guard stations surrounding the production area. The Committee also unanimously recommended \$164,450 in market development activities and \$88,028 in production research. Budget items for 1994-95 which increased compared to those budgeted for 1993-94 (in parentheses) were: Office salaries, \$22,000 (\$15,600), insurance, \$6,250 (\$5,250), accounting and audit, \$2,600 (\$2,300), rent and utilities, \$5,000 (\$4,000), field travel, \$6,000 (\$5,000), onion breeding research, \$88,028 (\$88,000), and \$4,450 for Canadian onion promotion for which no funding was budgeted last year. Items which decreased compared to the amount budgeted for 1993-94 (in parentheses) were: Market development program, \$150,000 (\$200,000) and (\$7,000) for screening for resistance and tolerance to purple blotch, (\$2,000) for leaf wetness, (\$2,600) for variety evaluation, (\$4,000) for thrips monitoring and control, and (\$2,000) for the Integrated Pest Management program, for which no funding was budgeted this year. All other items were budgeted at last year's amounts.

The initial 1994-95 budget, published on August 12, 1994, did not establish an assessment rate. Therefore, the Committee also unanimously recommended an assessment rate of \$0.04 per 50-pound container or equivalent of onions, \$0.06 less than last year's assessment rate. This rate, when applied to anticipated shipments of approximately 5 million 50-pound containers or equivalents, will yield \$200,000 in assessment income, which, along with \$269,678 from the reserve, will be adequate to cover budgeted expenses. Funds in the reserve as of December 31, 1994, were \$607,767, which is within the maximum permitted by the order of two fiscal periods' expenses.

An amended interim final rule was published in the Federal Register on December 15, 1994 (59 FR 64557). That interim final rule amended § 959.235 to increase the level of authorized expenses to \$469,678 and establish an assessment rate of \$0.04 per 50-pound container or equivalent of onions for the Committee. That rule provided that interested persons could file comments through January 17, 1995. No comments were received.

The Committee, in a telephone vote completed January 16, 1995, unanimously recommended an increase of \$50,000 in the funding for the market development program, increasing expenditures from \$150,000 to \$200,000. This increase is necessary to cover additional expenses that will be incurred in conducting the program,

and will result in total promotion expenses of \$214,250 and a total budget of \$519,678. There are adequate funds in the Committee's reserve to cover this additional expenditure, so no increase in the assessment rate was recommended.

While this action will impose some additional costs on handlers, the costs are in the form of uniform assessments on handlers. Some of the additional costs may be passed on to producers. However, these costs will be offset by the benefits derived from the operation of the marketing order. Therefore, the Administrator of the AMS has determined that this action will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities.

After consideration of all relevant matter presented, including the information and recommendations submitted by the Committee and other available information, it is hereby found that this rule, as hereinafter set forth, will tend to effectuate the declared policy of the Act.

Pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 553, it is also found and determined upon good cause that it is impracticable, unnecessary, and contrary to the public interest to give preliminary notice prior to putting this rule into effect and that good cause exists for not postponing the effective date of this action until 30 days after publication in the Federal Register because the Committee needs to have sufficient funds to pay its expenses which are incurred on a continuous basis. The 1994-95 fiscal period began on August 1, 1994, and the marketing order requires that the rate of assessment for the fiscal period apply to all assessable onions handled during the fiscal period. In addition, handlers are aware of this rule which was unanimously recommended by the Committee at a public meeting and published in the Federal Register as an amended interim final rule. No comments were received concerning that amended interim final rule, which is being adopted as a final rule, with appropriate changes.

List of Subjects in 7 CFR Part 959

Marketing agreements, Onions, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

For the reasons set forth in the preamble, 7 CFR part 959 is amended as follows:

Accordingly, the interim final rule amending 7 CFR part 959 which was published at (59 FR 64557) on December 15, 1994, is adopted as a final rule with the following change:

PART 959—ONIONS GROWN IN SOUTH TEXAS

1. The authority citation for 7 CFR part 959 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 7 U.S.C. 601-674.

2. Section 959.235 is revised to read as follows:

§ 959.235 Expenses and assessment rate.

Expenses of \$519,678 by the South Texas Onion Committee are authorized and an assessment rate of \$0.04 per 50-pound container or equivalent of onions is established for the fiscal period ending July 31, 1995. Unexpended funds may be carried over as a reserve.

Dated: February 21, 1995. Sharon Bomer Lauritsen, Deputy Director, Fruit and Vegetable Division. [FR Doc. 95–4739 Filed 2–24–95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3410–02–W

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

14 CFR Part 21

Replacement and Modification Parts; Enhanced Enforcement

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT. **ACTION:** Notice of policy on enforcement.

SUMMARY: This is a notice of the FAA's policy to enforce full compliance with certain regulations on producing modification or replacement parts for sale for installation on type certificated products.

DATES: Preliminary applications for parts manufacturer approvals must be submitted by May 30, 1995.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Production and Airworthiness Certification Division, AIR–200, FAA, 800 Independence Avenue, SW., Washington, DC 20591, (202) 267–8361.

Background

In the past few years, there has been increased awareness of, and concern about, the use of unapproved parts on aircraft. It is not acceptable for persons to produce parts without complying with Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 21.3030(a)). It is the FAA's intention to ensure that all persons who produce parts for sale for installation on type certificated products comply with the regulations. The FAA recognizes that some producers may have relied on previous FAA statements and practices regarding enforcement of the rule.