the Commission's decision. To purchase a copy of the full decision, write to, call, or pick up in person from: Dynamic Concepts, Inc., Room 2229, Interstate Commerce Commission Building, 1201 Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20423. Telephone (202) 289–4357/4359. [Assistance for the hearing impaired is available through TDD services (202) 927–5721.]

Decided: February 10, 1995.

By the Commission, Chairman McDonald, Vice Chairman Morgan, and Commissioners Simmons and Owen.

Vernon A. Williams,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95–4914 Filed 2–27–95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7035–01–P

[Docket No. AB-167 (Sub-No. 1144X)]

Consolidated Rail Corporation— Abandonment Exemption—in Cumberland and Dauphin Counties, PA

Consolidated Rail Corporation (Conrail) has filed a notice of exemption under 49 CFR 1152 Subpart F—Exempt Abandonments to abandon a 1-mile line of railroad (the Cumberland Valley Railroad Bridge) spanning the Susquehanna River, between Harrisburg and Lemoyne, PA, located on a portion of track known as the Shippensburg Secondary, between milepost 0.5 and milepost 1.5, in Cumberland and Dauphin Counties, PA.

Conrail has certified that: (1) No local or overhead traffic has moved over the line for at least 2 years; (2) no formal complaint filed by a user of rail service on the line (or by a state or local government entity acting on behalf of such user) regarding cessation of service over the line either is pending with the Commission or with any U.S. District Court or has been decided in favor of the complainant within the 2-year period; and (3) the requirements at 49 CFR 1105.7 (environmental reports), 49 CFR 1105.8 (historic report), 49 CFR 1105.11 (transmittal letter), 49 CFR 1105.12 (newspaper publication), and 49 CFR 1152.50(d)(1) (notice to governmental agencies) have been met.

As a condition to use of this exemption, any employee adversely affected by the abandonment shall be protected under *Oregon Short Line R. Co.—Abandonment—Goshen*, 360 I.C.C. 91 (1979). To address whether this condition adequately protects affected employees, a petition for partial revocation under 49 U.S.C. 10505(d) must be filed.

Provided no formal expression of intent to file an offer of financial assistance (OFA) has been received, this exemption will be effective on March 30, 1995, unless stayed pending reconsideration. Petitions to stay that do not involve environmental issues, ¹ formal expressions of intent to file an OFA under 49 CFR 1152.27(c)(2), ² and trail use/rail banking requests under 49 CFR 1152.29 ³ must be filed by March 10, 1995. Petitions to reopen or requests for public use conditions under 49 CFR 1152.28 must be filed by March 20, 1995, ⁴ with: Office of the Secretary, Case Control Branch, Interstate Commerce Commission, Washington, DC 20423.

A copy of any pleading filed with the Commission should be sent to applicant's representative: John J. Paylor, Consolidated Rail Corporation, Two Commerce Square, 2001 Market Street, P.O. Box 41416, Philadelphia, PA 19101–1416.

If the notice of exemption contains false or misleading information, the exemption is void *ab initio*.

Conrail has filed an environmental report which addresses the abandonment's effects, if any, on the environment and historic resources. The Section of Environmental Analysis (SEA) will issue an environmental assessment (EA) by March 3, 1995. Interested persons may obtain a copy of the EA by writing to SEA (Room 3219, Interstate Commerce Commission, Washington, DC 20423) or by calling Elaine Kaiser, Chief of SEA, at (202) 927-6248. Comments on environmental and historic preservation matters must be filed within 15 days after the EA is available to the public.

Environmental, historic preservation, public use, or trail use/rail banking conditions will be imposed, where appropriate, in a subsequent decision.

Decided: February 16, 1995.

By the Commission, David M. Konschnik, Director, Office of Proceedings.

Vernon A. Williams,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95–4874 Filed 2–27–95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7035–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Antitrust Division

United States v. Association of Retail Travel Agents: Public Comments and Response on Proposed Final Judgment

Pursuant to the Antitrust Procedures and Penalties Act, 15 U.S.C. 16(b)–(h), the United States publishes below the comments received on the proposed Final Judgment in *United States* v. *Association of Retail Travel Agents*, Civil Action No. 94–2305 (PF), United States District Court for the District of Columbia, together with the response of the United States to the comments.

Copies of the response and the public comments are available on request for inspection and copying in room 3233 of the Antitrust Division, U.S. Department of Justice, Tenth Street and Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., Washington, DC. 20530, and for inspection at the Office of the Clerk of the United States District Court for the District of Columbia, United States Courthouse, Third Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20001.

Constance K. Robinson,

Director of Operations, Antitrust Division.

United States' Response to Public Comments

Introduction

In the United States District Court for the District of Columbia

United States of America, Plaintiff, v. Association of Retail Travel Agents, Defendant. [Civil No: 94–2305 (PF).]

Pursuant to section 2(d) of the Antitrust Procedures and Penalties Act ("APPA"), 15 U.S.C. 16(d), the United States responds to public comments on the proposed Final Judgment submitted for entry in this civil antitrust proceeding.

This action began on October 25, 1994, when the United States filed a Complaint alleging that the Association of Retail Travel Agents (hereinafter "ARTA") had entered into a contract, combination or conspiracy in restraint of trade in violation of section 1 of the Sherman Act (15 U.S.C. 1). The Complaint alleges that ARTA, a trade association, all of whose members are

¹ A stay will be issued routinely by the Commission in those proceedings where an informed decision on environmental issues (whether raised by a party or by the Commission's Section of Environmental Analysis in its independent investigation) cannot be made prior to the effective date of the notice of exemption. See Exemption of Out-of-Service Rail Lines, 5 I.C.C.2d 377 (1989). Any entity seeking a stay on environmental concerns is encouraged to file its request as soon as possible in order to permit the Commission to review and act on the request before the effective date of this exemption.

² See Exempt. of Rail Abandonment—Offers of Finan. Assist., 4 I.C.C.2d 164 (1987).

³The Commission will accept a late-filed trail use request as long as it retains jurisdiction to do so.

⁴Conrail indicates that, subject to the abandonment, they have contracted to sell the bridge to Capital Area Transit for the purpose of preserving it as a historical structure and for possible future public use.