

Programming Knowledge with Frames and Logic

Part 2: Programming

3. Getting Around FLORA-2

Color Codes

- Black – what the user types
- Red – FLORA-2 prompt
- Green – FLORA-2 responses
- Blue – comments

Getting Started

- After installing:

`./runflora` *in Unix/Cygwin*

`.\runflora` *in Windows*

...some chatter...

flora2 ?-

- In Unix recommend putting this in `.bashrc`:

```
alias flora='~/FLORA/flora2/runflora'
```

assuming that **FLORA-2** was installed in `~/FLORA`

Compiling Programs

- Program files are expected to have the extension .flr
 - .flr doesn't need to be specified when compiling programs.
- The following will load and, *if necessary*, compile:
 - Load a file in the current directory
`flora2 ?- [test].`
Or
`flora2 ?- \load (test).`
 - Load a file in /foo/bar/
`flora2 ?- ['/foo/bar/test'].` Windows: ['\\foo\\bar\\test']
Or
`flora2 ?- \load ('/foo/bar/test').`
... *chatter* ...
`flora2 ?- Now ready to accept commands and queries`

Temporary Programs

- Useful for quick tests
- Can write a program in-line and compile it

`flora2 ?- [].` // one underscore is *treated specially*

[FLORA: Type in FLORA program statements; Ctl-D when done]

`a[b -> c].`

.....

Ctl-D *in Unix*

Ctl-Z <Return> *in Windows/Cygwin*

... *chatter* ...

`flora2 ?-` *Now ready to accept commands and queries*

Asking Queries

- Once a program is loaded, you can start asking queries:

flora2 ?- mary[works -> ?Where].

?Where = home

flora2 ?-

Important Commands at the FLORA-2 Shell

flora2 ?- \end. (or Ctl-D/Ctl-Z) *Drop into Prolog*

flora2 ?- \halt. *Quit FLORA-2 & Prolog*

- By default, FLORA-2 returns all solutions. Changing that:

flora2 ?- \one.

will start returning answers on-demand: typing “;” requests the next answer.

flora2 ?- \all.

revert back to the all-answers mode.

- **\help** - request help with the shell commands
- **\demo(demoName).** - compile and run a demo program
(*Example: flOneAll.flr*)

Executing Queries at Startup

- At the Unix/Windows shell, one can request to evaluate an expression right after the FLORA-2 startup


```
./runflora -e "expression."
```

- Useful when need to repeat previous command repeatedly, especially for loading and compiling the same file over again:

```
./runflora -e "\load(test)."
```

(don't put spaces inside "... " (e.g., " \load (test)." – some shell command interpreters have difficulty with them.)

How It Works



Variables

- Variables:
 - Symbols that begin with ?, followed by a letter, and then followed by zero or more letters and/or digits and/or underscores (e.g., ?X, ?name, ?v_5_)
 - ?_ or ? - *Anonymous* variable, a unique variable name is created.
Different occurrences of ?_ and ? denote different variables
 - ?_Alphanumeric - *Silent* variable.
Occurrences of the same variable within one rule denote the same variable.
Bindings for silent variables are not returned as answers.
- FLORA-2 does various checks and issues warnings for:
 - Singleton variables
 - Variables that appear in the rule head, but not in the rule body unless the variable is the ? or ?_ or a silent variable.

(*Example: variableWarnings.flr*)

Symbolic Constants and Strings

- Symbolic constants
 - If starts with a letter followed by zero or more letters and/or digits and/or underscores, then just write as is:
a, John, v_10)
 - If has other characters then use single quotes: *'?AB#\$ c'*
- Strings
 - Lists of characters. Have special syntax:
"abc 12345 y"
Same as [97,98,99,32,49,50,51,52,53,32,121]

Numbers, Comments

- Numbers
 - Integers: 123, 7895
 - Floats: 123.45, 56.567, 123E3, 345e-4
- Comments – like in Java/C++
 - // to the end of line
 - /* ...multi-line comment... */

Methods and Cardinality Constraints

- FLORA-2 does not distinguish between functional and set-valued methods. All methods are set-valued by default.
 - a[b1 -> c].
 - a[b2 -> {c, d}].
- **Cardinality constraints** can be imposed on methods signatures to state how many values the method can have:
 - A[M {2..4}=> D]. // *M can have 2 to 4 values of type D*
 - Functional (or scalar) method: cardinality constraint {0..1}
 - C[m {0..1}=>b].

