after October 6, 1995, are not subject to fines and penalties related to the RCRA storage prohibitions for their mixed waste.

### III. Activities in Progress

During January and February 1995, DOE site offices met with representatives of the States that host the largest DOE facilities to provide information on the overall Environmental Management budget for their sites, and the work in progress and work that needs to be accomplished within that budget.1 These general discussions were supplemented by specific discussions on the activities and associated schedules to be proposed in the Site Treatment Plans, along with potential funding impacts on those activities. The smaller DOE sites were also encouraged to hold discussions with their States during this period on their proposed schedules and funding situation.

In addition, in February 1995, DOE, the affected States, EPA, and Tribal representatives again met collectively to discuss funding issues related to all of DOE's environmental management activities as well as to Site Treatment Plan activities, and to discuss strategies for working cooperatively to address anticipated funding limitations.

DOE believes that communication with, and the involvement of affected States, EPA, Tribal representatives, and other interested parties is essential in developing Proposed Plans that are acceptable to the regulatory agency and the public. However, DOE does not expect that all concerns or questions about the schedules for new facilities, particularly for large and costly facilities, will be resolved before the Proposed Plans are submitted. DOE, like many other Federal agencies, will face increasingly limited funding in the future. Accordingly, DOE anticipates that discussions will continue with regulatory agencies and the public after the Proposed Plans are submitted on the relative priority of mixed waste treatment and other environmental management activities at each site and across the DOE complex before the Plans and schedules are approved.

# **IV. For Further Information**

Additional information on the development process for the Site Treatment Plans, a list of facilities preparing Plans and their locations, and related activities can be obtained from the DOE Center for Environmental Management Information at 1–800– 7EM–DATA (1–800–736–3282), or through the Internet at address http:// www.em.doe.gov/ffcabb/ffcamain.html.

Issued in Washington DC on February 22,

#### 1995. Jill E. Lytle,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Waste Management, Office of Environmental Management. [FR Doc. 95–4877 Filed 2–27–95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6450–01–P

### Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Project No. 11058 Massachusetts]

## A.L.L. Natural Resources, Inc.; Notice of Availability of Final Environmental Assessment

February 22, 1995.

In accordance with the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 and the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission's (Commission's) regulations, 18 CFR Part 380 (Order No. 486, 52 FR 47897), the Office of Hydropower Licensing has reviewed the application for minor license for the proposed Fitchburg Paper Mill Dam #4 Hydroelectric Project located on the North Nashua River in the City of Fitchburg, Worcester County, Massachusetts, and has prepared a Final Environmental Assessment (FEA) for the proposed project. In the FEA, the Commission's staff has analyzed the potential environmental impacts of the proposed project and has concluded that approval of the proposed project, with appropriate mitigation measures, would not constitute a major federal action significantly affecting the quality of the human environment.

Copies of the FEA are available for review in the Public Reference Branch, Room 3104, of the Commission's offices at 941 North Capitol Street, N.E., Washington, DC 20426.

# Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95–4824 Filed 2–27–95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717–01–M

## [Project No. 2306-016-VT]

## Citizens Utilities Companies; Notice of Intent to Hold a Public Meeting in Newport, Vermont, to Discuss Staff's Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the Clyde River Hydroelectric Project

February 22, 1995.

On February 3, 1995, the Commission staff mailed the Clyde River DEIS to the

Environmental Protection Agency, resource and land management agencies, and interested organizations and individuals. This document evaluates the environmental consequences of operating the applicant's existing 6.5-megawatt (MW) hydroelectric project, located on the Clyde River in northern Vermont, near the town of Newport.

The applicant proposes to: (1) Operate run-of-river at the Seymore Lake, Echo Pond, and West Charleston developments; (2) limit pond fluctuations at the Newport 1,2,3 development; provide minimum flows in the bypassed reaches of West Charleston and Newport No. 11 developments and the lower bypass reach of the Newport 1,2,3 development; provide a fish passage flow and various enhancements for recreation and other environmental resources.

The subject DEIS also evaluates the environmental effects of: (1) The project as proposed; (2) the proposed project with additional modifications and enhancements;(3) removal of Newport No. 11 dam with and without repowering the Newport No. 11 powerhouse; and (4) the no action alternative.

The public meetings on the Clyde River Project will be recorded by an official stenographer. The meeting will be held from 7:00 P.M. to 11:00 P.M. on Tuesday, March 14, 1995, at the North Country Union High School on Veterans Avenue in Newport, Vermont.

At the subject meeting, resource agency personnel and other interested persons will have the opportunity to provide oral and written comments and recommendations regarding the Clyde River DEIS for the Commission's public record.

For further information, please contact Kathleen Sherman, at (202) 219–2834.

### Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary. [FR Doc. 94–4825 Filed 2–27–95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

[Project Nos. 2551-004-MI and 2579-010-IN]

# Indiana Michigan Power Company; Notice of Availability of Draft Environmental Assessment

February 22, 1995.

In accordance with the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 and the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission's (Commission's) regulations, 18 CFR Part 380 (Order No.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The host States are Colorado, Idaho, Nevada, New Mexico, Ohio, South Carolina, Tennessee, and Washington.