Department, San Luis Obispo, California 93407.

Attorney for licensee: Christopher J. Warner, Esq., Pacific Gas and Electric Company, P.O. Box 7442, San Francisco, California 94120.

*NRC Project Director:* Theodore R. Quay.

Pacific Gas and Electric Company, Docket No. 50–133, Humboldt Bay Power Plant, Unit 3, Humboldt County, California

Date of amendment request: November 23, 1994.

Description of amendment request: The proposed amendment would revise the Technical Specifications Section VI, "Waste Disposal Systems," regarding radioactive effluent limitations and the conditions for automatically pumping the contents of the reactor caisson sump to the outfall canal.

Basis for proposed no significant hazards consideration determination: As required by 10 CFR 50.91(a), the licensee has provided its analysis of the issue of no significant hazards consideration, which is presented below:

1. Does the change involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated?

The proposed revisions to the HBPP Technical Specifications remove the ambiguity in the guidelines for directing caisson sump discharges to the outfall canal. Additionally, the proposed revisions will modify Section VI to be consistent with the guidance provided by NRC Draft Generic Letter for 10 CFR 20 Modification to Technical Specifications (58 FR 68171, dated December 23, 1993). These changes in effluent limits are not related to the probability or consequences of an accident.

Therefore, the proposed changes do not involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated.

2. Does the change create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any accident previously evaluated?

The proposed revisions to the HBPP Technical Specifications are administrative in nature and do not change the method by which any safety-related system performs its function.

Therefore, the proposed changes do not create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any accident previously evaluated.

3. Does the change involve a significant reduction in a margin of safety?

The proposed revisions to the HBPP Technical Specifications do not affect the margin of safety associated with parameters for any accident analysis.

Therefore, the proposed changes do not involve a significant reduction in a margin of safety.

The NRC staff has reviewed the analysis of the licensee and, based on

this review, it appears that the three standards of 50.92(c) are satisfied. Therefore, the NRC staff proposes to determine that the amendment request involves no significant hazards consideration.

Local Public Document Room location: Humboldt County Library, 636 F Street, Eureka, California 95501.

Attorney for licensee: Christopher J. Warner, Esquire, Pacific Gas & Electric Company, P.O. Box 7442, San Francisco, California 94120.

*NRC Project Director:* Seymour H. Weiss.

Pacific Gas and Electric Company, Docket No. 50–133, Humboldt Bay Power Plant, Unit 3, Humboldt County, California

Date of amendment request: November 23, 1994.

Description of amendment request: The proposed amendment would revise the Technical Specifications Section VII.C, Plant Staff, to decrease the minimum staff requirements for the shift operating organization from five to two persons.

Basis for proposed no significant hazards consideration determination: As required by 10 CFR 50.91(a), the licensee has provided its analysis of the issue of no significant hazards consideration, which is presented below:

1. Does the change involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated?

The probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated will not be affected by the change in plant staffing. The plant staff manning requirements for the shift operating organization are being reduced to reflect the condition of the plant in a SAFSTOR mode. Previously evaluated accidents do not require operator actions to mitigate or reduce the consequences of occurrence. Consequently, the change will not affect the probability or consequences of an accident occurring.

Therefore, the proposed change does not involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated.

2. Does the change create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any accident previously evaluated?

The proposed revisions to the HBPP Technical Specifications are administrative in nature. Further, there would not be any change in equipment or system function or operation.

Therefore, the proposed change does not create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any accident previously evaluated.

3. Does the change involve a significant reduction in a margin of safety?

The proposed revisions to the HBPP Technical Specifications do not affect the margin of safety of any accident analysis since they do not affect the parameters for any accident analysis, and they have no effect on the current operating methodologies or actions that govern plant performance.

Therefore, the proposed change does not involve a significant reduction in a margin of safety.

The NRC staff has reviewed the analysis of the licensee and, based on this review, it appears that the three standards of 50.92(c) are satisfied. Therefore, the NRC staff proposes to determine that the amendment request involves no significant hazards consideration.

Local Public Document Room location: Humboldt County Library, 636 F Street, Eureka, California 95501.

Attorney for licensee: Christopher J. Warner, Esquire, Pacific Gas & Electric Company, P.O. Box 7442, San Francisco, California 94120.

*NRC Project Director:* Seymour H. Weiss.

PECO Energy Company, Public Service Electric and Gas Company, Delmarva Power and Light Company, and Atlantic City Electric Company, Docket No. 50– 278, Peach Bottom Atomic Power Station, Unit No. 3, York County, Pennsylvania

Date of application for amendment: January 13, 1995.

Description of amendment request: The proposed changes revise Tables 3.7.1 and 3.7.4 to reflect a reduction in the number of primary containment power operated outboard valves for the Traversing Incore Probe (TIP) probes, and a redesignation of the containment penetration numbers for the TIP ball, shear, and check valves. The proposed changes are a result of PBAPS Modification P00068.

Basis for proposed no significant hazards consideration determination: As required by 10 CFR 50.91(a), the licensee has provided its analysis of the issue of no significant hazards consideration, which is presented below:

1. The proposed change does not involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated.

The TIP system does not serve as an initiator or contributor to any accidents previously evaluated. The system provides a means of calibrating the Local Power Range Monitors and supports thermal limit calculations. The new system performs the same function as the old one. It will provide improved reliability and added redundancy by allowing a complete flux mapping if a detector or drive failure were to occur.

Installation of Modification P00068 and its operation will not degrade any active or passive equipment that responds to an accident. These changes do not decrease the