Logical Expressions

- Literals in rule bodies can be combined using `,` and `;` (alternatively: *and* and *or*)
head : - a, (b or c).
- Connectives `,` (and) and `;` (or) can be used inside molecules:
a[b -> c and d -> e ; f -> h].
“,” binds stronger than “;”. The above is the same as
a[b -> c, d -> e] ; a[f -> h].
- Negation is **naf**. Can be also used inside molecules:
?- a[not b -> c, d -> e ; f -> h].

Arithmetic Expressions

- FLORA-2 doesn't reorder goals. The following will cause a runtime error:

?- ?X > 1, ?X \is 1 * (3+5).

Make sure that variables are not used uninstantiated in expressions that don't allow this. Correct use:

?- ?X \is 1 * (3+5), ?X > 1.

Modules

- Three types of modules:
 - FLORA-2 user modules (user programs)
 - Referred to with the `@module` idiom
 - FLORA-2 system modules (provided by the system)
 - Referred to with the `@\module` idiom (system module names start with a `\`)
 - Prolog (XSB) modules (Prolog programs: user-written or provided by XSB)
 - Referred to using the `@\prolog` or `@\prolog(xsbmodule)` idioms
 - `@\prolog` (abbr. `@\plg`) refers to the default XSB module or standard Prolog predicates
 - » E.g., ..., `writeln('Hello world')@\plg`.
 - `@\prolog(xsbmodule)` (or `@\plg(xsbmodule)`) refers to XSB predicates defined in named XSB modules (hence need to know which XSB module each predicate belongs to)
 - » E.g., ..., `format('My name is ~w~n', [?Name])@\plg(format)`.

Modules: Dynamic Loading

- Program files are *not* associated with modules rigidly
 - Programs are **loaded into modules** at run time
 - Module is an abstraction for a piece of knowledge base
- ?- [*myProgram* >> foobar]. Or
?- \load(*myProgram* >> foobar).
myProgram.flr is loaded into module foobar.
?- [*anotherProgram* >> foobar].
anotherProgram replaces *myProgram* in the module foobar.
Can be done within the same session.
- [+*anotherProgram*>>foobar], \add *anotherProgram*>>foobar –
add *anotherProgram* without erasing *myProgram*.

Default Module

- Default module is *main*:

?- [myProgram].

Gets loaded into module *main*. Replaces whatever code or data was previously in that module.

Making Calls to Other Modules

- Suppose `foobar` is a module where a predicate `p(?,?)` and a method `abc(?) -> ...` are defined.
- Calling these from within another module:
head :- ..., `p(?X,f(a))@foobar`, ..., `?O[abc(123) -> ?Result]@foobar`.
- Module can be decided at runtime:
head :- ..., `?M=foobar`, `p(?X,f(a))@?M`, ..., `?O[abc(123) -> ?Result]@?M`.
- Modules can be queried: Which module has a definition for `p(?X,f(a))`?
`? - p(?X,f(a))@?M`.

Some Rules about Modules

- Module call cannot appear in a rule head. (Why?)
- Module references can be *grouped*:
 $?- (a(?X), ?O[b \rightarrow ?W])@foo.$
- Module references can be *nested*
 - *Inner overrides outer*:
 $?- (a(?X)@bar, ?O[b \rightarrow ?W])@foo.$
- $\backslash@$ - special token that refers to the current module.
If the following program is loaded into *foobar*, then
 $a[b \rightarrow \backslash@].$
 $?- a[b \rightarrow ?X].$
binds $?X$ to *foobar*.

Useful Prolog Modules

- `@\prolog(basics)` – list manipulation, e.g., `member/2`, `append/3`, `reverse/2`, `length/2`, `subset/2`.
- `@\prolog(format)` – a (C-language) *printf*–like print statements.

FLORA-2 System Modules

- Provided by the system. Most useful are
 - @\sys – a bunch of system functions
 - abort(?Message)@\sys – abort execution (others later)
 - @\io – a bunch of I/O primitives
 - write(?Obj), writeln(?Obj), nl,
 - read(?Result)
 - see(?Filename), seen
 - tell(?Filename), told
 - File[exists(?F)]
 - File[remove{?F}]
 - Etc.
 - @\typecheck – defines constraints for type checking
 - ?- Cardinality[check(Mary[spouse=>?])]\@typecheck.
 - ?- Type[check(foo[?=>?], ?Violations)]\@typecheck.

