

## SCIENTOLOGY'S FUTURE

by **L. RON HUBBARD**

AUTHORITY belongs to those who can DO the task in any given field. Authority sustained by pompousness, the laws passed, and "we who know" cannot endure.

In all ages these things have been true, and they are true in Scientology.

The outmoded and ineffective old has loud death agonies, and so it is when the "authority" of the nineteenth century "ologies" are challenged by Scientology. They run to the press to buy a river of venom. They howl at legislators to protect them under law. They seek to chip off a few pieces of Scientology and add them to the tumbling structures of "the sciences that didn't work" but which, in all their vanity, cloak their people round with Authority based on Law, Precedence and Ought to Be. But if they cannot heal or make Man sane, they are as crumbling dust, a chapter of yesterday, the curiosa of the bibliophile, and they breathe no more.

For Authority belongs to those who can do the job, not those who only pretend they can.

The psychologist could not change intelligence quotient or personality at will. The Scientologist can. The psychologist could not restore sanity and happiness to the insane. The Scientologist can. The medical doctor could not heal without consequence, when he could heal at all. The Scientologist can.

The first development in this century already takes its toll of last century's crude tools. And so time goes. The new replaces the old. The old dies hard.

Scientology will inherit the hospitals, the clinics, the asylums, the halls of learning where humanity was abused. Scientology will inherit the task of sign-posting Man upon a better road.

There are only two reasons why this is so; they had their chance and did not do their job; we have our chance and are doing ours.

(continued on page 3)

## *Beginner's Books in Scientology* *and Dianetics* by L. Ron Hubbard

DIANETICS: The Evolution of a Science \$1.25  
How Dianetics was discovered and developed.

THE PROBLEMS OF WORK \$1.25  
How to apply Scientology to your work.


DIANETICS: The Modern Science of Mental Health  
What the mind is and how it functions. \$4.00  
What goes wrong with the mind and how to fix it.  
Basic data necessary to a full understanding of  
Dianetics and Scientology.

SCIENCE OF SURVIVAL \$7.50  
This is a monumental book about people and the  
Tone Scale. How to tell where someone is on  
the Tone Scale and what you can expect of a  
person at that level.

SCIENTOLOGY: The Fundamentals of Thought \$1.25  
The basic book of the theory and practice  
of Scientology for beginners.

Orders should be prepaid or they will be shipped COD.  
Include postage as follows: Up to \$1.25....10 cents,  
\$1.26 to \$3.50....15 cents, above \$3.50....20 cents.

All discounts apply on books priced over \$1.00 and on  
tapes. HASI Membership discount: 20%, Franchise  
discount: 40%. Complete book list available on request.



### SCIENTOLOGY TAPES

TAPED LECTURES OF L. RON HUBBARD

A NEW TAPE LIST IS AVAILABLE. WRITE FOR IT.

Order all books and tapes from: Box 242, Silver Spring,  
THE DISTRIBUTION CENTER, INC. Maryland

Issue  
131  
August  
1961

ABILITY Magazine  
Official Publication of Dianetics and Scientology  
FOUNDER: L. RON HUBBARD  
Editor: Eleanore Turner  
Published by the Hubbard Communications Office  
1812 19th Street, N.W., Washington 9, D. C.  
Phone: ADams 2-6296

So do not blink when you ask your doctor, your psychiatrist, your savant in the humanities, and he says we are vile. Who has ever admired his own executioner? Do not blink when you read how terrible we are in the papers. What newspaperman was ever clever enough to see the future as a better thing?

Of course they scream and howl and conduct themselves with indignity. We are the people of earth. We demand things that are effective. We demand an end of inhumanity in the guise of the humanities. The witch doctor whose charms no longer work may be to us a thing of pity, but we do not turn to him when we really need the job done.

Remember this when people seek to shake your hopes in Scientology. We have survived eleven long years -- if there were anything seriously wrong with us we would have been struck down a decade ago. We have a constantly growing public -- if we did not do our job they would have turned from us long since. Once our total office was a tiny storeroom -- now the sun never sets on our many centers around the world. Our files are full of tens of thousands of proofs of what we can do -- no other activity in the mind ever dared validate its results. Our own people are growing better, healthier, wiser -- who can say that for the servants of yesterday?

We are the heirs to tomorrow's sunrise. Our objectors only reap the dusk.

Scientology cares nothing for the plaudits of the press or praise from the Great Anointed. Why should it, when it has progressed eleven years into the teeth of vested interest without their help?

