CDD/CDF, Hg, HCl, and/or opacity. The petition must also describe by what means and how often the parameters would be monitored and must specify the recommended minimum/maximum values of the parameters that are not to be exceeded. Petitions for alternative operating parameter monitoring would be approved on a case-by-case basis.

3. Continuous Compliance Requirements

To demonstrate continuous compliance following the initial performance test, facilities are required to:

- a. Demonstrate compliance with the CO emission limit based on the output from the CO CEMS:
- b. Demonstrate compliance with the opacity emission limit based on the output from the opacity CEMS; and
- c. Demonstrate compliance with the fugitive emission limit by conducting a performance test using Method 9 at least once per calendar month when ash is removed from the incinerator and when ash is removed from the APCD.

In addition, facilities equipped with a dry scrubber followed by a fabric filter are required to:

- d. Demonstrate compliance with the Hg emission limit by continuously monitoring the Hg sorbent flow rate, the charge weight, and the hourly charge rate. The minimum Hg sorbent flow rate, the maximum charge weight, and the maximum hourly charge rate are to be established during the initial performance test to determine compliance with the Hg emission limit. Operation of the MWI below the minimum sorbent flow rate, or above the maximum charge weight or maximum hourly charge rate would constitute a violation of the Hg emission limit.
- e. Demonstrate compliance with the CDD/CDF emission limit by continuously monitoring the CDD/CDF sorbent flow rate and the temperature measured at the inlet to the PM control device. The minimum CDD/CDF sorbent flow rate and the maximum PM control device inlet temperature are to be established during the initial performance test to determine compliance with the CDD/CDF emission limit. Operation of the MWI below the minimum sorbent flow rate or above the maximum PM control device inlet temperature would constitute a violation of the CDD/CDF emission limit.
- f. Demonstrate compliance with the HCl emission limit by continuously monitoring the HCl sorbent flow rate and continuously measuring the weight and time of each load of waste charged

to the incinerator. The minimum HCl sorbent flow rate, the maximum charge weight, and the maximum hourly charge rate are to be established during the initial performance test to demonstrate compliance with the emission limit for HCl. Operation of the MWI below the minimum sorbent flow rate, or above the maximum charge weight or maximum hourly charge rate would constitute a violation of the HCl emission limit.

The proposed standards and guidelines require the owner or operator of an MWI using a control device other than a dry scrubber followed by a fabric filter to petition the Administrator for other site-specific operating parameters to demonstrate continuous compliance with the emission limits for CDD/CDF, Hg, HCl, and/or opacity. These parameters would be established during the initial performance test for these pollutants and would be continuously monitored to demonstrate compliance with the emission limits.

P. Reporting and Recordkeeping—New MWI's

The proposed standards would require owners of affected facilities to submit notifications concerning construction and initial startup of the affected facility. The information to be submitted includes: (1) a statement of intent to construct along with the date of commencement of construction, (2) the anticipated date of startup, (3) a statement of the type of waste to be burned, (4) the letter from the State air pollution agency approving the construction and operation of the affected facility, and (5) all documentation produced as a result of the siting requirements.

The proposed standards also require that the owner or operator of an affected facility maintain the following information for a period of at least 5 years: (1) the results of the initial, annual, and any subsequent performance tests; (2) data demonstrating continuous monitoring of site-specific operating parameters; (3) CEMS output data; and (4) results of CEMS quality assurance determinations.

Additional records must be kept on file for the life of the facility. These records include: (1) all documentation produced as a result of the siting requirements, (2) the letter from the State air pollution agency approving the construction and operation of the affected facility, (3) records showing the names of the persons who have completed the requirements for MWI operator training and dates of training (along with documentation of the training program completed), (4) records

showing the names of those who have completed review of the site-specific MWI operating manual and dates of review, and (5) records showing the names of the qualified MWI operators and dates of qualification.

The proposed standards require that certain documentation be submitted to the Administrator. Owners or operators are required to submit the results of the initial performance test and all subsequent performance tests. Also, reports on emission rates or operating parameters that have not been obtained or that exceed applicable limits must be submitted within 30 days after the end of the quarter of occurrence. If no exceedances occur during a quarter, the owner of the affected facility is required to submit a letter stating so. All reports submitted to comply with the requirements of the proposed standards must be signed by the facilities manager—the individual responsible for purchasing, maintaining, and, in many cases, operating the MWI. This individual is likely to have different titles at different facilities, for example, director of facilities or vice president of support services.

The reporting and recordkeeping requirements in the proposed standards are necessary to inform enforcement personnel of the compliance status of new MWI's. In addition, they would provide the data and information necessary to ensure continued compliance of these MWI's with the proposed standards. At the same time, these requirements would not impose an unreasonable burden on MWI owners or operators.

Q. Reporting and Recordkeeping— Existing MWI's

The proposed emission guidelines would require owners or operators of MWI's to maintain the following information for a period of at least 5 years: (1) the results of the initial and annual performance tests, (2) data demonstrating continuous monitoring of site-specific operating parameters, (3) CEMS output data, (4) results of CEMS quality assurance determinations, and (5) results of the initial and annual inspections.

Additional records must be kept on file for the life of the facility. These records include: (1) records showing the names of the persons who have completed the requirements for MWI operator training and dates of training (along with documentation that the training program was completed), (2) records showing the names of those who have completed review of the site-specific MWI operating manual and dates of review, and (3) records showing