Module Encapsulation

- Modules can be *encapsulated* to block unintended references
- By default, modules are *not* encapsulated
- If a module has an *export* directive then it becomes encapsulated
 - Only exported predicates or methods can be referenced by other modules
 - Predicates/methods can be exported to *specific modules* or to *all* modules
 - Predicates and methods can be exported as *updatable*; default is non-updatable
 - Predicates/methods can be made encapsulated at run time (!) and additional items can be exported at run time

Export Statement

- Simple export:
:- **export**{p(?,:), ?[foo -> ?]}.
This exports to all modules.
Note: use ?, not constants or other variables.
- Export to specific modules (*abc* and *cde*):
:- export{(p(?,:) >> (abc, cde)), ?[foo -> ?]}.
p/2 is exported only to abc and cde.
foo -> is exported to all.
- Updatable export:
:- export{p(?,:), **updatable** ?[foo -> ?]}.
p/2 can be queried only; other modules can insert data for the method foo
- Exporting ISA/class membership:
:- export {?:?, updatable ?::? >> abc}.

(*Example: moduleExample.flr*)

Dynamic Export

- All the previous statements can also be executed dynamically
 - If a module was not encapsulated it becomes encapsulated
 - Additional items can be exported at run time
- Examples of executable export statements:
 - ?- export{p(?,?), ?[foo -> ?]}.
 - ?- export{p(?,?), updatable ?[foo -> ?]}.
 - ?- export{?:?, updatable ?::? >> abc}.

Multifile Modules

- Can split modules into multiple files and use the `#include` directive:

`#include "foo.flr"` *relative path*

`#include "/foo/bar/abc.flr"` *full path Unix*

`#include "\\foo\\bar\\abc.flr"` *full path Windows*

- Note:
 - Must provide a complete relative or absolute name (with file extensions).
 - Must escape `\` with another `\` in Windows.
 - Can use Unix-style paths in Windows also.

Debugging

- Most common errors
 1. Mistyped variable
 2. Calling an undefined or unexported method/predicate (possibly due to mistyping)
 3. Suspicious program logic
 4. Wrong program logic
- 1-3 are handled by the compiler or the runtime environment
- 4 is handled by the trace debugger or other techniques (e.g., the venerable print statement)

Mistyped Variables

- Compiler warns about
 - Singleton variables
 - Variables in the rule head that don't occur in rule body
- If such variables are intended, use anonymous or silent variables, e.g., ? or ?_abc. The compiler won't flag those

(Example: variableWarnings.flr)

Mistyped or Undefined Methods/Predicates

- If a predicate/method was mistyped, it will likely be unique and thus undefined; the runtime catches those
- Undefinedness checks are turned off by default (for performance – about 50% slower)
- Enabling undefinedness checks:
 - Execute
 ?- Method[mustDefine(on)]@\sys.
 to turn on the checks in all modules.
 - Execute
 ?- Method[mustDefine(on,foobar)]@\sys.
 to turn on the checks in module foobar only
 - Can also turn off these checks wholesale or selectively

(Example: checkUndefined.flr)

Suspicious Program Logic

- A *tabled* predicate or method depends on a statement that produces a side effect:
 `p(?X) :- ..., write(?X)@\io,`
- Possibly unintended behavior:
 - 1st time:
 ?`- p(hello).`
 hello
 Yes
 - 2nd time:
 ?`- p(hello).`
 Yes
- Compiler will issue a warning. To block the warnings:
 `:- ignore_depchk{%?@\io}. Don't check dependencies on module flora(io)`
 Other forms:
 - `:- ignore_depchk{%foo(?)@?M}. Don't check dependency on %foo(?) in any module`
 - `:- ignore_depchk{?[%abc(?,?) -> ?]}. Don't check for %abc(?,?) -> in the current module`

(Example: tableVSnot.flr)

Debugger

- One can trace the execution of the program:
 - ?- \trace. *Turn on interactive tracing*
 - ?- \trace(file). *Noninteractive tracing. Put the trace into file*
 - ?- \notrace. *Turn off tracing*
- How tracing works:
 - Shows which predicates are evaluated in which order
 - Which calls succeed and which fail
 - In interactive tracing:
 - <Return> - next step
 - S - trace non-interactively to the end; display everything
 - x - stop tracing the current call

Example of a Trace

```
?- [].  
a[b -> c].  
aa[b -> f].  
?X[m -> ?Y] :- ?Y[b -> ?X].
```

Ctl-D

```
?- \trace.
```

```
?- c[m -> ?Y].
```

(2) Call: c[m -> ?_h1281] ? S

(3) Call: (Checking against base facts) c[m -> ?_h1281]

(3) Fail: (Checking against base facts) c[m -> ?_h1281]

(4) Call: c[m -> ?_h1281]

(4) Fail: c[m -> ?_h1281]

(5) Call: ?_h1281[b -> c]

(6) Call: (Checking against base facts) ?_h1281[b -> c]

(6) Exit: (Checking against base facts) a[b -> c]

