

MAT 1361: Notes on Induction

Prof. P. J. Scott

April, 2005

Let $\mathbb{N} = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ be the natural numbers. In mathematics, we often wish to prove statements of the following forms:

- (i) *All natural numbers have some property P , i.e. $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}. P(n)$, or else*
- (ii) *All natural numbers $\geq k$ have some property P , i.e. $\forall n \geq k \in \mathbb{N}. P(n)$*

To prove such statements, we introduce the method of *mathematical induction*. It is based on the idea that natural numbers are built by starting from 0, and then continually adding 1 to the previous number: $0, 1, 2, 3, \dots, n-1, n, n+1, \dots$. We now show how this leads to a fundamental new proof technique.

We now describe Simple and Strong Induction, and some examples of proofs. More examples were given in class and labs. You are expected to give **all details** and **complete discussion**, as in the examples below, in homework and tests,

1 Simple Induction

This proof technique looks like the following inference rule:

$$\frac{\begin{array}{ll} P(0) & \text{(Base Case)} \\ \forall n \in \mathbb{N} (P(n) \rightarrow P(n+1)) & \text{(Inductive Step)} \end{array}}{\forall n \in \mathbb{N} P(n)} \quad \text{(Conclusion)}$$

This rule says: *if the Base Case and the Inductive Step are true, then we conclude $\forall n \in \mathbb{N} P(n)$.* The hypothesis $P(n)$ of the inductive step is called the **Inductive Hypothesis (I.H.)**.

Simple Induction says the following:

- Start by checking whether $P(0)$ is true, i.e. if “0 has property P ”
- Next, for each natural number $n \in \mathbb{N}$, prove the implication $P(n) \rightarrow P(n+1)$.
- Then conclude, by mathematical induction, that $\forall n \in \mathbb{N} P(n)$.

What does this accomplish? As shown in class, if we start with the truth of $P(0)$ (base case), and using the Inductive Step, we iteratively observe that $P(1), P(2), P(3), P(4)$, etc. are all true. Hence each of the $P(n)$'s is true, for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$. So we conclude $\forall n \in \mathbb{N} P(n)$ is true, which is what we wanted to prove.

Example 1.1 Let $5 \mid m$ mean “5 evenly divides m ”, i.e. m is a multiple of 5, so $\exists q \in \mathbb{Z} m = 5 \cdot q$. Prove by induction:

$$\forall n \in \mathbb{N} (5 \mid (n^5 - n))$$

Proof: Let $P(n) = 5 \mid (n^5 - n)$. We wish to prove $\forall n \in \mathbb{N} P(n)$.

(Base Case): Notice $0^5 - 0 = 0$. So $P(0)$ says: $5 \mid 0$, i.e. 0 is a multiple of 5. This is true because $0 = 5 \cdot 0$.

(Inductive Step): We must prove $\forall n \in \mathbb{N} (P(n) \rightarrow P(n+1))$.

$$\text{Suppose } P(n): \quad \boxed{5 \mid (n^5 - n)} \quad (1)$$

Equation (1) is called the Induction Hypothesis (I.H.)

$$\text{We wish to prove } P(n+1): \quad \boxed{5 \mid ((n+1)^5 - (n+1))} \quad (2)$$

Method: start with the right hand side of (2), and manipulate it algebraically until you can make use of the I.H. (1) to get the result. Here's the algebra:

$$\begin{aligned} (n+1)^5 - (n+1) &= n^5 + 5n^4 + 10n^3 + 10n^2 + 5n + 1 - n - 1 \\ &= (n^5 - n) + (5n^4 + 10n^3 + 10n^2 + 5n) \\ &= \underbrace{(n^5 - n)}_{(*)} + \underbrace{5(n^4 + 2n^3 + 2n^2 + n)}_{(**)} \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

In line (3), we must show that 5 evenly divides each summand (*) and (**). First observe $5 \mid (*)$, that is $5 \mid (n^5 - n)$, by the induction hypothesis (1). On the other hand, obviously $5 \mid 5(n^4 + 2n^3 + 2n^2 + n)$, so $5 \mid (**)$. Thus 5 divides both summands in line (3), so (2) holds; that is, $P(n+1)$ holds. Hence we have shown $P(n) \rightarrow P(n+1)$. This finishes the inductive step.

From the Base Case and the Inductive Step, we conclude $\forall n \in \mathbb{N} P(n)$, by mathematical induction.