This is YOUR science. Learn to laugh at the frantic efforts to block our way. Or remove your hat and sigh beside the growing funeral pyre of those who only fed the Tyrannies of Man -- and perished because they tyrannized too well.

When at last we have our way, Man's Inhumanity to Man will have ended.

We have the answers. Authority belongs to those who can do the job. And Scientology will inherit tomorrow as surely as the sun will rise.




---

Note: Effective immediately, the sterling price of the Saint Hill Briefing Course is being increased to £285. 15. 0 instead of 250 guineas. The Dollar price of \$800 remains unchanged. The length of the course is being increased to 8 weeks instead of 30 days.

H  
C  
O

## SPECIAL BRIEFING COURSE

## LOS ANGELES

STARTING DATE : . . . . . OCTOBER 2, 1961

THIS OCTOBER COURSE IS THE FIRST OF ITS KIND  
SINCE RON WAS IN CALIFORNIA IN 1950 !

Taught by instructors par excellence, Dick and Jan Halpern, veteran instructors of most of the ACC's and up-to-the-minute themselves in ability and data from recent briefing at Saint Hill, this is one course you won't want to miss.

The HCO Special Briefing Course, Los Angeles will cover the material just taught by the Halperns in Washington, D.C. (plus any and all additional material up to and throughout the course). It will present all of Ron's recent taped lectures on application of and reasons for modern clearing techniques and close contact with Ron via Telex will be maintained.

The recently completed HCO Special Briefing Course in Washington, D.C. was a great success. All students gained great increase in auditing skill, learned the fast, effective clearing procedures of Routines I, IA, II, and III, and all cases processed on the course advanced very visibly. Quite simply, we were not the same people by mid-August as we were when starting the course last July 3rd, that's for sure!

All Professional Auditors (who have completed at least HCA), you can now have for your own the skill and know-how resulting from the past 11 years of research in Scientology.

To make arrangements for being on this course

get in touch with: HCO Continental Secretary,  
258 S. Oxford Avenue  
DUnkirk 8-3481 LOS ANGELES 4, CALIFORNIA

# SPECIAL BRIEFING COURSE

## WASHINGTON, D.C.

The SPECIAL BRIEFING COURSE, Washington, D. C. 1961, taught by Dick and Jan Halpern, 3 July 1961, taught the following skills:

- A: Perfect TRs
- B: Perfect Model Session
- C: Expert Security Checking
- D: Good CCHs
- E: Skillful handling of E-Meter
- F: SOP Goals Assessment

Students on this Course became proficient in and were passed on the following skills.

See above for code:

Andrew Bagley C	Gilbert C. Niehus A(1, 2, 3, 4), C, D
Vince Bourquin A(1, 2, 3)C, D	Merrill Reynolds A(0, 1, 2, 3), C, D(1, 2)
Joseph Breeden C	Wayne Groenlund Rohrer C
Max Clay C	B. Robert Ross A(0, 1, 3, 4), C, D(1, 4)
Lee Cummings A(0, 2), C, D	Warren Schei A, C, D(1, 2, 4)
John Fudge A(0, 1, 2, 3), C, D(1, 3, 4)	Ann Sharpe A, C, D
Carolyn Jo Gilland A(1), C	Wm. D. Shea A(0, 1, 2, 3), C, D(1, 2)
David Hacker A(0, 2, 3), C, D	Kenneth Lee Skelton A(1, 2), C
Betty Halliday A(0, 1, 2, 3), C, D(2, 3, 4)	Dolores Stokes A, C, D(1, 3)
Geraldine Handeland A, C, D	Bob Taylor A(0, 4), C, D(1, 2)
Wally Handeland A, C, D	Janet Tucker A(0, 1, 2, 3), C, D(1, 2, 3)
John Joseph Imburgia C	Eleanore Turner A(1, 2, 3), C
Louis E. Jordan A(3), C, D(1)	J. Fred Walker A(2, 3), C
Vonne C. Kathary A(0, 1, 2), C	Pem W. Wall A(0, 1, 2, 3), C, D
Maurice A. Lerud C	Norman Wold A(1), C, D(1, 2)

All auditors on this course, having received much auditing and many security checks were given an HCO seal on their certificates for "Clean Hands 1961".



## THE BASIC ASSUMPTIONS OF SCIENTOLOGY VERSUS OVERTS

**by L. Ron Hubbard**

The entire secret of all overt-withold mechanisms is valences. I have known for a long while that a profile on our tests is a picture of a valence.

If the preclear were in no valence, but was himself completely, he would have a perfect test response and would be wholly clear. In this statement we have one of the background structure points of Scientology.

This was an assumption point for some time, a point of departure, as 'conservation of energy' in physics is the primary assumption point of nineteenth century physics -- if we assume this point, then we have the 'truths', axioms and other data in elementary physics. The point, assumed and never proven (and not even well phrased) is the start point in physics from which all deductions are made. It is an 'understood', a non-examined theory. Physics was demonstrable truth, but only in a limited and finite sense. The moment nuclear physics, my dear companion that haunted my college days, came into action, the assumption point began to crumble and is not now considered to be truth. Hence while elementary physics works in a finite, limited sense, it is not considered true science any longer -- it is only elementary science.

Freud, for instance, had as his start point (or assumption point) the Libido Theory of 1894 in which he based all on sex.

It is rare that a science ever embraces its own assumption point and resolves it. Freud was stuck with his Libido Theory, just as Newton's successors were stuck with 'conservation of energy'. So long as elementary physicists were concerned only with energy which 'could not be destroyed or created', they treadmilled themselves into a dead end mirrored in such things as inadequate, costly engines, difficult construction, and a complete lock-out from space and other planets.

The great Einstein, not a physicist but a mathematician, established a new science which deserved the name of the physical science, 'physics', a name already purloined by the natural philosophy of the nineteenth century. Old time physics was the science of the age of fire and ended with the age of fire. It died to whimpering embers under the downblast of atomic fission. We are no longer scientifically nor politically in the age of fire. We are in the age of freed energy. We do not yet have an atomic physical science. We have only a number of guesstimates like the bronze worker of early Greece who knew nothing of the facts of fire metallurgy. The fire age, begun by Prometheus, whoever he really was, is ending on Earth. The raw energy age has begun with all the teething troubles of any new era. Called the 'Atomic Age' just now, it started with hints of others before Einstein, but was actually born when Einstein wrote his Theory of Relativity. This, a crude guesstimate, was yet a great departure point in the history

of this planet. It has unlocked space to Man, promised him new engines, widened his scope. Unhappily it has also unlocked vast opportunities for political bungling -- but I would rather say that it exposed political diplomacy as a bungling subject which must now urgently improve. Nations can no longer afford political ineptness.

Now, the assumption point of physics, the science of the fire age, became disproved and the science is in question and the fire age is in fact over. The holes in physics have begun to glare. Some day a new science will be organized from the assumption point of Einstein's work (no matter if he's debunked, forgotten, or becomes a legend like Prometheus which the professors of tomorrow can teach as a myth... 'Einstein stole the secret of eternal fission from a Heaven named Princeton where the gods...'). And ages hence somebody will prove or expose the basic assumption and the fission age will resurge or die, depending on whether or not the assumption is found to be true or false.

In Freud's case in a lesser sense, a short but ineffective but highly interesting age of psycho-therapy began with the Libido Theory in 1894 and began to disintegrate through lack of progress and development about 1920, although the subject itself became an intellectual football in the late 'twenties, an artists' cross in the early 'thirties, and a teenagers' subject in the late 'fifties. His contemporaries added nothing effective to Freud's work and the subject, like psychology, which originated in 1879 and assumed men were animals, failed in all fields but wide popularity.

Back of all work on mental states, however, lie various assumption points, most of them hidden or undelineated, from which the remainder of the subject evolves and grows. If the cornerstone is proven only relatively factual, a long enduring career is guaranteed to the subject. Freud used as his assumption point more than his Libido Theory that all impulses and behaviors are sex motivated. He assumed that if one were sex-motivated, then if one unblocked this drive by removing an early traumatic sex experience that was impeding the drive, the patient would recover from neurosis. All manner of interesting complications proceed from this: art, being considered a sublimation or aberration of the sex drive, had to be considered wholly neurotic; success, being most desirable as sexual success, was a product of a blessed neurosis if achieved in any other field. As treatment it was common for a Freudian practitioner to cut through the Gordian knot by ordering a patient to go out and have sex with everyone, prove his or her prowess, and thus become well and happy. While this secured the popularity of the subject, it did little to reduce asylum statistics as these were on the increase throughout the Freudian age and were highest at its end, and indeed were higher in Freudian dominated areas than in others where Freudian treatment was not used. (Not my propaganda, just a recorded fact.)