(6) Redo: (Checking against base facts) a[b -> c]

(6) Fail: (Checking against base facts) ?_h1281[b -> c]

(7) Call: ?_h1281[b -> c]

(7) Fail: ?_h1281[b -> c]

(8) Call: ?_h1281[b -> c]

(8) Fail: ?_h1281[b -> c]

(5) Exit: a[b -> c]

(5) Redo: a[b -> c]

(5) Fail: ?_h1281[b -> c]

(9) Call: c[m -> ?_h1281]

(9) Fail: c[m -> ?_h1281]

(2) Exit: c[m -> a]

(2) Redo: c[m -> a] ? S

(2) Fail: c[m -> ?_h1281]

?Y = a

(Example: trace.flr)

4. Low-level Details

HiLog vs. Prolog Representation

- Problem: FLORA-2's terms are HiLog; Prolog (XSB) uses Prolog terms – different internal representation
 - What if we want to talk to a Prolog program and pass arguments to it?

Example: ?- ?X=f(a), writeln(?X)@\prolog.

`flapply(f,a)` <- - - *not what we expected*

`?X = f(a)`

- **Solution:** use a special primitive, `p2h{?Prolog,?HiLog}`

Example: ?- ?X=f(a), `p2h{?P,?X}`, writeln(?P)@\prolog.

`f(a)` <- - - *exactly what the doctor ordered*

`?X = f(a)`

(*Example:* `prologVShilog.flr`)

- ?- ?X=f(a), writeln(?X)@\plgall(). <- - - - *also works*

To Table or Not to Table?

- Methods and predicates that start with a % are *assumed to produce side effects*
- Others are pure queries
 - *Pure queries:* $p(?X,a)$, $a[m \rightarrow ?X]$, $?X[p(a,b)]$
 - *Side-effectful:* $\%p(?X,a)$, $?X[\%p(a,b)]$
- Only predicates and *Boolean* methods can have the % -prefix:
 - *Legal:* $?X[\%p(a,b)]$
 - *Not legal:* $a[\%m \rightarrow ?X]$
- Pure queries are cached (implemented using XSB's tabled predicates); side-effectful predicates/methods are not cached.

(*Example:* tableVSnot.flr)

Why Table?

- *Queries* should use tabled methods/predicates
 - Recall that tabling implements the true logical semantics
 - Avoids infinite loops in query evaluation where possible
- When not to table:
 - ***Actions*** that have side effects (printing, changing the database state) should *not* be tabled.
 - This is a declarative way of thinking about the %-predicates and methods

5. Advanced Features

Type Checking

- Type correctness can be checked with an F-logic query:

```
type_error(?O,?M,?V) :-  
    // value has wrong type  
    (?O[?M ->?V], ?O[?M =>?D])@?Mod,  
    \naf ?V:?D@?Mod  
or  
    // value exists, but type hasn't been specified  
    (?O[?M -> ?V], \naf ?O[?M => ?D])@?Mod.
```

? - type_error(?O,?M,?V).

Take out for semi-structured data

- If an answer exists then there is a type error. (Why?)
- There are also *standard methods to check types* (see manual: class Type in system module \typecheck)

Cardinality Checking

- The *type* system module defines constraints for checking cardinality
 - `?- Cardinality[check(?Obj[?Method=>?])]\@typecheck`
 - If there are violations of cardinality constraints then `?Obj` will get bound to the objects for which the violation was detected. For instance,
`cl[foo {2..3}=> int].`
`c::cl.`
`o1:c. o2:c. o3:c.`
`o1[foo ->{1,2,3,4}]. c[foo ->2].`
`o3[foo ->{3,4}]. cl[foo -> {3,4,5}].`
Then the query
`?- Cardinality[check(?O[foo=>?])]\@typecheck.`
binds `?O` to `o1` and `o2`
- The system module `\typecheck` has further elaborate methods for cardinality checking (see the manual)

Path Expressions

- A useful and natural shorthand
- $?X.?Y$ stands for the $?Z$ in $?X[?Y \rightarrow ?Z]$

For instance:

$a[b \rightarrow c]$.

?- $a[b \rightarrow a.b]$.

Yes

- Note: $?X.?Y$ denotes an object—it is not a formula

But $?X.?Y[]$ is:

$?X.?Y[]$ is true iff $?X[?Y \rightarrow ?]$ is true

Path Expressions (cont'd)

- $?X! ?Y$ stands for a $?Z$ in $?X$ [$|?Y \rightarrow ?Z|$]
 $?X! ?Y[] \equiv ?X [|?Y \rightarrow ?|]$
- *What does $?X. ?Y! ?Z$ stand for?*

Path Expressions (cont'd)

- Path expressions can be combined with molecular syntax:

?X[m -> ?Z].?Y.?Z [abc -> ?Q]

is:

?X[m -> ?Z], ?X[?Y -> ?V], ?V[?Z -> ?W], ?W[abc -> ?Q]

Or, in one molecule:

?X[m -> ?Z, ?Y -> ?V[?Z -> ?W[abc -> ?Q]]]

Nested Molecules

- Nested molecules are broken apart (as we have seen)
- But what is the ordering? - important since evaluation is left-to-right

- Molecules nested inside molecules:

$a[b \rightarrow c[d \rightarrow e]]$

breaks down as $a[b \rightarrow c], c[d \rightarrow e]$.

But $a[b[c \rightarrow d] \rightarrow e]$

as $b[c \rightarrow d], a[b \rightarrow e]$

- Molecules nested inside predicates:

$p(a[b \rightarrow c])$ *breaks down as* $p(a), a[b \rightarrow c]$

$p(a.b)$ *breaks down as* $a.b=?X, p(?X)$ (Why?)

$p(a.b[])$ *breaks down as* $p(?X), a[b \rightarrow ?X]$

(Example: molBreak.flr)

- *What does the following mean?*

$a[b \rightarrow c][d \rightarrow e]$

Nested *Reified* Molecules

- Don't confuse

$p(a[b \rightarrow c])$ and $a[b \rightarrow c[d \rightarrow e]]$

with *reified* nested molecules:

$p(\{a[b \rightarrow c]\})$ and $a[b \rightarrow \{c[d \rightarrow e]\}]$

- What are the latter broken down to?

Aggregate Expressions

- Like in SQL, but better:
 - Can evaluate subquery and apply sum/count/avg/... to the result
 - Can group by certain variables and then apply sum/count/... to each group
 - Can create sets or bags, not just sums, counts, etc.

Aggregate Expressions: Syntax & Semantics

- General syntax:
 ?Result = *aggFunction*{**AggVar**[**GroupingVars**] | *Query*}
- *aggFunction*:
 - *min, max, count, sum, avg* – the usual stuff
 - *setof*– collects list of values, duplicates removed
 - *bagof*– same but duplicates remain
- **aggVar** – single variable, but not a limitation
 - Can do something like $\text{avg}\{?X \mid \text{query}(?Y), ?X \text{ is exp}(?Y+1,2)\}$ or $\text{setof}\{?X \mid \dots, ?X = f(?Y,?Z)\}$
- **GroupingVars** – comma-separated list of vars on which to group (like SQL's GROUP BY)
- Returns *aggFunction* applied to the list(s) of **AggVar** (grouped by **GroupingVars**) such that *Query* is satisfied

Aggregate Syntax & Semantics (cont'd)

- Aggregates can occur where a number or a list can – hence can occur in expressions

? - ?Z=count{?Year| john.salary(?Year) < max{?S| john[salary(?Y2) ->?S], ?Y2 < ?Year} } }.



- What if *Query* in the aggregate returns nothing?
 - *sum, avg, min, max, count*: will fail (are false)
 - *setof, bagof*: return empty list


(*Example*: aggregate.flr)

Aggregates and Set-valued Methods

- Convenient shortcuts for collecting results of a method into a list
 - $?O[?M \rightarrow \rightarrow ?L]$ – $?L$ is the list of elt's such that $?O[?M \rightarrow \text{elt}]$ is true
Same as $?setof\{?X \mid ?O[?M \rightarrow ?X]\}$
 - $?O[|?M \rightarrow \rightarrow ?L|]$ – $?L$ is the list of elt's such that $?O[|?M \rightarrow \text{elt}|]$ is true
Same as $?L = setof\{?X \mid ?O[|?M \rightarrow ?X|]\}$
- Set containment
 - $?O[?M \rightarrow \rightarrow ?S]$ – true if $?S$ is a list & $\forall s \in ?S, ?O[?M \rightarrow s]$ is true
 - $?O[|?M \rightarrow \rightarrow ?S|]$ – true if $?S$ is a list & $\forall s \in ?S, ?O[?M \rightarrow s]$ is true

Anonymous OIDs (Skolem Constants)

- Like blank nodes in RDF (but with sane semantics)
- Useful when one doesn't want to invent object IDs and relies on the system (e.g., individual parts in a warehouse database could use this feature)
- Can be numbered or unnumbered
 - Unnumbered: `\#` - *different* occurrences mean *different* IDs:
`\#[name -> 'John', spouse -> \#[name -> 'Mary']]`
 - Numbered: `\#1`, `\#2`, `\#3`, ... - different occurrences of, e.g., `\#2` *in the same clause* means the same ID:



Anonymous OIDs (cont'd)

- $\backslash\#$, $\backslash\#1$, $\backslash\#2$, etc., are plain symbols. Can use them to construct terms. For instance: $\backslash\#(\backslash\#1, \backslash\#, \backslash\#2, \backslash\#1)$
 $\backslash\#1$:student[name -> 'Joe',
 advisor -> { $\backslash\#(\backslash\#1)$ [name -> 'Phil'],
 $\backslash\#(\backslash\#1)$ [name -> 'Bob'] }].
 - *Why is this useful?*
- $\backslash\#$, $\backslash\#1$, ... can appear only in the facts and rule heads.
 - ?- a[m -> $\backslash\#$].
 - *Why does such a query make no sense?*

Equality

- Sometimes need to be able to say that two things are the same (e.g., same Web resource with 2 URIs)
- FLORA-2 has the `:=:` predicate for this. For instance:
 - a `:=:` b.
 - p(a).
 - ?- p(b).

Yes
- Well, not so fast...
 - Equality maintenance is computationally expensive, so it is off by default
 - Can be turned on/off on a per module basis
 - Different types of equality: *none*, *basic*
 - Has some limitations

Types of Equality

- *none* – no equality maintenance
 $:=$ is like $=$.
- *basic* – the usual kind of equality

Enabling Equality

- At compile time:
 - :- `setsemantics{equality(basic)}`.
- At run time:
 - ?- `setsemantics{equality(none)}`
 - Can be set and reset at run time
- Can find out at run time what kind of equality is in use:
 - ?- `semantics{equality(?Type)}`.
 - ?Type=`none`

(Example: equality.flr)

Limitations of Equality Maintenance in FLORA-2

- Congruence axiom for equality:
 - $a=b \wedge \varphi[a]$ implies $\varphi[b]$
 - This is very expensive
- FLORA-2 uses *shallow* congruence:
 - Does substitution only at levels 0 and 1:
 - $p ::= q, p(a)$ implies $q(a)$ *level 0*
 - $a ::= b, p(a)$ implies $p(b)$ *level 1*
 - $a ::= b, a[m \rightarrow v]$ implies $b[m \rightarrow v]$.
 - $v ::= w, a[m \rightarrow v]$ implies $a[m \rightarrow w]$.
 - But: $a ::= b, p(f(a))$ does *not* imply $p(f(b))$ *level 2*

Avoiding Equality

- In many cases, equality is too heavy for what the user might actually need.
- Try to use the preprocessor instead:

```
#define w3 "http://www.w3.org/"  
?- w3[fetch -> ?Page].
```


Data Types


- **URI data type:** "..."^{^^}\iri (IRI stands for International Resource Identifier, a W3C standard)

e.g., "http://www.w3.org"^{^^}\iri

- Compact IRIs

- Can define **prefixes** and then use them to abbreviate long URIs

: - **iriprefix**{W3 = 'http://w3.org/'}



s(?X) : - ?X[a -> W3#abc]. // W2#abc expands to "http://w3.org/abc"^{^^}\iri

- Standard methods exist to extract the *scheme*, *user*, *host*, *port*, *path*, *query*, and *fragment* parts of IRIs

Data Types (contd.)

- Date and Time type
 - "2007-01-21T11:22:44+05:44"^^\dateTime (or ^^\dt)
+05:44 is time zone
 - "2007-02-11T09:55:33"^^\dateTime or
 - "2007-03-12"^^\dateTime
 - Methods for extracting parts:
 - \year, \month, \day, \hour, \minute, \second, \zoneSign, \zoneHour, \zoneMinute
- Time type
 - "11:29:55"^^\time (or ^^\t)
 - Methods: \hour, \minute, \second
- Comparison and arithmetic operations for date and time are supported (can add/subtract duration types)
- Other data types also exist

Control Constructs

- **\if** (*cond*) **\then** (*then-part*) **\else** (*else-part*)
- **\if** (*cond*) **\then** (*then-part*)
 - Important difference with Prolog: if *cond* is false, **if-then** is still true, but the *then-part* is not executed
- **\unless** (*cond*) **\do** (*unless-part*)
 - Execute the *unless-part* if *cond* is false
 - If *cond* is true, do nothing (but the whole **unless-do** statement is true)
- Has also while/until loops

Metaprogramming

- FLORA-2 allows variables everywhere, so much of the meta-information can be queried
- The reification operator allows one to construct arbitrary facts/queries, *even rules*:
 - ?- $p(?X), q(?Y), ?Z = \{?X[abc \rightarrow ?Y]\}$.
 - ?- $?X[abc \rightarrow ?Y]$.
 - ?- $?X = \{a : - b\}, \dots$
- What is missing?
 - The ability to retrieve an arbitrary term and find out what kind of thing it is
 - Whether it is a term or a formula
 - What module it belongs to?

