Washington, D.C. 20230; telephone (202) 482–4195 or 482–3814, respectively.

### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### **Background**

On April 12, 1991, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published the antidumping duty order on Atlantic salmon from Norway (56 FR 14920). The Department published final results of administrative review of the order on July 14, 1993 (58 FR 37912). The review covered one exporter, Skaarfish A/S, and the period October 3, 1990, through March 31, 1992.

In accordance with § 353.28(c), petitioner and respondent submitted allegations of clerical errors. We were unable to correct these errors and publish amended final results, however, because the petitioner filed a summons with the Court of International Trade (CIT) before we could correct these errors. Subsequently, the CIT granted the Department leave to correct these clerical errors.

#### Scope of the Review

The merchandise covered by this review is fresh and chilled Atlantic salmon. It encompasses the species of Atlantic salmon (Salmo salar) marketed as specified herein; the subject merchandise excludes all other species of salmon: Danube salmon; Chinook (also called "king" or "quinnat"); Coho (silver); Sockeye ("redfish" or "blueback"); Humpback (pink); and Chum (dog). Atlantic salmon is whole or nearly whole fish, typically (but not necessarily) marketed gutted, bled, and cleaned, with the head on. The subject merchandise is typically packed in fresh water ice (chilled). Excluded from the subject merchandise are fillets, steaks, and other cuts of Atlantic salmon. Also excluded are frozen, canned, smoked or otherwise processed Atlantic salmon. Fresh and chilled Atlantic salmon is currently provided for under Harmonized Tariff Schedule (HTS) subheading 0302.12.00.02.09. The HTS item number is provided for convenience and Customs purposes. The written description remains dispositive.

## **Amendment of Final Results**

We have corrected three ministerial errors in Skaarfish's margin calculation for the period October 3, 1990, through March 31, 1992, as follows:

1. Petitioner argues that the Department erred in allocating general depreciation expenses to processing of Atlantic salmon on the basis of square meters. Furthermore, petitioner contends that the remaining amount for

general depreciation which was not allocated to processing of Atlantic salmon was unintentionally not included in the pool of general and administrative expenses.

The Department agrees with the petitioner in part. In its final results of review, the Department allocated depreciation expenses associated with production on the basis of the relative costs incurred for the various production lines. General depreciation was allocated on the basis of square meters. However, the Department erred in not including in the pool of general and administrative expenses those general depreciation expenses not allocated to production. This has been corrected for the amended final results review.

2. Petitioner contends that the Department erred by adding warranty expenses to net U.S. price in its computer program.

The Department agrees that warranty expenses should be subtracted from the net U.S. price, and has corrected its computer program for the amended final results of review.

3. Respondent contends that the Department erred by using a two-digit U.S. dollar/Norwegian kroner exchange rate rather than the six-digit rates used in the preliminary results.

The Department agrees that the sixdigit dollar/kroner rate should be used, and has corrected its computer program for the amended final results of review.

#### **Final Results of Review**

Based on the corrections of the ministerial errors, the Department has amended our final results of review. The following margin exists for the period October 3, 1990, through March 31, 1992.

Skaarfish A/S; 2.15%

The Department shall determine, and the Customs Service shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries. Individual differences between U.S. price and foreign market value may vary from the percentage stated above. The Department will issue appraisement instructions concerning all respondents directly to the U.S. Customs Service.

This notice serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 353.26 to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

This amendment of final results of review and notice are in accordance with section 751(f) of the Tariff Act (19 U.S.C. 1673(d)) and 19 CFR 353.28(c).

Dated: February 22, 1995.

#### Susan G. Esserman,

Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 95–5053 Filed 2–28–95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–DS–M

### Intent To Revoke Antidumping Duty Orders and Findings and To Terminate Suspended Investigations

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice of intent to revoke antidumping duty orders and findings and to terminate suspended investigations.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Commerce (the Department) is notifying the public of its intent to revoke the antidumping duty orders and findings and to terminate the suspended investigations listed below. Domestic interested parties who object to these revocations and terminations must submit their comments in writing no later than the last day of March 1995.

EFFECTIVE DATE: March 1, 1995.
FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:
Michael Panfeld or the analyst listed under Antidumping Proceeding at:
Office of Antidumping Compliance,
Import Administration, International
Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street & Constitution
Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230, telephone (202) 482–4737.

## SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

# **Background**

The Department may revoke an antidumping duty order or finding or terminate a suspended investigation if the Secretary of Commerce concludes that it is no longer of interest to interested parties. Accordingly, as required by § 353.25(d)(4) of the Department's regulations, we are notifying the public of our intent to revoke the following antidumping duty orders and findings and to terminate the suspended investigations for which the Department has not received a request to conduct an administrative review for the most recent four consecutive annual anniversary months:

### **Antidumping Proceeding**

#### Australia

Canned Bartlett Pears, A-602-039, 38 FR 7566, March 23, 1973, Contact: