Duke Power Company, Docket Nos. 50–369 and 50–370, McGuire Nuclear Station, Units 1 and 2, Mecklenburg County, North Carolina

Date of amendment request: January 18, 1995.

Description of amendment request:
The proposed amendments would
relocate the requirements for the seismic
instrumentation, meteorological
instrumentation, and loose-part
detection system from the Technical
Specifications to the Selected Licensee
Commitment (SCL) Manual. This will
allow future changes to these controls to
be performed under the provisions of 10
CFR 50.59. No changes are being made
to the technical content of the affected
Technical Specification pages.

Basis for proposed no significant hazards consideration determination: As required by 10 CFR 50.91(a), the licensee has provided its analysis of the issue of no significant hazards consideration, which is presented below:

Criterion 1

The requested amendments will not involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated. Relocation of the affected TS sections to the SLC Manual will have no effect on the probability of any accident occurring. In addition, the consequences of an accident will not be impacted since the above instrumentation will continue to be utilized in the same manner as before. No impact on the plant response to accidents will be created.

Criterion 2

The requested amendments will not create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any accident previously evaluated. No new accident causal mechanisms will be created as a result of relocating the affected TS requirements to the SLC Manual. Plant operation will not be affected by the proposed amendments and no new failure modes will be created.

Criterion 3

The requested amendments will not involve a significant reduction in a margin of safety. No impact upon any plant safety margins will be created. Relocation of the affected TS requirements to the SLC Manual is consistent with the content of the Westinghouse RSTS [Revised Standard Technical Specifications], as the NRC did not require technical specification controls for the affected instrumentation in the RSTS. The proposed amendments are consistent with the NRC philosophy of encouraging utilities to propose amendments that are consistent with the content of the RSTS.

Based upon the preceding analyses, Duke Power Company concludes that the requested amendments do not involve a significant hazards consideration.

The NRC staff has reviewed the licensee's analysis and, based on this

review, it appears that the three standards of 10 CFR 50.92(c) are satisfied. Therefore, the NRC staff proposes to determine that the amendment request involves no significant hazards consideration.

Local Public Document Room location: Atkins Library, University of North Carolina, Charlotte (UNCC Station), North Carolina 28223.

Attorney for licensee: Mr. Albert Carr, Duke Power Company, 422 South Church Street, Charlotte, North Carolina 28242

NRC Project Director: Herbert N. Berkow.

Duke Power Company, Docket Nos. 50–369 and 50–370, McGuire Nuclear Station, Units 1 and 2, Mecklenburg County, North Carolina

Date of amendment request: January 18, 1995.

Description of amendment request: The amendments would revise Technical Specification Table 4.3–3 to allow the analog channel operational test interval for radiation monitoring instrumentation to be increased from monthly to quarterly. The proposed amendment changes would be consistent with the guidance in Generic Letter 93–05, "Line-Item Technical Specifications Improvements to Reduce Surveillance Requirements for Testing During Power Operation."

Basis for proposed no significant hazards consideration determination: As required by 10 CFR 50.91(a), the licensee has provided its analysis of the issue of no significant hazards consideration, which is presented below:

Criterion 1

The requested amendments will not involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated. Decreasing the frequency of the radiation monitor analog channel operational test from monthly to quarterly will have no impact upon the probability or any accident, since the radiation monitors are not accident initiating equipment. Analysis of the previous test data * * shows that no significant degradation of performance is to be expected by the decrease in frequency. Therefore, the requested amendments will have no adverse impact upon the consequences of any accident.

Criterion 2

The requested amendments will not create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any accident previously evaluated. As stated above, the radiation monitors are not accident initiating equipment. No new failure modes can be created from an accident standpoint. The plant will not be operated in a different manner.

Criterion 3

The requested amendments will not involve a significant reduction in a margin of safety. Plant safety margins will be unaffected by the proposed changes. No safety equipment which is taken credit for in accident analyses will be affected by the requested amendments. The availability of the affected radiation monitors will be increased as a result of the proposed amendments because the monitors will not have to be made unavailable for testing as frequently. In addition, radiation monitor operating experience supports the proposed amendments. Finally, the proposed amendments are consistent with the NRC position and guidance set forth in NUREG-1366 and Generic Letter 93-05.

Based upon the preceding analyses, Duke Power Company concludes that the requested amendments do not involve a significant hazards consideration.

The NRC staff has reviewed the licensee's analysis and, based on this review, it appears that the three standards of 10 CFR 50.92(c) are satisfied. Therefore, the NRC staff proposes to determine that the amendment request involves no significant hazards consideration.

Local Public Document Room location: Atkins Library, University of North Carolina, Charlotte (UNCC Station), North Carolina 28223.

Attorney for licensee: Mr. Albert Carr, Duke Power Company, 422 South Church Street, Charlotte, North Carolina 28242.

NRC Project Director: Herbert N. Berkow.

Florida Power and Light Company, et al., Docket Nos. 50–335 and 50–389, St. Lucie Plant, Unit Nos. 1 and 2, St. Lucie County, Florida

Date of amendment request: January 20, 1995.

Description of amendment request: The proposed amendments will relocate the operability requirements for the INCORE DETECTORS (TS 3/4.3.3.2) to the Updated Final Safety Analysis Report, and revise Linear Heat Rate surveillance 4.2.1.4, and Special Test Exceptions surveillances 4.10.2.2, 4.10.4.2 (Unit 2 only), and 4.10.5.2, accordingly.

Basis for proposed no significant hazards consideration determination: As required by 10 CFR 50.91(a), the licensee has provided its analysis of the issue of no significant hazards consideration, which is presented below:

(1) Operation of the facility in accordance with the proposed amendment would not involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated.

The proposed changes are administrative in nature in that the specifications for