Meta-unification

- This capability is provided by the *meta-unification* operator, \sim
- Not to be confused with the regular unification operator, $=$

- Examples:

? - a[b -> ?Y]@foo \sim ?X@?M.

?X = $\{a[b \rightarrow ?Y]@foo\}$

?M = foo

? - a[b -> ?Y] \sim ?X[?B -> c]@?M.

?B = b

?M = main

?X = a

?Y = c

Meta-unification (cont'd)

- When both the module and the type of formula is known, then “=” will do:

?- $\{?X[a \rightarrow b]@foo\} = \{o[?A \rightarrow ?B]@foo\}.$

But this will fail:

?- $\{?X[a \rightarrow b]@?M\} = \{o[?A \rightarrow ?B]@foo\}.$

No

“=” will work in many cases, but use \sim when in doubt:

?- $\{?X[a \rightarrow b]@?M\} \sim \{o[?A \rightarrow ?B]@foo\}.$

?X = o

?M = foo

?A = a

?B = b

Recognizing Unknown Meta-terms

- $?X \sim (?A, ?B)$ A conjunction (= also ok)
- $?X \sim (?A; ?B)$ A disjunction (= ok)
- $?X \sim ?Y@?M$ A molecule or a HiLog formula
- $?X \sim ? [? -> ?]$ A functional molecule
-

6. Updating the Knowledge Base

What Kinds of Updates?

- In FLORA-2, the knowledge base can be changed in the following ways:
 - Insert/delete facts in a module
 - Insert/delete rules in a module
 - Create a completely new module on-the-fly (at run time) and put data and rules into it
 - E.g., create a new agent dynamically

Adding and Deleting Facts

- Support provided for
 - Non-logical updates, which only have operational semantics (like in Prolog, but more powerful) – ***non-backtrackable*** and thus ***non-transactional*** updates
 - Logical updates as in Transaction Logic - ***transactional*** updates
- Non-transactional: *insert, delete, insertall, deleteall, erase, eraseall*
- Transactional: *t_insert, t_delete, t_insertall, t_deleteall, t_erase, t_eraseall* (shorter synonyms: *tinsert, tdelete, etc.*)

Syntax of Update Operators

- $updateOp\{ Literals \}$
- $updateOp\{ Literals \mid Query \}$
- *Literals*: stuff to delete
- *Query*: condition on *Literals*
- The exact meaning of *Literals* and *Query* depends on the particular *updateOp*

Insert Operators (non-logical)

- Unconditional:

?- $p(?X), q(?Y), \text{insert}\{ ?X[\text{has} \rightarrow ?Y] \}$.

inserts ?X[has -> ?Y] for the binding of ?X and ?Y

?- $p(?X), q(?Y), \text{insertall}\{ ?X[\text{has} \rightarrow ?Y] \}$.

no difference in this context

- Conditional:

?- \one. *To prevent backtracking*

?- $p(?X), \text{insert}\{ ?X[\text{has} \rightarrow ?Y] \mid q(?Y) \}$.

insert for some ?Y such that q(?Y) is true

?- $p(?X), \text{insertall}\{ ?X[\text{has} \rightarrow ?Y] \mid q(?Y) \}$.

insert for all ?Y such that q(?Y) is true

Delete Operators (non-logical)

- Unconditional

- ?- \one.

- ?- q(?X), delete{p(?X,?Y), a[b ->?Y]}. *Delete for some ?Y*

- ?- q(?X), delete**all**{p(?X,?Y), a[b ->?Y]}. *Delete for all ?Y*

- Conditional

- ?- \one.

