at the time that the SIP was submitted and a target APO that is at least 25 percent above the areawide AVO. Employers with more than 100 employees are required to submit compliance plans to the State that convincingly demonstrate that the plan will increase the APO per vehicle in commuting trips between home and the worksite during peak travel periods to a level not less than 25 percent above the areawide AVO for all such trips. Employer notification was scheduled to begin in January 1995. Registration forms, APO surveys, and compliance or maintenance plans will be required from employers 30, 90, and 240 days, respectively, following receipt of the registration packet. Mailing of renewal notices will begin in January 1997.

Substantial penalties that will provide an adequate incentive for employers to comply and are no less than the expected cost of compliance are included in the regulation. USEPA is, therefore, proposing to approve this submittal. Public comments are solicited on the requested SIP revision and on USEPA's proposed rulemaking action. Comments received by March 30, 1995 will be considered in the development of USEPA's final rule.

This action has been classified as a Table 2 action by the Regional Administrator under the procedures published in the **Federal Register** on January 19, 1989 (54 FR 2214–2225), as revised by an October 4, 1993, memoran dum from Michael H. Shapiro, Acting Assistant Administrator for Air and Radiation. The Office of Management and Budget (OMB) has exempted this regulatory action from Executive Order 12866 review.

Nothing in this action should be construed as permitting or allowing or establishing a precedent for any future request for revision to any State Implementation Plan. Each request for revision to any State Implementation Plan shall be considered separately in light of specific technical, economic, and environmental factors and in relation to relevant statutory and regulatory requirements.

Under the Regulatory Flexibility Act, 5 U.S.C. 600 et seq., USEPA must prepare a regulatory flexibility analysis assessing the impact of any proposed or final rule on small entities. 5 U.S.C. 603 and 604. Alternatively, USEPA may certify that the rule will not have a significant impact on a substantial number of small entities. Small entities include small businesses, small not-for-profit enterprises, and government entities with jurisdiction over populations of less than 50,000.

SIP approvals under section 110 and subchapter I, part D of the Act do not create any new requirements, but simply approve requirements that the State is already imposing. Therefore, because the Federal SIP approval does not impose any new requirements, I certify that it does not have a significant impact on any small entities affected. Moreover, due to the nature of the Federal state relationship under the Act, preparation of a regulatory flexibility analysis would constitute Federal inquiry into the economic reasonableness of State action. The Act forbids USEPA to base its actions concerning SIPs on such grounds. Union Electric Co. v. U.S. E.P.A., 427 U.S. 246, 256-66 (S.Ct. 1976); 42 U.S.C. 7410(a)(2).

List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 52

Environmental protection, Air pollution control, Ozone.

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7401–7671q. Dated: February 10, 1995.

David A. Ullrich.

Acting Regional Administrator. [FR Doc. 95–4789 Filed 2–27–95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

47 CFR Part 73

[MM Docket No. 95-27, RM-8582]

Radio Broadcasting Services; Yazoo City, Mississippi

AGENCY: Federal Communications Commission.

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: The Commission requests comments on a petition filed by Mississippi College, licensee of Station WHJT(FM), Channel 228A, Clinton, Mississippi, proposing the deletion of vacant Channel 229A at Yazoo City, Mississippi. Any party wishing to express an interest in Channel 229A Yazoo City, Mississippi, should file their expression of interest by the initial comment deadline specified herein. **DATES:** Comments must be filed on or before April 17, 1995, and reply comments on or before May 2, 1995. **ADDRESSES:** Federal Communications Commission, Washington, D.C. 20554. In addition to filing comments with the FCC, interested parties should serve the petitioner, or its counsel or consultant, as follows: Shaun A. Maher, Smithwick & Belediuk, P.C., 1990 M Street, NW, Suite 510, Washington, D.C. 20036 (Counsel for petitioner).

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Pam Blumenthal, Mass Media Bureau, (202) 418–2180.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This is a synopsis of the Commission's *Notice of Proposed Rule Making*, MM Docket No. 95–27, adopted February 9, 1995, and released February 23, 1995. The full text of this Commission decision is available for inspection and copying during normal business hours in the FCC's Reference Center (Room 239), 1919 M Street, NW, Washington, D.C. The complete text of this decision may also be purchased from the Commission's copy contractor, ITS, Inc., (202) 857–3800, 2100 M Street, NW, Suite 140, Washington, D.C. 20037.

Provisions of the Regulatory Flexibility Act of 1980 do not apply to

this proceeding.

Members of the public should note that from the time a Notice of Proposed Rule Making is issued until the matter is no longer subject to Commission consideration or court review, all *ex parte* contacts are prohibited in Commission proceedings, such as this one, which involve channel allotments. See 47 CFR 1.1204(b) for rules governing permissible *ex parte* contacts.

For information regarding proper filing procedures for comments, see 47 CFR 1.415 and 1.420.

List of Subjects in 47 CFR Part 73

Radio broadcasting.

Federal Communications Commission.

John A. Karousos,

Chief, Allocations Branch, Policy and Rules Division, Mass Media Bureau.
[FR Doc. 95–4846 Filed 2–27–95; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 6712–01–F

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Defense Logistics Agency

48 CFR Part 5416

DLA Acquisition Regulation; Type of Contracts

AGENCY: Defense Logistics Agency, DoD. **ACTION:** Proposed rule and request for comments.

SUMMARY: The Defense Logistics Agency proposes to add coverage by adding a new part to 48 CFR Chapter 54, the Defense Logistics Acquisition Regulation (DLAR) Part 5416. The proposed coverage affects regulations on the use of solicitation provisions and contract clauses for Economic Price Adjustments (EPA). Comments are hereby requested on the proposed rule. The proposed DLAR coverage expands