

The most frequent category of concern was raised by small HAs (100 units or less in management), requesting that they be excluded from the requirement