We can increase the range of Simple induction, by starting the induction from any point k , in order to prove $\forall n \geq k P(n)$. Here is this version of induction (here $k, n \in \mathbb{N}$):

$$\begin{array}{ll} P(k) & \text{(Base Case)} \\ \forall n \geq k (P(n) \rightarrow P(n+1)) & \text{(Inductive Step)} \\ \hline \forall n \geq k P(n) & \text{(Conclusion)} \end{array}$$

Examples will be given in class and labs.

2 Strong Induction

Another form of induction, called *Strong Mathematical Induction*, is an important technique. This is used in cases when you wish to prove $P(n+1)$ in the inductive step, but need to use a stronger Inductive Hypothesis than just $P(n)$.

2.1 Strong Induction: Basic Form

$$\begin{array}{ll} P(0) & \text{(Base Case)} \\ \forall n ((P(0) \wedge P(1) \wedge \dots \wedge P(n)) \rightarrow P(n+1)) & \text{(Strong Inductive Step)} \\ \hline \forall n P(n) & \text{(Conclusion)} \end{array}$$

Here, the base case is $P(0)$ as usual. But the inductive hypothesis is stronger: in order to prove $P(n+1)$, you may assume more than just $P(n)$. In fact, you may assume as inductive hypothesis *all the previous values* $P(0), P(1), \dots, P(n)$. This is called the *strong induction hypothesis* (S.I.H.). This gives much more flexibility.

Of course, there is an obvious extension of the above Strong Induction scheme, in which we start at any point k :

$$\begin{array}{ll} P(k) & \text{(Base Case)} \\ \forall n \geq k ((P(k) \wedge P(k+1) \wedge \dots \wedge P(n)) \rightarrow P(n+1)) & \text{(Strong Inductive Step)} \\ \hline \forall n \geq k P(n) & \text{(Conclusion)} \end{array}$$

Example 2.1 Prove the following by strong induction:

Every integer $n \geq 2$ can be written as the product of primes i.e. $n = p_1 \cdot p_2 \cdot \dots \cdot p_m$, for some m , where the p_i are all primes.

Proof: Let $S(n)$ be the statement that “ n can be written as a product of prime numbers”. We prove $\forall n \geq 2 S(n)$ by strong induction.

- Base Case: $S(2)$, since 2 is a prime (therefore 2 is a product of a sequence of primes of length 1!).
- Strong Inductive Step: Suppose (Strong Induction Hypothesis) $S(2) \wedge S(3) \wedge \dots \wedge S(n)$. We must prove $S(n+1)$. There are two cases:

Case 1: $n+1$ is a prime. In that case, $S(n+1)$ already holds.

Case 2: $n+1$ is not a prime, so it is a composite number. Therefore $n+1 = a \cdot b$, where $2 \leq a < n+1$ and $2 \leq b < n+1$. So by S.I.H., we know $S(a)$ and $S(b)$ are true. Therefore both a and b can be written as a product of primes, say $a = p_1 \cdot \dots \cdot p_m$ and $b = q_1 \cdot \dots \cdot q_r$, where the p_i and q_j are primes. Hence $n+1 = a \cdot b = p_1 \cdot \dots \cdot p_m \cdot q_1 \cdot \dots \cdot q_r$ is also a product of primes, so $S(n+1)$.

- From the base case and the strong induction step, we conclude $\forall n \geq 2 S(n)$ by strong induction.

2.2 Strong Induction: More Advanced Forms

Sometimes we want to use the method of strong induction, but the Strong Induction Hypothesis is only true for large values of n . In that case we have to check the base cases by hand, until the restrictions on the induction variable n force $P(n)$ to make sense. For example, we cannot assume $P(n-25)$ is true, if $n-25$ is negative! So the problem and the inductive assumptions must be carefully set-up.

Example 2.2

Prove: *Every natural number $n \geq 12$ can be written as a sum of 4's and 5's*

Proof: Let $P(n)$ be the statement “ n can be written as a sum of 4's and 5's”. We want to prove $\forall n \geq 12 P(n)$.

Basic Discussion: observe we want to prove, for an arbitrary $n \geq 12$, that $P(n+1)$, assuming (by S.I.H.) that we know the property $P(k)$ holds for all smaller numbers $12 \leq k \leq n$.

Naive idea: Let $n \geq 12$. We write $n+1$ in terms of smaller numbers, so we can make use of property P . So observe:

$$n+1 = (n-3) + 4$$

Using this fact, if we are assuming (by S.I.H.) the property $P(n-3)$ then $n-3$ can be written as a sum of 4's and 5's, hence adding one more 4, $n+1$ can also be written as a sum of 4's and 5's. Hence $P(n+1)$ is true.