- ?- q(?X), delete{p(?X,?Y) | a[b ->?Y]}. *Delete for some ?Y*

- ?- q(?X), delete**all**{p(?X,?Y) | a[b ->?Y]}. *Delete for all ?Y*

(*Example: delete.flr*)

Delete Operators (cont'd)

- `erase{fact1, fact2,...}`
 - Works like `delete`, but also deletes all objects reachable from the specified object
- `eraseall{facts|query}`
 - Works like `deleteall`, but for each deleted object also deletes the objects that are reachable from it

(Example: erase.flr)

Transactional (Logical) Updates

- The basic difference is that a postcondition can affect what was inserted or deleted
 - Non-logical:
 - ?- insert{p(a)}, deleteall{q(?X)}, a[b ->c].
 - p(a) will be inserted / q(?X) deleted regardless of whether a[b ->c] was true or false
 - Logical:
 - ?- tinsert{pp(a)}, tdeleteall{qq(?X)}, a[b ->c].
 - updates will be done only if a[b ->c] remains true after

Abolishing Tables

- ****mostly obsolete**** Tables are now updated automatically.
- **Tables[abolish]@\system:** clears out all tables
 - Previous queries would have to be recomputed – performance penalty
 - **Cannot** be called during a computation of a tabled predicate or molecule – XSB will coredump!
- **refresh{fact1, fact2,...}**: selectively removes the tabled data that *unifies* with the specified facts (facts can have variables in them)
 - Lesser performance penalty
 - Can be used in more cases: refresh{...} will crash XSB only if you call it while computing the facts being refreshed

(*Example:* refresh.flr)

Tabled Literals that Depend on Updates

- If a tabled literal depends on an update, then executing it twice will execute the update only once – *probably an error in the program logic*
- FLORA-2 will issue a warning
- To block the warning (if the logic is correct), use
 - `: - ignore_depchk{skeleton, skeleton, ...}.`

The skeletons specify the predicates that tabled predicates can depend on without triggering the warning

- Warnings are triggered for insert/delete ops, any predicate or method that starts with a %.

(Example: depchk.flr)

Updates and Meta-Programming

- Update operators can take variables that range over formulas – *metaupdates*

- Module **foo**:

```
%update(?X,?Y) :- // check that args have the right form
```

```
    ?X ~ ?O[?M -> ?], ?Y ~ ?O[?M -> ?],
```

```
    !,
```

```
    delete{?X}, insert{?Y}.
```

```
%update(?_X,?_Y) :- abort([?Y, ' not updating ', ?X])@\sys.
```

- Module **main**:

```
?- %update($a[b -> ?]), $a[b -> d])@foo.
```

Inserting/Deleting Rules

- Useful when knowledge changes dynamically
- Especially for creation of new agents and stuffing them with rules
- FLORA-2 rules can be *static* or *dynamic*
- *Static rules*:
 - Those that you put in your program; they can't be deleted or changed
- *Dynamic rules*:
 - Those that were inserted using `insertrule_a{...}` or `insertrule_z{...}` primitives; they can be deleted using `deleterule{...}`

Rule Insertion Operators

- `insertrule_a`{ (rule1), (rule2),... }
 - Inserts the rule(s) *before* all other rules (static or dynamic)
 - ?- `insertrule_a`{`p(?X) :- ?X[a -> b]`}.
 - ?- `insertrule_a`{`(a :- b), (c :- d)`}
- `insertrule_z` { (rule1), (rule2),... }
 - Inserts the rules *after* all other rules (static or dynamic)
 - ?- `insertrule_z`{`p(?X) :- ?X[a -> b]`}.
- Note: static rules are always stuck in the middle of the program

Insertion of Rules into Another Module

- If *foobar* is another module:
 - ?- `insertrule_z{(a :- b)@foobar, (c :- d)@foobar}`
- Module may already exist or be created on-the-fly:
 - ?- `newmodule{foobar}`.
 - If *foobar* does not exist, it will be created “empty”

Rule Deletion Operator

- Only previously inserted rules (i.e., dynamic rules) can be deleted
- Operator: `deleterule{ (rule1), (rule2), ... }`
 - Delete every dynamic rule that matches rule1, rule2, ...
- `insertrule_a`, `insertrule_z`, `deleterule` are *non-transactional* (so not backtrackable).
 - But this is unlikely to matter: Who would stick a post-condition after `insertrule/deleterule`? (Someone *too* sophisticated.)

Flexible Deletion

- The rules in `deleterule` can be more flexible than what is allowed in `insertrule` and in static rules:
 - Can have variables in the rule head & body

- Examples:

?- `deleterule{?H :- ?X[abc -> ?Y]}.`

- Delete every dynamic rule with the body that looks like `?X[abc -> ?Y]`

?- `deleterule{?X[abc -> ?Y] :- ?B}.`

- Delete every dynamic rule with the head that looks like `?X[abc ->?Y]`

?- `deleterule{(?H :- ?B@?M)@?N}.`

- Delete every dynamic rule in every module!

(*Example: dynrules.flr*)

7. Future Plans

Research Issues

- Speed up query evaluation
- Approximate reasoning
- Better implementation of transactional updates
- Implementation of Concurrent Transaction Logic

Problems that Need XSB Work

- Cuts over tabled predicates

Questions?