The psychiatrist, following a Russian science, has a more basic and brutal assumption point, which is that a shock cures aberration. The idea goes back a very long way, making psychia-

try a long, if sporadic, age. Psychiatry ebbs and rises in use since it is a dramatization rather than a science. It springs from the same impulse that assumes punishment cures wrongdoing. The limited workability of this is apparent around us on every hand. We could do nothing socially about crime so we inhibited crime by striking at criminals. This gave us suppressed criminality and more criminals, but it must be said that, lacking any solution that worked well, then any solution that even seemed to work occasionally was considered better than nothing.

Perhaps at some early date in the whole history this worked better, but all expedient cures tend to become a new illness. Alcohol, in any alcoholic, once cured something but now produces with amazing similarity the malady it once cured. These are stop-gap cures that do this, not cures in any absolute sense.

As the earliest punishment was the production of a shock in the offender, whole track history continues to repeat the treatment for misbehaviour as a dramatized action, not an intellectual undertaking. If a person misbehaves, he should be punished. Thus if a person misbehaves insanely he must be punished. Psychiatry is not then a science, but a legalized, at present, dramatization. And this is the very dramatization that makes this a cruel universe when it is. Punishment is unworkable as all the statistics show. Punish the criminal and he becomes, too often, the confirmed and hardened criminal.

All this, however, is based on a yet earlier lie. The last two years of my researches have been devoted to establishing or not as the case may be, whether anything could actually be done to a person, or whether it was not the person himself who did it. I 'knew' the latter was theoretically true, but I had not found means to demonstrate it -- and indeed was quite prepared to discover that something could be done to a person without his being prior cause. This work will be found under all 1958 - 59 data released on overts and witholds.

The earlier assumption to punishment is that something can be done to another being.

By evidences to date, odd as it may seem, it appears by all processing tests, that one becomes aberrated only by means of his own, not another's, actions. I do not say that nothing can be done to a person or being by another person or being. Obviously communication exists. I am only saying that all aberrative effects of action are created by the person who has them. Indeed none could be processed successfully through a burn or engram unless he himself were holding the aberration there -- for the fire, location and other people are not consulted and are not even there in fact at the time of processing. A preclear being audited on a past incident can recover from its ill effects. Therefore it seems conclusive that he himself must be causing the ill effects in present time or he could not eradicate them since the 'sources' are not present. Thus they must not have been the sources of his 'ill effects'. The preclear must have been.



Inspecting the assumption points of Dianetics and Scientology one finds now that what was originally assumed is fact. Thus we are to be here as a science for a very long time.

As no science before ever proved its assumption point that I know about, we are suddenly unique in that our results tend to verify more than our basic truths. The further we go forward, in other words, the more basic are the assumption points. Unlike then, physics or psychoanalysis or other sciences, we have examined and improved our assumption points.

We assumed in Dianetics that if we removed engrams, life would resurge and become good. This assumed that a being was all right until injured and that eradicating the injury would find him all right again. This is not the same as Freud, for Freud never assumed goodness or rightness in Man, but on the contrary seemed to warn that we had better not go too far, art and all that depending on the madness of us all. As God seems to be blamed for for most of the art work in this universe this seems a most impudent evaluation of God's sanity on Freud's part, although I do not think he ever displayed an actual professional sign saying 'S. Freud, Psychotherapist by Appointment to God'.

The Dianetic assumption\* that Man is basically good and is damaged by punishment holds valid in practical practice and in some tens of thousands of cases (and we're the only ones in history that validated our findings by strict long, long, precise testing on cases) we find that the more we process successfully, the kinder and more ethical our people become. That disposes of the vile nature of Man by staggering poundage of evidence. The assumption that 'all art is derived from aberration' is discounted by the numbers of singers and artists who sang better and painted better after they were made saner by us.

The basic psychiatric assumption that enough punishment will restore sanity is disproven, not only by psychiatric statistics, but by actual observation and removal of the effects of 'punishment' by processing.

That a being without aberration, would be good, ethical, artistic and powerful, is still a basic assumption in Scientology. It has just been demonstrated as factual for our practice. This is news. Our assumption point has just become a basic truth. It is not just an assumption. Therefore we will now find ourselves on a new plane of progress, perhaps with new teething troubles, certainly with even further goals.

The truth was demonstrated in this wise:

I knew valences, those mocked-up other-beingnesses a person thinks he is, were the source of test profile patterns.

When we rid the preclear of an undesirable valence his profile rose on the graph and he felt and acted better. When we did not alter the valence in tested cases the profile remained much the same. If the preclear were driven into undesirable valences by experiment, his profile worsened apparently, although this is more difficult to verify, since the tone of the existing valence was undoubtedly dropped as well.