Patching up the base cases: The above idea is great, except *we must assume $P(n-3)$ makes sense!* What if $n = 12$. Then $P(n-3)$ is $P(9)$ which is outside the range of induction (Now in fact 9 can be written as a sum of 4's and 5's. But if $n = 14$, again $P(n-3)$ is $P(11)$, which is also outside the range of induction and $P(11)$ is False.). What we *really* have to do is start looking at $P(n)$ when $n \geq 15$, since then $n-3 \geq 12$. We then check the small values (the base cases) by hand: check $P(12), P(13), P(14), P(15)$. So when we prove the Strong Inductive Step

$$(P(12) \wedge \dots \wedge P(15) \wedge P(16) \wedge \dots \wedge P(n)) \rightarrow P(n+1)$$

we need only look at values of $n \geq 15$, since if $12 \leq n-3 \leq 15$, we know we have already checked $P(n-3)$ as part of the Base Cases.

Here is the final proof, using the property P above:

Base Cases: We check by hand $P(12)$, $P(13)$, $P(14)$, $P(15)$. This is easy, since $12 = 3 \cdot 4 = 4 + 4 + 4$, $13 = 2 \cdot 4 + 5$, $14 = 4 + 2 \cdot 5$, $15 = 3 \cdot 5$.

Strong Inductive Step: Assume for arbitrary $n \geq 12$, that $P(k)$ is true for all k where $12 \leq k \leq n$. We must prove $P(n+1)$. We consider $n \geq 15$ (the smaller cases, where the $n = k \leq 15$ are checked in the Base Cases). Observe $n+1 = (n-3) + 4$ and $12 \leq n-3 \leq n$. So by the S. I. H. $P(n-3)$ holds (and by the above remarks, we know $P(n-3)$ is well-defined). Therefore $n-3$ can be written as a sum of 4's and 5's, so $n+1$ can too. Hence $P(n+1)$.

Example 2.3 Consider the integer sequence a_0, a_1, a_2, \dots , where $a_0 = 1, a_1 = 2, a_2 = 3$ and where

$$a_n = a_{n-1} + a_{n-2} + a_{n-3} \quad \forall n \geq 3$$

Prove: $a_n \leq 3^n$, for all $n \geq 0$.

Proof: Let $P(n) = a_n \leq 3^n$. We must prove $\forall n \in \mathbb{N} P(n)$.

Base Cases: In the inductive step, we will need the formula for a_{n+1} , and this will involve a_{n-2} . Hence, we must assume that $n-2 \geq 0$. Hence, in the inductive step, we will only consider $n \geq 2$, so the base cases are $n = 0, 1, 2$.

- $P(0)$ is true: it says $a_0 \leq 3^0$ and $P(1)$ is true: it says $a_1 = 2 \leq 3$
- $P(2)$ is true: it says $a_2 = 3 \leq 3^2 = 9$.

Strong Induction Step: We must prove

$$\forall n \in \mathbb{N} ((P(0) \wedge \dots \wedge P(n)) \rightarrow P(n+1))$$

We assume for arbitrary n the S.I.H., i.e. $P(0) \wedge \dots \wedge P(n)$ is true, so $P(k)$ is true for $0 \leq k \leq n$. We wish to prove $P(n+1)$ is true. Notice that this says: $a_{n+1} \leq 3^{n+1}$. Hence we need to calculate a_{n+1} . Go to the original formula above and plug-in $n+1$ for n . We get

$$a_{n+1} = a_n + a_{n-1} + a_{n-2}$$

We've already checked $P(k)$ is true for the base cases $P(0), P(1), P(2)$. The S.I.H. says that if $2 \leq k \leq n$ we can also assume $P(k)$ is well-defined and true. Then

$$\begin{aligned} a_{n+1} &= a_n + a_{n-1} + a_{n-2} \\ &\leq 3^n + 3^{n-1} + 3^{n-2} \quad \text{by S.I.H.} \\ &\leq 3^n + 3^n + 3^n = 3 \cdot 3^n = 3^{n+1} \end{aligned}$$

Hence $a_{n+1} \leq 3^{n+1}$, so $P(n+1)$ holds. This is true for arbitrary $n \in \mathbb{N}$. So by strong induction, $\forall n \in \mathbb{N} P(n)$.

Remark 2.4 What the calculations above really say is that for $n \geq 2$,

$$(P(n-2) \wedge P(n-1) \wedge P(n)) \rightarrow P(n+1)$$

And of course the S.I.H. implies the hypothesis of this implication is true.