conditions resulting from an accident. The change in schedule for performing the CILRT will not adversely affect the containment integrity in the event of an accident. Therefore, the proposed change will not create the possibility of a new or different type of accident from any accident previously evaluated.

3. Involve a significant reduction in a margin of safety.

The proposed change to the schedule for performing the periodic Type A test does not reduce the margin of safety assumed in the accident analysis for any release of radioactive materials or reduce any margin of safety preserved by the technical specifications. The methodology, acceptance criteria, and the technical specification leakage limits for the performance of the Type A tests will not change. The Type A tests will continue to be performed in accordance with 10 CFR 50, Appendix J and the Callaway Technical Specifications. Therefore, the proposed change will not involve a reduction in a margin of safety.

The NRC staff has reviewed the licensee's analysis and, based on this review, it appears that the three standards of 10 CFR 50.92(c) are satisfied. Therefore, the NRC staff proposes to determine that the amendment request involves no significant hazards consideration.

Local Public Document Room location: Callaway County Public Library, 710 Court Street, Fulton, Missouri 65251.

Attorney for licensee: Gerald Charnoff, Esq., Shaw, Pittman, Potts & Trowbridge, 2300 N Street, N.W., Washington, DC 20037.

NRC Project Director: Leif J. Norrholm.

Wisconsin Electric Power Company, Docket Nos. 50–266 and 50–301, Point Beach Nuclear Power Plant, Unit Nos. 1 and 2, Town of Two Creeks, Manitowoc County, Wisconsin

Date of amendment request: January 24, 1995.

Description of amendment request: The proposed amendment would modify Technical Specification (TS) Section 15.6.5, "Review and Audit," and TS Section 15.7.8, "Administrative Controls." The quality assurance audit frequencies would be removed, the section on emergency plan reviews would be removed, and the period for radioactive effluent reporting would be increased to annual. In addition, the references to "Semiannual Monitoring Report" would be changed to "Annual Monitoring Report" throughout TS Section 15.7.

Basis for proposed no significant hazards consideration determination: As required by 10 CFR 50.91(a), the licensee has provided its analysis of the issue of no significant hazards consideration which is presented below:

In accordance with the requirements of 10 CFR 50.91(a), Wisconsin Electric Power Company (Licensee) has evaluated the proposed changes against the standards of 10 CFR 50.92 and has determined that the operation of Point Beach Nuclear Plant, Units 1 and 2, in accordance with the proposed amendments, does not present a significant hazards consideration.

A proposed facility operating license amendment does not present a significant hazards consideration if operation of the facility in accordance with the proposed amendment will not:

- 1. Create a significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated.
- 2. Create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any accident previously evaluated.
- 3. Will not create a significant reduction in a margin of safety.

The proposed changes are administrative in nature. There is no physical change to the facility, its systems, or its operation. Since the changes will allow more flexibility in assigning resources to work on poor or weak performance areas, the plant safety will be enhanced. Operation of PBNP in accordance with the proposed amendments cannot create an increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated, create a new or different kind of accident, or result in a significant reduction in a margin of safety. Therefore, the proposed changes do not present a significant hazards consideration.

The NRC staff has reviewed the licensee's analysis and, based on this review, it appears that the three standards of 10 CFR 50.92(c) are satisfied. Therefore, the NRC staff proposes to determine that the amendment request involves no significant hazards consideration.

Local Public Document Room location: Joseph P. Mann Library, 1516 Sixteenth Street, Two Rivers, Wisconsin 54241.

Attorney for licensee: Gerald Charnoff, Esq., Shaw, Pittman, Potts, and Trowbridge, 2300 N Street, NW., Washington, DC 20037.

*NRC Project Director:* Leif J. Norrholm.

## Previously Published Notices of Consideration of Issuance of Amendments To Facility Operating Licenses, Proposed No Significant Hazards Consideration Determination, and Opportunity for a Hearing

The following notices were previously published as separate individual notices. The notice content was the same as above. They were published as individual notices either because time did not allow the Commission to wait for this biweekly notice or because the action involved exigent circumstances.

They are repeated here because the biweekly notice lists all amendments issued or proposed to be issued involving no significant hazards consideration.

For details, see the individual notice in the **Federal Register** on the day and page cited. This notice does not extend the notice period of the original notice.

Duke Power Company, et al., Docket No. 50–413, Catawba Nuclear Station, Unit 1, York County, South Carolina

Date of amendment request: October 18. 1994.

Description of amendment request: The proposed amendment would change Technical Specification 3.6.1.2 to defer the next scheduled containment integrated leak rate test at Catawba Unit 1 for one outage, from the end-of-cycle (EOC) 8 refueling outage (scheduled for February 1995) to EOC 9 (scheduled for June 1996).

Date of publication of individual notice in **Federal Register**: February 6, 1995 (60 FR 7073).

Expiration date of individual notice: March 8, 1995.

Local Public Document Room location: York County Library, 138 East Black Street, Rock Hill, South Carolina.

Duke Power Company, et al., Docket No. 50–413 Catawba Nuclear Station, Unit 1, York County, South Carolina

Date of amendment request: November 29, 1994, as supplemented January 12 and 27, 1995.

Description of amendment request: The proposed amendment requested renewal for Catawba Unit 1 Cycle 9 operation of the steam generator tube inspection bobbin probe voltage-based interim plugging criteria that had been previously approved for Cycle 8.

Date of publication of individual notice in **Federal Register**: February 9, 1995 (60 FR 7801).

Expiration date of individual notice: March 13, 1995.

Local Public Document Room location: York County Library, 138 East Black Street, Rock Hill, South Carolina.

Georgia Power Company, et al., Docket Nos. 50–424 and 50–425, Vogtle Electric Generating Plant, Units 1 and 2, Burke County, Georgia,

Date of amendment request: January 20, 1995.

Description of amendment request: The proposed amendment would revise Technical Specification 6.4.1.2 to provide a more accurate description of the Plant Review Board composition.

Date of publication of individual notice in **Federal Register**: February 6, 1995 (60 FR 7077).