Now from this I have found the mechanism by which a being

gives himself pain that is actually self-inflicted but is apparently other-inflicted. And this is a vast stride, for it resolves overt-withholds and we can consider it a broadly completed cycle of research ending two years with a victory for our assumption point.

By being a valence, not himself, a person confuses the source of pain. Inflicting it himself upon the valence he is in, and by experiencing the pain from the valence, a being can counterfeit the effect of being an effect of punishment. By being Valence A, he can conceive the environment is guilty of striking Valence A, but as this is in fact an overt by himself against Valence A (if only by failing to protect it) he feels the pain of Valence A. As he thinks of himself as Valence A, he can then feel his own pain.

The conclusion is that to feel pain and for pain to persist one must be in a valence.

The remedy for pain, illness, aberration, insanity and the lot, then, is to free the preclear of valences. Apparently, freed of all valences of an unconscious level, the preclear would yet be able to experience, but would not be involved with pain, etc., except by postulate.

The way to free him of all valences or unconscious counterfeit beingness is not the purpose of this paper.

Here I only wish to examine with you the aspects of assumption points of subjects and sciences (each of which has one, usually unknown to the originator) and to pass along the interesting intelligence that our former assumption point of 'remove the aberration and you have a worthwhile person' has become demonstrable in practice and can be considered truth.

This means a new level has opened to the future with new certainty.

An overt recoils upon one because one is already in a valence similar to that of the being against whom the overt is leveled.

The mechanism is exposed. And as it is exposed we find it is not needed since a being without valences is basically good. Only a being with valences has his overts recoil upon him. Only a being with valences commits overts harmful to others as he is behaving as he supposes the 'evil' valence would behave but as no unvalenced being does.



This article forms the text of HCO Bulletin of June 9, 1960.

---

\* (page 9) See Dianetics: The Modern Science of Mental Health by L. Ron Hubbard. Available from The Distribution Center, Inc., Box 242, Silver Spring, Maryland. Price \$4.00.

# NEW! BRILLIANT! WORKABLE!

THE NEW DESIGN ACADEMY TRAINING GUARANTEES SKILLED AUDITORS WHO CAN PRODUCE RELEASES.

No longer does the student go at the speed of a class. The student is trained as an individual, is checked out on what he knows as an individual, and progresses through the Course work at his or her own rate of mastering the material taught. It is each student's own certainty and competence that is important and this is what is stressed on the Hubbard Certified Auditor Course.

This means that you can enroll for full or part time training any week to become a professional auditor, the most satisfying and worthwhile profession in the world.

(The above does not affect the Hubbard Practical Scientologist Course for those who wish to learn some of the skills of Scientology for use in their work or in their livingness, but who do not wish to become professional auditors themselves. 8 weeks full time or 20 weeks Saturdays and Sundays.)

For more information, write to:  
Consultant, 1812 19th Street, N.W., Washington 9, D.C. or phone ADams 2-6296.



## INTRODUCING YOU TO YOU - -

WOULD YOU LIKE TO BECOME ACQUAINTED WITH YOU?  
YOU UNEMCUMBERED WITH VALENCES, ABLE TO BE AT  
CAUSE KNOWINGLY OVER YOUR OWN LIFE ??????????

The HUBBARD GUIDANCE CENTER is staffed with expert auditors who can accomplish this introduction of you to YOU, through Scientology processing.

Write to Consultant, 1812 19th Street, N.W., Washington 9, D.C. for full information.

## SAINT HILL SPECIAL BRIEFING

The SPECIAL BRIEFING COURSE at Saint Hill Manor, East Grinstead, Sussex, is under the direct supervision of L. Ron Hubbard and is taught personally by Mary Sue Hubbard.

Every case is getting clearer, all cases are attaining release.

These people are learning all the ins and outs of auditing, all the fast ways of getting results. One of these students can do an auditing job in hours where it would take intensives anywhere else. They were startled by the progress they are making. They didn't believe there was so much to it.

This is Ron's first personal course in his own home since 42 Aberdeen Road, Elizabeth, New Jersey, 1950! And it is a great success.

Write to HCO WW Technical Secretary at the above address for the details.

SCIENTOLOGY  
1812 19th Street, N. W.  
Washington 9, D. C.  
ADams 2-6296

Non-Profit Org.  
U. S. Postage Paid  
Permit # 41522  
Washington, D. C.

Form 3547 Requested.

Experienced typist required for interesting work in this Organization. Please send enquiries to: Letter Registrar, 1812 19th Street, N. W., Washington 9, D. C. Phone ADams 2-6296.